

# BULLETIN OF PEONY NEWS

No. 14

SYMPOSIUM NUMBER

Edited by the Secretary of the Society

A. P. Saunders, Clinton, N. Y.



SCHOOL OF AGRICULTURE  
AND EXPERIMENT STATION  
PENNA. STATE COLLEGE  
STATE COLLEGE, PA.

Published by

**THE AMERICAN PEONY SOCIETY**

**May, 1921**

## CONTENTS

---

<b>Officers of the Society and Conditions of Membership</b> -----	<b>1</b>
<b>Symposium on the General List of Peonies</b> -----	<b>3</b>
<b>Advertisements</b> -----	<b>47-48</b>

## OFFICERS OF THE SOCIETY

### *President*

LEE R. BONNEWITZ.....Van Wert, Ohio

### *Vice President*

A. H. FEWKES.....Newton Highlands, Mass.

### *Secretary*

A. P. SAUNDERS.....Clinton, N. Y.

### *Treasurer*

A. H. SCOTT.....Front and Market Sts., Chester, Pa.

### *Directors*

R. T. BROWN.....Queens, Long Island, N. Y.

JAMES BOYD.....Haverford, Pa.

B. H. FARR.....Wyomissing, Pa.

## CONDITIONS OF MEMBERSHIP IN THE AMERICAN PEONY SOCIETY

Membership in the Society is open to both professional and amateur growers. Nomination is not necessary for those desiring admission, but a list of applicants for membership is presented to the Society at its annual meeting and the names are there voted on.

Those who make application for membership at any time receive at once the publications of the Society, so far as they are available.

The dues are \$3.00 a year, but applicants for membership are required to accompany their application, which should be sent to the Treasurer, by a payment of \$5.00 of which \$2.00 is an initiation fee and \$3.00 a payment for their first year's dues. When the application is made before January of any year, the \$3.00 is considered as applying to the current year—June to June—but when the date of application is later than January first the payment is applied to the following year—June to June. Thus anyone making application in May and paying \$5.00 would be clear of dues until after the annual meeting in the next year.

The publications of the Society include the following:

- 1907 A Peony Checklist (in co-operation with Cornell University)
- 1908 Descriptive Bulletin, No. 259  
(with Cornell University; out of print)
- 1909 Proceedings of the American Peony Society  
for the Years 1903—1908 (out of print)
- 1910 Descriptive Bulletin No. 278 (with Cornell University)
- 1911 Descriptive Bulletin No. 306 (with Cornell University)
- 1914 Proceedings of the American Peony Society  
for the Years 1909—1913
- 1915 Bulletin of Peony News, No. 1 (out of print)
- 1916 Bulletin of Peony News, Nos. 2 and 3
- 1917 Bulletin of Peony News, Nos. 4 and 5
- 1918 Bulletin of Peony News, Nos. 6 and 7
- 1919 Bulletin of Peony News, Nos. 8 and 9
- 1920 Bulletin of Peony News, No. 10 (Hollis Number),  
No. 11 (Iris Number), No. 12, No. 13
- 1921 Bulletin No. 14 (Symposium Number)

It is planned for the future to issue four News Bulletins a year. These contain the proceedings of the Society and articles on different phases of peony culture.

## **SYMPOSIUM ON THE GENERAL LIST OF PEONIES**

This is not the first symposium which has appeared in the pages of the Bulletin. The earliest attempt in that direction was a "Symposium on the Newer Foreign Varieties" which appeared in Bulletin No. 3, September, 1916. For this symposium only 19 reports were received. That was too small a number to yield any very conclusive results; but the attempt proved to be interesting, and a more ambitious effort was made in the summer of 1918, when a reasonably complete list of varieties was sent out to our membership. About 45 marked lists were returned, and on the basis of these the results were made up as they appear in Bulletin No. 7, January, 1919.

That tabulation is familiar to all of our older members and has already had some influence on commercial peony culture. Dealers are beginning to use the results there worked out, as a guide to their customers in selecting the best varieties.

The membership of the Society has been growing apace during the last two years, and the time seemed to have come round for a revision of the returns of 1918. Last summer (1920) the same "Rating List" was again sent out, along with a supplementary list which included most of the varieties that had come into commerce during the past few years. The response was very gratifying. More than eighty lists have been received and upon the basis of these, a new tabulation has been made out and is here presented.

A word now as to the method of grading, which has been made as far as possible to conform to that used in the symposium of 1919.

The voting is upon a scale of 10, in which a grade of 10 represents the highest excellence; 9 high quality, though not the highest; 7 to 8 fairly good quality; while anything below 5 is to be considered as not worth cultivating.

No varieties are included in the list below which did not appear in at least three marked lists. It is impossible to base a judgment of any value upon one or two votes of unknown origin.

In the symposium of 1919 it was explained that some few of the returned lists contained fractional votes such as 7.5, 9.5, and so on. That was natural enough. There are varieties which are not entitled to receive a full 10, and which yet seem better than what might be called a typical 9. These fractional votes were an encour-

aging mark of conscientious thought on the part of the growers, but it did not seem necessary to tabulate the fractional votes separately; and so they were "ironed out" in the tabulation, though always taken into account in making up the averages. Thence it came about that the recorded average did not always correspond with rigid mathematical exactness to the votes as they were given in detail in the table.

A more complicated situation had to be met in the present tabulation, for in it there were but few of the lists received which did not contain fractional votes. If the former returns showed conscientious care, what shall we say of the present set, in which we have to deal with votes like 7.2, 7.3, and even 7.25? It was plain at once that these could not all be tabulated; and the following plan has been adopted. The votes in detail are now arranged in columns marked 10, 9.5, 9, 8.5, 8, 7, 6, 5, below 5. Those at 9.5 and 8.5 are very numerous, and it seemed as if they had a real value, and as if a place should be found for them; but when a peony is graded below 8 our interest in a fractional vote grows less. Who cares, for instance, whether a given vote is 6 or 6.5? Even 7.5 seemed unnecessary. Hence no fractional votes are tabulated below 8. Furthermore, the votes below 5 all convey the same message, and who cares to know whether a variety rated below 5 is considered worth 3 or 2? The addition of two extra columns for 9.5 and 8.5 made some compression necessary. Therefore all votes below 5 are grouped together, and the number of them is given in the column so indicated.

With regard to the small fractions, they have been distributed up or down so as to make the smallest possible error in the final average. Thus the variety Princess of Wales has three votes, one at 8.5 and two at 7.5. These are recorded as one 8.5, one 8, and one 7, average 7.8, which is exactly correct. Nigricans received eight votes, two at 7.5, five at 7, and one at 6.5. These are recorded as one 8, six 7's, and a 6. The average from the votes as actually received is 7.1; that from the votes as recorded is 7.0. The error, therefore, even in a case like this is small.

But our desire is not alone to get a true mathematical average from the votes sent in. We want even more than that, to get a just estimate of the variety in question. A recent meeting of the Directors of the Society was called together, partly to consider this symposium, which was then in course of preparation. After a

good deal of discussion as to what could be considered as erratic votes, this guiding principle was laid down: If there is a definite weight of opinion established by a large group of votes, running, let us say, continuously from 10 to 7 or 6, and then one or two votes are cast at 3 or 2, these may safely be considered as erratic, i. e., as due to bad soil, to bad cultivation, or to bad judgment; and they should be eliminated in making up the average. If, however, these votes come from the far south or the far west, the difficulty may be with the climate; in that case they should be included in making up the average, since they then indicate a weakness in the variety for withstanding extreme climatic conditions.

In the case of Eugène Verdier, these votes were cast: Four at 10, two at 9.5, nineteen at 9, four at 8.5, twenty-five at 8, two at 7.5, three at 7, one at 4, and one at 2. The vote at 4 came from Alabama, the 2 from California. These were therefore not eliminated. The variety Volcan received one at 9, three at 8, three at 7, one at 5, two at 3, and one at 0. In this case the vote at 0 came from Ohio, the only other vote from that state being a 7. The 0 is therefore omitted from the average. If this seems to anyone arbitrary, it is always easy to make a new average, since full information is given in each case regarding the omitted votes.

Those who were present at the last annual meeting of the Peony Society will remember that there was some discussion at that meeting regarding the desirability of tabulating the votes by states, in order to determine how various peonies vary in quality in different parts of the country. The tabulation for the present symposium was accordingly prepared separately by states; but the hope of getting conclusive results proved entirely illusory. What is variable is the judgment of the growers. Some mark with a very generous hand; others are niggardly in the extreme. When the total votes are divided up by states, there are found to be very few states in which the number of voters is large enough to outweigh an eccentric voter; in most states he carries undue weight. The total number of voters in the various states is as follows: Ohio, 15; Illinois and Massachusetts, each 9; New York, Pennsylvania, each 8; Ontario, Michigan, Minnesota, each 5; New Jersey, 4; Indiana, 3; Iowa, Kansas, California, each 2; Connecticut, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Quebec, Alabama, Oregon, Missouri, each 1. It is evident enough from these figures that a single erratic mark in any except a very few states will shift the average up or down. As a matter

of fact, the variations are quite as large within a state as between states. (Canadian members will please pardon the allusion to Ontario and Quebec as "states"!)

Take the variety La Tulipe, for instance, which received 57 votes in all. It received two votes in Kansas, one 9, and one 8.5, average 8.8; in Ontario four votes, two 8's, a 6 and a 5, average 6.8; in Indiana, two votes, one 8.5, one 8, average 8.3; in Connecticut one vote, a 6; in Missouri a 5; in Alabama a 9; in California a 9; in Michigan two 10's and a 5, average 8.3. Now it would be absurd to draw from these figures the conclusion that La Tulipe is an excellent variety in California, Alabama, and Kansas; good in Indiana and Michigan; poor in Ontario; and very poor in Missouri and Connecticut.

Even taking the votes by sections of the country does not give any results of value, because again, in all districts outside of the eastern and middle sections, we have to rely too much on individual opinion, and fortunes of situation, soil and culture. If we endeavor to discover differences of fitness of certain varieties for the states from which we have a number of returns large enough to eliminate the effects of individual eccentricity, we find in fact that no such differences of fitness appear to exist, for the averages of a peony in different states are substantially the same. Take again for example the case of La Tulipe in the different states sending in large returns. In New York, one 8, three 7's, two 6's, average 6.8; in Pennsylvania one 10, three 7's, and a 6, average 7.4; in Massachusetts four 8's, one 7, two 6's, average 7.3; in Illinois one 9, one 8, two 7's, and a 5, average 7.2; in Ohio one 9, four 8's, one 7.5, two 7's, three 6's, average 7.2. The general average for the variety is 7.5, and in every state with a fair number of votes, the local average is pretty nearly 7.5. It is in the states with the smaller number of votes that we find the large departures from the general average.

At present, therefore, I consider it hopeless to try to get any returns of value by dividing the votes either by states or sections, though of course we are always interested to hear the experiences of individual growers who have to contend with unusual climatic conditions.

Another suggestion has been made, to the effect that an average of the votes of the Directors might lead to a just appraisal of varieties; but an examination of the Directors' votes does not lead to the conviction that superhuman wisdom is native in the minds



of the officers of the Society. The Directors' votes were indeed gathered into a separate tabulation, but the results are not given here. If reliance was to be put in the judgment of a group of experts, as the officers of the Society are generally considered to be, the soundness of that judgment would be manifested in a substantial agreement among them as to the merits of the different varieties. Now Carmen gets an 8, two 7's, and a 0. If the 8's and 7's show good judgment, then the 0 shows poor judgment. Boule Blanche gets a 9, a 4, and a 0. Where does wisdom lodge in three such votes? These are, it is true, rather exceptional cases; in many others there is substantial unanimity.

But where there is unanimity among the Directors, something like the same unanimity will be found in the voters at large. Thus in the case of Duchesse de Nemours (Calot) there are among the Directors' votes one 9, three 8's, one 7.5, two 7's, average 7.8. The general average from all votes on the variety is 8.1, based on 66 votes. This case is typical; for in general the Directors' votes tend to be more conservative, and thus to give a slightly lower average than that based on all the votes reported.

### SYMPOSIUM No. 2

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL								Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6	5		
Abel Carrière (Verdier, 1831).....	4	5.5	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	1	1	1
Abel Pujol (Calot, 1862).....	3	5.3	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	1	1
Achille (Calot, 1855).....	23	6.3	..	..	..	1	4	6	7	2	3	3
Adelaide Hollis (Hollis, 1907).....	8	7.6	..	..	1	..	3	3	1	..	..	..
Admiral Togo (Hollis, 1907).....	13	7.4	..	..	..	1	6	5	1	..	..	..
Admiral Dewey (Hollis, 1904).....	5	6.3	..	..	..	..	1	1	2	1	..	..
Admiral Schley (Terry).....	3	6.7	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	1	..	..
Adolphe Rousseau (Dessert & Mechin, 1890).....	56	8.5	5	1	21	9	15	4	1	..	..	..
Agnes Mary Kelway (Kelway, before 1898).....	23	6.0	..	..	..	..	2	9	8	1	3	3
Agida.....	8	5.8	..	..	1	..	2	1	2	1	1	1
Aksarben (Rosenfield, 1908).....	14	7.0	..	..	..	..	5	4	5	..	..	..
Alba Sulfurea (Calot, 1860).....	17	6.5	..	..	..	..	2	6	8	1	..	..
Albâtre (Crousse, 1885).....	62	8.7	7	3	26	4	19	3	..	..	..	..
Albert Crousse (Cr., 1893).....	58	8.6	5	5	20	3	23	2	..	..	..	..
Albiflora, The Bride.....	32	8.4	2	..	9	3	16	2	..	..	..	..
Alexandre Dumas (Guérin, 1862).....	35	7.1	1	..	..	1	10	13	8	2	..	..
(The vote at 10 should probably be eliminated as erratic, but the average is thereby only reduced to 7.0).												
Alexandriana (Calot, 1856).....	20	7.1	..	..	..	..	7	8	5	..	..	..

Generated on 2021-07-13 13:08 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/pst.000068507473  
 Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives / http://www.hathitrust.org/access\_use#cc-by-nc-nd-4.0

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL								Below 5
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6	5	
Alfred de Musset (Crousse, 1885).....	19	7.4	..	..	1	1	6	10	..	1	..
Alice (Terry).....	3	6.5	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	1
Alice Crousse (Calot, 1872).....	11	6.4	..	..	1	..	3	..	4	1	2
Alice de Julvécourt (Pelé, 1857).....	34	7.2	..	..	..	2	9	19	2	2	1
(One vote at 2 rejected in making up the average.)											
Alice Roosevelt (Terry, 1903).....	3	5.7	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	1
Alma (Shaylor) Jap.....	14	8.4	1	..	4	2	6	1	..	..	..
Alpha and Omega (Pleas).....	5	6.7	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	2	..
Alpheus Hyatt (Richardson).....	7	7.4	..	..	..	..	3	4	..	..	..
Alsace Lorraine (Lemoine, 1906).....	41	8.8	4	1	24	1	10	2	..	..	..
Altar Candles (Pleas) Jap.....	10	7.5	1	..	3	1	1	1	..	2	1
Amanda Yale (Brand, 1907).....	7	7.8	..	..	1	1	3	1	1	..	..
Amazona (Lemoine, 1899).....	6	6.2	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	2	1
Ambroise Verschaffelt (Parmentier, 1850) .....	8	5.1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	3
(The variety now in commerce under this name is probably the one originated by Calot, 1866.)											
André Lauries, (Crousse, 1881).....	11	6.5	..	..	..	..	2	4	3	2	..
Anemoneflora rubra (Delache, 1854).....	6	5.8	..	..	..	..	1	..	2	3	..
Archie Brand (Brand, 1913).....	23	7.5	1	..	3	1	8	5	3	1	1
A. P. Saunders (Thurlow, 1919).....	5	9.0	..	1	4	..	..	..	..	..	..
Armandine Méchin (Méchin, 1880).....	18	6.9	..	..	..	..	4	10	3	1	..
Armand Rousseau (Des. & Méchin, 1893)	12	6.7	..	..	..	..	3	3	5	1	..
Arsène Meuret (Verdier, 1854).....	3	6.7	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..
Artémise (Calot, 1861).....	9	5.6	..	..	..	..	..	2	3	2	2
Asa Gray (Crousse, 1886).....	59	8.1	1	1	15	8	22	10	1	1	..
Assmanshausen (Goos & Koenemann, 1912) .....	3	8.0	..	..	1	..	1	1	..	..	..
Atrosanguinea (Calot, 1858).....	16	7.3	..	..	..	1	6	6	3	..	..
Attraction (Hollis, 1906).....	8	7.5	..	..	..	2	3	1	2	..	..
Auguste Gauthier, (D. & M., 1890).....	4	5.3	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	2
Auguste Lemonier (Calot, 1865).....	6	6.3	..	..	1	..	1	..	1	3	..
Auguste Miellez (Guérin, 1858).....	5	5.8	..	..	..	..	..	1	3	..	1
Auguste Villaume (Crousse, 1895).....	36	7.3	1	..	3	3	9	11	6	2	1
Augustín d'Hour (Calot, 1867).....	49	7.8	1	1	4	1	28	12	2	..	..
Aunt Ellen (Brand, 1907).....	5	7.2	..	..	..	..	2	2	1	..	..
Aurore (Dessert, 1904).....	38	8.0	2	1	3	2	23	6	..	1	..
Austin Chamberlain, Single, (Kelway, 1905) .....	4	7.5	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
Avalanche (Crousse, 1886).....	56	8.7	5	4	23	5	16	2	1	..	..
Aviateur Reymond (Dessert, 1915).....	13	7.9	..	..	..	3	7	3	..	..	..
Baronne James de Rothschild (Guérin, 1850) .....	5	4.8	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	2
Baroness Schroeder (Kelway, 1889?)....	79	9.0	11	7	43	3	15	..	..	..	..
Bayadère (Lemoine, 1910).....	16	8.7	2	1	7	..	4	2	..	..	..
Beauté de Villecante (Gombault, 1856)..	17	7.0	..	..	1	..	3	9	3	1	..
Beauté Française (Guerin, 1850).....	9	6.5	..	..	..	..	3	2	2	1	1
Beauty's Mask, (Hollis, 1904).....	22	7.9	1	..	5	..	9	5	1	1	..
Bélisaire (Lemoine, 1901).....	13	7.5	..	..	1	..	6	5	1	..	..
Belle Chatelaine (Guérin, 1861).....	6	5.2	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2	2
Belle Douaisienne (Calot, 1861).....	7	6.4	..	..	..	..	1	2	3	1	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Belle Hough (Terry).....	5	7.6	..	..	2	..	1	..	2	..	..
Belle Mauve (Lemoine, 1903).....	13	6.8	..	..	1	..	1	8	2	..	1
Benjamin Franklin (Brand, 1907).....	10	7.6	..	..	4	..	1	2	3	..	..
Béranger (Dessert, 1895).....	9	5.3	..	..	..	..	3	..	2	1	3
Berlioz (Crousse, 1886).....	24	7.0	..	..	1	..	9	6	6	2	..
Bernard Palissy (Crousse, 1879).....	7	6.7	..	..	..	..	2	2	2	1	..
Bertha (Hollis, 1910).....	8	6.3	..	..	..	..	2	3	1	..	2
Berthe d'Hour (Calot, 1869).....	3	4.7	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..
Bertrade (Lemoine, 1909).....	7	8.1	..	..	3	1	1	1	1	..	..
Black Prince (Thurlow).....	8	8.5	..	1	3	1	2	1	..	..	..
Blanche Cire (Reintroduced to commerce, Dessert, 1908).....	11	6.9	..	..	..	1	2	4	3	1	..
Boule Blanche (Crousse, 1892).....	5	5.0	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	3
Boule de Neige (Calot, 1867).....	36	8.0	1	..	8	3	17	5	1	1	..
Brand's Magnificent (Brand, 1918).....	13	8.7	1	2	4	3	2	1	..	..	..
Bridesmaid (Kelway), Syn. Marie Jacquin (Verdier).....	22	8.3	3	..	3	5	7	3	1	..	..
Bunch of Perfume (Kelway, 1901).....	12	7.0	..	..	1	..	2	6	2	1	..
Bunker Hill (Hollis, 1906).....	7	7.6	..	..	..	1	4	1	1	..	..
Buyckil (Guérin, 1840).....	7	5.6	..	..	..	..	1	2	1	..	3
Cameron (Crousse, 1879).....	10	6.3	..	..	..	..	2	3	2	2	1
Camille (Dessert, 1908) Single.....	4	7.3	..	..	..	..	2	1	1	..	..
Canari (Guérin, 1861).....	19	6.9	..	..	2	..	3	8	4	2	..
Candidissima (Calot, 1856).....	26	7.1	..	1	..	..	8	12	3	1	1
Carmen (Lemoine, 1898).....	10	7.2	..	..	..	..	4	5	..	1	..
Carnation (Terry).....	5	5.8	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	2	1
Carnea elegans (Calot, 1860).....	22	7.8	..	..	3	2	11	4	2	..	..
Carnea elegans (Guérin, 1850).....	11	7.1	..	..	..	1	3	4	3	..	..
Carnea triumphans (Guérin, 1852).....	5	6.3	..	..	..	..	1	1	2	1	..
Carnot (Dessert, 1913) Single.....	3	7.7	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	..
Caroline Allain (Guérin, 1855).....	7	6.4	..	..	..	..	1	4	..	1	1
Cavalleria Rusticana (Kelway).....	8	7.7	..	..	..	1	4	3	..	..	..
Cendrillon (Dessert, 1913) Single (Japanese origin).....	3	7.7	..	..	1	..	1	..	1	..	..
Ceres (Verdier, 1860).....	3	7.0	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	1	..
Charlemagne (Crousse, 1880).....	29	5.3	..	..	1	..	2	5	3	8	10
Charles Binder (Guérin, 1860).....	7	6.0	..	..	..	..	1	1	3	1	1
Charles McKellip (Brand, 1907).....	20	7.8	..	..	5	..	10	3	1	1	..
Charles Sedgwick Minot (Richardson).....	10	7.2	..	..	..	..	4	5	..	1	..
Charles Toché (Dessert, 1888).....	4	5.5	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	2	1
Charlotte Cushman (Hollis, 1904).....	8	7.6	..	..	1	1	2	3	1	..	..
Cherry Hill (Thurlow, 1915).....	24	8.6	1	4	9	3	5	2	..	..	..
Chestine Gowdy (Brand, 1913).....	27	8.4	1	2	9	..	12	2	1	..	..
Christine Ritcher (Hollis, 1907).....	3	7.3	..	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	..
Chrysanthemiflora (Guérin, 1842).....	5	5.6	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	1	1
Claire Dubois (Crousse, 1886).....	61	8.7	5	1	25	8	20	2	..	1	..
Clairette, Single, (Introduced by Dessert 1905).....	11	8.5	..	1	6	..	3	1	..	..	..
Clara Barton (Terry).....	7	6.9	..	..	1	..	1	4	..	..	1
Claude Gellée (Lemoine, 1904).....	10	7.5	..	..	..	..	5	5	..	..	..
Claude Lorrain (Crousse, 1884).....	4	6.5	..	..	..	..	1	..	3	..	..
Clementine Gillot (Crousse, 1885).....	8	6.9	..	..	..	..	2	3	3	..	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Olio (Peterson, 1906).....	9	6.5	..	..	..	..	4	2	..	1	3
(The lowest vote, a 0, has been eliminated in making the average.)											
Col. Wilder (Terry).....	3	5.7	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..
Commodore Dewey (Terry).....	4	6.5	..	..	..	..	1	1	1	1	..
Commodore Emge (Brand, 1913).....	8	6.1	..	..	..	..	2	1	3	..	2
Comte de Cussy (Mieliez, 1857).....	3	5.0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	1
Comte de Diesbach (Calot, 1873).....	6	5.8	..	..	..	..	1	4	4	1	1
Comte de Gomer (Calot, 1868).....	11	6.3	..	..	..	..	1	4	4	1	1
Comte de Nanteuil (Calot, 1858).....	8	5.8	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	1	1
Comte de Paris (Guérin, 1842).....	10	5.4	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	4	2
Comtesse O'Gorman (Crousse, 1895).....	3	5.3	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	1
Constant Devred (Calot, 1868).....	27	7.5	..	..	2	2	11	9	2	1	..
Coquelin (Dessert, 1905).....	13	7.2	..	..	..	..	5	6	2	..	..
Coquette (Lemoine, 1914).....	7	7.4	..	..	..	1	2	3	1	..	..
Cornelia Shaylor (Shaylor).....	4	9.6	1	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coronation (Kelway, 1902).....	15	8.2	..	..	6	3	4	..	2	..	..
Couronne d'Or (Calot, 1873).....	67	8.1	1	2	20	5	25	9	5	1	2
Crimson Queen (Terry, 1890).....	12	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	3	6	3	..
Crimson Victory (Rosenfield).....	5	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	2	1	1	..
Curiosity (Dessert & Méchin, 1886).....	8	6.1	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	2	..
Cythereé (Calot, 1856).....	4	6.8	..	..	..	..	..	3	1	..	..
Darkness, Single, (Brand, 1913).....	15	7.1	..	..	3	..	4	3	3	1	1
Daubenton (Crousse, 1880).....	5	6.3	..	..	..	..	2	..	2	..	1
Daybreak (Hollis, 1910).....	3	7.7	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	..
Decaisne (Guérin, 1852).....	7	5.0	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	2	2
De Candolle (Crousse, 1880).....	23	7.2	..	..	1	1	5	11	5	..	..
De Jussieu (Guérin, 1850).....	3	4.3	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	2
Delachei (Delache, 1856).....	43	7.1	..	1	2	2	10	17	8	3	..
Delecourt Verhille (Delecourt Verhille, 1860).....	6	6.0	..	..	..	..	1	3	..	..	2
Delicatissima.....	31	7.6	1	1	3	1	10	11	3	1	..
Denis Helye (Verdier, 1860).....	4	6.3	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	1	1
Descartes (Dessert & Méchin, 1885).....	4	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	1
Directeur Aubry (Crousse, 1897).....	5	6.1	..	..	..	..	1	1	1	2	..
Distinction (Dessert, 1895).....	6	6.4	..	..	..	..	1	1	4	..	..
Dorchester (Richardson, 1870).....	48	7.7	1	1	3	4	18	18	3	..	1
(The one vote below 5 was a 3. The average is made up without it; but including it, the average is changed only to 7.6.)											
Dorothy, Single, (Kelway, 1898).....	3	7.5	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	..
Docteur Boisduval (Guérin, 1850).....	7	7.6	..	..	..	1	3	3	..	..	..
Dr. Bretonneau (Guérin, 1850).....	23	6.9	..	..	..	..	8	6	9	..	..
Dr. Bretonneau (Verdier, 1854).....	26	6.4	..	..	..	..	3	10	10	2	1
Dr. Caillot (Verdier, 1856).....	14	7.2	..	..	1	..	5	4	4	..	..
Dr. Edgar Pleas (Pleas).....	4	6.3	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	1	1
Dr. H. Barnsby (Dessert, 1913).....	9	7.9	1	..	..	2	4	..	2	..	..
Duc Decazes (Guérin, 1850).....	3	6.7	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	1	..
Duc de Wellington (Calot, 1859).....	46	7.8	2	1	..	3	24	15	1	..	1
(The vote below 5, which was a 2, is omitted in making up the average.)											
Duchesse de Nemours (Calot, 1856).....	66	8.1	2	2	11	7	33	10	1	..	..
Duchesse de Nemours (Guérin, 1840).....	8	6.8	..	..	..	..	4	1	1	1	1
Duchesse d'Orléans (Guérin, 1846).....	9	6.1	..	..	1	..	..	2	3	2	1

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Duchess of Portland, Single, (Barr)....	7	7.3	..	..	1	..	2	2	2	..	..
Duchess of Sutherland, Single, (Kelway, before 1898).....	7	7.7	..	..	..	..	5	2	..	..	..
Duchess of Teck (Kelway).....	5	6.7	..	..	..	..	1	2	2	..	..
Duke of Clarence (Kelway).....	5	7.3	..	..	..	..	3	1	1	..	..
Duke of Devonshire (Kelway, 1895).....	12	7.4	..	..	1	..	6	2	3	..	..
E. C. Shaw, (Thurlow, 1919).....	5	9.4	..	3	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
Edmond About (Crousse, 1885).....	5	8.6	1	..	1	..	3	..	..	..	..
Edmond Lebon (Calot, 1864).....	3	8.3	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..
Edouard André (Méchin, 1874).....	24	7.1	..	..	1	11	10	3	6	3	1
(The vote below 5 was a 2, and was omitted in making up the average.)											
Edulis alba (1835).....	4	4.8	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2
Edulis superba (Lémon, 1824).....	66	7.6	2	1	5	23	18	6	2	..	..
Edwin Forrest (Hollis, 1904).....	10	7.4	..	..	..	..	5	4	1	..	..
E. G. Hill (Lemoine, 1906).....	28	7.8	..	..	4	3	15	3	2	1	..
Eglantine (Dessert, 1913), Single.....	5	8.1	..	1	1	..	1	2	..	..	..
Elie Chevalier (Dessert, 1908).....	7	7.3	..	..	1	..	1	4	1	..	..
Elizabeth Barrett Browning (Brand, '07)	29	9.2	10	2	10	2	4	1	..	..	..
Ella Christine Kelway (Kelway, 1899)..	8	7.2	..	..	..	..	3	4	1	..	..
Ella Wheeler Wilcox (Brand, 1907).....	21	7.6	1	..	2	..	10	5	1	2	..
Elwood Pleas (Pleas).....	36	8.7	8	1	7	3	16	..	1	..	..
Emile Lemoine (Calot, 1866).....	8	7.4	..	..	3	1	..	..	4	..	..
Emilie Hoste.....	5	8.1	..	..	1	1	2	1	..	..	..
Emily, Single, (Kelway).....	4	7.4	..	..	1	1	..	..	2	..	..
Empereur Nicolas (Crousse, 1897).....	17	7.1	..	..	..	..	6	7	3	1	..
Enchantment (Hollis, 1907).....	14	7.7	..	..	2	1	7	3	..	1	..
Enchanteresse (Lemoine, 1903).....	36	8.9	4	1	22	1	8	..	..	..	1
(The vote below 5 was a 4, and is omitted in making up the average.)											
Enfant de Nancy (Crousse, 1896).....	5	6.8	..	..	..	..	1	2	2	..	..
Estafette (Dessert, 1910).....	16	7.8	..	..	3	..	8	4	1	..	..
Esther (Terry).....	6	7.7	..	..	1	2	1	..	2	..	..
Etendard du Grand Homme (Miellez, 1855) .....	3	7.0	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	1
Etienne Méchin (Méchin, 1880).....	9	6.1	..	..	..	..	1	2	4	1	1
Etta (Terry).....	32	8.0	1	1	8	..	14	6	2	..	..
Eucharis (Lemoine, 1909).....	15	7.4	..	..	2	2	6	1	2	2	..
Eugène Bigot, Dessert, 1894).....	29	8.3	1	1	8	3	12	3	1	..	..
Eugène Reïgnoux (Dessert, 1905).....	8	7.3	..	..	..	..	4	2	2	..	..
Eugène Verdier (Calot, 1864).....	60	8.3	4	2	19	4	25	4	..	..	2
Eugénie Verdier (Calot, 1864).....	58	8.6	2	2	30	5	15	4	..	..	..
Euphemia (Terry).....	7	8.1	..	..	2	1	2	2	..	..	..
Evangeline (Lemoine, 1910).....	10	8.1	..	..	2	1	5	2	..	..	..
Evening Glow (Hollis, 1907).....	4	8.1	..	1	1	..	1	..	1	..	..
Excelsior (Terry).....	4	6.8	..	..	..	..	1	1	2	..	..
Exquisite (Kelway, 1912).....	13	8.7	..	2	6	1	4	..	..	..	..
Fanny Crosby (Brand, 1907).....	11	7.5	..	..	3	..	2	4	2	..	..
Faribault (Brand, 1918).....	14	8.2	..	..	6	3	3	1	..	..	1
Faust (Miellez, 1855).....	13	6.6	..	..	..	..	3	4	5	..	1
Felix Crousse (Crousse, 1881).....	75	8.4	5	5	19	5	31	9	1	..	..
Ferdinand Stoliczka (Richardson).....	5	7.5	..	..	..	..	3	2	..	..	..
Festiva (Donkelaer, 1838).....	31	8.0	1	2	9	..	11	3	4	1	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5		
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5	
Festiva maxima (Mieliez, 1851).....	84	9.3	31	9	29	4	11	..	..	..	..	..
Fine Lady, Single, (Kelway).....	3	8.0	..	..	1	..	1	1	..	..	..	..
Flag of Truce, Single, (Kelway, 1900) ..	5	6.8	..	..	..	..	1	2	2	..	..	..
Flag of War, Single, (Kelway, 1900) ...	5	7.0	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	1	..	..
Flambeau (Crousse, 1897).....	8	7.1	..	..	..	..	3	3	2	..	..	..
Flashlight (Hollis, 1906) .....	6	7.6	..	..	1	1	1	2	1	..	..	..
Floral Treasure (Rosenfield, 1900).....	39	7.5	..	..	5	2	13	13	5	..	1	..
Florence Nightingale (Brand, 1907)....	18	8.1	2	..	3	1	7	4	1	..	1	..
(The vote below 5 was a 3, and is omitted in making the average.)												
Fragrans (Sir Joseph Banks, 1805).....	19	5.8	..	..	..	..	2	4	5	6	2	..
Fraicheur (Lemoine, 1914).....	4	8.3	..	..	..	3	1	..	..	..	..	..
Frances Shaylor (Shaylor) .....	11	8.7	1	1	5	..	3	1	..	..	..	..
Frances Willard (Brand, 1907).....	31	9.1	9	..	16	3	3	..	..	..	..	..
Francis B. Hayes (Richardson) .....	3	6.3	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..	..
Francois Ortegat (Parmentier, 1850)...	24	6.7	..	..	..	1	6	7	5	5	..	..
Francois Rousseau (Dessert, 1909).....	7	7.3	..	..	..	..	3	3	1	..	..	..
Frank Bramley, Single, (Kelway).....	3	7.3	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..	..
Fulgida (Parmentier, 1850) .....	10	5.7	..	..	..	..	2	4	3	1	..	..
Galathée (Lemoine, 1900) .....	21	8.1	1	..	7	2	7	3	..	1	..	..
G. B. Sowerby (Richardson) .....	8	6.7	..	..	..	..	2	2	4	..	..	..
General Bertrand (Guérin, 1846).....	18	7.1	..	..	1	..	4	9	3	1	..	..
Gen. Cavaignac (Calot, 1858).....	4	6.8	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	1	..
Gen. Custer (Terry).....	7	6.1	..	..	..	..	1	3	1	..	2	..
Gen. Davoust (Crousse, 1898).....	7	7.3	1	..	1	..	..	2	3	..	..	..
Gen. de Boisdeffre Crousse, 1896).....	3	6.7	..	..	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..
Gen. Dodds (Crousse, 1893).....	6	7.2	..	..	..	..	2	3	1	..	..	..
Gen. Grant (Terry).....	4	6.3	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	1	..	..
Gen. Hancock (Terry).....	4	6.5	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
Gen. Hooker, (Terry).....	4	6.5	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
George Hollis (Hollis, 1907).....	6	7.7	1	..	..	..	3	..	2	..	..	..
George Washington (Hollis, 1904).....	15	7.0	..	..	1	1	3	4	5	1	..	..
Georgiana Shaylor (Shaylor, 1908).....	29	8.9	2	8	11	..	7	1	..	..	..	..
Germaine Bigot (Dessert, 1902).....	46	8.5	2	1	17	5	17	4	..	..	..	..
Gigantea (Calot) Syn. Lamartine.....	40	8.2	2	..	10	5	16	6	1	..	..	..
Ginette (Dessert 1915).....	17	8.8	1	3	8	..	4	1	..	..	..	..
Gisèle (Lemoine, 1908).....	7	7.7	..	..	1	..	4	1	1	..	..	..
Gismonda (Crousse, 1895).....	24	8.2	2	..	8	5	5	2	..	1	1	..
Gloire de Chas. Gombault (Gombault, 1866), Dessert, 1896).....	45	7.9	2	..	7	3	22	8	3	..	..	..
Gloire de Chenonceaux (Méchin, 1880) ..	11	7.0	..	..	1	..	1	8	..	..	1	..
Gloire de Doual (Calot, 1860).....	7	6.5	..	..	..	..	2	3	..	1	1	..
Gloire de Touraine (Dessert, 1908).....	19	7.8	..	..	3	3	6	7	..	..	..	..
Glory (Hollis, 1907).....	3	7.3	..	..	..	..	2	..	1	..	..	..
Glory of Somerset (Kelway).....	8	7.8	..	..	2	1	2	2	1	..	..	..
Golden Harvest (Rosenfield, 1900).....	41	7.3	1	..	3	3	13	11	6	4	..	..
Golden Nugget, Jap., (Pleas).....	4	7.5	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..	..
Golden Wedding (Pleas).....	11	5.9	..	..	..	..	1	4	2	1	3	..
Goliath (Hollis, 1904).....	9	7.0	..	..	1	..	2	3	2	1	..	..
Governor Johnson (Brand, 1907).....	5	7.4	..	..	..	..	2	3	..	..	..	..
Grace D. Bryan (Rosenfield, 1908).....	12	6.9	..	..	1	1	..	3	3	3	..	1
Grace Loomis (Saunders, 1920).....	8	9.2	..	..	3	5	..	..	..	..	..	..
Grandiflora (Richardson).....	62	8.8	6	4	29	6	13	4	..	..	..	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL										Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6	5				
Grandiflora carnea plena (Lémon, 1824) ..	77.1	..	..	1	1	1	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..
Grandiflora nivea plena (Lémon, 1824) ..	408.1	2	..	9	121	6	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Graziella (?) ..	137.0	..	..	..	3	7	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Grover Cleveland (Terry) ..	398.2	1	1	9	221	5	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Gypsy (Hollis, 1904) ..	47.5	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
H. A. Hagen (Richardson) ..	137.7	..	..	4	3	5	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
Harriet Farnsley (Brand, 1916) ..	98.2	..	..	2	4	1	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Helen Wolaver, (Brand, 1918) ..	57.9	..	..	1	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Henri Demay (Calot, 1866) ..	176.9	..	..	..	4	10	2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
Henri Laurent (Crousse, 1875) ..	46.8	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Henri Murger (Crousse, 1895) ..	117.4	..	..	1	6	1	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Henry Avery (Brand, 1907) ..	168.5	1	2	4	3	4	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Henry Woodward (Richardson) ..	88.8	1	..	5	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Syn. Grandiflora														
Hercules (Terry) ..	48.1	..	..	..	1	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Heriartiana (Guérin, 1842) ..	55.8	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	2	..
H. F. Reddick (Brand, 1913) ..	97.8	..	..	2	3	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Humei (Anderson) ..	305.7	..	..	1	3	210	9	5	..	..	..	..	..	..
Humei alba (Lémon, 1830) ..	56.8	..	..	1	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Humei carnea (Guérin, 1856) ..	126.5	..	..	1	3	1	4	2	1	..	..	..	..	..
Innocence (Hollis, 1904) ..	68.2	1	..	2	..	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Insignis (Guérin, 1850) ..	85.9	..	..	..	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	..
Irene (Terry) ..	35.0	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	..	..	..	..
Irma (Calot, 1859) ..	67.2	..	..	..	3	2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
Isaac Lea (Richardson) ..	46.0	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
Isoline (Lemoine, 1916) ..	38.5	..	..	1	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
James Blanc (Crousse, 1883) ..	34.7	..	..	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
James Boyd (Thurlow, 1919) ..	69.0	..	3	2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
James Kelway (Kelway) ..	498.7	3	4	22	4	13	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
James R. Mann (Thurlow, 1920) ..	59.6	3	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Jeanne d'Arc (Calot, 1858) ..	477.9	3	..	8	4	17	11	3	1	..	..	..	..	..
Jeanne Gaudichau (Millet, 1902) ..	138.3	..	..	4	1	8	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Jeannot (Dessert, 1918) ..	98.9	1	2	3	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Jenny Lind ..	157.3	2	..	..	5	5	1	1	1	..	..	..	..	..
Jessie Shaylor (Shaylor) ..	88.5	..	2	2	3	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
John Fraser (Verdier, 1861) ..	57.0	..	..	..	1	1	1	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
John Hancock (Hollis, 1907) ..	47.6	..	..	..	1	1	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
John Richardson (Richardson) ..	108.1	..	..	1	1	8	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Joseph Griffin (Pleas) ..	37.3	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Judge Berry, (Brand, 1907) ..	248.6	1	2	10	2	8	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Jules Calot (Calot, 1861) ..	107.2	..	..	1	1	3	3	1	..	1	..	..	..	..
Juliette Dessert (Dessert, 1888) ..	57.5	..	..	1	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Karl Rosenfield (Rosenfield, 1908) ..	578.8	5	5	28	3	14	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kelway's Glorious (Kelway, 1909-10) ..	339.8	24	4	4	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kelway's Queen (Kelway) ..	338.8	5	..	16	3	8	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
King of England. Jap. (Kelway, 1902) ..	188.4	1	..	5	3	7	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Labolas. Jap. (Kelway) ..	97.1	..	..	..	1	2	4	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
La Brune (Verdier, 1860) ..	46.5	..	..	1	..	..	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
La Coquette (Guérin, 1861) ..	206.8	..	..	..	4	9	6	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
Lady Alexandra Duff (Kelway) ..	499.1	17	3	18	2	6	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
Lady Beresford (Kelway, 1895) ..	147.8	..	..	3	5	6	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Lady Carrington (Kelway) ..	67.0	..	..	..	..	6	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Lady Curzon (Kelway, 1901).....	5	7.6	..	..	..	..	3	2	..	..	..
Lady Darmouth (? , about 1850).....	3	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	1
Lady Emily (Pleas).....	8	8.6	..	..	5	1	1	1	..	..	..
Lady Iris (Pleas).....	7	7.9	..	..	3	..	2	1	1	..	..
Lady Gwendolen Cecil (Kelway).....	3	7.3	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..
Lady L. Bramwell (Kelway), Syn. Dr. Bretonneau (Verdier, 1854).....	20	6.0	..	..	1	..	1	3	10	3	2
La Fayette (Dessert, 1904).....	26	7.8	..	..	3	2	14	6	1	..	..
La Fée (Lemoine, 1906).....	27	9.2	4	5	15	1	2	..	..	..	..
La Fiancée, Single, Syn. The Bride. (Introduced by Dessert, 1902).....	23	8.4	2	..	6	2	11	2	..	..	..
La Fiancée (Lemoine, 1898).....	12	8.6	1	..	4	4	3	..	..	..	..
La Fontaine (Dessert, 1893).....	5	6.9	..	..	..	1	1	2	..	..	1
La Lorraine (Lemoine, 1901).....	20	8.6	2	1	12	..	2	2	..	1	..
La Fraicheur, Single (Dessert, 1905).....	3	8.0	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	..	..
La France (Lemoine, 1901).....	42	9.0	9	1	21	4	6	1	..	..	..
La Lorraine (Lemoine, 1901).....	20	8.6	2	1	12	..	2	2	..	1	..
Lamartine (Lemoine, 1908).....	21	8.4	1	..	7	3	9	..	1	..	..
Lamartine (Calot, 1860).....	37	8.3	2	..	10	4	15	6	..	..	..
Le Nuit (Shaylor), Single.....	3	7.7	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	..
La Perle (Crousse, 1886).....	39	8.5	1	1	18	3	12	4	..	..	..
La Rosière (Crousse, 1888).....	44	8.3	1	1	14	7	17	3	..	1	..
La Sublime (Parmentier, 1850).....	3	7.3	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..
La Tendresse (Crousse, 1896).....	35	8.1	..	..	10	5	15	3	1	1	..
La Tulipe (Calot, 1872).....	57	7.5	3	..	5	2	18	14	11	4	..
Laura Dessert (Dessert, 1913).....	22	8.8	2	3	10	5	..	2	..	..	..
La Vestale (Calot, 1870).....	4	7.1	..	..	..	1	..	2	1	..	..
L'Eclatante (Calot, 1860).....	18	7.2	..	..	..	..	6	9	3	..	..
Le Cygne (Lemoine, 1907).....	56	9.9	49	6	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
Le Jour (Shaylor), Single.....	10	8.2	..	..	3	1	4	2	..	..	..
Le Noir (Shaylor), Single.....	5	7.4	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
Leona Kinney (Brand).....	4	7.4	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
L'Etincelante, Single. (Dessert, 1905) ..	17	8.1	1	..	6	..	6	3	1	..	..
Leviathan (Kelway) .....	4	7.0	..	..	..	..	1	2	1	..	..
L'Indispensable .....	40	7.3	..	..	5	..	20	8	3	1	3
Little Gem (Pleas).....	4	7.4	..	1	1	..	..	..	1	1	..
Little Sweetheart (Brand, 1907).....	10	7.0	..	1	1	..	..	..	1	1	..
Livingstone (Crousse, 1879).....	58	8.1	2	..	13	7	27	6	1	..	2
Longfellow (Brand, 1907).....	33	9.0	7	3	15	2	6	..	..	..	..
Lora Dexheimer (Brand, 1913).....	22	8.4	1	..	7	2	11	1	..	..	..
Lord Kitchener, (Renault).....	10	7.6	..	..	..	2	5	2	1	..	..
Lord Rosebery (Kelway, 1895-6).....	4	7.8	..	..	..	..	3	1	..	..	..
Lottie Collins (Kelway).....	4	7.1	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	1	..
Louisa Brand (Brand, 1913).....	9	7.8	..	..	..	2	5	1	1	..	..
Louise Renault (Crousse, 1881).....	9	6.4	..	..	1	..	1	2	3	1	1
Louis van Houtte (Calot, 1867).....	31	6.9	..	2	..	11	6	6	5	1	..
Loveliness (Hollis, 1907).....	26	8.8	5	2	8	3	6	2	..	..	..
Lucie Malard (Crousse, 1879).....	4	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	1
Lucienne, Single, (Dessert, 1908).....	3	8.2	..	..	1	1	..	1	..	..	..
Lucrece (Calot, 1860).....	7	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	4	..	2	..
Lucy E. Hollis (Hollis, 1907).....	9	8.1	..	1	1	1	5	..	1	..	..
Luetta Pfeiffer (Brand, 1916).....	7	8.4	..	2	2	..	1	2	..	..	..



	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Lutea plenissima (Buyck, 1842).....	9	6.4	..	..	..	..	3	1	3	1	1
Lutea variegata (Lémon, 1830).....	3	6.0	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	1
Lynda (Brand, 1907).....	5	7.3	1	..	..	1	..	1	1	1	..
Madame Auguste Dessert (Dessert, 1899)	39	8.6	2	..	18	6	11	1	1	..	..
Mme. Auguste Peltreau (Méchin, 1880)	8	7.1	..	..	..	4	1	3	..	..	..
Mme. Barillet Deschamps (Calot, 1868)..	21	7.8	..	..	5	3	4	7	2	..	1
(The vote below 5, which was a 2, is omitted in making average.)											
Mme. Benoît Rivière, (Rivière, 1911)...	3	8.0	..	..	1	..	1	1	..	..	..
Mme. Bigot (Dessert, 1893).....	5	7.9	..	..	..	1	3	1	..	..	..
Mme. Bollet (Calot, 1867).....	14	7.4	..	..	..	7	6	1	..	..	..
Mme. Boulanger (Crousse, 1886).....	31	7.8	..	2	5	4	12	5	1	1	1
Mme. Bréon (Guérin, 1850).....	14	6.5	..	..	..	2	7	2	2	1	..
Mme. Bucquet (Dessert, 1888).....	42	7.3	..	..	3	2	13	17	3	4	..
Mme. Calot (Miellez, 1856).....	49	8.1	2	..	11	3	26	6	..	1	..
Mme. Camille Bancel (Crousse, 1897)...	18	7.7	..	..	2	..	9	7	..	..	..
Mme. Chaumy (Calot, 1864).....	21	6.8	..	..	1	..	6	8	2	3	1
Mme. Costé (Calot, 1873).....	14	6.5	..	..	1	..	3	4	2	2	2
Mme. Crousse (Calot, 1866).....	36	7.9	..	1	6	1	19	8	1	..	..
Mme. de Galhau (Crousse, 1883).....	40	7.5	1	..	4	2	18	10	1	1	3
Mme. de Govin (Crousse, 1875).....	10	6.3	..	..	..	3	..	5	1	1	..
Mme. de Vatry (Guérin, 1863).....	30	7.7	1	..	3	2	12	10	..	2	..
Mme. de Vernéville (Crousse, 1885).....	60	7.9	1	1	11	4	29	9	2	2	1
Mme. d'Hour (Calot, 1864).....	13	7.7	..	..	2	..	6	4	1	..	..
Mme. D. Tréyéran (Dessert, 1899).....	31	8.2	1	1	9	4	12	1	2	1	..
Mme. Ducel (Méchin, 1880).....	46	7.9	..	..	8	5	23	7	2	1	..
Mme. Emile Dupraz (Rivière).....	4	7.4	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	1	..
Mme. Emile Gallé (Crousse, 1881).....	65	8.5	5	4	22	6	23	2	2	1	..
Mme. Emile Lemoine (Lemoine, 1899)..	50	8.9	9	2	22	5	11	1	..	..	..
Mme. Forel (Crousse, 1881).....	36	7.7	..	..	2	1	22	10	1	..	..
Mme. Fould (Crousse, 1893).....	16	7.0	..	..	1	..	7	4	1	2	1
Mme. Gaudichau (Millet, 1902).....	16	8.8	1	2	6	4	2	1	..	..	..
Mme. Geïssler (Crousse, 1880).....	45	7.9	..	..	7	5	22	10	1	..	..
Mme. Guyot (Paillet).....	6	8.4	..	..	1	3	2	..	..	..	..
Mme. Hervé (Crousse, 1892).....	3	7.0	..	..	..	1	1	1	..	..	..
Mme. Hutin (Crousse, 1892).....	10	7.0	..	..	1	..	2	4	2	1	..
Mme. Joanne Sallier (Paillet).....	8	8.3	..	..	1	3	4	..	..	..	..
Mme. Jules Calot (Calot, 1868).....	4	8.5	1	..	2	..	..	..	1	..	..
Mme. Jules Dessert (Dessert, 1909)....	47	9.4	19	7	18	2	1	..	..	..	..
Mme. Jules Elie (Calot, 1873).....	5	8.6	2	..	1	..	1	..	1	..	..
Mme. Lebon (Calot, 1888).....	29	6.8	..	..	..	7	14	3	5	..	..
Mme. Lemoine (Calot, 1864).....	16	7.4	..	..	..	1	7	7	1	..	..
Mme. Lemoinier (Calot, 1865).....	22	8.0	..	1	3	4	8	6	..	..	..
Mme Loïse mère (Calot, 1863).....	12	7.2	..	..	2	1	2	4	2	..	1
Mme. Manchet (Dessert, 1913).....	11	8.0	..	..	2	3	5	..	..	..	1
Mme. Méchin (Méchin, 1880).....	12	7.3	..	..	1	..	6	2	2	1	..
Mme. Moreau (Crousse, 1881).....	3	6.7	..	..	..	..	1	..	2	..	..
Mme. Moutot (Crousse, 1892).....	5	7.0	..	..	..	..	1	3	1	..	..
Mme. Muysart (Calot, 1869).....	13	7.0	..	..	..	..	5	5	2	..	1
Madam Pleas (Pleas).....	5	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	2	1	1	..
Madame Reignoux (Dessert, 1909).....	3	7.3	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..
Mme. Savreau (Savreau, 1906).....	12	7.9	..	..	3	1	5	2	1	..	..
Mme. Schmidt (Calot, 1873).....	8	6.9	..	..	1	..	..	4	3	..	..

Generated on 2021-07-13 13:08 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/pst.000068507473  
 Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives / http://www.hathitrust.org/access\_use#cc-by-nc-nd-4.0

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Mme. Thouvenin (Crousse, 1881).....	3	7.0	..	..	..	..	..	3	..	..	..
Mme Victor Verdier (Calot, 1866).....	5	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	2	1	1	..
Mme. Vilmorin (Guérin, 1866).....	3	6.3	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..
Madeleine Gauthier, Single, (Dessert, 1908) .....	6	8.5	..	..	2	2	2	..	..	..	..
Mademoiselle Desbuisson (Crousse, 1893)	8	7.8	..	..	1	2	2	2	1	..	..
Mlle. Jeanne Rivière (Rivière, 1908)....	10	8.0	..	..	1	2	5	2	..	..	..
Mlle. Jeane Gaudichau, see Jeanne Gaudichau.											
Mlle. Juliette Dessert (Dessert, 1888) also as Juliette Dessert).....	5	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	3	..	..	1
Mlle. Léonie Calot (Calot, 1861).....	23	8.1	..	..	4	5	10	3	1	..	..
Mlle. Marie Calot (Calot, 1872).....	9	7.4	..	..	1	..	4	2	2	..	..
Mlle. Marguerite Gaudichau, see Marguerite Gaudichau.											
Mlle. Renée Dessert (Méchin, 1880)....	8	6.5	..	..	..	..	1	3	3	1	..
Mlle. Rousseau (Calot, 1886).....	33	8.1	..	..	7	6	17	2	..	1	..
Mlle. Vaillant.....	4	7.6	..	..	..	..	3	1	..	..	..
Magnifica (Mieliez, 1856).....	6	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	3	1	1	..
Marcelle Dessert (Dessert, 1899).....	30	8.2	..	..	8	6	13	2	..	1	..
Marchioness of Lansdowne (Kelway, 1899) .....	7	8.1	1	..	..	..	5	1	..	..	..
Marechal Vaillant (Calot, 1867) Syn. Souv. d'Aug. Mieliez.....	23	7.5	..	..	2	3	5	11	2	..	2
(In making the average the two votes below 5, a 3 and a 2, are omitted.)											
Maréchal MacMahon, Syn. Augustin d'Hour (Calot, 1867).....	18	7.7	..	..	1	1	10	5	1	..	..
Marguerite Dessert. Single. (Dessert, 1913) .....	6	8.5	1	..	1	..	4	..	..	..	..
Marguerite Gaudichau (Millet, 1903)....	14	8.6	1	..	6	2	4	1	..	..	..
Marguerite Gérard (Crousse, 1892).....	56	8.4	3	..	21	8	18	5	1	..	..
Maria Kelway (Kelway) .....	4	7.5	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	1	..
Marie (Calot, 1868) .....	13	8.1	..	1	2	1	6	3	..	..	..
Marie Crousse (Crousse, 1892).....	50	8.9	8	6	27	1	5	1	1	1	..
Marie Deroux (Crousse, 1881).....	7	8.0	..	..	1	..	5	1	..	..	..
Marie d'Hour (Calot, 1883).....	7	7.9	..	..	1	1	3	2	..	..	..
Marie Houllon (Calot, 1869).....	6	6.9	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
Marie Jacquin (Verdier).....	46	8.3	4	..	11	7	18	5	1	..	..
Marie Lemoine, (Calot, 1869).....	67	8.5	4	3	29	3	20	5	3	..	..
Marie Stuart (Calot, 1856).....	22	7.8	1	1	4	..	6	7	3	..	..
Marjorie Allison, Single, (Shaylor).....	4	8.3	..	..	1	..	3	..	..	..	..
Marmontel (Crousse, 1898).....	3	6.7	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..
Marquis C. Lagergren (Dessert, 1911)....	11	8.0	..	..	..	3	7	1	..	..	..
Marquis de Lory.....	5	7.7	..	1	..	..	1	3	..	..	..
Marquise d'Ivry (Calot, 1857).....	4	5.0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	1
Martha Bulloch (Brand, 1907).....	36	9.1	6	10	12	1	7	..	..	..	..
Martha Washington (Hollis, 1909).....	3	8.8	..	..	2	1	..	..	..	..	..
Mary A. Livermore (Hollis, 1907).....	5	7.9	..	..	..	1	3	1	..	..	..
Mary Baker Eddy (Hollis, 1910).....	4	7.5	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
Mary Brand (Brand, 1907).....	27	8.7	2	3	10	5	6	1	..	..	..
Mary Holley (Terry).....	3	6.0	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	2	..
Mary L. Hollis (Hollis, 1907).....	7	7.9	..	..	..	..	6	1	..	..	..
Mary Woodbury Shaylor (Shaylor).....	18	9.4	6	5	5	..	2	..	..	..	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL								Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6	5		
Masterpiece (1895).....	9	7.4					4	5				
Mathilde de Roseneck (Crousse, 1883)...	28	7.9			4	3	14	5	2			
Mathilde Méchin (Méchin, 1880).....	5	6.6						3	2			
Maud L. Richardson (Hollis, 1904).....	21	8.5			7	5	9					
Meissonier (Crousse, 1886).....	28	6.5			1		7	7	8	3	2	
Melanie Henry (Guérin, 1840).....	3	5.7						1	1			1
Meteor, Single, (Kelway, 1899).....	4	7.8					3	1				
Midnight (Brand, 1907).....	22	7.3			3	1	8	4	4	1	1	
Midsummer Night's Dream (Pleas).....	12	8.5	1		5	2	2	2				
Mignon (Lemoine, 1908).....	24	8.7	1		15	1	4	1	1			
Mikado (Barr).....	31	8.6	2		15	2	8	3				
Miles Standish (Hollis, 1904).....	5	7.6					3	2				
Millais, Single, (Kelway).....	5	7.4					3	1	1			
Milton Hill (Richardson).....	63	9.0	10		7	35	1	8		1		1
Minerve, Single, (Dessert, 1909).....	3	7.3			1			1	1			
Mireille (Crousse, 1894).....	33	7.7	3		6	5	5	8	4	1	1	
Miss Henninger (Terry).....	3	6.7						2	1			
Miss Salway (Kelway).....	20	7.8	2		3	2	5	5	2	1		
Mistral, Single, (Dessert, 1905).....	3	7.3					1	2				
Modèle de Perfection (Crousse, 1875)....	43	7.8			8	3	20	8	3			1
Modeste Guérin (Guérin, 1845).....	47	7.8			9	2	21	11	2	1	1	
Monsieur Barral (Calot, 1866).....	13	7.3			1	1	3	5	3			1
(In making the average the vote below 5, a 3, was omitted.)												
M. Bastien-Lepage (Crousse, 1885).....	16	7.1			1	1	5	6	1			2
M. Boucharlat aîné (Calot, 1868).....	22	7.1				3	8	6	3	1	1	
M. Charles Leveque, Syn. Mlle. Léonie Calot (Calot, 1861).....	9	7.6			2	1	1	4	1			1
(In making the average the vote below 5, a 3, was omitted.)												
M. Chevreul (Dessert, 1893).....	7	6.6						5	1	1		
M. de Villeneuve (Verdier, 1855).....	3	6.3						1	2			
M. Dupont (Calot, 1872).....	57	8.3	4		4	19	4	21	5			
M. Gilbrain (Crousse, 1875).....	3	5.7					1			1	1	
M. Hippolyte-Delille (Calot, 1872).....	3	5.0								1	1	1
M. Jules Elie (Crousse, 1888).....	78	9.2	24		6	38	2	7				
M. Krelage (Crousse, 1883).....	21	7.7			5		7	7	2			
M. Martin Cahuzac (Dessert, 1899).....	62	8.8	10		4	26	6	13	2	1		
M. Paillet (Guérin, 1857).....	7	6.3						4	2			1
M. Pasteur (Dessert, 1893).....	4	7.8			2			1	1			
M. Paul du Ribert (Calot, 1866).....	4	6.5					2		1			1
M. Paul Risbourg (Calot, 1869).....	3	4.7									2	1
Mont Blanc (Lemoine, 1899).....	42	8.4	2		5	18	3	12		2		
Moonbeam (Kelway).....	4	7.4			1		1	1	1			
Morning Star (Terry).....	4	6.1	1				1					2
Moses Hull (Brand, 1907).....	5	8.1			1	1	2	1				
Mr. Manning (Kelway, 1895-6).....	9	7.7					3	2	4			
Mrs. A. G. Ruggles (Brand, 1913).....	8	8.2			3	1	2	2				
Mrs. Carew (Brand, 1907).....	9	7.8					4	3	1			1
Mrs. C. S. Minot (Minot, 1914).....	7	9.3	4		2			1				
Mrs. Edward Harding (Shaylor).....	13	9.9	11		1	1						
Mrs. Geo. Bunyard (Kelway, 1898).....	9	8.6			2	3		3	1			
Mrs. John Smythe Fogg (Hollis, 1907)..	5	8.4			2		3					
Mrs. Key (Terry).....	6	7.9			1	3		1	1			

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Mrs. McKinley (Terry).....	9	6.7	..	..	..	..	4	1	3	..	1
Mrs. Pleas (Terry).....	4	6.3	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	1	1
Multiflora (Pleas), Syn. Nellie Pleas, Syn. General Lawton.....	7	7.4	..	..	1	1	2	1	1	1	..
Myrtle (Terry).....	4	6.0	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	2	..
Nana Sahib (Kelway), (Foulard, 1856) ..	3	6.7	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	1	..
Nec plus ultra (Mieliez, 1856).....	12	5.5	..	..	..	..	1	1	4	3	3
Neptune (Dessert, 1905).....	8	7.6	..	..	..	..	6	1	1	..	..
Nigra (Terry).....	3	6.3	..	..	..	..	..	2	..	1	..
Nigricans .....	8	7.1	..	..	..	..	1	6	1	..	..
Nivalis (Buyck, 1840).....	3	4.0	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	2
Nivea Plenissima (Makoy, 1840).....	5	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	1
Nobilissima (Mieliez, 1858).....	11	6.9	..	..	1	..	4	4	..	..	2
Noemie Demay (Calot, 1867).....	8	6.8	..	..	..	..	3	2	2	..	1
Norfolk (Richardson).....	14	7.6	..	..	1	..	8	4	..	1	..
Nymphaea (ThurLOW, 1919).....	8	9.1	1	3	2	1	1	..	..	..	..
Octavie Demay (Calot, 1867).....	42	8.5	2	1	19	7	8	5	..	..	..
Odette (Dessert, 1908).....	15	7.3	..	..	..	4	4	4	1	2	..
Old Silver Tip (Brand, 1918).....	12	7.6	..	..	..	..	9	2	1	..	..
Opal (Pleas).....	22	8.5	1	2	8	2	5	4	..	..	..
Ornement des Massifs (Crousse,, 1893) ..	7	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	3	2	1	1
Pallas (Terry).....	9	8.6	2	1	1	..	4	1	..	..	..
Papaveriflora (Lémon, 1825).....	4	5.0	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	..	2
Paradise (Hollis, 1907).....	3	8.5	..	1	..	..	2	..	..	..	..
Pasteur (Crousse, 1896).....	17	8.0	..	1	2	1	10	3	..	..	..
Paul Fisher (Richardson).....	6	7.2	..	..	1	..	2	2	..	..	1
Paul Verdier (Calot, 1869).....	5	5.8	..	..	..	..	1	..	2	1	1
Pearled Rose (Pleas).....	3	7.5	..	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..
Perfection (Richardson) Syn. Richard- son's Perfection .....	22	8.0	..	..	7	..	9	5	1	..	..
Perle Blanche, Single, (Dessert, 1913) ..	5	8.4	..	..	2	2	..	1	..	..	..
Petite Renée (Dessert, 1899).....	21	6.9	..	..	..	3	5	7	2	3	1
Philippe Rivoire (Rivière, 1911).....	28	9.2	7	2	15	3	1	..	..	..	..
Philomèle (Calot, 1861).....	37	7.7	..	..	3	3	21	7	1	1	1
Phoebe Cary (Brand, 1907).....	18	8.8	3	1	5	4	4	1	..	..	..
Phyllis Kelway (Kelway, 1908).....	16	8.8	1	2	5	4	4	..	..	..	..
Pierre Dessert (Dessert and Méchin, 1890) .....	24	7.6	..	..	1	4	8	10	..	1	..
Pierre Duchartre (Crousse, 1895).....	23	8.2	..	..	5	6	9	3	..	..	..
Pierre Reignoux (Dessert, 1908).....	12	7.6	..	..	1	2	4	3	2	..	..
Pleas' Jubilee (Pleas).....	38	8.9	9	3	15	2	6	3	..	..	..
Plutarch (Kelway).....	9	7.3	..	..	..	1	4	2	1	1	..
Pomponette (Dessert, 1909).....	9	7.4	..	..	..	..	4	5	..	..	..
Pottsi (Potts).....	13	7.2	1	1	..	..	3	5	..	2	1
Pottsi alba (Buyck, 1840).....	6	8.3	..	..	1	3	1	1	..	..	..
Prairie King (Rosenfield).....	3	6.3	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..
President de Montzey (Crousse, 1875)...	4	4.8	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	1
Pres. Roosevelt (Warnaar).....	9	7.5	..	..	..	1	4	3	1	..	..
Pres. Taft, Syn. Reine Hortense (Calot, 1857) .....	27	8.7	2	1	14	3	5	1	1	..	..
Pres. Wilson (ThurLOW, 1918).....	7	9.4	3	1	2	..	1	..	..	..	..
Pride of Essex (ThurLOW, 1916).....	12	8.8	2	1	5	1	2	1	..	..	..

Generated on 2021-07-13 13:08 GMT / https://hdl.handle.net/2027/pst.000068507473  
 Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives / http://www.hathitrust.org/access\_use#cc-by-nc-nd-4.0

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5		
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5	
Pride of Langport, Single (Kelway, 1909-10)	13	8.9	3	..	7	..	2	1	..	..	..	..
Primevère (Lemoine, 1907)	47	8.6	3	6	14	3	20	1	..	..	..	..
Prince de Salm Dyck (Guérin, 1855)	8	4.9	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2	4	..
Prince de Talindyke	10	6.7	..	..	..	2	2	3	..	1	2	..
Prince Imperial (Calot, 1859)	6	6.7	..	..	..	..	2	1	2	1	..	..
Prince of Darkness (Brand, 1907)	19	7.6	1	..	2	1	6	6	3	..	..	..
Princess Beatrice (Kelway)	22	7.4	1	..	2	..	5	11	3	..	1	..
(In making the average the vote below 5, a 3, was omitted).												
Princesse Clotilde	5	6.6	..	..	..	..	1	1	3	..	..	..
Princess Ellen (Terry)	4	7.5	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..	..
Princess Irene (Kelway)	7	7.2	..	..	1	..	3	1	1	1	..	..
Princesse Mathilde, Single, (Dessert, 1908)	6	7.2	..	..	..	..	3	2	..	1	..	..
Princess Maud (Kelway)	5	7.0	..	..	..	..	1	3	1	..	..	..
Princess May (Kelway)	3	7.7	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	..	..	..
Princesse Nicolas Bibesco (Guérin, 1863)	4	5.3	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	1	1	..
Princess of Wales (Kelway)	4	7.6	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..	..
Princess of Wales (Terry)	3	7.8	..	..	..	1	1	1	..	..	..	..
Professor Budd (Terry)	7	7.5	..	..	..	..	5	1	1	..	..	..
Prolifera tricolor (Lémon, 1825)	14	6.9	..	..	..	1	6	..	6	..	1	..
Pulcherrima (Guérin, 1842)	7	6.1	..	..	1	..	..	1	3	1	1	..
Purpurea superba (Delache, 1855)	5	6.8	..	..	..	..	1	2	2	..	..	..
Queen Alexandra, Jap., (Kelway, 1902)	6	7.7	..	..	1	..	4	..	..	1	..	..
Queen Emma	11	7.8	..	..	3	1	2	4	1	..	..	..
Queen of the Pleasance (Pleas)	5	6.3	1	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	2	..
Queen Victoria (Kelway)	15	6.8	..	..	..	..	4	5	5	1	..	..
Rachel (Lemoine, 1904)	7	8.4	..	..	3	..	4	..	..	..	..	..
Rachel (Terry)	14	7.9	1	1	..	1	6	4	1	..	..	..
Ralph (Pleas)	3	8.5	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..	..
Raoul Dessert (Dessert, 1910)	27	9.0	3	5	14	1	4	..	..	..	..	..
Raphael (Méchin, 1882)	9	7.4	..	..	..	..	5	3	1	..	..	..
Red Cross (Hollis, 1904)	3	7.3	..	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	..	..
Red Jacket (Harrison)	3	5.0	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	2	..
Reine Hortense (Calot, 1857)	40	8.7	4	1	18	5	10	1	1	..	..	..
Richard Carvel (Brand, 1913)	36	8.8	5	4	12	2	13	..	..	..	..	..
Richardson's Perfection (Richardson)	19	7.9	..	..	2	4	9	3	..	1	..	..
Rosa Bonheur (Dessert, 1905)	46	9.0	8	7	17	6	7	1	..	..	..	..
Rose d'Amour (Calot, 1857)	11	7.2	..	..	..	..	2	3	3	2	1	..
Rose Here (Brand, 1907)	4	7.4	..	..	..	1	..	3	..	..	..	..
Rose Quintal (Calot, 1857)	3	4.3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	2	..
Rosette (Dessert, 1918)	5	8.9	..	1	3	1	..	..	..	..	..	..
Rosy Dawn (Pleas)	7	7.6	..	..	..	1	4	1	1	..	..	..
Rosy Dawn, Single. (Barr)	4	8.5	..	..	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..
R. P. Whitfield (Richardson)	11	7.8	..	..	..	3	5	2	1	..	..	..
Rubens (Delache, 1854)	5	6.8	..	..	..	..	2	..	3	..	..	..
Rubra superba (Richardson)	43	7.2	..	..	5	2	17	8	5	2	4	..
Rubra triumphans (Delache, 1854)	19	6.7	..	..	1	..	4	4	2	7	1	..
Ruth Brand (Brand, 1907)	19	8.1	2	..	2	1	10	3	1	..	..	..
Ruy Blas (Dessert, 1905)	10	7.1	..	..	..	..	4	3	3	..	..	..
Sainfoin (Kelway)	6	6.8	..	1	..	..	1	2	..	1	1	..
Samuel Henshaw (Richardson)	8	7.3	..	..	..	2	1	3	2	..	..	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Sapho (Lemoine, 1900).....	9	6.8	..	..	..	..	4	3	..	1	1
Sarah (Pleas) .....	5	8.2	..	..	2	..	2	1	..	..	..
Sarah Bernhardt (Lemoine, 1906).....	49	9.0	9	8	19	3	8	2	..	..	..
Sarah Bernhardt, Syn. Umbellata rosea (Dessert, 1895).....	19	7.1	..	..	1	..	6	7	4	1	..
Sarah Carstenson (Terry).....	11	8.6	..	4	3	..	3	..	1	..	..
Sea Foam (Peterson).....	11	5.6	..	..	..	..	2	5	2	2	2
Secretary Fewkes (Shaylor).....	6	9.1	..	3	2	..	1	..	..	..	..
Shabona (Harrison).....	7	6.8	..	1	..	..	..	3	2	1	..
Simonne Chevalier (Dessert, 1902).....	22	7.9	..	..	6	..	11	3	2	..	..
Sir Frederick Leighton (Barr).....	5	7.0	..	..	..	..	2	1	2	..	..
Sir William Harcourt, (Barr).....	4	7.4	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	..	..
Sisters Annie (Brand, 1907).....	9	7.4	..	..	1	1	3	1	3	..	..
Snowball (Hollis, 1907).....	3	7.2	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	..	..
Solange (Lemoine, 1907).....	59	9.7	38	5	15	..	1	..	..	..	..
Solfatare (Calot, 1861).....	34	7.6	2	..	1	1	12	13	4	1	1
(In making the average the vote below 5, a 2, was omitted.)											
Souvenir d'Auguste Mieliez (Calot, 1861)	9	7.3	..	..	..	..	3	6	..	..	..
Souv. de Francois Ruitton (Rivière)....	4	7.6	..	..	..	1	1	2	..	..	..
Souv. de Gaspard Calot (Calot, 1865)...	17	7.4	..	..	1	..	10	4	1	..	1
Souv. de l'Exposition de Bordeaux (Des- sert, 1896).....	20	6.4	..	..	..	..	3	8	5	3	1
Souv. de l'Exp. du Mans (Méchin, 1880) .	7	6.4	..	..	..	..	3	..	1	3	..
Souv. de l'Exp. Universelle (Calot, 1867)	34	7.6	..	..	3	4	17	6	2	1	1
Souv. de Louis Bigot (Dessert, 1913)....	16	8.8	1	3	6	2	4	..	..	..	..
Souv. du Dr. Bretonneau (Dessert, 1880) .	7	7.2	..	..	1	..	2	2	2	..	..
Splendida (Kelway), (? Guérin, 1850)...	15	8.3	..	..	4	4	6	1	..	..	..
Standard Bearer (Hollis, 1906).....	17	8.8	1	2	8	1	5	..	..	..	..
Stanley (Crousse, 1879).....	15	8.1	..	..	6	..	5	4	..	..	..
Stanley, Single, (Kelway, 1890?).....	12	7.9	..	1	1	3	3	3	1	..	..
Stephanie (Terry).....	13	7.8	..	..	2	..	6	4	1	..	..
Sully Prudhomme (Crousse, 1898).....	6	7.7	..	..	..	2	1	3	..	..	..
Sulphurea (Lémon, 1830).....	9	6.6	..	..	1	..	2	..	5	..	1
Summer Day (Kelway, 1895-6).....	9	7.7	..	..	1	2	3	2	1	..	..
Sunbeam, Jap., (Hollis, 1906).....	3	8.3	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..
Supreme (Hollis, 1907).....	3	7.3	..	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	1
Suzanne Dessert (Dessert and Méchin, 1890) .....	24	7.7	..	..	4	2	10	6	1	..	1
Suzette (Dessert, 1911).....	15	8.0	..	..	4	3	4	3	1	..	..
T. B. Terry (Pleas).....	3	8.3	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..
Teniers (Crousse, 1880).....	5	6.4	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	1	1
The Bride (Terry).....	13	6.4	..	..	..	2	1	4	2	2	2
The Bride, Syn. Albiflora, the Bride, Dessert) .....	4	8.5	..	..	2	..	2	..	..	..	..
The Gem (Pleas) .....	7	8.3	..	..	3	1	2	1	..	..	..
The Jewel (Pleas).....	3	8.7	..	1	1	..	1	..	..	..	..
The Moor. Single. (Barr).....	13	8.0	1	..	2	..	8	1	..	1	..
The Nymph (Harrison).....	4	7.1	..	..	..	1	..	2	1	..	..
The Queen. Single. (Kelway, 1902)....	3	8.3	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..
Thérèse (Dessert, 1904).....	71	9.8	55	6	9	..	1	..	..	..	..
Thomas C. Thurlow (Thurlow, 1919)....	4	9.7	3	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..

	No. Votes	Average	VOTES IN DETAIL							Below 5	
			10	9.5	9	8.5	8	7	6		5
Thomas Meehan (Terry).....	5	5.7	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	1	2
Thomas S. Ware (Dessert and Méchin, 1890) .....	3	4.7	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	2
Torpilleur, Single, (Introduced by Dessert, 1913).....	4	7.5	..	..	1	..	1	1	1	..	..
Torquemada (Kelway, 1895).....	6	7.1	..	..	..	..	4	1	..	..	1
Tourangelle (Dessert, 1910).....	49	9.4	17	8	21	1	2	..	..	..	..
Tragedy (Hollis, 1909) .....	3	8.3	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..
Triomphe de l'Exposition de Lille (Calot, 1865) .....	42	7.8	1	..	7	2	24	5	2	..	2
Triomphe de Paris (Guérin, 1850).....	6	6.6	..	..	..	1	1	1	2	..	1
Triomphe du Nord (Miellez, 1850).....	12	6.0	..	..	..	..	2	4	2	2	2
Triumphans gandavensis (1860).....	8	6.8	..	..	..	..	2	5	..	..	1
Umbellata rosea (Dessert, 1895).....	41	7.4	..	..	4	2	12	17	4	2	..
Van Dyck (Crousse, 1879).....	9	6.5	..	..	..	..	2	3	2	2	..
Veloutine, Single, (Dessert, 1908).....	4	7.3	..	..	..	..	2	1	1	..	..
Venus (Kelway).....	50	8.3	2	2	17	7	14	6	2	..	..
Vésuve, Single, (Dessert, 1905).....	7	7.6	..	..	1	..	3	2	1	..	..
Victoire de l'Alma (Calot, 1860).....	5	6.0	..	..	..	..	..	2	2	..	1
Victoire de la Marne (Dessert, 1915)...	16	8.3	2	..	1	2	9	2	..	..	..
Victoire Modeste (Guérin, 1842).....	6	5.8	..	..	..	..	..	3	..	2	1
Victor Hugo (Crousse, 1885).....	9	7.9	..	..	2	1	5	..	..	1	..
Ville de Nancy (Calot, 1872).....	21	7.1	..	..	..	2	9	4	3	2	1
Virginia Cory (Pleas).....	3	7.7	..	..	1	..	1	..	1	..	..
Virginie (Calot, 1858).....	23	7.6	..	1	1	2	9	7	3	..	..
Virgo Maria (Calot, 1859).....	10	6.5	..	..	..	..	2	5	1	1	1
Viscountess Folkestone.....	7	7.6	..	..	..	2	3	..	2	..	..
Volcan (Lemoine, 1898).....	10	6.5	..	..	1	..	3	3	..	1	3
(The 3 votes below 5 are two 3's and a 0. The 0 is omitted from the average.)											
Walter Faxon (Richardson).....	48	9.3	14	6	22	3	3	..	..	..	..
Walter Morgan (Pleas).....	10	8.0	..	1	2	..	5	1	..	1	..
Washington (Guérin, 1850).....	5	6.4	..	..	..	..	2	1	..	1	1
Water Lily, Syn. Marie Jacquin (Verdier)	11	8.4	1	..	3	3	3	..	1	..	..
Waterloo (Kelway, 1908).....	4	7.8	..	..	..	..	3	1	..	..	..
Welcome Guest (Hollis, 1904).....	15	7.9	..	..	2	2	7	4	..	..	..
White Lady, Jap., (Kelway, 1900).....	12	8.5	2	1	5	..	2	1	..	1	..
White Queen, Jap., (Wallace).....	3	7.0	..	..	..	..	1	1	1	..	..
White Swan, Jap., (Pleas).....	4	9.1	1	1	1	..	1	..	..	..	..
Whitley Major.....	8	8.5	2	1	1	1	..	3	..	..	..
Wiesbaden (Goos and Koenemann)....	4	8.0	..	..	1	..	2	1	..	..	..
Wilbur Wright, Single, (Kelway, 1909-10)	4	8.0	..	..	..	..	4	..	..	..	..
Wild Rose (Pleas).....	3	7.7	1	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	..
William F. Turner (Shaylor).....	15	8.4	..	..	5	3	7	..	..	..	..
William Penn (Brand, 1907).....	11	7.9	1	..	3	..	2	4	1	..	..
Winnifred Domme (Brand, 1913).....	10	7.8	..	..	2	1	4	2	1	..	..
Winnikenni (Thurlow).....	7	8.1	..	..	..	2	5	..	..	..	..
Zephyrus (Kelway) .....	7	5.7	..	..	..	..	1	1	3	..	2
Zoé Calot (Miellez, 1855).....	13	7.0	1	..	..	..	3	5	3	..	1
Zoé Verniory (Guérin, 1863).....	7	6.3	..	..	..	..	..	3	3	1	..

## DISCUSSION OF THE RETURNS

The ideal goal of all this labor is to sift our varieties into two classes—the desirables, and the undesirables. It is a process familiar to the chemist as fractional distillation, by which from a bad mixture, a pure liquid may be separated, and the worthless residue thrown away.

We are now in a position where we can consider the averages for a good many varieties as practically fixed, for better or for worse. And looking ahead to a possible later symposium in a few years, it seems to me that we may safely omit from the list then to be judged, a considerable proportion of the varieties that have been included in the present list.

I should propose that we omit from any further symposium the following three groups of varieties:

1. Those which have obtained more than twenty votes in the present symposium and in the one of 1919, and for which the averages are practically the same in both.
2. Varieties of earlier date than 1900 ranking at 6 or below on whatever votes were reported.
3. Varieties on which no votes were received in the present symposium.

Group 1. The varieties receiving twenty or more votes in both symposiums are here given. Such kinds, where the averages do not differ by more than two-tenths, may be considered as definitely placed and removed from the necessity of further discussion.

Hence in the following list all sorts except those marked with an asterisk are to be considered as finally disposed of. There are fifty such. It is surprising to see how the averages agree in many of them, and it gives one a feeling of confidence in the soundness of this method of arriving at an estimate of the worth of a peony to find, for instance, Milton Hill at 9.0 with 24 votes in the first symposium, and in this symposium with 63 votes, still at 9.0. There are many similar cases.

	1919		1921	
Variety	Votes	Average	Votes	Average
Adolphe Rousseau.....	25	8.4	56	8.5
Albâtre .....	25	8.8	62	8.7
Albert Crousse.....	28	8.5	58	8.6
Alsace-Lorraine .....	20	8.7	41	8.8
*Asa Gray.....	27	8.6	59	8.1
*Augustin d'Hour.....	20	8.2	49	7.8
Aurore .....	21	8.1	38	8.0



Variety	1919		1921	
	Votes	Average	Votes	Average
Avalanche	23	8.8	56	8.7
Baroness Schroeder	33	9.1	79	9.0
Claire Dubois	30	8.5	61	8.7
Couronne d'Or	35	7.9	67	8.1
Delachei	20	7.3	43	7.1
Dorchester	22	7.9	48	7.7
*Duc de Wellington	26	7.4	46	7.8
Duchesse de Nemours	32	8.3	66	8.1
*Edulis Superba	31	7.9	66	7.6
Eugène Verdier	27	8.3	60	8.3
Eugénie Verdier	21	8.5	58	8.6
Felix Crousse	34	8.3	75	8.4
Festiva Maxima	40	9.3	84	9.3
*Floral Treasure	20	7.2	39	7.5
Germaine Bigot	24	8.4	46	8.5
Gloire de Chas. Gombault	25	7.9	45	7.9
Golden Harvest	24	7.3	41	7.3
Grandiflora	24	8.6	62	8.8
James Kelway	22	8.7	49	8.7
Jeanne d'Arc	23	7.8	47	7.9
Karl Rosenfield	22	8.9	57	8.8
Lady Alexandra Duff	20	9.3	49	9.1
*La France	20	9.3	42	9.0
La Tendresse	20	8.2	35	8.1
La Tulipe	30	7.6	57	7.5
Le Cygne	23	9.7	56	9.9
*Livingstone	30	8.4	58	8.1
Mme. Auguste Dessert	21	8.5	39	8.6
*Mme. Bucquet	22	7.6	42	7.3
*Mme. Calot	23	8.4	49	8.1
Mme. Crousse	21	7.9	36	7.9
*Mme. de Galhau	20	7.9	40	7.5
Mme. de Vernéville	30	7.9	60	7.7
Mme. Ducel	27	8.0	46	7.9
Mme. Emile Gallé	32	8.5	65	8.5
Mme. Emile Lemoine	28	9.1	50	8.9
Mme. Forel	20	7.9	36	7.7
Mme. Geissler	22	8.0	45	7.9
Marguerite Gérard	26	8.6	56	8.4
Marie Crousse	23	9.0	50	8.9
Marie Jacquin	23	8.2	46	8.3
Marie Lemoine	36	8.6	67	8.5

Variety	1919		1921	
	Votes	Average	Votes	Average
Milton Hill	24	9.0	63	9.0
Modeste Guérin	24	8.0	47	7.8
M. Dupont	24	8.4	57	8.3
M. Jules Elie	33	9.2	78	9.2
M. Martin Cahuzac	23	8.7	62	8.8
*Mont Blanc	23	8.7	42	8.4
*Primevère	20	8.2	47	8.6
Rosa Bonheur	22	8.9	46	9.0
Rubra Superba	26	7.3	43	7.2
Sarah Bernhardt	23	9.2	49	9.0
Solange	23	9.7	59	9.7
Thérèse	32	9.7	71	9.8
*Triomphe de l'Exposition de Lille	21	8.2	42	7.8
*Umbellata Rosea	23	6.9	41	7.4
Venus	26	8.5	50	8.3

It is interesting to notice that some few varieties show a strong tendency to "come up", notably Primevère and Duc de Wellington; whereas others show quite as marked a tendency downwards. Look, for instance, at Asa Gray, Mme. de Galhau, and Triomphe de l'Exposition de Lille. Is our taste improving, or are some of the older varieties being superseded; or is there some other explanation for such changes of level?

Group 2. Varieties earlier than 1900 showing an average of 6 or below.

At the meeting of the Directors last December, the following resolution was adopted:

"The Directors recommend to growers that varieties introduced before 1900 and rating at 6 or below in this symposium should not be further propagated. Also that the ratings of this symposium together with the name of the introducer and date of introduction should be given, along with the descriptions, for all varieties offered by dealers in their catalogues."

It was not deemed necessary to restrict this group to varieties receiving any fixed number of votes, for it is safe to assume that a variety dating back as far as 1900, if it had merit, would have found its way into somewhat general cultivation by this time.

With regard to the dates of introduction, we are on easy ground so far as the older French sorts are concerned—the varieties of Verdier, Guérin, and others; but with the American sorts, the

problem is more difficult. Many of the Terry varieties, for instance, are found in this list. I have so far been unable to find dates for these. Some of them are offered in the first edition of Harrison's Peony Manual, 1904, and they were probably introduced to commerce some time before that date. But how long before? If any of our members have copies of Terry's Catalogues for 1900 or any earlier dates, they would confer a great favor by sending such to the Secretary's office for a time. No such lists exist among the papers of the Society.

The date of Crimson Queen is given as 1890 in a manuscript list included among the papers of the Society under the title "List of Peonies Grown by H. C. Warren, Forestburg, S. D." This was one of the lists sent in to Mr. Ward or Mr. Fewkes in the earlier years of the Society's existence. It was probably made up in 1902 or 1903, and I should think this date for Crimson Queen might be accepted as correct. There is among the Society's papers another manuscript list entitled "Manning's Descriptive List of Peonies, 1897", which has been of use in fixing some few dates. In addition to these, the Checklist published by Cornell University in 1907 has proved of service.

Perhaps the best way of meeting the situation presented by the varieties listed below is to divide them into two groups; first, those of ascertained date, to all of which the Directors' recommendation is applicable forthwith; and, second, those whose date is still uncertain, and regarding which later action should be taken. So far as it may prove possible to determine dates of introduction for these latter sorts, they can be dealt with in future issues of the Bulletin, under the principle laid down by the Directors; but for those whose date remains unknown, some later definite action should be taken.

Varieties of known date, the propagation of which should under the Directors' recommendation be discontinued, are as follows:

Variety	Votes	Average
Abel Carrière (Verdier, 1861) -----	4	5.5
Abel Pujol (Calot, 1862) -----	3	5.3
Agnes Mary Kelway (Kelway, before 1890) -----	23	6.0
Alba formosa (Lémon, 1830) -----	1	5.0
Alba plena (1830?) -----	1	6.0
Ambroise Verschaffelt ( Parmentier, 1850, or Calot, 1866 -----	8	5.1
Anemoneflora rubra (Delache, 1854) -----	6	5.8
Artémise (Calot, 1861) -----	9	5.6
Auguste Gauthier (Dessert, 1890) -----	4	5.3
Auguste Miellez (Guérin, 1858) -----	5	5.8

Variety	Votes	Average
Autumnus, Single, (Kelway, before 1891)	2	6.0
Baronne J. Rothschild (Guérin, 1850)	5	4.8
Belle Chatelaine (Guérin, 1861)	6	5.2
Beranger (Dessert, 1895)	9	5.3
Berthe d'Hour (Calot, 1859)	3	4.7
Blanche Dessert (Dessert, 1888)	2	5.5
Bossuet (Miellez, 1858)	1	4.0
Boule Blanche (Crousse, 1892)	5	5.0
Buyckii (Guérin, 1840)	7	5.6
Camille Calot (Calot, 1858)	1	6.0
Carlotta Grisi (Calot, 1856)	2	4.5
Carnea alba (Guérin, 1850)	1	5.0
Charlemagne (Crousse, 1880)	29	5.3
Charles Binder (Guérin, 1860)	7	6.0
Charles de Belleyme (Verdier, 1860)	2	4.5
Charles Toché (Dessert, 1888)	4	5.5
Christophe Archard (Before 1898, Checklist)	1	6.0
Chrysanthemiflora (Guérin, 1842)	5	5.6
Comte de Cussy (Miellez, 1857)	3	5.0
Comte de Diesbach (Calot, 1873)	6	5.8
Comte de Nanteuil (Calot, 1858)	8	5.8
Comte d'Osmont (Calot, 1856)	2	5.0
Comte de Paris (Guérin, 1842)	10	5.4
Comtesse O'Gorman (Crousse, 1895)	3	5.3
Crimson Queen (Terry, 1890; Warner ms.)	12	6.0
Cyclops (Kelway, before 1888)	1	5.0
Decaisne (Guérin, 1852)	7	5.2
de Jussieu (Guérin, 1850)	3	4.3
Delecourt Verhille (Del. Ver., 1860)	6	6.0
Descartes (D. and M., 1885)	4	6.0
Don Juan (Kelway, before 1895)	2	6.0
Docteur Andry (Calot, 1864)	1	5.0
Duchesse de Thèbes (Foulard, 1856)	2	4.5
Duguesclin (Miellez, 1857)	2	5.0
Edulis alba (1835)	4	4.8
Fragrans (Anderson, 1805)	19	5.8
Fulgida (Parmentier, 1850)	10	5.7
General Bedeau (Calot, 1860)	1	4.0
Gen. Lawton (Pleas, 1899)	2	5.5
Grizel Muir (Kelway, before 1895)	1	6.0
Heriartiana (Guérin, 1842)	5	5.8
Humei (Anderson, 1810)	30	5.7
Insignis (Guèrin, 1850)	8	5.9

Variety	Votes	Average
James Blanc (Crousse, 1883)	3	4.7
Josephine, Single (Kelway, before 1897)	1	5.0
Jupiter, Single, (Kelway, before 1897)	1	5.0
Lady Darmouth (Old var., 1850?)	3	6.0
Lady L. Bramwell (Kelway, before 1895)	20	6.0
La Fraicheur (Guérin, 1861)	1	4.0
La Quintinie (Verdier, 1860)	1	6.0
L'Avenir (Calot, 1868)	1	5.0
Ligulata (Lémon, 1830)	2	6.0
Limosel (Kelway, 1898)	2	6.0
Linné (Verdier, 1860)	2	6.0
Louise d'Estrées (Verdier, 1855)	1	5.0
Lucie Malard (Crousse, 1879)	4	6.0
Lutea variegata (Guérin, 1842)	3	6.0
Lutetiana (Guérin, 1840)	1	4.0
Mme. de Bollemont (Crousse, 1892)	2	5.0
Mme. de Guerle (Crousse, 1883)	2	6.0
Marie Louise (Calot, 1857)	2	5.5
Marquise d'Ivry (Calot, 1857)	4	5.0
Melanie Henry (Guérin, 1840)	3	5.7
Michelet (Crousse, before 1900)	2	6.0
Monsieur Galland (Crousse, 1875)	2	4.5
Monsieur Gilbrain (Crousse, 1875)	3	5.7
Monsieur Hippolyte Delille (Calot, 1872)	3	5.0
Monsieur Malet (Guérin, 1863)	2	5.0
Monsieur Marsaux (Verdier, 1861)	2	4.5
Monsieur Paul Risbourg (Calot, 1869)	3	4.7
Nec plus ultra (Miellez, 1856)	12	5.5
Nivalis (Buyck, 1840)	3	4.0
Nivea plenissima (Makoy, 1840)	5	6.0
Noémie (Foulard, 1850)	2	5.0
Ornement des Massifs (Crousse, 1893)	7	6.0
Paganini (Guérin, 1845)	2	6.0
Papaveriflora (Lémon, 1825)	4	5.0
Paul Verdier (Calot, 1869)	5	5.8
Phrynnée (1850?)	1	6.0
Plenissima rosea superba (Buyck, 1840)	2	4.5
Pompon Chamois (Verdier, 1860)	2	6.0
President de Montzey (Crousse, 1875)	4	4.8
Prince de Salm Dyck (Guérin, 1855)	8	4.9
Prince Pierre Troubetskoi (Verdier, 1857)	2	4.0
Princesse Galitzin (Guérin, 1858)	2	5.0
Princesse N. Bibesco (Guérin, 1863)	4	5.3

Variety	Votes	Average
René Potard (Béchet, 1882) -----	1	4.0
Rosa Magna (1856?) -----	1	6.0
Rosea elegans (Guérin, 1859) -----	1	5.0
Rose Quintal (Calot, 1857) -----	3	4.3
Tessa (Kelway, 1897) -----	1	5.0
Thomas S. Ware (Dessert & Méchin, 1890) -----	3	4.7
Triomphe du Nord (Miellez, 1850) -----	12	6.0
Vesta, Single, (Kelway, before 1897) -----	1	4.0
Vicomte de Forceville (Calot, 1864) -----	2	5.0
Victoire de l'Alma (Calot, 1860) -----	5	6.0
Victoire Lemon (Miellez, 1858) -----	1	6.0
Victoire Modeste (Guérin, 1842) -----	6	5.8
Victor Durufle, (old variety, probably synonym of Lutea plenissima, 1842) -----	1	6.0
Victor Lemoine (Calot, 1866) -----	2	5.0
Zephyrus (Kelway, before 1895) -----	7	5.7

There are 111 varieties in the above list. If we can accomplish the elimination of these from commerce and from consideration we shall have made a first step toward that much-desired end, the banishing of the old inferior stuff from our gardens and eventually from cultivation.

There is a doubt as to what we should do with the variety Lady L. Bramwell which secured an average of 6.0, while Dr. Bretonneau (Verdier), which is considered a synonymous variety, obtained an average of 6.4. This question will probably best be left undecided for the present, but it is open for discussion.

There follows now the second list, including varieties many of which would probably come under the recommendation of the Directors if the dates of introduction could be found:

Adela (Terry) -----	2	5.0
Adelphia (Terry) -----	1	6.0
Alice Roosevelt (Terry) -----	3	5.7
Blanche Turner (Terry) -----	1	4.0
Brightness (Terry) -----	1	6.0
Carnation (Terry) -----	5	5.8
Cold Slaw (Peterson) -----	2	6.0
Colonel Wilder (Terry) -----	3	5.7
Crown Jewel (Terry) -----	2	6.0
Fairy Queen (Terry) -----	1	5.0
General Miles (Terry) -----	1	6.0
General Sheridan (Terry) -----	1	6.0

Variety	Votes	Average
Golden Wedding (Pleas)	11	5.9
Hulda (Terry)	1	6.0
Irene (Terry)	3	5.0
Isaac Lea (Richardson)	4	6.0
Jupiter (Terry)	1	5.0
Lucretia (Terry)	1	6.0
Mlle. Cimochovska (Millet)	1	4.0
Mary Holley (Terry)	3	6.0
Maud Hutchinson (Terry)	1	6.0
Mazie Terry (Terry)	1	5.0
Monarch (Terry)	1	6.0
Mrs. Bradley (Terry)	1	6.0
Mrs. English (Terry)	1	6.0
Mrs. Frank (Terry)	2	5.5
Mrs. Roosevelt (Terry)	1	5.0
Mrs. Vick (Terry)	1	5.0
Mrs. Waite (Terry)	1	6.0
Myrtle (Terry)	4	6.0
Nellie (Terry)	1	5.0
Neptune (Terry)	1	6.0
Nettie Hutchinson (Terry)	1	6.0
Peterson (Terry)	1	4.0
President Wilder (Ellwanger and Barry)	2	5.0
Red Jacket (Harrison)	3	5.0
Reine d'Amour (?)	1	6.0
Rhoda (Terry)	2	6.0
Sea Foam (Peterson)	11	5.6
Thomas Meehan (Terry)	5	5.7
Zenobia (Terry)	1	6.0

It is planned to devote an issue of the Bulletin, within the next year or two, to the Terry varieties. When this is done, it may be possible to assign dates for a good many of his introductions. Meanwhile our members who have Terry varieties under observation will perhaps be kind enough to give them a little special attention during the coming season or two.

Group 3. Those receiving no votes in the present symposium; varieties which may therefore be considered as no longer in cultivation in this country. Some few in this list received a single vote in the symposium of 1919, but these are rare exceptions, and the vote then indicated was in many cases low; hence it is a fair assumption that such varieties have for the most part been discarded by those who were growing them.

Adam Bede (Kelway)  
 Antares (Brand)  
 Anna Swanson (Terry)  
 Antietam (Hollis)  
 Antoine Poiteau (Guérin)  
 Arcadia (Hollis)  
 Aristocrat (Hollis)  
 Belle of York (Harrison)  
 Bloodgood (Hollis)  
 Booker Washington (Hollis)  
 Cardinal (Terry)  
 Cecil Adams (Terry)  
 Charles Sumner (Hollis)  
 Christabel (Kelway)  
 Cinderella (Hollis)  
 Clara Hersey (Hollis)  
 Cleopatra (Kelway)  
 Columbia (Hollis)  
 Columbus (Kelway)  
 Conqueror (Hollis)  
 Continental (Hollis)  
 Crown of Thorns (Hollis)  
 Daniel d'Albert (?)  
 Daydream (Hollis)  
 Delilah (Terry)  
 Disraeli (Kelway)  
 Dorothy Eckling (?)  
 Dr. Lindley (Terry)  
 Dora Jensen (Terry)  
 Eastern Beauty (Hollis)  
 Edison (Brand)  
 Edwin Booth (Hollis)  
 Eldred (Terry)  
 Electric (Kelway)  
 Electric Light (Terry)  
 Ella Adams (Terry)  
 Elsie Lapworth (Terry)  
 Emerson (Brand)  
 E. P. Wheeler (Hollis)  
 Eunice Ross (Terry)  
 Favorite (Hollis)  
 Favorite (Terry)  
 Fay Hough (Terry)  
 Florence (Terry)  
 Florence Brewer (Terry)  
 Floy Frank (Terry)  
 General Canby (Terry)  
     " Joseph Warren (Hollis)  
     " Kuroki (Hollis)  
     " Schofield (Terry)  
     " Sherman (Terry)  
 Gertie Webster (Terry)  
 Gettysburg (Hollis)  
 Glory (Brand)  
 Goodness (Hollis)  
 Goodspeed (Hollis)  
 Gov. Curtis Guild (Hollis)  
     " Eben Draper (Hollis)  
     " John A. Andrew (Hollis)  
     " John D. Long (Hollis)  
 Grace Currie (Terry)  
 Grace Hough (Terry)  
 Happy Day (Hollis)  
 Harrison (Terry)  
 Hebe (Terry)  
 Helen Robertson (Terry)  
 Herman (Terry)  
 Hity Tity (Hollis)  
 Homer (Kelway)  
 Honeymoon (Hollis)  
 Howard (Terry)  
 Humpty Dumpty (Hollis)  
 J. C. Thurlow (Hollis)  
 Jenny Blake (Terry)  
 Jessie Kelly (Terry)  
 Jules Lebon (Calot)  
 Julia Ward Howe (Hollis)  
 Juno (Terry)  
 Keepsake (Hollis)  
 Kindness (Hollis)  
 King David (Hollis)  
 King of Peace (Hollis)  
 Lady Pocock (Kelway)  
 Lady Romilly (Kelway)  
 Laura (Terry)  
 Laurence (Lemoine)  
 Legal Tender (Terry)  
 Lena Hough (Terry)



- Léonie (Miellez)  
Lew Wallace (Hollis)  
L'illustration (Guérin)  
Lizzie (Terry)  
Lizzie Lapworth (Terry)  
Lizzie Mason (Terry)  
Lord Morley (Kelway)  
Lottie (Terry)  
Lullaby (Hollis)  
Mabel (Terry)  
Mme. Bénard (Verdier)  
Mme. Raguét (Calot)  
Maggie Bradley (Terry)  
Maggie Mitchell (Terry)  
Maid of Honor (Hollis)  
Maie Yocum (Terry)  
Mamie Cutler (Terry)  
Mamie Hough (Terry)  
Mars (Terry)  
Martha (Terry)  
Mary Anderson (Pleas)  
Mary Pratt (Terry)  
Mary Smith (Terry)  
Massachusetts (Hollis)  
Maud Smith (Terry)  
Merveilleuse (?)  
Mid-day (Hollis)  
Millie (Terry)  
Minnie Kelly (Terry)  
Minnie Larson (Terry)  
Miss Ida Chamberlain (?)  
Modesty (Kelway)  
Mrs. Beerbohm Tree (Kelway)  
    " Bostwick (Terry)  
    " Bryant (Terry)  
    " Burke (Terry)  
    " Cleveland (Terry)  
    " Douglas (Terry)  
    " Edith Forrest (Hollis)  
    " Fletcher (Terry)  
    " Frances Arnold (Hollis)  
    " Rice (Terry)  
    " Tulleys (Terry)  
    " Willard (Terry)  
Myrtle Hough (Terry)  
Nance O'Neal (Hollis)  
Nellie Brochet (Brochet)  
Nico (Kelway)  
Nominata (Kelway)  
Novelty (Terry)  
Olive Logan (Terry)  
Our American Cousin (Hollis)  
Patrick Henry (Hollis)  
Paul Revere (Hollis)  
Pavillon (Lemoine)  
Peter Faneuil (Hollis)  
Pleasure (Hollis)  
Prince Alert (Hollis)  
Prof. Morse (Terry)  
Prosperity (Hollis)  
Queen Bess (Terry)  
Queen's Perfection (?)  
Rebecca (Terry)  
Red Riding Hood (Hollis)  
Reform (Kelway)  
Reine des Francais (Guérin)  
Renommée (Crousse)  
Ringleader (Hollis)  
Rosabelle (Hollis)  
Rossini (Kelway)  
Ruby Queen (Hollis)  
Sada Evans (Terry)  
Sada Walker, (Terry)  
Satisfaction (Hollis)  
Seminola (Hollis)  
Seraph (Terry)  
Signal Light (Hollis)  
Skidoo (Hollis)  
Sophie (Terry)  
South Weymouth (Hollis)  
Souvenir de Louis Paillet  
    (Brochet)  
Stella (Terry)  
Stella Harding (Terry)  
Success (Hollis)  
Sultane (Lemoine)  
Sunray (Hollis)  
Sunrise (Hollis)

Surprise (Terry)  
Sweet Home (Hollis)  
Tena Kinney (Terry)  
Thelma Adams (Terry)  
Thomas Keen (Hollis)  
Tillie (Terry)  
Toreador (Hollis)  
True Love (Hollis)  
Uncle Sam (Hollis)  
Unique (Terry)  
Usona (Hollis)

Valencha (Terry)  
Venus (Terry)  
Victor (Terry)  
Ville de Poissy (Courant)  
Wagner (Brand)  
White Rock (Hollis)  
Witch (Hollis)  
Youth (Hollis)  
Zella Mangold (Terry)  
Zest (Hollis)

The complaint has often been made that our Society was not taking active steps to eliminate the worthless kinds of peonies. Here is the first step taken. There are about 350 varieties in the last two groups alone. If the peony-growing public will second our efforts, it will be possible to cut down the next symposium by the elimination of at least one-third of the varieties included in the present one. Unfortunately, at the rate at which new seedlings are now being put on the market, a considerable part of this gain will be lost to us within the next few years. In 1920 there were more than 30 new varieties of peonies offered by American growers alone. There is no likelihood that very many of these are improvements on what we already possess. It is a pity that we have not yet devised some way by which the weight of the collective opinion of peony growers could be brought to bear on the ill-considered introduction of new sorts.

We now proceed to take the list from the top downwards. This is a more cheerful task than that of elimination.

The following graded list, arranged in the order of merit, is divided into two parallel columns; the first contains the varieties whose average rests on more than 20 votes in the present symposium, and whose position may therefore be considered as fixed, or at least not likely to vary much in the future; the second column includes those receiving less than 20 votes, whose position is therefore still open to question, though even in this column the average probably gives a fairly accurate indication of merit.

After the name of each variety there follows a figure indicating the number of votes on which the average is based. For those who wish to buy conservatively, but to have the best, the first column will be a useful guide to selection of kinds. It represents the consensus of opinion on all varieties in general cultivation.

For others who may wish to take a "flyer" in some of the new things, the second column offers attractive suggestions.

These two columns give all the peonies whose average is 8 or

better, and certainly include almost everything now in commerce that is of high quality, with the exception of a few very recent sorts for which not even three votes were forthcoming.

		Average Based On	
Grade	20 or More Votes	Less Than 20 Votes	
9.9	Le Cygne, 56	Mrs. Edward Harding, 13	
9.8	Kelway's Glorious, 33 Thérèse, 71		
9.7	Solange, 59	Thomas Thurlow, 4	
9.6		Cornelia Shaylor, 4	
9.4	Mme. Jules Dessert, 47 Tourangelle, 49	Mary W. Shaylor, 18 Pres. Wilson, 7 E. C. Shaw, 5	
9.3	Festiva maxima, 84 Walter Faxon, 48	Mrs. C. S. Minot, 7	
9.2	E. B. Browning, 29 La Fée, 27 M. Jules Elie, 78 Philippe Rivoire, 28	Grace Loomis, 8 James R. Mann, 5	
9.1	Frances Willard, 31 Lady A. Duff, 49 Martha Bulloch, 36	Secretary Fewkes, 6 White Swan, 4 Nymphaea, 8	
9.0	Baroness Schroeder, 79 La France, 42 Longfellow, 33 Milton Hill, 63 Raoul Dessert, 27 Rosa Bonheur, 46 Sarah Bernhardt, 49	A. P. Saunders, 5 James Boyd, 6	
8.9	Georgiana Shaylor, 29 Mme. E. Lemoine, 50 Marie Crousse, 50 Pleas' Jubilee, 38	Jeannot, 9 Pride of Langport, 13 Rosette, 5	
8.8	Alsace Lorraine, 41 Enchanteresse, 37 Grandiflora, 62 Karl Rosenfield, 57 Kelway's Queen, 33 Laura Dessert, 22 Loveliness, 26 M. Martin Cahuzac, 62 Richard Carvel, 36	Ginette, 17 Henry Woodward, 8 Mme. Gaudichau, 16 Martha Washington, 3 Phoebe Cary, 18 Phyllis Kelway, 16 Pride of Essex, 12 Souv. de Louis Bigot, 16 Standard Bearer, 17	

		Average Based On	
Grade	20 or More Votes	Less Than 20 Votes	
8.7	<b>Albâtre, 62</b> <b>Avalanche, 56</b> <b>Claire Dubois, 61</b> <b>James Kelway, 49</b> <b>Mary Brand, 27</b> <b>Mignon, 24</b> <b>Pres. Taft, 27</b> <b>Reine Hortense, 40</b>		<b>Bayadère, 16</b> <b>Frances Shaylor, 11</b>  <b>The Jewel, 3</b> <b>Brand's Magnificent, 13</b> <b>Exquisite, 13</b>
8.6	<b>Albert Crousse, 58</b> <b>Cherry Hill, 24</b> <b>Eugénie Verdier, 58</b> <b>Judge Berry, 24</b> <b>La Lorraine, 20</b> <b>Mme. Auguste Dessert, 39</b> <b>Mikado, 31</b> <b>Primevère, 47</b>		<b>Edmond About, 5</b> <b>Lady Emily, 8</b> <b>La Fiancée (Lem.), 12</b> <b>Mme. Jules Elie, 5</b> <b>Marguerite Gaudichau, 14</b> <b>Mrs. Geo. Bunyard, 9</b> <b>Pallas, 9</b> <b>Sarah Carstenson, 11</b>
8.5	<b>Adolphe Rousseau, 56</b> <b>Germaine Bigot, 46</b> <b>La Perle, 39</b> <b>Mme. Emile Gallé, 65</b> <b>Marie Lemoine, 67</b> <b>Maud Richardson, 21</b> <b>Octavie Demay, 42</b> <b>Opal, 22</b>		<b>Black Prince, 8</b> <b>Clairette, 11</b> <b>Henry Avery, 16</b> <b>Jessie Shaylor, 8</b> <b>Isoline, 3</b> <b>Mme. Jules Calot, 4</b> <b>Madeleine Gauthier, 6</b> <b>Marguerite Dessert, 6</b> <b>Midsummer Night's Dream, 12</b> <b>Paradise, 3</b> <b>Ralph, 3</b> <b>Rosy Dawn (Barr), 4</b> <b>White Lady, 12</b> <b>Whitleyi, 8</b>
8.4	<b>Albiflora, The Bride, 32</b> <b>Chestine Gowdy, 27</b> <b>Felix Crousse, 75</b> <b>Lamartine (Lem.), 21</b> <b>Lora Dexheimer, 22</b> <b>Marguerite Gerard, 56</b> <b>Mont Blanc, 42</b>		<b>Alma, 14</b> <b>King of England, 18</b> <b>Mme. Guyot, 6</b> <b>Mrs. John Smythe Fogg, 5</b> <b>Perle Blanche, 5</b> <b>Rachel (Lem.), 7</b> <b>Wm. F. Turner, 15</b> <b>Luetta Pfeiffer, 7</b>

Average Based On

	20 or More Votes	Less Than 20 Votes
--	------------------	--------------------

8.3	Bridesmaid, 22 (Syn. Marie Jacquin) Eugène Bigot, 29 Eugène Verdier, 60 Lamartine (Calot), 37 (Syn. Gigantea) La Rosière, 44 Marie Jacquin, 46  M. Dupont, 57 Venus (Kelway), 50	Edmond Lebon, 3 Fraicheur, 4 Jeanne Gaudichau, 13 La Fontaine (Lem.), 7 Mme. Joanne Sallier, 8 Marjorie Allison, 4 Pottsi alba, 6 Splendida, 15 Sunbeam, 3 T. B. Terry, 3 The Gem, 7 The Queen, 3 Tragedy, 3 Victoire de la Marne, 16
8.2	Gigantea, 40 (Syn. Lamartine, Calot) Gismonda, 24 Grover Cleveland, 39 Mme. D. Treyeran, 31 Marcelle Dessert, 30 Pierre Duchartre, 23	Coronation, 15 Innocence, 6 Le Jour, 10 Lucienne, 3 Mrs. Ruggles, 8 Sarah, 5 Faribault, 13 Harriet Farnsley, 9 H. F. Reddick, 9
8.1	Asa Gray, 59 Aurore, 37 Couronne d'Or, 67 Duchesse de Nemours, 66 Galathée, 21 Grandiflora nivea plena, 40 La Tendresse, 35 Livingstone, 58 Mme. Calot, 49 Mlle. Leonie Calot, 23 Mlle. Rousseau, 33	Bertrade, 7 Eglantine, 5 Emilie Hoste, 5 Evangeline, 10 Evening Glow, 4 Florence Nightingale, 18 Hercules, 4 John Richardson, 10 L'Etincelante, 17 Lucy Hollis, 9 Marchioness of Lansdowne, 7 Marie, 13 Moses Hull, 5 Ruth Brand, 19 Stanley (Crousse), 15 Winnikenni, 7

8.0 Boule de Neige, 36  
 Etta, 32  
 Festiva, 31  
 Mme. Lemoinier, 22  
 Perfection, 22

Assmanshausen, 3  
 Fine Lady, 3  
 La Fraicheur (Dessert), 3  
 Mme. Benoit Rivière, 3  
 Mme. Manchet, 11  
 Mlle. Jeanne Rivière, 10  
 Marie Deroux, 7  
 Marquis C. Lagergren, 11  
 Pasteur, 17  
 Suzette, 15  
 The Moor, 13  
 Walter Morgan, 10  
 Wiesbaden, 4  
 Wilbur Wright, 4

Arranged by color, we have the following lists in order of merit, using only those receiving 20 or more votes, and going down only as far as 8.5:

**White:**

9.9 Le Cygne  
 9.8 Kelway's Glorious  
 9.4 Mme. Jules Dessert  
 9.3 Festiva maxima  
 9.2 Elizabeth Barrett Browning  
 9.1 Frances Willard  
 9.0 Baroness Schroeder  
 8.9 Mme. Emile Lemoine  
 Pleas' Jubilee  
 8.8 Alsace Lorraine  
 Enchanteresse  
 Laura Dessert  
 8.7 Albâtre  
 Avalanche  
 James Kelway  
 8.6 Primevère  
 8.5 Marie Lemoine

**Pink, all shades:**

9.8 Thérèse  
 9.7 Solange  
 9.4 Tourangelle  
 9.3 Walter Faxon  
 9.2 La Fée  
 M. Jules Elie

9.1 Lady A. Duff  
 Martha Bulloch  
 9.0 La France  
 Milton Hill  
 Raoul Dessert  
 Rosa Bonheur  
 Sarah Bernhardt  
 8.9 Georgiana Shaylor  
 Marie Crousse  
 8.8 Grandiflora  
 Kelway's Queen  
 Loveliness  
 8.7 Claire Dubois  
 Mignon  
 Reine Hortense  
 8.6 Albert Crousse  
 Eugénie Verdier  
 Judge Berry  
 La Lorraine  
 Mme. Auguste Dessert  
 8.5 Germaine Bigot  
 La Perle  
 Mme. Emile Gallé  
 Maud L. Richardson  
 Octavie Demay  
 Opal

<b>Dark Red:</b>	<b>8.7 Mary Brand</b>
<b>9.2 Philippe Rivoire</b>	
<b>9.0 Longfellow</b>	<b>8.6 Cherry Hill</b>
<b>8.8 Karl Rosenfield</b>	<b>Mikado</b>
<b>M. Martin Cahuzac</b>	
<b>Richard Carvel</b>	<b>8.5 Adolphe Rousseau</b>

The lack of fine varieties in the deeper shades of pink is very clearly brought out by this list; almost all of the pinks in the list above are in light shades. What we need now as much as anything else in peonies are good deep pinks, or light reds, in fine clear tones.

Something may perhaps be learned from a consideration of the averages for those varieties which are generally considered to be synonymous. For instance, Albâtre stands at 8.7 on the basis of 62 votes; Avalanche also stands at 8.7 with 56 votes. The following cases exhibit similar uniformity:

Reine Hortense.....	8.7 on 40 votes
President Taft.....	8.7 on 27 votes
Marie Jacquin.....	8.3 on 46 votes
Bridesmaid .....	8.3 on 22 votes
Water Lily.....	8.4 on 11 votes
Virginie .....	7.6 on 23 votes
Marquis de Lory.....	7.7 on 5 votes
Alice de Julvécourt.....	7.2 on 34 votes
Triumphans gandavensis.....	7.3 on 8 votes

Synonymy might almost be considered to be established by the averages in the cases just quoted. But if such a conclusion were to be drawn, then we should not lose sight of a number of other pairs usually given as synonyms in which there is a notable difference in the averages, such as the following:

Mlle. Leonie Calot.....	8.1 on 23 votes
M. Charles Leveque.....	7.8 on 9 votes
Dr. Bretonneau (Verdier).....	6.4 on 20 votes
Lady L. Bramwell.....	6.0 on 20 votes
Maréchal Vaillant.....	7.5 on 23 votes
Souv. d'Auguste Miellez.....	7.3 on 9 votes
Maréchal Mac Mahon.....	7.7 on 18 votes
Augustin d'Hour.....	7.3 on 9 votes

In the earlier symposium, it was suggested that by taking the varieties ranking at 9 or better on the basis of at least 20 votes "we should have a selection that would be authoritative in a larger sense than any that has yet been made." This group in the symposium of 1919 embraced only twelve kinds, of which Lemoine contributed five, Crousse two, Kelway two, and Dessert, Miellez, and Richardson each one. The group now includes twenty-two kinds, of which Lemoine and Dessert each contribute five, Brand four, Kelway three, Richardson two, and Crousse, Rivière, and Miellez each one.

Finally it may be of interest to quote a few from among the many comments on individual varieties made upon the rating lists sent in:

**Albâtre.**—Gives but few blooms here. (B. Auten, Missouri.)

**Amazone**—Will not do well with us. (W. H. Thurlow, Mass.)

**Auguste Villaume.**—

Worthless in Alabama. (P. M. Long.)

Opens poorly, on only one side of bloom. (F. J. Fay, Conn.)

Only half of blossoms good this season. (E. D. Inslee, Ill.)

Fine blooms when well established. (T. F. Donahue, Mass.)

**Avalanche.**—Blooms poorly here. (B. C. Auten, Missouri.)

**Bayadère.**—

Strong plant; large spotless flowers; wonderful keeper. (Mrs. McCullagh, California.)

Wonderful texture. (Miss Blacklock, Ontario.)

**Belle Mauve.**—

Weak stems. (E. W. Maule, N. J.)

Very bad color. (Mrs. McCullagh, California.)

Poor color. (W. H. Thurlow, Mass.)

**Berlioz.**—Won "best six blooms any variety" at Ottawa, 1919. (W. T. Ferguson, Ontario.)

**Clara Barton.**—Very exquisite. (Mrs. Crawford, Indiana.)

**Duc de Wellington.**—

This has a wonderfully chaste decorative beauty when well Grown. (W. T. Ferguson, Ontario.)

Good in every way; healthy plant; free bloomer; very fragrant. (Mrs. McCullagh, California.)

Much more reliable than Solfatare. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

**Dr. H. Barnsby.**—

Very beautiful. (W. T. Ferguson, Ontario.)

Good grower. (W. H. Thurlow, Mass.)



**Enchanteresse.**—

Extra fine late white. Later than Mireille in 1920. (E. D. Inslee, Ill.)

**Eugène Bigot.**—

One of the best reds. (J. McMaster, Ontario.)

This is a splendid peony. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

**Eugène Reignoux.**—Bad color and fades quickly. (H. F. Little, Indiana.)

**Evangeline.**—Bloomed well in 1919, but is hardly to be classed with the best. (Miss Blacklock, Ontario.)

**Gismonda.**—

Slow grower. (H. W. Groschner, Ohio.)

Truly the "last rose of summer". Exquisite in beauty and fragrance when all others are gone. (Mrs. McCullagh, California.)

**Grandiflora.**—Most reliable late light pink. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

**Jeanne d'Arc.**—One of the prettiest flowers imaginable. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

**Jeannot.**—

One of the finest. (G. B. Babcock, N. Y.)

A good one. (J. McMaster, Ontario.)

**Kelway's Queen.**—9, for the true variety. The spurious violet rose variety would grade about 4. (A. H. Fewkes, Mass.)

**La Lorraine.**—I think this variety has been badly misjudged, owing to judgment being made from diseased stock. From healthy stock it is very fine. (A. H. Fewkes, Mass.)

**Lamartine (Calot), Syn. Gigantea.**—

One of our best peonies, but a weak stem. (R. T. Brown, N. Y.)

Weak stems. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

Poor bloomer with me. (C. P. Early, N. J.)

Better than many new high-priced ones. (E. Auten, Ill.)

**La Perle.**—

One of the very best varieties. (R. T. Brown, N. Y.)

Very fine. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

The most beautiful peony I had in 1919 as a cut flower. (E. D. Inslee, Ill.)

**Livingstone.**—Not good for Alabama. (P. M. Long.)

**Louise Renault.**—Very latest; very free; color poor. (A. M. Coe, Ohio.)

**Mme. de Galhau.—**

Fine—one season in five. (R. T. Brown, N. Y.)

Not suited to the South. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

**Mme. de Vatry.—**Much better than some high-priced novelties. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

**Marie Deroux.—**My best very late pink. (S. P. Baldwin, Ohio.)

**Marie Jacquin.—**To me this is one of the most beautiful peonies. Habit perfect. (H. F. Little, Indiana.)

**Mireille.—**

Buds do not open. (H. W. Groschner, Ohio.)

Extra with me. Blooms well on three-year plants. (T. F. Donahue, Mass.)

Should be discarded. (E. J. Eisenhart, Iowa.)

As good as any. Must win higher recognition. (E. Auten, Jr., Ill.)

**Miss Salway.—**

This peony is a reliable bloomer and vigorous grower. The blooms are extra large, and in beauty cannot be excelled in all peonies. Those who condemn it undoubtedly have not the true variety. (P. M. Long, Alabama.)

An over-rated variety as it grows here. (H. F. Little, Indiana.)

**Rosa Bonheur.—**Belongs in the 10 class. I don't understand how anyone with the true variety can vote it less. (E. J. Eisenhart, Iowa.)

**Thérèse.—**

My favorite of all. (Mrs. Francis King, Mich.)

The most beautiful of all to my mind. (Miss Blacklock, Ontario.)

**Tourangelle.—**I prefer this to Solange, though both are wonderfully lovely. (Miss Blacklock, Ontario.)

It would be as far as possible from my purpose or wish to inject the question of nationalism into peonies. At the same time it may well be a matter of pride to American growers to reflect that while even so recently as fifteen or twenty years ago, there would have been scarcely a half dozen peonies of American origin that would rank at all high in the list, our present list of the best shows a large proportion of American introductions.

Of those ranking now above 8 in the list of the best there are 21 Americans out of 94 in the class receiving over 20 votes, while in

the group based on less than 20 votes there are 55 Americans out of 114.

The suggestions and recommendations contained in this bulletin are laid before peony growers for their consideration and discussion. The pages of the Bulletin are open to any who wish to record either approval or disapproval. For, though we may differ on matters of detail, we are all surely at one in our desire to improve and promote the culture of the flower under whose name we have come together.

