#### Winners and Losers in a Changing Climate Bob Popp VT. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife





#### 162 State Endangered or Threatend Plants

SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	STATE STATUS	GLOBAL STATUS	DISTRIBUTION	Forested
Adiantum viridimontanum	Green Mountain maidenhair- fern	Т	G3	Endemic	
Agastache nepetoides	Yellow giant hyssop	Т	G5	Peripheral NE	
Agastache scrophulariifolia	Purple giant hyssop	Т	G4	Peripheral NE	
Allium canadense	Wild garlic	Т	G5	Peripheral NE	Floodplain Forest
Ammophila breviligulata ssp. champlainensis	Champlain beach grass	E	G2G3Q	Endemic	
Anemone multifida	Early thimbleweed	E	G5	Peripheral South	
Anthoxanthum monticola	Alpine Sweet-grass	Т	G5	Peripheral South	
Anticlea glauca	White Camas	E	G5T4	Peripheral East	
Aplectrum hyemale	Putty-root	Т	G5	Peripheral NE	No. Hardwood Forest
Arabidopsis lyrata	Lyre-leaved Rock-cress	Т	G5	Peripheral NE	Oak Pine Forest
Arethusa bulbosa	Arethusa	Т	G4	Central Common to North	
Arisaema dracontium	Green dragon	Т	G5	Peripheral NE	Floodplain Forest
Asclepias amplexicaulis	Blunt-leaved milkweed	Т	G5	Peripheral NE	
Asclepias tuberosa	Butterfly-weed	Т	G5?	Peripheral NE	
Asclepia verticillata	Whorled milkweed	E	G5	Periheral East	

## Global Ranks vs. Distribution

Global Rank	Number of Spp	Percentage	Peripheral	Other
G1 or T1	2	1.2%		Endemic 2
G2	4	2.5%	1	Endemic 2 Disjunct 1
G3	6	3.7%	4	Endemic 1 Central 1
G4	31	19.1%	24	Central 7
G5	119	73.5%	100	Disjunct 2 Central 17

Notes:

• Endemic Species: Adiantum viridimontanum, Ammophila breviligulata ssp. champlainensis,

•Astragalus robbinsii var jesupii, Isoetes viridimontana, Nabalus boottii

• Disjunct Species: Hudsonia tomentosa, Lathyrus japonicas var. maritimus, Minuartia marcescens

# T and E Plants with Distribution Status

<b><u>Category</u></b>	Number of Species	Percent of Species	Total for Category
Endemic	5	3.0%	3.0%
Disjunct	3	1.9 %	1.9%
Central	25	15.4%	15.4%
Peripheral North	28	17.3%	Peripheral North = $NE + N$ 42.0%
Peripheral NE	40	24.7%	
Peripheral South	51	31.5%	Peripheral South = $SE + S$ 32.1%
Peripheral SE	1	0.6%	
Peripheral E	9	5.6%	Peripheral East 5.6%
Totals	162		Peripheral Total 79.7%

## T & E Plants in Forested Habitat

Distribution	Number of Species	Percentage	Community Type	
North including NE	27	61.40%	Mesic Maple-Ash-Hickory-Oak Forest	7
			Dry Oak-Hickory Hophornbeam Forest	6
			Red Oak-Northern Hardwood Forest	3
			Floodplain Forest	3
			Oak - Pine Forests	3
			Hemlock-Hardwood Forest	2
South including SE	9	20.50%	Cedar Swamps	6
			Oak- Hickory-Hophornbeam, Montane S Hdwd Forests:	SF, Rich No 1 Each
Central	6	13.60%	Cedar Swamps	2
			White Pine-Black Oak Forest	2
			Oak-Hickory-Hophornbeam, Rich No Hdwd: 1 Each	
East	2	4.50%	Mesic Maple-Ash-Hickory-Oak, Dry Oak	Forest: 1 Each



# CONCLUSIONS

- The majority of Vermont's listed plant species are on the periphery of their range (80%). This is typically reflected in a low Global Rank, i.e. G5 or G4 (over 90% of listed plants).
- Slightly more listed plants are on the northern periphery (42%) vs. the southern periphery (31%)
- This bodes well for many of the listed plants persisting as the climate warms. Other factors aside, species on the northern periphery would be expected to expand in VT whereas those on the southern periphery would be expected to contract.

### ASSUMPTIONS & SPECULATION

- Changing climatic conditions could favor more ruderal, disturbance adapted species that can respond more quickly to climate induced changes however, more stress tolerant species might persist in situ in climate refugia.
- Survival is likely to depend on phenology, synchronization with pollinators, competitive capability, continued persistence of habitat, etc.
- Best Use of Resources:
  - Likely won't be able to protect every individual species
  - Protect Habitat (refugia) and Landscape Connectivity
  - Focus on those plants that are Globally Rare or Disjunct in Vermont
  - Consider Assisted Migration for G1&2 and Disjunct Species.

