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## I NVENTIONS.

## GARDEN-FLOWERS.

MOST of the flowers introduced into our gardens, and now culivated either on account of their beauty, or the pleafantnefs of their fmell, have been procured from plants which grew wild, and which have been changed, or, according to the opinion of floritts, improved, by the art of the gardener. The greater part of them however came originally from diftant countries, where they grow, in as great perfection as ours; without the affitance of man. Though we often find mention of flowers in the works of the Greeks and the Romans, it apfears that they were contented wilh thofe only which grew in their neighbourhood. I do not remember to have read that they ever took the trouble to form gardens for the particular purpofe of yul. IIf.

B rearing
rearing in them foreign flowers or plants. But even fuppofing that I may be miftaken, for I do not pretend to have examined this fubject very minutely, I think I may with great probability venture to affert, that the modern tafte for flowers came from Perfia to Conftantinople, and was imported thence to Europe, for the firft time, in the fisteenth century. At any rate, we find that the greater part of the productions of our flower-gardens were conveyed to us by that channel. Clufius and his friends, in particular, contributed very much to excite this tafte; and the new plants brought from both the Indies by the travellers who then continued fill more frequently to vifit thefe countries, tended to increafe it. That period alfo produced fome fillful gardeners, who carried on a confiderable trade with the roots and feeds of flowers; and thefe likewife affitted to render it more general. Among thefe were John and Vefpafian Robin, gardeners to Henry IV of France *, and Emanuel Sweert, gardener to the emperor Rodolphus II $\dagger$, from whom the botanills of that time procured many rarities, as appears from different paffiges of their works. As this tafte for flowers prevails more at prefent than at any former period, a flort hifory of fome of the objects of it may not be difagreeable, perhaps, to many of my readers.
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Simon de Tovar, a Spanifh phyfician, brought the tuberofe to Europe before the year 1594 from the Eaft Indies, where it grows wild in Java and Ceylon, and fent fome roots of it to Bernard Paludanus *, who firt made the flower publicly known, in his annotations on Linfchoten's voyage $\dagger$. The full tuberofes were firt procured from feed by one Le Cour, at Leyden, who kept them fcarce for fome years, by deftroying the roots, that they might not become common \$. The propagation of them in moft countries is attended with difficulties; but in Italy, Sicily, and Spain, it requires no trouble ; and at prefent the Genoefe fend a great many roots to England, Holland, and Germany. The oldeft botanifts claffed them among the hyacinths, and their modern name poliantbes tujerofo was givent them by Linnæus in Hortus Clifforionus.

The auricula, primula auricula, grows wild amongthe long mofs covered with fnow, on the confines of Switzerland and Steyermark $\|$, whence it was brought to our gardens, where, by art and acci-s dent, it has produced more varieties than any other

[^0]fpecies of flower. I do not know who firt tranfplanted it from its native foil. Pluche © fays only that fome roots were pulled up by Walloon merchants, and carried to Brufiels. This much, at any rate, is certain, that it was firt cultivated with care by the Flemings, who were very fucceffful in propagating it. Profeflor Weifmantel, who deferves to be ranked amongtt the principal writers on flowers + , fays, that the auricula was defcribed and celebrated by Ovid, Pliny, and Columelia; but this I much doubt. The botanifts even of the laft century, who fearched for plants in the works of the ancients with great diligence, and who took the liberty of making very bold affertions, were not able to find any name that would correfpond with the auricula; for the conjecture of Fabius Columna, that it is the alijma of Diofcorides, is highly improbable, as that Grecian author extols his plant, which was fond of water, on account of its medicinal virtues only. In the time of Clufius, molt of the varieties of the auricula were fcarce.

The common fritiliary, or chequered lify, fritilIaria meleagris, was firlt obferved in fome parts of France, Hungary, Italy, and other warm countries, and introduced into gardens about the middle of

[^1]$\ddagger$ Des Blumiften zweyter theil. Erfurt $5 ; 83$, 8 ro. p. 5 .
the fixteenth century. At firft it was called filizm variegatum; but Noel Capperon, an apothecary at Orleans, who collected a great many fcarce plants, gave it the name of fritillaria, becaufe the red or reddifh-brown fpots of the flower form regular fquares, much like thofe of a chefs-board. It was firt called meleagris by Dodonrus, becaufe thé feathers of that fowl are variegated almoft in the fame manner *.

The roots of the magnificent crown imperial, fritillaria imperialis, were about the middle of the fixteenth century brought from Perfia to Conftantinople, and were carried thence to the Emperor's garden at Vienna, from which they were difperfed all over Europe. This flower was firlt known by the Perfian name tufac, until the Italians gave it that of corona imperiale $\dagger$, or crown imperial. I have fomewhere read, that it has been imagined that the figure of it is to be found reprefented on coins of Herod, and that, on this account, it has been confidered as the lily fo much celebrated in the Scripture.

The Perfian lily, fititllaria Perfica, which is nearly related to it, was made known almoft about the fame time. The bulbs or roots were brought

* Clufii Hiftor. plant. it. p. I54.
$\dagger$ Clufi Hitt. plant. i. p. I28. Dodonxi Pempt. p. 203. B $3 \quad$ from
from Sufut to Conftantinople, and for that reafon it was formerly called lilium Sufianum *.

African and French marygolds, tagetes crezta and patula, were, according to the account of Dodoneus and others, brought from Africa to Europe, at the time when the emperor Charles V carried his arms againft Tunis. This however is improbable; for thefe plants are indigenous in South America, and were known to botanifts before that period under the name of caryophylus Indicus, from which is derived the French appellation oeillet d'Inde. Codrus calls them, from their native counryy, tanacetum Peruvianum ${ }^{\text {+ }}$.

Among the molt beautiful ornaments of our gardens, is the bella-donna lily, amaryllis formofiffima, the flower of which, compofed of fix petals, is of a deep-red colour, and in a ftrong light, or when the fun fhines upon it, has an agreeable yellow luftre like gold. The firft roots of it ever feen in Europe were procured in 1593, on board a fhip which had returned from South America, by Simon de Tovar, a phyfician at Seville. In the year following, he fent a defcription of the flower to Clufius; and as he had at the fame time font

[^2]fome roots to Bernard Paludanus, and count d'Aremberg, the former fent a dried flower, and the latter an accurate drawing of it to Clufius, who publifhed it in 160i*. One of the Robins gave in 1608 a larger and more correct figure, which was afterwards copied by Bry, Parkinfon, and Rudbeke; but a complete defcription, with a good engraving, was publifhed in 1742, by Linnaus + , who in 1737 gave to that genus the name by which they are known at prefent $\$$. Sweert, Bauhin, and Rudbeke, are evidently miftaken in affigning the Eaft Indies as the original country of this plant ; and Broke $\|$, who was not a botanift, but only a florift, is equally wrong in making it a native of the Levant. Tovar received it from South America, where it was found by Plumier and Barrere, and at a later period by Thiery de Menonville alfo §. At firft it was claffed with the narciffus, and it was afterwards called lilio-naicifus, becaufe its flower refembled that of the lily, and its roots thofe of the narciffus. It was named flos-facobceus, becaufe fome imagined

* Hift. plantar. i. p. 157.
+ Ablandlungen der Schwedifchen Akademie, iv. p. IJ6.
$\ddagger$ Hortus Cliffort. p. 135.
|| Beobachtungen von einigen blumen. Leipzig 1769,8 vo.
§ Barrere, Hift. naturelle de la France Equinoxiale, fpec. 8. Traité de la culture du Nopal, par Thiery de Menonville. Au Cap-François 1787 , 8vo.
that they difcovered in it a likenefs to the badge of the knights of the order of St. James in Spain, whofe founder, in the fourteenth century, could not indeed have been acquainted with this beautiful amaryllis.

A nother fpecies of this genus is the Guernfey lily, amaryllis Sarnienfis, which in the magnificence of its flower is not inferior to the former. This plant was brought from Japan, where it was found by Kæmpfer *, and alfo by Thunberg t, who vifited that country about twenty years ago. It was firft cultivated in the beginning of the feventeenth century in the garden of John Morin, at Paris, where it blowed, for the firft time, on the 7 th of Otober $16 ; 4$. It was then made known by Jacob Cornutus, under the name of riecilifies Faponicus fore ruti'o t. Afterthis it was again noticed by John Ray || an Englifhman, in $\mathbf{1 6 6 5}$, who

* Amœnitai. exoticæ, p. $\delta_{72}$.
$\dot{\dagger}$ Flora Japonica, p. 132. The author fays that the Japanefe confider the bulbs as poifonous.
$\ddagger$ Inter omnes narciffos, qui hactenus invili apud nos extiterunt, prima, ut arbitror, auctoritas nobilifinmo huic generi debetur, quod paucis abhinc annis ex Japonia allatum, ftrenui admodum et nullis fumptibus parcentis viri Johannis Morini cultura, tandem in florem profiluit feptimo menfis Octobris, anno Dom. 16,34. Fiac. Cornui Canadenfum piantarum aliarumque nondume cilitarumb biforia. Paris 1635 , 4to. p. 157.
|| A complete Florilege, furnifhed with all the requifites be

called
called it the Guerney lily, which name it fill very properly bears. A thip returning from Japan was wrecked on the coaft of Guernfey, and a number of the bulbs of this plant, which were on board, being caft on fhore, took root in that fandy foil. As they foon increafed and produced beantiful flowers, they were obferved by the inhabitants, and engaged the attention of Mr. Hatton, the governor's fon, whofe botanical knowledge is highly fpoken of by Ray, and who fent roots of them to feveral of his friends who were fond of cultivating curious plants *. Of this elegant flower Dr. Douglafs gave a defcription and figure in a fmall treatife publifhed in $1725 \dagger$, which is quoted by Linnæus in his Bibliotbeca, but not by Haller.
* Ejus radices ex Japonica allatæ, et ex nave naufraga, Batavica an Anglica incertum, ejeçe in littus arenofum infulx Guernfey; --ibi, inquam, bulbi incuria projedi in litus arenofum, inter fparta maritima, et vento fortiore arenam co pellente, qua demum predicti bulbi tecti polt aliquot annos fumma cum admiratione flores rutilos amplos et elegantes fponte dedere. Hoc flore detecto, aliquot annis poftea radices plurimas communicavit botanicis et elegantium florum cultoribus dominus Carolus IGatton, filius natu fecundus nobilifflmi viri Chriftophori Hatton, baronis de Hatton, ct infulæ Guernfuy prædiç̌æ gubernatoris. Rob. Morifoni Plantarum hijforia, pars fecunda, Oxonii 1580, fol. fect. 4, p. 367.
+ Lilium Sarnienfe, or a Defcription of the Guernfey lily. To which is added the botanical diffection of the coffee-berry. By Dr. James Douglafs, Loudon 1725. ful. Linnæi Bibliotheca botanica. Halx 1747, 8yo. p. 320

Of the numerous genus of the ranunculus, flosifts, to fpeak in a botanical fenfe, have obtained a thoufand different kisds *; for, according to the manner in which they are diftinguifhe: by gardeners, the varieties are infinite and increafe almoft every fummer, as thofe with half-full flowers bear feed which produces planis that from time to time divide themfelves into new kinds that exhibit greater or uncommon beauties. The principa! part of them, however, and thofe moft efteemed were brought to us from the Levant. Some were carried from that part of the world fo early as in the time of the Crufades; but moft of them have been introduced into Europe fyom Conftantinople fince the end of the fixteenth century, particularly the Perlian ranunculus $\dagger$, the varieties of which, if I am not miftaken, hold at prefent the firft rank. Clufius defcrities both the fingle and the full flowers as new rarities ${ }^{\text {\% }}$. This flower was in the ligheft repute during the time of Mahomet IV. His Grand Vizir, Cara Muftapha, well known by his hatred againft the Chrittians and the fiege of Vienna in 1683 , wifhing to turn the Sultan's thoughts to fome milder amufement than that of the chace, for which he had a ftrong pafion, diverted his attention to flowers; and, as he re-

[^3]marked that the Emperor preferred the ranunculus to all others, he wrote to the different Pachas throughout the whole kingdom to fend him feeds or roors of the moft beauriful kinds. The Pachas of Candia, Cyprus, Aleppo and Rhodes paid moft regard to this requeft ; and the elegant flowers which they tranfmitted to court were fhut up in the Seraglio as unfortunate offerings to the voluptuoufnefs of the Sultan, till fome of them, by the force of money, were at length freed from their imprifonment. The ambaffidors from the European courts, in particular, made it their bufinefs to procure roots of as many kinds as they could, which they fent to their different fovereigas. Marfeilles, which at that period carried on the greateft trade to the Levant, received on this account there flowers very early, and a perfon there, of the name of Malaval, is faid to have contributed very much to difperfe them all over Europe *.

[^4]
## LENDING-HOUSES.

IT appears fingular to us, at prefent, that it fhould have been once confidered unlaw ful to receive intereft for lent money; but this circumftance will excite no wonder when the reafon of it is fully explained. The different occupations by which one can maintain a family without robbery and without war, were at early periods neither fo numerous nor fo productive as in modern times ; and thofe who borrowed money required it only for immediate ufe, to relieve their neceffities or to procure the conveniencies of life; and thofe whe advanced it to fuch indigent perfons did to either through benevolence or friendhip. The cale now is widely different. With the affiftance of borrowed money people enter into bufinefs, and carry on trades, from which by their abilities, diligence, or good fortune, fo much profit arifes that they foon acquire more than is requifice for their daily fupport; and under thefe circumftances the lender may, undoubtedly, receive for the beneficial ufe of his money a certain remuneration, efpecially as he himfelf might have employed it to adrantage; and as by lending it he runs the rifk of loling either the whole or a part of
his capital, or at leaft of not receiving it again io foon as he may have occafion for it.

Lending on intereft, therefore, muft have become more ufual in proportion as trade, manufactures and the arts were extended; or as the art of acquiring money by money became more common: but it long continued to be detefted, becaufe the ancient abhorrence againt it was by an improper conftruction of the Mofaic law converted into a religious prejudice *, which, like many other prejudices more pernicious, was ftrengthened and confirmed by fevere papal laws. The people, however, who often devife means to render the faults of their legiflators lefs hurful, concealed this practice by various inventions, fo that neither the borrower nor lender could be punifhed, nor the giving and receiving of intereft be prevented. As it was of more benefit than prejudice to trade, the impolicy of the prohibition became always more apparent; it was known that the new-invented ufurious arts under which it was privately followed would occafion greater evils than thofe which had been apprehended from lending on intereft pub. licly; it was perceived alfo that the Jews, who were not affected by papal maledictions, foreign-

[^5]ers, and a few natives who had neither religion not confcience, and whom the Church wifhed leaft of all to favour, were thore principally enriched by it.

In no place was this inconvenience more felt than at the Romill court, even at a time when it boafted of divine infallibility; and nowhere was more care employed to remove it. A plan, therefore, was at length devifed, by which the evil, as was fuppofed, would be banifhed. A capital was collected from which money was to be lent to the poor for a certain period on pledges without interef. This idea was indeed not new; for fuch eftablifhments had long before been formed and fupported by humane princes. The emperor Auguftus, we are told, converted into a fund the furplus of the money which arofe to the State from the confifcated property of criminals, and lent fums from it, without intereft, to thofe who could pledge value equal to double the amount *. Tiberius alfo advanced a large capital, from which thofe were fupplied with money for thrce years, who could give fecurity on lands equivalent to twice the value $\%$. Alexander Severus reduced the intereft

[^6]intereft of money by lending money at a low rate, and advancing fums to the poor without interelt to purchare lands, and agreeing to receive payment from the produce of them *.

Thefe examples of the ancients were followed in modern Italy. In order to collect money, the Popes conferred upon thofe who would contribute towards that object a great many fictitious advantages, which at any rate coft them nothing. By bulls and holy water they difpenfed indulgences and eternal falvation; they permitted burdenfome vows to be converted into donations to lend-ing-houfes; and authorized the rich who advanced them confiderable fums to difinherit fuch of their children as were unmarried. As an eftablifiment of this kind required a great many fervants, they endeavoured to procure thefe alfo on the fame conditions; and they offered, befides the abovemen-
pulo in duplum prædiis caviffet. Sic refecta fides, et paulatim privati quoque creditores reperti. Tacili Amal. vi. 17, p. 35 r . Publice munificentiam bis omnino exhibuit : propofito millies HS. gratuito in triennii tempus. Sucton. Vita Tiberii, cap. 48, p. 558. Tiberius rem fenerarian temperavit, milliefque feftertium reipublicee largitus eft, quam pecuniam fenatorii ordinis viri indigentibus fine ufura ad tres annos mutuo darent. Dis Caffus, lviii. 2 I, p. 893.

* Fœenus publicum trientarium exercuit, ita ut pauperibus plerifque fine ufuris pecunias dederit ad agros emendos, reddera. das de fructibus. Rifius Lamprid. Vila Aler. Severi, cap. 21, P. 528.
tioned
tioned benefits, a great many others not wottly notice, is thofe who would engage to difcharge gratis the butinefs of their new underaking; but in cafs of neceffity they were to receive a moderate falary from the funds. This money was lent without inceret for a certain time to the poor only, provided they could depofit proper pledges of fufficient va'ue.

It was, however, foon obferved that an eftablithment of this kind could nether be of extenfive whe nor of long duration. In order to prevent the 'fecret lending of money', by the ulurious arts which had begun to be practifed, it was neceffary that it hould advance fums not only to thofe who were poor in the ftrititl fenfe of the word, but to thofe alfo who, to fecure themfelves from poverty, wifhed to undertake and carry on ufeful employments, and who for that purpofe had need of capitals: However powerful the attractions might be, which, on account of the religious folly that then prevailed, induced people to make large contributions, they gradually lof their force, and the latter were leffened in proportion, efpecially as a - fpirit of reformation began foon after to break out in Germany, and to fpread more and moreinto other countries. Even if a lending-houfe thould not be exhaufted by the maintenance of it fervants, and various accidents that could not be guarded againft, it was ftill neceffary, at any rate, to bor-
tow as much moriey at intereft as would be fuff. cient to fupport the eftablifhment. As it was impoffible that it could relieve all the poor, the only method to be purfued was to prievent their increafe, by 'encouraging trade, and by fupplying thofe with money who wanted only a little to enable them to gain more, and who were in a condition and willing to pay a moderate intereft. The pontiffs; therefore, at length refolved to allow the lending-houfes to receive intereft, not for the whole capitals which they lent, but only for a part, merely that they might raife as much money as might be fufficient to defray their expences; and they now, for the firlt time, adopted the longeftablifhed maxim, that thofe who enjoy the benefits Chould affift to bear the burthen-a maxim which very clearly proves the legality of intereft. When this opening was once found, one ftep more only was nuceffiary to put the lending-houfes on that judicious footing on which they would in all probability have been put by the inventor himfelf, had he not been under the influence of prejudice. In order that they might have fufficient ftock in hand, it was thought proper to give to thofe who thould advance them money a moderate intereft, which they prudently concealed by blending it with the unavoidable expences of the eftablifhment, to which it indeed belonged, and which their debtors, by the practice a little before intro-
duced, were obliged to make good. The lend-ing-houfes, therefore, gave and received intereft. But hat the odious name might be avoided, whatever intereft was received, was faid to be pro indemsnitote; and this is the expreffion made ufe of in the papal bull.

All this, it muft be confeffed, was devifed with much ingenuity: but perfons of acutenefs ftill difcovered the concealed intereft; and a violent conteft foon arofe refpecting the legality of lend-ing-houfes, in which the greateft divines and jurifts of the age took a part; and by which the old queftion, whether one might do any thing wicked, or eftablifh intereft, in order to effect good, was again revived and examined. Fortunately for the pontifical court, the folly of mankind was ftill fo great that a bull was fufficient to fupprefs, or at leaft to filence, the fpirit of enquiry. The Pope declared the holy mountains of piety, facrimonti de piefa, to be legal; and threatened thofe with his vengeance who dared to entertain any farther doubts on the fubject. All the cities now haftened to eftablifh lending-houfes; and their example was at length followed in other countries. Such, in a general view, is the hiftory of thefe eftablifhments: I hall now confirm it by the neceflary proofs.

When under the appellation of lending-boufe we underftand a public eftablilhment where any perfon can borrow money upon pledges, either for or without interelt, we mult not compare it to the taberne argentaric or menfe numularie of the Romans. Thefe were banking-houfes, at which the State and rich people caufed their revenues to be paid, and on which they gave their creditors orders either to receive their debts in money, or to have the fums transferred in their own name, and to receive fecurity for them. To affign over money and to pay money by a bill were called perfcribere and reforibere; and an affignment or draft was called altributio. Thefe argentarii, menfarii, numzilarii, collybifte and trapezite followed the fame employment, therefore, as our calhiers or bankers. The former, like the latter, dealt in exchianges and difo count; and in the fame manner alfo they lent from their capital on intereft, and gave intereft themfelves, in order that they might receive a greater. Thofe who among the ancients were enemies to the leniding of money on intereft brought thefe people alfo inio fome difrepute ; and the contempt enterrained for them was probably increafed by prejudice, though thofe numarii who were eftablifhed by government as public cathiers held fo exalted a rank that fome of them became confuls. Such banking-houfes occur in the Italian States in the middle ages, about the year 1377. They were called apotbeca seu cajane fenc-

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2}
$$

$r i s_{3}$
ris*, "and in Germany wechjelbanke, banks of ex. change ; but they were not lending-houfes in the fenfe in which I here underftand thern.
'Equally diftinet alfo from lending-houfes were thofe banks eftalilifhed in the fourteenth century, in many cities of Italy, fuch, for example, as Florence, in order to raife public loans. Thofe who advanced money on that account received an obligation and monthly intereft, which on no pretext could be refufed, even if the creditor had been guilty of any crime. Thefe obligations were foon fold with advantage, but oftener with lofs; and the price of them rofe and fell like that of the Englifh ftocks, but not fo rapidly; and theologifts difputed whether one could with a fafe confcience purchafe an obligation at lefs than the current value, from a proprietor who was obliged to difpofe' of it for ready fpecie. If the State was defirous or under the neceflity of repaying the money, it availed itfelf of that regale called by Leyfer regale falfa monetio, and returned the capital in money of an inferior value. This eftablifh. ment was confirmed, at leaft at Florence, by the 'Pontiff, who fubjected thofe who Mould commit

Offervazioni itoriche di Dominico M. Manni circa i figilli antichi dei fecoli baff, vol. xxvii. p. 86. The author herć quotes from an ancient city-book the following paffage: , Franfifcus fenerator pro fe et apotheca feu cafana fenoris, quam tenebat in via Quattro Pagoni, \&c.
any fraud in it, to ecclefiaftical punifhment and a fine, which was to be carried to the Papal treafury : but long before that period, the republic of, Genoa had raifed a loan by mortgaging the public revenues. I have been more particular on this fubject becaure Le Bret * calls thefe banks very improperly lending-houfes; and in order to thew to what a degree of perfection the princely art of contracting and paying debs was brought fo early as the fourteenth century.

Thofe who have as yet determined the origin of lending-houfes with the greatelt exactnefs place ir, as Dorotheus Afcianus, that is Matthias Zimmermann $\psi$, does, in the time of Pope Pius II or Paul II, who filled the papal chair from 1464 to $1_{47}$; and the reafon for fuppofing it to bave been under the pontificate of the latier is, becaufe

* Algemeine Welthiforie, xlv. p. 10.
$\dagger$ This theologian, born at Eperies in Hungary, in 1625, was driven from his native country on account of his religion, and died fuperintendant at Meiffe in 1689. He wrote, befides other works: Dorotbei Afciani Montes pietalis Romanenfes biforice, canonice et theologice detecti. Liplix 1670, 4to. This baok is at prefent very fcarce. I thall take this opportunity of mentioning alfo the following, hecaufe many who have written on lending.houles have quoted it, though they never faw it: Montes pietatis Romanen)es, das if, die Berg lier Fromkeit oder Gottesforcbt in der fadt Rom. Durch Elychnium Gottlieb. Strafburg $1608,8 \mathrm{vo}$. It contains nothing of importance that may not be found in Afcianus.

Leo X, in his bull, which I fhall quote afterwards, mentions that pope as the firf who confirmed an eftablifhment of this kind. As the above account did not appear to me fatisfactory, and as I knew before that the oldeft lending-houres in Italy were under the infpection of the Francifcans, I confulted the Annals of the Seraphic Order, with full expectation that this fervice would not be omitted in that work; and I indeed found in it more materials towards the hiftory of lendinghoufes than has ever been collected, as far as I know, by any other perfon.

As complaints againft ufury, which was practifed by many Chriftians, but particularly by the Jews, became louder and more public in Italy in the fifteenth century, Barnabas Interamnenfis, pro: bably of Terni, firt conceived the idea of eflablifhing a lending-houfe. This man was originally a phyfician; had been admitted to the degree of doctor; was held in great refpect on account of his learning; became a Minorite, or Francifcan; acquired in that fituation every rank of honour, and died, in the firt monatery of this order at Affifi (in monte Subafio)", in the year 14 /4.

* Of this Barnabas I know nothing more than what I have here extracted from Waddingii Annalcs Minorum, tom. xiv. p. 93. Wadding refers to Marian. lib. v. c. 40, § 17 ; and Marc. 3.p. ?ib. V.cap. 58. The former is Mariunus Florntinus, whofe F.ffcicylu:

447. While he was employed in preaching under Pope Pius II at Perugia, in the territorics of the Cliurch, and obferved how much the poor were oppreffed by the ufurious dealings of the Jews, he made a propofal for raifing, a capital by colleetions, in order to lend from it on pledges to the indigent, who fhould give monthly, for the ufe of the money borrowed, as much intereft as might be neceffary to pay the fervants employed in this cftablifhment, and to fupport it. Fortunatus de Copolis, an able jurift of Perugia, who after the death of his wife became alfo a Francifcan, approved of this plan, and offered to affift in putting it into execution. To be affured refpecting an undertaking which feemed to approach fo near to the lending on intereft, both thefe perfons laid their plan before the univerfity of that place, and requefted to know whether fuch an eftablifhment could be allowed ; and an anfiwer being given in the affirmative, a confiderable fum was foon collected by preaching, fo that there was a fufficiency to open a lending-houfe. Notwithftanding this

Fafciculus chronicoram Ordinis Minorum, which confits of five books, was ufed in manufcript by Wadding in compofing his large work, and in my opinion has never been printed. Marc. is Marcus Ulyyoponcufis, whofe Cbronica Ordinis Minorum tribus paribus diftincta I have not been able to procure, though it is tranflated into feveral languages. See Waddingii .Scriptoses Ordilnis Minornan. Romæ 1650, fol. p. 248, 249. What is faid on this fubject in Argelati Bibliotbeca Scripior. Mediolunenf. Mediolani ${ }^{7} 745$, fol. i. p. 352 , has been taken from Wadding.
fanction,
fanction, many were difpleafed with the defign, and confidered the receiving of interef, however fmall it might be, as a fpecies of ufury. Thofe who exclaimed moft againt it were the Dominicans (ex ordine Predicatoruin) : and they feem to have continued to preach in oppofition to ir, till they were compelled by Leo X to be filent; while the Francifcans, on the other hand, defended it, and endeavoured to make it be generally adopted. The difpute became more violent when, at the end of a year, after all expences were paid, a confiderable furplus was found remaining; and as the managers did not know how to difpofe of it, they at length thought proper to divide it amongft the fervants, becaufe no fixed falaries had been ap= pointed for them. Such was the method firlt purfued at Perugia; but in other places the annual overplus was employed in a different manner. The particular year when this eftablifmment began to be formed I have no where found marked; but as it was in the time of Pius II, it muft have been in 1464 , or before that period*. It

* This is confirmed by M. B. Salon, in t. ii. Contr. de jufit. ct jure in ii. 2 Thom. Aquin. qu. S8. art. 2. controv. 27 : Hujus modi mons non erat in ufu apud antiquos. Cæpit fere a 150 annis, tempore Pii II. Hic enim pontifex ef qui primus omnium legitur montem approbaffe, cocpitque, Prædicatoribus hortantibus, refpublicas et populos ad illum inftituendum hortari, ne pauperee ab Hebrxis acceptis confumerentur. The Dominicans, or Picedicateres, howcyer, oppofed it. The precife year when this
is very remarkable that this pontiff confirmed the lending-houfe at Orvieto (Urbs Vetus) fo early as the above year; whereas that at Perugia was fanctioned, for the firtt time, by Pope Paul II in $1467^{\circ}$. It is fingular alfo that Leo $X$, in his confirmation of this eftablifhment, mentions Paul II, Sixtus IV, Innocent VIII, Alexander VI, and Julius II: but not Pius II. Pope Sixtus IV, as Wadding fays, confirmed in 1472 the lending-houfe at Viterbo, which had, however, been begun fo early as $14^{6} 9$, by Francifcus de Viterbo, a Minorite $\mathfrak{q}$.

In the year 1479, Sixtus IV. confirmed the
inftitution was formed may, perhaps, be mentioned in the particular. hiftory of the city of Perugia; but the Storia di Perugia by Pompeo Pellini I have not been able to fearch; and in $P_{8-}$ rugia augufa defcrilta da Cefare Crifpolit, in Perugia 1648, 4to. p. 182, I find only: Monte detto della pietà, intituto di un venerabile padre dell'ordine Offervante, chiamato Fra Giacomo da monte Feltro;-. fa di lui mentione il Gonzaga. Gonzaga, notwithftanding the above account, afcribes this fervice not to any Jacob, but to the well-known Bernatdino de Feltro. De origine feraphice religionis Francifcanc. Romæe 1587, fol. p. $33^{8}$. In C. L. Richard's Analyfis conciliorum generalium et particularium, Venetiis 1776,4 vol. fol. iv. p. 98 , I find that the firft lending-houfe at Perugia was eftablifhed in the year 1450; but Pius II, under whofe pontificate it appears by various teflimonies to have been founded, was not chofen Pope till the year $145^{8}$.
*Wadding, xiv. p. 94.
$\dagger$ Buffi, Ifloria della città̀ di Viterbo. In Roma $\mathbf{1 7 4 2}$, fol. p. 378.
lending-houfe which had been eftablifhed at 5avona, the place of his birth, upon the fame plan as that at Perugia. The bull iffied for this purpofe is the firf pontifical confirmation ever frinted *; for that obtained for P'erugia was not, as we are told by the editor, to be found in the archives there in 16 IS, the time when the other was printed. I have never found the confirmation of thofe at Qrvieto and Viterbo. Afcianus fought for them, but without fuccefs, in Bullariuin magnuan Cberubini, and they are not mentioned by Sixtus. This pontiff, in his bull, laments that the great expences to which he was fubjected did not permit him to relieve his countrymen with money, but that

[^7]he would grant to the lending-houfe fo many fpiritual advantages as hould incluce the faithful to contribute towards its fupport; and that it was his defire that money fhould be lent from it to thofe who would affilt gratis during a year in the bufinefs which it required. If none could be found to ferve on thefe conditions, a moderate fajary was to be given. He added a claufe alfo refpeating pledges; but paffed over in filence that the debtors were to contribute any thing for the fupport of the inftitution by paying intereft, which Barnabas, whofe name does not occur in the bull, introduced however at Perugia, and which the Pope tacitly approved,

The greater part of the lending-houfes in Italy were eftablihhed in the fifteenth and following centuries by the Minorites Marcus Bononienfis, Michael a Carcano*, Cherubinus Spoletanus, Jacobus de Márchią, Antonius Vercellenfis, Angelus a Clavafio, and, above all, Bernardinus Tomitano, named alfo Feltrenfis and Parvulus. This man was born at Feltri, in the county of Trevifo, in the year 1439. His father was called Donato Tomitano, and his mother Corona Rambaldoni; they were both of diftinguifhed families, though fome affert that he was of low extraction, and a native of Tomi, a fmall

[^8]place near Feltri, on which account he got the name of Tomitano. The name of Parvulus arofe from his diminutive ftature, which he fometimes made a fubject of pleafantry *. This much at any rate is certain, that he had received a good education. In 1456, when feventeen years of age, he fuffered his inftructors, contrary to the inclination of his father, to carry him to Padua, to be entered in the order of the Minorites; and on this occafion he changed his chriftian-name Martin into Bernardi. nus\%. As he was a good fpeaker, he was employed by his Order in travelling through Italy and preaching. He was heard with applaufe, and in many parts the people almoft paid him divine honours. The chief object of his fermons was to banih gaming, intemperance, and extravagance of drefs; but he, above all, attacked the Jews, and excited fuch a hatred againtt them, that the governments in many places were obliged to entreat or to compel him either to quit their territories or not to preach in oppofition to thefe unfortunate people, whom the crowds he collected threatened to maffacre ; and fometimes when be vifited cities,

[^9]where there were rich Jews, and perfons who were connected with them in trade, he was in danger of lofing even his own life. Taking advantage of this general antipathy to the Jews, he exerted himfelf, after the example of Barnabas, his brother Minorite, to get lending-houfes eftablifhed, and died at Pavia in the year 1494. The Minorites played a number of juggling cricks with his body, pretending that it performed miracles, by which means they procured him a place in the catalogue of the faints; and to render his name ftill more lafting, fome of his fermons have been printed among the works of the writers of the Francifcan order *.

The lending-houfes in Italy, with the origin of which I am acquainted, are as follows: The lend-ing-houfe at Perugia was infpected in $\mathbf{1 4 8} 5$, by Bernardinus, who enlarged its capital.

The fame year he eftablifhed one at Affif, which was confirmed by pope Innocent, and which was vifited and improved by its founder in $1487 \%$.

In the year 1486, after much oppofition, he eftablifhed a lending-houfe at Mantua, and pro.

[^10]* It may be found entire in Wadding, xiv. p. 4 Ir. It was'ordered that the pledges fhould be worth double the fum lent, and that they fhould be fold if not redeened within a year. The regulations made refpecting the intereft I fhall here infert : Liccretque eis pro hujufmodi falarionm folutione, ac etiam penfione domus ad præmiffa in loco commodo et honefto conducenda in civitate predicta, libris et fubhaftationibus, aliifque expenfis neceffariis pro executione eis commifforum officiorum hujufmodi, a perfonis mutuo reciplentibus pecunias dicti montis, illafque reflituentibus intra annum, préter fummam mutuatam, duos dewarios pro qualibet libra mutuata ejus fumms, pro quolibet menfe petere et recuperare, et a non reflituentibus de pretio venditorum pro tempore pignorum retinere; et fil dicti duodenarif pro libra fic collecti, in fine anni non afcenderent ad fummam opportunam pro falariis et aliis cxpenfis prédictis, voluerunt id quod deficeret, fuppleri de fumma anni fequentis; et filumma dictorum duorum denariorum pro libra fic collecta, in fine arni tranfcenderet fummam opportunam pro falariis et expenfis pra: dictis, volverunt id quod fuperabundaret, eifdem folventibus proportionabiliter et pro rata, pro qua folviffent, ultra reftitui, fil recuperare vellent, et ad hunc effectum publice fæpius proclamari per civitatem predictam, quod quicure te intenderent rehabere portiones eis contingentes, de fuperabundantia predicta collecta per exactorem duorum denariorum pro libra, debeant proteflari officialibus pradictis infra quindecim dies ad minus a die fibi facti mutui, recepife mutuo animo rehabendi talens portionen eis contingentem, quantumcumque parvam ; alioquin, decurfis dietis quindecim diebus, proteflatione prædicta non facta, intell:gerentur, polt tot proclamationcs repetitis vicibus factas, tales mutuo recipientes, et non proteftantes, velle, inmo mandare ac injungere
twas obliged to preach in order to procure new donations to fupport ir \%.

At Florence he met with fill more oppofition s for the rich Jews bribed the members of the government, who wihhed, in appearance, to favour the eftablifhment of the lending-houre, to which they had confented eighteen years before, while they fecretly thwarted it; and fome boys having once proceeded, after hearing a fermon, to attack the houfes of the Jews, the Minorites were ordered to abftain from preaching and to quit the city $\dot{\gamma}$. It was, however, completely eftablifhed; but by the Dominican Hieronymus Savonarola .

In the year 1488, Bernardinus eftablifined a lending-houfe at Parma, and procured for it the Pope's fanction $\|$, as well as for one at Cefena, where
di\&tis officialibus, quod difpenfent pâuperibus, vel convertant in alias pias caufas, illas parvas quantitates et portiones, qux ipfos proteflantes contigebant de dicta quautitate fuperabundanti, amore Dei, et pro fuæ ac fuorum prodecefforum animarum falute, de confilio duorum religioforum, vel aliorum facerdotum, aut aliorum bonæ opinionis et famæ.
*Wadding, xiv. p. 516.
$\dagger$ Ibid. p. 446.
$\ddagger$ Offervazioni di D. Manni circa i figilli antichi, tom. xxvii。 p. $9^{2}$; where much information refpecting this fubject may be found.
|| Wadding, xiv. p. 445. La bifforia della citià di Parma, di Bonaventura Angel:. In Parma I59r, 4to. p. 429.
the intereft was defined to be pro Salariis officialiuite et aliis montis oneribus perferendis *. About the conclufion of this year, he was at the other end of Italy, where he re-eftablifhed the lendingathoure at Aquila in the kingdom of Naples $\dagger$.

In the year following he eftablifhed one at Chieti (Tbeate) in the fame kingdom, another at Rieti (Reate) in the territories of the Church, a third at Narni (Narnia) t ; and a fourth.at Lucca, which was confirmed by the bihop, notwithftanding the oppofition of the Jews, who did every thing in their power to prevent it.

In the year 1490, a lending-houif was eftablimed at Piacenza (Placentia) by Bernardinus, who at the fame time found one at Genoa, which had been eftablifhed by the before-mentioned Angelus a Clavafio \|. At this period alfo, a lending-houfe was eftablifhed at Verona $\oint$, and another at Milan by the Minorite Michael de Aquis 9 .

* This bull of Innocent VIII may be found in the beforequoted Bolle et privilegi del facro monts della pietà di Roma, p. Io.
$\dagger$ Wadding; xiv. p. 451.
$\ddagger$ Wadding, xiv. p. 462 . Ibid. 465 .
\|I Ibid. 480, 48 r .
§Wadding, xiv. p. 517. Cronica di Verona, defcritta da Pier. Zagata. In Verona 1747, 4to. ii. 1. p. 202, and ii. 2. p. 88.
© 11 Ritrato di Milano, di Carlo Torre, In Milano 1714, 4toa p. 229.

In 149r, a lending-houfe was eftablifhed at Padua, which was confirmed by pope Alexander VI, in 1493 *; and another was eftablifhed at Ravenna\%.

In 14.92 , Bernardinus reformed the lendinghoufe at Vicenza, where, in order to avoid the reproach of ufury, the artifice was employed of not demanding any intereft, but admonifhing the borrowers that they fhould give a remuneration according to their piety and ability. As people were by thefe means induced to pay more intereft than what was legally required at other lendinghoufes, Bernardinus caufed this method to be abolifhed $\ddagger$. He eftablifhed a lending-houfe alfo the fame year in the fmall town of Campo S. Pietro, not far from Padua, and expelled the Jews who had lent upon pledges. At this period there were lending-houfes at Baffario, a village in the county of Trevifi, and alfo at Feltri, which he infpected and improved $\|$.
*Wadding, xiv. p. 93, 482. Merula Cofmograph. p. ii. lib. iv. p. m. 950. 'The confirmation is given by Zanon, letlere vio p. 152.

+ Wadding, p. 514. Hieron. Rubei Hifforice Ravennates. Ven. 1590. fol. lib. vii. Il forffiere infruito della cofe notabili della cittcì di Ravenna; di Franc. Beltrami. In Ravenna 1783 , 8 vo . p. 119.
$\ddagger$ Wadding, xv. p. 6, 65.
|| Wadding, xv. p. 7, 12, 9.

> vol. III.

D

In the year 1493, Bernardinus caufed a lending. houfe to be eftablifhed at Crema, in the Venetian dominions; another at Pavia, where he requetted the opinion of the jurits, whom he was happy to find favourable to his defign ; and likewife a third at Gubbio, in the territories of the Church. At the fame time another Francifcan eftablifhed at Cremona a mons frumenti pietatis, from which corn was lent out on intereft to neceffitous perfons; and it appears that there had been an inftitution of the like kind before at Parma*。

In the year 1494, Bernardinus, a fhort time before his death, affifted to eftablith a lending-houre at Montagnana, in the Venetian territories $\dagger$, and to improve that at Brefcia, which was likely to decay, becaufe the fervants had not fixed falaries $\ddagger$.
> :t Wadding, xv. p. 37, 45, 46.

+ Wadding, xv. 67 .
$\$$ Wadding, xv. p. 68. Bernardinus confidered the giving of wages as a neceffary evil. Speciofius et religiofius fatebatur Bernardinus fore, fi ablque ullo penitus obolo et pretio mutuum daretur, libereque commodaretur pecunia, fed pium opus et paupesum fubfidium exiguo fic duraturum tempore; non enim, inquit, tantus eft ardor hominum, ut gubernatorcs et officiales montium miniterio neceflarii velint laborem hunc omnem gratis fubire; quod fir remuncrandi fint ex forte principali, vel ipfo depofito, feu exili montium ærario, brevi exhaurietur, et commodum opportunumque iflud pauperum refugium ubique peribit. Wallding, xv. p. 45.

The fame year another Francifcan eftablifhed the lending-houfe at Modena *.

In the year 1506, pope Julius If confirmed the lending houfe at Bologna $\gamma$. That of Trivigi was eftablified in 1509 ; and in 1512, Elizabeth of the family of Gonzaga, as widow of duke Guido Ubaldus, eftablimed the firt lendingohoufe in the duchy of Urbino at Gubbio, and procured permiffion for it to coin money $\|$.

The hiftorical account I have here given, difplays in the ftrongeft light the great force of prejudice, and particularly of the prejudice of ecclefiattics. Notwithftanding the manifeft advantages with which lending-houfes were attended, and though a great pait of them had been already fanctioned by the infallible court of Rome, many, but chiefly Dominicans, exclaimed againft thefe infitutions; which they did not call montes pietatis,

$$
\text { * Wadding, xr. p. } 88 .
$$

+ The bull may be found in Bolle e privilegi del facho monte Hella pietà di Ruma, before quoted, p: 13; and in Afcianus, p. 775 .
$\ddagger$ Ittoria di Trivigi; di Giovanni Bonifaccio: In Venezia 1744, fol. p. 501.
|| Della zecca di Gubbio, e delle gefte de' conti e duchi di Urbino ; opeŕa di Rinaldo Repofati. In Bologna 1772, 4to. ii. p. 96. 132.
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but impietatis. No oppofition gave the Minorites fo much uneafinefs as that of the Dominican Thomas de Vio, who afterwards became celebrated as a cardinal under the name of Cajetanus. This monk, while he taught at Pavia, in 14.98, wrote as treatife De monte pietatis*, in which he inveighed bitterly againlt taking pledges and interef, even though the latter was deftined for the maintenance of the fervants. The popes, he faid, had confirmed lending-houfes in general, but not every regulation that might be introduced into them, and had only given their exprefs approbation of them fo far as they were confiftent with the laws of the church. Thefe words, he added, had been wickedly left out in the bulls which had been printed ; but he had heard them, and read them, in the confirmation of the lending-houfe at Mantua $\dagger$. I indeed

[^11]deed find, that thefe words are not in the copy of that bull given in Wadding, which is faid to have been taken from the original, nor in the fill older confirmation of the lending-houfe at Savona. But even were they to be found there, this would not jultify Cajetan's oppofition, as the Pope in both there bulls recommended the plan of the lendinghoufe at Perugia to be adopted, of which receiving intereft formed a part. Bernardinus de Buftis *, a Minorite, took up the caufe in oppofition to Cajetan, and, according to Wadding's account, with rather too much vehemence. Among his antagonifts alfo were Barrianus, and Franc. Papafava, a jurift of Padua $\dagger$. As this difpute was revived with a great deal of warmth in the beginning of the fixteenth century, it was at length terminated by pope Leo X , who in the tenth fitting of the coun-
tem abfque fufpenfione non fuiffem, nifi viva voce audifem fic habere in originalibus, et calamo feriptam particulam illam in bulle Mantuanæ copia vidiffem. Ex hac quoque eadem radice fatisfit illis qui ex privilegiis et indulgentiis conceffis a Rom. Pont. illis qui ad montis confervationem aut augmentum manus porrigunt adjutrices argnunt. Jan enim patel, quod mons ipfe fanctus ef, et in ordine ad illum hæc omnia conceduntur. Annexa tamen mala ex hoc non approbantur, fed potius tolerantur ad evitationem majoris mali, quo per publicos ufuarios res pauperum vorantur.

* His works were printed together, in folio, at Brefcia in 1588.
+ The work of the former appeared in 8490. The writings of both are printed in the work of Afcianus, or Zimmermann, which has been often quuted already.
cil of the Lateran declared by a particular bull, that lending-houfes were legal and $\mu$ feful ; that all doubts to the contrary were finful, and that thore who fhould write againft them would be in a fate of excommunication *. The whole affembly, except one archbilhop, voted in favour of this determination $\boldsymbol{\sim}$; and it appears from a decree of the council of Trent, that it alfo acknowledged their legality, and confirmed them + . Notwithfanding this decifion, there were flill writers who fometimes
* This bull, which forms an epocl in the hiftory of lendinghoufes, may be found in Sa. Lateranen. concilium noviffimum. Romæ_1521, fol. Thiṣ fcarce work, which I have now before me from the library of our univerfity, is inferted entire in (Harduini) Alta concilioram, tom. is. Parifiis 1914, fol. The bull may be found p. 1773. It may be found alfo in Bullarium mag. Cherubini, i. p. 560 ; Waddingii Amnal. ITinor. xv. p. 470; Ácianus, p. 7.38 ; and Bcyerlinck's Thearram vita bum. v. p. 603. The Pope, in the bull, refers to Jurifprudence, which fays : quod qui commodum fentit, onus quoque fentire debeat. - - He thera permits: alios etiam fimiles montes cum apoftolica fedis approbatione erigi poffe -- Omnes qui contra hancce declarationem predicare feu difputare et fcribere auff fuerint, excommunicationis latæ fententia pœnam incurrere polumus.
+ Refponderunt omnes placere, excepto reverendo patre domino Jeremia archiepifcopo Tranenfi, qui dixit, non placere, quia didicit per experientiam, quod prefati montes funt plus dannofi quam utiles. Thefe words fand in the protocoll.
$\ddagger$ This is the conclufion formed by Richard, in Analyfis concsiliorum, becaufe in feff. 22. cap. 8 , lending-houfes are reckoned among the pia loca, and the infpection of them affigned to the bifhops.
condemned them ; and who did not confider all the decrees, at leart the above one, of the Lateran council as agreeable to juftice. Among there was Dominicus de Soto, a Dominican*. All oppofition, however, in the courfe of time fubfided, and in the year ${ }_{5} 65$, Charles Borromeo, the pope's legate at the council of Milan, ordered all governments and ecclefiaftics to affilt in eftablifhing lendinghoules +i.

Of the lending-houfes eftablifhed after this period in Italy, I fhall mention thofe only of Rome and Naples. It is very remarkable that the Pope's capital thould have been without an inftitution of this kind till the year 1539 , and that it fhould have been formed by the exertions of Giovanni Calvo, a Francifcan $\ddagger$. Paul III, in his bull of confirmation, ordered that Calvo's fucceffors in rank and employment fhould always have the infpec. tion of it, becaufe the Francifcans hád taken the greateft pains to endeavour to root out ufury $H$.

The lending-houfe at Naples was firft eftablifh

* In Libri $x$. de injuftitia et jure. vi. quæft. x \& 6.
† Waddingii Annal. Minor. xv. p. 47 r.
$\ddagger$ Commiffario generale dell' Ordine Minore de' conventuali di S. Francifco.
|| This confirmation may be found in Waddingii Annal. xyi D. 444 , and in Afcianus, p. 766.
ed in 1539 or 1540. Two rich citizens, Aurelio Paparo, and Leonardo or Nardo di Palma, redeemed all the pledges which were at that time in the hands of the Jews, and offered to deliver them to the owners without intereft, provided they would return the money which had been advanced on them. More opulent perfons foon followed their example ; many bequeathed large fums for this benevolent purpofe; and Toledo, the viceroy, who drove the Jews from the kingdom, fupported it by every method poffible. This lending-houfe, which has indeed undergone many variations, is the largeft in Europe ; and it contains fuch an immenfe number of different articles, many of them exceedingly valuable, that it may be confidered as a repofitory of the moft important part of the moveables of the whole nation. Atout the year 5563, another eftablifhment of the like kind was formed under the titie of banco de' poveri. At firft this bank adranced money without intereft, only to relieve confined debtors; afterwards, as its capital increafed, it lent upon pledges, but not above the fum of five ducats without intereft. For larger fums the ufual intereft is demanded *.

[^12]At what time the firft lending－houfe was efta－ blimed at Venice I have not been able to learn＊． This State feems to have long tolerated the Jews it endeavoured to moderate the hatred which had been conceived againft them，and gave orders to Bernardinus to forbid preaching againft themw．It appears to me in general，that the principal com－ mercial cities of Italy were the lateft to avail them－ felves of this invention；becaufe they knew that to regulate intereft by law，where trade was flourihn－ ing，would be ineffectual or ufelefs；or becaufe the rich Jew merchants found means to prevent it．

The name mons pietatis，of which no fatisfactory explanation las been as yet given，came with the invention from Italy，and is equally old，if not older．Funds of money formed by the contribu－ tions of different perfons，for fome end fpecified， were long before called montes．In the firtt cen－ tury of the Chrittian æra，free gifs were collected and preferved in churches by ecclefiaftics，partly． for the purpofe of defraying the expence of divine fervice，and partly to relieve the poor．Such capi－ tals，which were confidered as ecclefiaftical funds，

[^13]were by rudentius, in the beginning of the fifth century, called montes annona, and arca numinis *. Tertullian calls them depofita pietatis 中; and

* Hymnus ii. in honorem Laurentii. The poct relates, that, in the third century, the pagan governor of the city (prafcilus urbis) demanded the church treafure from Laurentius the deacon. Ver. 53 :

Laurentium fitti jubet ;
Exquirit arcam ditibus
Maffis refertam, et fulgidæ
Montes monetæ conditos.
This paffage, as far as I know, was firft remarked by H. C. Senkenberg in a pofticript to L. J. Meyer's Difertat. de montious pietatis; Giffe 1739, 4to. p. 5 I. He is of opinion that the ezpreffion mons pictatis was ufual even at that period, becaufe the following lines occur, ver. 8 I ,

Hxc occultantur abditis
Ecclefiarum in angulis;
Et fumma pietas creditur,
Nudare dulces liberos.
To fpeak the truth, pietas in this paffage does not refer to mons, The Chriftians are here reproached in an ironical manner with their parental affection, pietas; becaufe they impoverifhed their children and grandchildren to enrich the church. That the moncy collected in this manner, however, was not employed merely for ornamenting churches, but difributed alfo in alms, is well known, and is proved ceen by what Prudentius fays, yer. 140. Sce Salmafus de fanl. trapezit. p. 42 I, and the preface:

+ This paffage, with which Senkenberg was not acquainted, may be found in Tertullian's Apolog. eap. 39, edition of De la Cerda, p. 187: Hxe quafi depolita pietatis funt; nam inde non epulis, non potaculis, nee ingratis yoratrinis difpenfatur ; fed crenis
and hence has been formed montes pietatis. At any rate I am of opinion that the inventor chofe and adopted this name in order to give his inftitution a facred or religious appearance, and to procure it more approbation and fupport.

I find however that thofe banks employed in Italy, during the chirteenth and fourteenth centuries, to borrow money in the name of States, for which the public revenues were mortgaged and intereit paid, were alfo called montes*. In this fenfe the
egenis alendis humandifque, et pueris ac puellis re ac parentibuç deffitutis, jamque domefticis feribus, item naufragis, et fíqui in metallis, et fi qui in infulis, vel in cuftodis, duntaxat ex cauffa Dei fectæ, alumni confeffionis fuæ fiunt.
*: This word however is not to be found in Glofarium manuale. The following paffage from Leonardi Arctini Hifor. Florentinarum libri xii. Argentorati 1610 . fol. lib. vii. p. 145, may ferve as a proof. Eodem anno maximum eft reipublicx fundamentum paryo ex principio jaci cœeptum. Civibus refp. debebat auripondo circiter LXX.M. dudum mutuo fumta ob Lucæ redemtionem. Ea igitur fumma cum ob anguftiam ærarii diffolvi non poffet, ac iniquum videretur fuo fraudari cives, qui fidem publicam fecuti mutuo dederant; media quædain inter has difficultates reperta eft via. Nominibus enim eorum, quibus debebatur, tributim defcriptis annui reditus e publico conftituti funt, quina fingulis centenis. Quantitates vero ipfas in unum coacervatas, a fimilitudine cumulandi, vulgo Montem vocavere. Idque in civitate poftea fervatum. Quoties refp. indiget, cives tributa perfolvunt; folutorum vero penfiones annuas percipiunt. Hi montes cumula: tionefque nccuniarum bellis quidem crefcunt, pace minuunturs
the word is ufed by Italian hiftorians of much later times; and thofe are greatly miftakcn, who, with Afcian and many others, confider all thefe montes as real lending-houles. Thefe loan-banks, or montes, received various names, fometimes from the princes who efablithed them, fometimes from the ufe to which the money borrowed was applied, and fometimes from the objects which iwere mortgaged. Of this kind were the mins fidit, or loan opened by pope Clement VII, in the year r 526 , for defending his capital *; the trons aluminarius, under pope Pius IV, for which the pontifical alum-works were pledged ; the mons religionis, under Pius V, for carrying on the wa: againft the Turks ; and the montes farine, carnium, viri, \&ec, when the duties upon thefe articles were pledged as a fecurity. To facilitate thefe loans, every condition that could induce people to advance money was thought of. Sometimes high intereit was given, if the fublcribers
propterea quod abundante rep. diffolutio fit crebra atque peremtio. Quantitatum vero defcriptarum et venditio elt civibus inter fe et permutatio, atque (ut in cæteris mercimoniis) protempore, pro ope, pro commodo, minuitur earum precium atque augefcit. In emtorem eadem commoda, quæ folutus ipfe percepturus erat, transferuntur. Ea res facit, uti cives ad crcbras tributorum fo. lutiones perdurent, non percunte omnino quod folutum eft ; fed miliatem, fin mon magnam at:amen aliquan, afferente.-Compare Le Bet in $A^{\prime}$ gem. lichiliforie, xlv. p. 10, who however relates fome circumftances not to be found in Aretin.

[^14]agreed
agreed that it hould ceafe, and the capital fall to the bank, after their death; and fometimes low intereft was given, but the fecurity was heritable and could be transferred at pleafure. The former were called montes vacabiles, and the latter montes non vacakiles. Sometimes the State engaged to pay back the capital at the end of a certain period, fuch for example as nine years, as was the cafe in regard to the mons novennalis, under Paul IV ; or it referved to iffelf the option of returning the money at fuch a period as it might think proper, and fometimes the capital was funk and the intereft made perperual. The firft kind were called montes redimibiles, and the fecond itredimibiles *. One can here clearIy difcover the origin of life-rents, annuities, tontines, and government fecurities; but the farther illuftration of this fubject I hall leave to thofe who may wifh to employ their talents on a hiftory of fational debts. I have introduced thefe remarks merely (s) rectify a miftake which has become atmoft general, and which occafioned fome difficutries to me in this refearch; and I thall only obferve farther, that the popes gave to their loans, in order to raife their finking credit, many of thofe fpiritual advantages which they conferred on the anontes pietaris. This error therefore was more eafily propagated, as both were called montes; and hence

[^15]
## HISTORY OF INVENTION゙S.

it has happened that Afcianus and others affert that many lending-houfes were mifapplied by the popes in order to raife public loans.

From the inftances here adduced, one may fee that the firft lending-houfes were fanctioned by the pontiffs, becaufe they only could determine to the Catholics in what cafes it was lawful for them to receive intereft. This circumftance feems to have rendered the eftablifhment of them without Italy difficult. At any rate the Proteftants were at firft averfe to imitate an inftitution which originated at the court of Rome, and which, according to the prevailing prejudice of the times, it alone could approve; and from the fame confideration they would not adopt the reformation which had beers made in the calendar.

The firft mention of a lending-houfe in Germany, which I have as yet met with, is to be found in the permiffion granted by the emperor Maximilian I, to the citizens of Nuremberg, in the year 1498 , to drive the Jew's from the city, and to eftablifh an exchange-bank. The permiffion farther ftated, "That they fhould provide "f for their bank proper managers, clerks, and "osher perfons to conduct it according to their " pleafure, or as neceffity might require ; that fuch " of their fellow-citizens as were not able to carry "s on their trades, callings, and occupations with-
"out borrowing, and without pledging their ef" fects, hhould, on demand, according to thcir " trade and circumftances, receive money, for " which pledges, caution and fecurity fhould be " taken; that at the time of payment a certain " fum fhould be exacted by way of intereft; that "s the clerks and conductors of the bank thould "s receive falaries for their fervice from the intereft; " and that if any furplus remained, it thouid be " employed for the common ufe of the city of Nu" remberg, like any other public fund *."

It here appears that the lending-houfes in Germany were firf known under the name of ex-change-banks, by which was before underftood any bank where money was lent and exchanged; but it does not thence follow, as profeffor Fifcher thinks $\psi$, that they were an Italian invention。 The citizens of Nuremberg had not then a lend-ing-houfe, nor was one eftablifhed there till the year 1618. At that period they procured from Italy copies of the regulations drawn up for various houfes of this kind, in order to felect the beft. Thofe of the city of Augiburg however were the grounds on which they built, and they fent thither

* This permiffion may be found at the end of A . Wurfel's Hiflorifchen nachrichten won der elsemaligen Yuden-Gemeinde in Nürnberg. Nürnberg 1775, 4to. p. 152.

Gefchichte des Teutfchen handels, ii. p. 4.54.
the perfons chofen to manage their lending-houfe, that they might make themfelves fully acquainted with the nature of the eftablifhment at that place *. In the year 1591, the magiftrates of Augfburg lad prohibited the Jews to lend money, or to take pledges; at the fame time they granted 30,000 florins as a fund to eftablifh a lendinghoufe, and the regulations of it were publifhed in 1607 个.

In the Netherlands, France, and England, lend-ing-houfes were firft known under the name of Lombards, the origin of which is evident. It is well known that in the thirceenth and following centuries many opulent merchants of taly, which at thofe periods was almoft the only part of Europe that carried on an extenfive trade, were invited to thefe countries, where there were few mercantile people able to engage deeply in commerce. For this reafon they were favoured by governments in moft of the large cities; but in the courfe of time they became objects of univerfal hatred, becaufe rhey exerciled the moft oppreffive ufury, by lending at intereft and on pledges. They were called Long-bardi or Lombardi, as whole nations are often

* Gokinks Journal von und für Tenfchland, 1784, i. p. 50q., where may be found the firlt and the neweft regulations refpecting the lendiug-thoufe at Nuremberg.
+ P. von Stettens Gefchichte der fladt Aughurg. Frank. und Lecipzig 1742, 2 vol, 4to. i. p. $720,789,833$.
named after a part of their country, in the fame manner as all the Helvetians are called Swifs, and the Ruffians fometimes Mofcovites. They were, however, called frequently alfo Caorcini, Caturcini, Caurfini, Cawarfini, Cawartini, Bardi, and Amanati; names, which in all probability arofe from fome of their greateft houfes or banks. We know, at any rate, that about thofe periods the family of the Corfini were in great confideration at Florence *. They had banks in the principal towns for lending money; they demanded exorbitant intereft ; and they received pledges at a low value, and retained them as their own property if not redeemed at the ftated time. They eluded the prohibition of the church againft intereft when they found it neceffary, by caufing the intereft to be previoufly paid as a prefent or premium; and it appears that fome fovereigns borrowed money from them on thefe conditions. In this manner did Edward III, king of England, when travelling through France, in the year 1329 , receive 5000 marks from the bank of the Bardi, and give them in return, by way of acknowledgement, a bond for $7000 \dagger$. When complaints againft the ufurious practices of thefe Chrittian Jews became too loud to be difregarded, they were threatened with expulfion from the country, and thofe who had ren-

> "See thefe words in Du Frefne. + Ecedera, vol. ir. p. 387
dered themfelves moft obnoxious on that account, were often banifhed, fo that thofe who remained were obliged to conduct their employment with more prudence and moderation. It is probable that the commerce of thefe countries was then in too infant a flate to difpenfe altogether with the affiltance of thefe foreigners. In this manner were they treated by Louis IX, in 1268, and likewife by Philip the Bold; and fometimes the popes, who would not authorife intereft, lent their affiftance by prohibitions, as was the cale in regard to Henry III of England in 1240.

In the fourteenth century, the Lombards, in the Netherlands, paid to government rent for the houfes in which they carried on their money tranfactions, and fomething befides for a permiffion. Of this we have inftances at Delft in 1313 , and at Dordrecht in $1342^{*}$. As in the courfe of time the original Lombards became extinct, there houfes were let, with the fame permiffion, for the like employment $\dagger$; but governments at length fixed the rate of intereft which they ought to receive, and eftablithed regulations for them, by which ufurious practices were reftrained. Of leafes granted

[^16]en fuch conditions, an inftance occurs at Delft in the year 1655 . In $157^{8}$, William prince of Orange recommended to the magiftrates of Amfterdam Francis Mafafia, one of the Lombards, as they were then called, in order that he might obtain for him permiffion to eftablifh a lendinghoufe *; as many obtained permiffion to keep bil-liard-tables, and Jews letters of protection. In the year 16in, the proprietor of fuch a houfe at Amfterdam, who in the latter years of his leafe had gained by his capital at leaft thirty-three and a half per cent. offered a very large fum for a renewal of his permiffion; but, in 1614 ; the city refolved to take the lombard or lending-houfe into their own hands, or to eftablifh one of the fame kind. However detefted this plan might be, a difpute árofe refpecting the legality of $i t$; which Marets $\dagger$ and Claude Saumaife endeavoured to fupport. The public lending-houfe or lombard at Bruffels was eftablifhed in 1619 ; that at Antwerp in 1620 , and that at Ghent in $1622 \ddagger$. All thefe were eftablifhed by the archduke Albert, when he entered on the governorhip, with the advice of the archbihop of Mechlin; and on this

[^17]occafion the architect Wenceflaus Coberger was employed, and appointed infpector-general of all the lending houfes in the Spanifh Netherlands *. Some Italians affert, that the Flemings were the firt people who borrowed money on intereft for their lending-houfes; and they tell us that this practice began in the year 1619 \&. We are affured alio, that, after long deliberation at Bruffels, it was at length refolved to receive money on intereft at the lending-houfes. It however appears certain, that in Italy this was never done, or at leaft not done till a late period, and that the capitals of the lending-houfes there were amaffed without giving intereft.

This beneficial inftitution was always oppofed in France; chiefly, becaufe the doctors of the Sorbonne could not divert themfelves of the prejudiceagaint intereft: and fome in modern times who undertook there to accommodate people with money on the like terms, were punithed by government +. A lending-houfe however was eftablifh-

* Beyerlinck, Magnum theatrum vitre. Lugduni, ful. tom. v. p. 602 .
$\dagger$ Montes e pecunia ad cenfum funta inftituti, et Belgici nuncupantur, quia in Belgio an. 1619 crècti fuere. Richarl, Analy,s concilior. iv. p. $9^{8 .}$
$\ddagger$ An inftance may be found in Turgot's Armoires filur le prél is intérrft, et fur le commerce die fer. Paris 5789 , Sro. Sce alfu Gulthers Unterfuchuarg ïber aucher und couchor-yeferze. Hamburg 1790, 8vo.
ed at Paris, under Louis XIII, in 1626 ; but the managers next year-were obliged to abandon it *. In 1695, fome perfons formed a capital at Marfeilles for the purpofe of eftablifhing one there according to the plan of thofe in Italy $\dagger$. The prefent mont de fieté at Paris, which has fometimes in its poffeffion forty cafks filled with gold watches that have been pledged, was, by royal command, firt eftablifhed in 1777 米。


## CHEMICAL NAMES of METALS.

As iron, gold, filver, lead, quickfilver and tin, received the fame names as the neareft heavenly bedies, which appear to us largeft, and have been diftinguifhed by the like characters, two queftions arife: Whether thefe names and characters were given firft to the planets or to the metals? When, where, and on what accomnt were they made choice of; and why were the metals named after the planets, or the planets after the metals? The

* Hiftoire de lá viile de Paris; par Sauval.
$\dagger$ Hiftoire dela ville de Marfeille; par Antoine de Rufel. Marfeille $\mathbf{1 6 g 6}$, fol. ii. p. 99.

[^18]latter of thefe queftions, in my opinion, cannot be anfwered with any degree of certainty; but fomething may be faid on the fubject which will not, perhaps, be difagreeable to thofe fond of fuch refearches, and who have not had an opportunity of examining it.

That the prefent ufual names were firft given to the heavenly bodies, and at a later period to the metals, is beyond all doubt; and it is equally certain that they came from the Greeks to the Romans, and from the Romans to us. It can be proved alío that older nations gave other names to the fe heavenly bodies at much earlier periods. The oldeft appellations, if we may judge from fome examples ftill preferved, feem to have originated from certain emotions which thefe bodies excited in the minds of men ; and it is not improbable that the planets were by the ancient Egyptians and Perfians named after their gods, and that the Greeks only adopted or tranlated into their own language the names which thofe nations had given them *: The idea that each planet was the refidence of a god, or that they were gods themfelves, has arifen, according to the moft probable conjecture, becaufe rude nations worthipped the fun, which, on account of his beneficent

[^19]and neceflary influence over all terreftrial bodies, they confidered either as the deity himfelf, or his abode, or, at any rate, as a fymbol of him. In the courfe of time, when heroes, and perfons who by extraordinary fervices had rendered their names refpected and immortal, received divine honours, particular heavenly bodies, of which the fun, moon and planets feemed the fitteft, were affigned to thefe divinities alfo *. By what laws this diftribution was made, and why one planet was dedicated to Saturn and not to another, Pluche, as far as I know, did not venture to determine $\dagger$; and on this point the ancients themfelves are not all agreed $\ddagger$. When the planets were once dedicated to the gods, folly, which never ftops where it begins, proceeded fill farther, and afcribed to them the attributes and powers for which the deities, after whom they were named, had been celebrated in the fictions of their mythologifts. This, in time, laid the foundation of aftrology; and hence the planet Mars, like the deity of that name, was faid

* Jablonfki, Pantheon Ægyptiorum, Francofurt. ad Viadr. ${ }^{5} 750,8 \mathrm{vo}$. in the Prolegomena, p. 49.
$\dagger$ He has however indulged in fome conjectures, in his Hiftory of the heavens. See Hiftorie des bimmels. Drefden $\mp 740$, 2 vol, 8vo. ii. p. 64.
$\ddagger$ Thefe contradictions are pointed out by Goguet, in a note, p. 370. A better view of them may be found in Hygini Poeticon affronom, xlii. p. 496 , of the edition by Von Staveren.
to caufe and to be fond of war; and Venus to prefide over love and its pleafures.

The next queflion is, Why were the metals divided in the like manner among the gods, and named after them? Of all the conjectures that can be formed in anfwer to this queftion, the following appears to me the moft probable. The number of the deified planets made the number feven fo facred to the Egyptians, Perfians, and other nations, that all thofe things which amounted to the fame number, or, which could be divided by it without a remainder, were fuppofed to have an affinity or a likenefs to and connection with each other *. The feven metals, therefore, were confidered as laving fome relationhip to the planets, and with them to the gods, and were accurdingly named after them. To each god was affigned a metal, the origin and ufe of which was under his particular providence and government ; and to each meial were afcribed the powers and properties of the planet and divinity of the like name; from which arofe, in the courfe of time, many of the ridiculous conceits of the alchemifts.

The oldeft trace of the divifion of the metals among the gods is to be found, as far as I know,

[^20]in the religious worfhip of the Perfians. Origen, in his Refutation of Celfus, who afferted that the feven heavens of the Chritians, as well as the ladder which Jacob faw in his dream, had been borrowed from the myfteries of Mithras, fays, "Among the Perfians the revolutions of the heavenly bodies were reprefented by feven ftairs, which conducted to the fame number of gates. The firft gate was of lead; the fecond of tin; the third of copper; the fourth of iron; the fifth of a mixed metal; the fixth of filver, and the feventh of gold. The leaden gate had the flow tedious motion of Saturn; the tin gate the luftre and gentlenefs of Venus ; the third was dedicated to Jupiter; the fourth to Mercury, on account of his ftrength and fitnefs for trade; the fifth to Mars; the fixth to the Moon, and the laft to the Sun *. Here then is an evident trace of metallurgic

* Celfus de quibufdam Perfarum my Reriis fermonem facit. Harum rerum, inquit, aliquod reperitur in Perfarum doctrina Mithracifquc eorum myfteriis veftigium. In illis enim duæ cæleftes converfiones, alia ftellarum fixarum, errantium alia, et animæ per eas tranfitus quodam fymbolo repræfentantur, quod hujufmodieft. Scala altas portas habens, in fumma uutem octava porta. Prima portarum plumbea, altera flannea, tertia ex ære, quarta ferrea, quinta ex ære mixto, fexta argentea, feptima ex



metallurgic aftronomy, as Borrichius calls it, or of the aftronomical or mythological nomination of metals, though it differs from that ufed at prefent. According to this arrangement, tin belonged to Jupiter, copper to Venus, iron to Mars, and the mixed metal to Mercury. The conjecture of Borrichius, that the tranfcribers of Origen have, either through ignorance or defign, tranfpofed the names of the gods, is highly probable : for if we reflect that in this nomination men, at firf, differed as much as in the nomination of the planets, and that the names given them were only confirmed in the courfe of time, of which I hall foon produce proofs, it muft be allowed that the caufes affigned by Origen for his nomination do not well agree with the prefent reading, and that they appear
$\delta^{2} n=6 \delta 0 \mu \eta$. Primum affignant Saturno tarditatem illius fideris plumboindicantes: alteram Veneri, quam referunt, ut ipfi quidem putant, fanni fplendor et mollities; tertiam Jovi, aheneam illara quidem et folidam : quartam Mercurio, quia Mercurius et ferrum, uterque operum omnium tolerantes, ad mercaturan utiles, laborum patientiffimi. Marti quintam, inæqualem illam et variam propter mixturam. Sextam, quæ argentea eft, lunæ ; feptimam auream foli tribuunt, quia folis et lunæ colores lixe duo metalla referunt. Contra Celfum, lib. vi. 22, p. 161.-I expected to have received fome explanation of thefe words from the editors of Origen, and in thofe authors who have treated exprefsly on the religious worfhip of the Perfians; but I find that they are quoted neither by Hyde; Philip a Turre, whofe Monumenta veteris Antii is printed in Tbifaurus Antiquitat. © lififor. Italia, viii, 4 to ; nor by Banier in his Mythology.
much
snuch jufter when the names are difpofed in the fame manner as that in which we now ufe them *.

This aftrological nomination of metals appears to have been conveyed to the Brachmans in India; for we are informed that a Brachman fent to Apollonius feven rings, diftinguilhed by the names of the feven ftars or planets, one of which he was to wear daily on his finger, according to the day of the week $\dagger$. This can be no otherwife explained than

* Borrichius arranges the words in the following manner: Secundam portaṃ faciunt Jovis, comparantes ei ftanni fplendorem et mollitiem ; tertiam Veneris æratam et folidam ; quartam Martis, eft enim laborum patiens, æque ac ferrum, celebratus hominibus ; quintam Mercurii propter mifturam inæqualem ac variam, et quia negotiator eff ; fextam Lunæ argenteam ; feptimam Solis auream. Ol. Borricbius de ortu et progreffit chemice. Hafniz 1668, 4to. p. 29. Profeffor Eichhorn reminded me, as allufive to this fubject, of the feven walls of Ecbatana, the capital of Media, the outermoft of which was the loweft, and each of the reft progreffively higher, fo that they all overtopped each other. Each was of a particular colour. The outermoft was white; the fecond black; the third purple, the fourth blue, the fifth red, or rather of an orange colour; and the fummit of the fixth was covered with filver, and that of the feventh or innermoft with gold. Such is the account given by Herodotus, $i_{0}$ $9^{8}$; and it appears to me not improbable that they may have had a relation fo the feven planets, though nothing is hinted on that fubject by the hiftorian.


 cham
than by fuppofing that he was to wear the gold ring on Sunday; the filver one on Monday; the iron one on Tuefday, and fo of the reft. Allufion to this nomination of the metals after the gods occurs here and there in the ancients. Dydimus, in his Explanation of the Iliad, calls the planet Mars the iron itar *. Thofe who dream of having had any thing to do with Mars are by Artemidorus threatened with a chirurgical operation, for this reafon, he adds, becaufe Mars fignifies iron $\dagger$. Heraclides fays alfo in his allegories, that Mars was very properly confidered as iron; and we are told by Pindar that gold is dedicated to the fun $\ddagger$.
cham feptem annulos A pollonio dediffe, ftellarum feptem nominibusinfighitos, quos fingulos geftaverit Apollonitis, unum pof alium, ut dierum nomina id ferrent. Philoffrat. Vila Apollonit, iii. 4r. p. 130. How was the ring for Wednefday made? Perhaps it was hollow, and filled with quickfilver. Gefner, in Commentaria Societat. Scien. Gotting. 1753, iii, p. 78 , thinks that thefe rings might only have been made or calt under certain conftellations.
+ Vifus eft fibi quis a Marte iniri, affectio ipfi facta eft circa fedem et meatun, ct cum non poffet alio aliquo modo curari, fectione ufus curatus eft. Significabat enim Mars ferrum, quem ad modum ctiam confuetudine tranfinominative per metonymiam appellanus. Oncirocritica, v. 37.
$\ddagger$ Inlim. od. ver. I. Of the like kind are many paffages in Euftathius on Honer's Iliad, b. xi. and alfo the following paffagres of Conltantinus Manafies, where he deferibes the creation

Plato likewvife, who fludied in Egypt, feems to have admitted this nomination and meaning of the metals. We are at leaft affured fo by Marfilius Ficinus * but I have been able to find no proof of it, except where he fays of the inland Atlantis, that the exterior walls were covered with copper and the interior with tin, and that the walls of the citadel were of gold $\dagger$. It is not improbable that Plato adopted this Perrian or Egyptian reprefentation, as he affigned the planets to the demons; but perhaps it was firft introduced into his fyftem
of the flars, in his Arnales, edition of Meurfus, Leyden $\mathbf{f 6} 6$, 4to. p. 7 and p. 263 : Saturnus nigricabat, colore plumbeo; Jupiter ut argentum fplendebat ; Mars flammeus confpiciebatur; Sol inftar auri puri lucebat ; (Venus uti ftannum) : Mercurius inftar æris rubebat; Luna in morem glaciei pellucida fuam et ipfa emittebat, \&cc. Eultathius on Dionyf. Pcriegef. v. 288,
 dicatum eft not foli accumbit, as tranflated by Bertrand. Olympiodorus ufes the word in the fame fenfe.

* Commemorat et mealla, ut per fepte:n metalla, feptem planetarum influxus intelligarrus, generationem omnium moderantes. Aurun quidem Soli, argentum Lunce, plumbum Saturno, electrum Jovi, ferrum et æs Marti, Veneri aurichalcum, Mercurio ftannum, Platonici tribuunt. In his Preface to Critias. Pla tonis Opera; Francof. 1602, fol. p. 1097.
$\dagger$ Muri, qui exteriorem orbem claudebat, fuperficiem omnem ære tenui veflierunt ; cjus vero qui interiorem, ftanno; cjus denique qui circumdabat arcem, aurichalco, ignen fulgore corufco. Regio vero ipfa intra arcem, ita conltructa: In medio facrum et inacceffibile Clitonis Neptunique templum, aurao ambitu circumdatum. P. 1105.

[^21] dori Commentar. in Meteora Arif. which, as it remarkable, and as that work is fcarce, I have here tranicribed. It may be found lib. iii. p. 59 , in the edition of Venice 1551 , fol. I $5 \varepsilon 0 \% \delta \varepsilon \% \%$











 $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \tau \tau \alpha_{6}$. Illud quoque fciendum, quemadmodum divinus Proclus in fuis in Timæum commentariis ad feptem planetas metalla omnia revocat ; cum dicit plumbum quidem Saturno dicatum propter vim gravem et triftem et frigidam. Electrum autem Jovi propter naturam fideris temperatam et vitx largientem. Simili autem modo et migma ; migma vero majori xftimatione dignum eft, magifque temperatum quam it aurum. Marti vero ferrum confacrat propter acutum ruboris et vim cxdendi. Soli autem aurum ipfum, tanquam qui univerfi luminis fons exiftat. Vult æs deinde Veneri dicatum propter floridum fulgorem, et quia fole non omnino diverfam habet naturam, ficut æs quoque ad auri fpeciem propius accedit. Mercurio ves ro flannum proprium dicat propter tranfucidum et fulgidum nitorem ; fimulque quia luna proximus adjacet, ficut ftannum prope argenti naturam eft. Lunæ autum facrum argentum eft, quoniam argentum auro in proximo adjacens lucem ab ipfo au-
as they dedicated to Venus copper, or brafs, the principal component part of which is indeed copper; to Mercury tin, and to Jupiter electrum. The laft-mentioned metal was a mixture of gold and filver; and on this account was probably confidered to be a diftinet metal, becaufe in early periods mankind were unacquainted with the art of feparating thefe noble metals *.

The characters by which the planets and metals are generally expreffed when one does not choofe to write their names, afford a friking example how readily the mind may be induced to fuppofe a connection between things which in reality have no affinity or relation to each other. Antiquaries and aftrologers, according to whofe opinion the planets were firft diftinguihed by thefe characters, confider them as the attributes of the deities of the fame name. The circle in the earlieft periods among the Egyptians was the fymbol of divinity
ro accipere videtur, et fplendidus effici, more lunæ quæ luce fo. lis undique colluftratur. - According to the tranflation of $\dot{C}_{a}$ motius, printed by itfelf, at Venice 1567 , fol. p. 203.

* This diftribution, which is afcribed to the Platonifts, may be found alfo in the fcholiafts on Pindar, at the beginning of


 $\delta_{i r} \%$, $\chi \alpha \lambda$ ros. This confirms what I have before faid, that mankind at firlt were not unanimous in this divifion of the metals among the gods.
and perfertion; and feems with great propriety to have been chofen by them as the character of the fun, efpecially as, when furrounded by fmall ftrokes projecting from its circumference, it may form fome reprefentation of the emiffion of rays. The femicircle is, in like manner, the image of the moon, the only one of the heavenly bodies that appears under that form to the naked eye *. The character iz is fuppofed to reprefent the feythe of Saturn; $\mathcal{F}$ the thunderbolts of Jupiter; © the lance of Mars, together with his fhield; o the looking-glafs of Venus; and $\not \underset{q}{ }$ the caduceus or wand of Mercury $\dagger$.

The expreffion by characters adopted among the chemifts agrees with this mythological fignification only in the character affigned to gold. Gold, according to the chemits, was the moft perfect of metals, to which all others feemed to be inferior in different degrees. Silver approached neareft to it; but was diftinguifhed only by a femicircle, which, for the more perpicuity, was drawn double, and thence had a greater refemblance to the moft remarkable sppeatance of the moon; the name of which this metal had already

* Clemens, in his strommta, lib. iv. p. $55^{56}$, fpeaking of the Egyptian lieroglyphics, fays: Qui folem volunt fcribere, faciunt circulum ; lunam autern, firguram lunc cormum formam pre fe ferentem, convenicuter ci forma, yux proprie dicitur.
+ Riccioli Almageft. novum, vii. f. \%ol. I. p. 4 io.
obtained. All the other metals, as they feemed to have a greater or lefs affinity to gold. or filver, were diftinguifhed by characters compofed of the characters affigned to thefe precious metals *. In the character $\underset{\sim}{ }$ the adepts difcover gold with a filver colour. The crofs placed at the bottom, which among the Egyptian hieroglyphics had a myfterious fignification $\psi$, expreffes, in their opinion, fomething I know not what, without which quickfilver would be filver or gold. This fomething is combined alfo with copper, the poffible change of which into gold is expreffed by the character $\circ$. The character ${ }^{\circ}$ declares the like honourable affinity alfo; though the femicircle is applied in a more concealed manner; for, according to the propereft mode of writing, the point is wanting at the top, or the upright line ought only to touch the horizontal, and
* Wilh. Chriftoph. Kriegfmann, Taaut, oder Auflegung der chymifchen zeichen, damit die metallen und andere fachen von alters her bemerkt werden. Frankfurt 1665, fix fheets octavo. This work contains nothing but chemical reveries. In refearches of this kind I confider it as my duty to mention thofe books, the titles of which may feem to promife information on the fubject, while at the fame time they contain nothing worth notice. It is proper that my readers fhould know there are fuch works, and that they may fave themfelves the trouble of confulting them.
+ JablonRki, Pantheon Kgypt. i. p. 282, 283,287; and ii. p. 131. This author makes it the reprefentation of fomething which cannot be well named. Kircberi CEdipus . Jgypt. t. ii. pars ii. p. 399. Romæ 1653 . fol.
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not to interfect it. Philofophical gold is concealed in fteel ; and on this account it produces fuch valuable medicines. Of tin one half is filver, and the other confilts of the fomething unknown : for this reafon the crofs with the half moon appears in 24. In lead this fomething is predominant, and a fimilitude is obferved in it to filver. Hence in its character $h_{2}$ the crofs ftands at the top, and the filver character is only fufpended on the right hand behind it *.

The mythological fignification of thefe characters cannot be older than the Grecian mythology ; but the chemical may be traced to a much earlier period. Some, who confider them as remains of the Egyptian hieroglyphics $t$, pretend that they may be difcovered on the table of Ifis, and employ them as a proof of the high antiquity, if not of the art of making gold, at leaft of chemiftry. We are told alfo that they correfpond with many other characters which the adepts have left us as emblems of their wifdom.

If we are defirous of deciding without prejudice refpeating both thefe explanations, it will be found

* Boerhaave, Elementa chemix. Lugd. Bat. 1732, 4to. i. p. 32. See alfo Kircher ut fupra, p. Ifi.
: Goguet, ii. p. 370, 37I, confiders them as remains of the original hieroglyphics; but he is of opinion that we received them is their prefent form from the Arabiane
heceffary to make ourfelves acquainted with the oldelt forn of the characters, which, in all probability; like thofe ufed in writing, were fubjected to many changes before they acquired that form which they have at prefent. I can, however, mention only three learned men, Sauniaife *, Du Cange + , and Huet $\ddagger$, who took the trouble to collect thefe characters. As I am afraid that my readers might be difgufted were I here to infert them; I fhall give a fhort abftract of the conclufion which they form from them; but I muilt firft obferve that the oldeft manufcripts differ very thuch in their repreferitation of thefe characters, either becaufe they were not fully eftablifhed at the periods whien they were written, or becaufe many fuppofed adepts endeavoured to render their information more enigmatical by wilfully confounding the characters; and it is probablealfo that many miftakes may have been committed by tranfcribers;

The charater of Mars, accordirig to the oldeft mode of reprefenting it, is evidently an abbreviation of the word Qoopos, under which the Greek ma-

* Plinianæ Ëxercitat. in Solinum; p. 874.
+ Gloffarium ad f́criptores med: et infimæ Gracitatis. Lugduni 1688. foi. At the end of the Appendix, p. 5 and 6 .
$\ddagger$ In his Annotations on Manilii Afronomicon, added to the edition by Michael Fayus in ufum Dclgbini. Parifiis 1679 , 4to. p. 80.

F 2 thematicians,
thematicians underfood that deity *; or, in other words, the firt letter $\Theta$, with the laft letter s placed above it. The character of Jupiter was originally the initial letter of $Z_{\text {zus }}$; and in the oldert manufcripts of the mathematical and aftrological works of Julius Firmicus the capital Z only is ufed, to which the laft letter s was afterwards added at the bottom, to render the abbreviation more diftinct. The fuppofed looking-glafs of Venus is nothing elfe than the initial letter, diftorted a little, of the word $\Phi$ worpopos, which was the name of that goddefs. The imaginary fcythe of Saturn has been gradually formed from the two firtt letters of his name Kporos, which tranfcribers, for the fake of difpatch, made always more convenient for ufe, but at the fame time lefs perceptible. To difcover in the pretended caduceus of Mercury the initial letter of his Greek name $\sum$ tinbwh, one needs conly look at the abbreviations in the oldeft manufcripts, where they will find that the $\Sigma$ was once written as $C$; they will remark alfo that tranferibers, to diftinguilh this abbreviation from the reft ftill more, placed the $C$ thus $U$, and added under it the next letter $\tau$. If thofe to whom this deduction appears improbable will only take the trouble to look at other Greek abbreviations, they will find many that differ fill farther from the original letters they exprefs than the prefent character of from the $C$ and

This is proved by Saumaife, p. 872 .
$\tau$ united.

## CHEMICAL NAMES OF METALS.

\% united. It is poffible alfo that later tranfcribers, to whom the origin of this abbreviation was not known, may have endeavoured to give it a greater refemblance to the caduceus of Mercury. In thort, it cannot be denied that many other aftronomical characters are real fymbols, or a kind of proper hieroglyphics, that reprefent certain attributes or circumftances, like the characters of Aries, Leo, and others quoted by Saumaife.

But how old is the prefent form of thefe characters? According to Scaliger *, they are of great antiquity, becaufe they are to be found on very old gems and rings. If the ring number 104 in Goræus be old and accurately delineated, this muft indeed be true ; for fome of thefe characters may be very plainly diftinguifhed on the beazel $\uparrow$. We are told by Wallerius $\ddagger$, that they were certain-

[^22]ly ufed by the ancient Egyptians, becaufe Dema: critus, who refided five years in Egypt, fpeaks of then in the plaineft terms. I do not know whence Wallerius derived thịs information, but it proves nothing. He undoubtedly alludes to the laughing philofopher of Abdera, who lived about four hundred and fifty years before our æra, but no authentic writings of his are now extant. Fabricius * fays that we have a Latin tranflation of a work of his De arte facra, Patavii 1572 , which, however, is certainly a production of much later times. I

[^23]have it now before me from the library of our univerfity; and I find that it is not the whole book, but only an abftract, and written in fo extravagant a manner, that the deception is not eafily difcovered. It contains chemical proceffes, but nothing of the characters of metals; which is the cafe alfo with the letters of Democritus, publifhed by Lubbinus*.

## Z I N C.

ZINC is one of thofe metals which were not known to the Greeks, Romans, or Arabians. This we have reafon to conjecture, becaufe it has not been diftinguifhed by a chemical character like the reft; but it is fully proved, by our not finding in the works of the ancients, any information that even appears to allude to it. I know alfo but of one inftance where it is fuppofed to have been found among remains of antiquity. Grignon pre.tends that fomething like it. was difcovered in the ruins of the ancient Roman city in Champagne $\%$. Such an unexpected difcovery deferved to have

[^24]been examined with the utmoft minutenefs; but it feems to have been examined only in a very fuperficial manner; and as that was the cafe, it is impoffible to guefs what kind of a metal or metallic mixture this author confidered as zinc.

It is not furprifing that this metal fhould have remained fo long unknown, for it has never yet been found pure *. Its principal component part is often and in a great degree mixed with ores; and when thefe are melted, it becomes fublimated in a metallic form, and is found adhering above to the cool fides of the furnace; but a particular apparatus is neceffary, elfe the reduced metal partly evaporates, and is partly calcined, by which means it appears like an earthy cruft, and exhibits to the eye no traces of metal.

That mixture of zinc and copper called at prefent brafs, tomback, pinchbeck, princes-metal, \&c. and which was firt difcovered by ores, abundant in zinc, yielding when melted not pure copper, but brafs, was certainly known to the ancients. Mines that contained ores, fron which this gold-coloured metal was produced, were held in the higheit eftimation; when exhautted, the lofs of them was regretted; and it was fuppofed that

[^25]the metal would never be again found. In the courfe of time it was remarked, no one knows by what accident, that an earth, which muft have been calamine, when added to copper while melting, gave it a yellow colour. This earth was therefore ufed, though it was not known to what metal it belonged, in the fame manner as calx of cobalt was employed in colouring glafs before mineralogifts were acquainted with that metal itfelf. Aritotle and Strabo fpeak of an earth of that kind, the ufe of which in making brafs has been retained through every century. Ambrofius, bifhop of Milan, in the fourth century; Primafius, bilhop of Adrumetum in Africa, in the fixth; and Ifidore, bilhop of Seville, in the feventh, mention an addition by which copper acquired a gold colour, and which undoubtedly muft have been calamine*. When, in the courfe of time, more calamine was
> * The firft fays, in his Expofition of the book of Revelation, chap. I: Æs namque in fornace, quibuldam medicaminibus admistis, tamdiu conflatur, ufque dum colorem auri accipiat, et dicitur aurichalcum. The fecond fays, on the fame paffage: Aurichalcum ex ære fit, cum igne multo, et medicamine adhibito, perducitur ad aureum colorem. - - - Ifidor. in Origin. Aurichalcum dictum quod et fplendorem auri et duritiam æris poffideat: fit autem ex ære et igne multo, ac medicaminibus perducitur ad aureum colorem. -- Have thefe bifhops copied each other ? I fhould here give the hiftory of brafs (aurichalcum), had I not faid a great deal on that fubject in the annotations to Arifot. Aufcult. mirab. and were I not afraid that it might be confidered as a repetition.
difcovered, the ancient method of procuring brafs from copper-ore that contained zinc was abandoned ; and it was found more convenient firft to extrack from it pure copper, and then to convert it into brafs by the addition of calamine.

Thofe deffrous of enquiring farther into the knowledge which the ancients had of this metal muft. examine the meaning of the word cadmia, which feems to have had various fignifications. This tafk I have ventured to undertake; and though I cannot clear up every thing that occurs refpecting it, I fhall lay before my readers what information I have been able to obtain on the fubject, becaule perhaps it may amount to fomewhat more than is to be found in the works of old commentators. Cadmia fignified then, in the firft place, a mineral abounding in zinc, as well as any ore combined with it, and alfo that zinc-earth which we call calamine. Thofe who fhould un. derftand under it only the latter, would not be able to explain the greater part of the paffages in the ancients where it is mentioned. It is probable that ore containing zinc acquired this name, becaufe it firt produced brafs *. When it was afterwards remarked, that calamine gave to copper a yellow co-

* Plin. lib. xxxiv. fect. 22 : Ipfe lapis, e quo fit $\mathfrak{x}$, cadmia yocatur.
lour, the fame name was conferred on it alfo, it appears, however, that it was feldom found by the ancients *; and we muft confider cadmia, in general, as fignifying ore that contained zinc. Goldcoloured copper, or brafs, was long preferred to pure or common copper, and thought to be more beautiful the nearer it approached to the beft aurichalcumr. Brass therefore was fuppofed to be a more valuable kind of copper; and on this account Pliny fays, that cadmia was neceflary for procuring copper, that is brafs. Copper, as well as brafs, was for a great length of time called as, and it was not till a late period that mineralogitts, in order to diftinguifh them, gave the name of cuprum to the former + . Pliny fays, thatr it was good when a

[^26]large quantity of cadmia had been added to it, becaufe it not only rendered the colour more beauciful, but increafed the weight. In the like manner, a quintal of copper in Hungary produces an hundred and fifty pounds of brafs. The fame author remarks alfo, that the cadmia (fofflis) was not ufed in medicine : this however is to be underftood only of the raw ore, for fome phyficians prepared zinc-earth from ore that contained zinc, as he afterwards tells us; and Galen extols the calamine found in Cyprus on account of its fuperior effects, becaufe, perhaps, the earth could be obtained from it much purer.

In the fecond place, cadmia, among the ancients, was what we call (ofenbruch) furnace-calamine, or what in melting ore that contains zinc, or in mak-
to the text as a glofs ? Pliny, book xxxvi. 26, fays : Addito cyprio et nitro; which Ifidore, xvi. 15. p. 393, expreffes by the words adjecto cupro et nitro. The fuperiority of the Cyprian copper gave occalion to this appellation; as the beft iron or fteel was called chalybs, from the Chalybes (a people of Galatia) who prepared the fineft, and carried on the greateft trade with it. But in what did the fuperiority of this Cyprian copper confift? In its purity, or in its colour, which approached near to that of gold? That ifland produced a great deal of ore which contained zinc, and abounded alfo with calamine. Pliny fays, in Cypro prima fuit aris invenio. Red copper however had been known there from the earlieft periods, fo that the honour of its invention muf be allowed to that inand without any contradiction ; and Pliny muf undoubtedly allude in the above paffage to fome particular kind.
ing brafs, falls to the bottom of the furnace, and which confifts of more or lefs calcined zinc *. As this furnace-calamine affumes various appearances, according to the manner of melting, and according to many other circumftances that in part cannot be defined ; and as the ancients comprehend all its varieties under the general name of codmia, and give to each variety, according to its form, confittence and colour, a particular name alfo, a confufion of names has hence arifen which cannot now be cleared up, efpecially as it is not thought worth while to diftinguifh all its incidental variations. Our phyficians efteem only the pure zinc-earth; and as they know how to obtain it, they are not under the neceffity of ufing impure furnace-calamine. In our melting houfes it is employed, without much nicety in the choice, for making zinc or brafs ${ }^{2}$.

## What

* Pliny fays, p. 659: Fit fine dubio cadmia et in argenti fornacibus, fed nequaquam comparanda mariæ. Diofcorides fays the fame. Some fuppofe that the author means litharge; but: he fpeaks of filver-ore mixed with zinc, which certainly will produce (ofentruch) furnace-calamine.
+ I hall embrace this opportunity of prefenting to thofe fond of critical remarks, a few obfervations on Diofcorides. In book $v$. c. 84, he firft mentions fome forts of cadmia, Borsuirts, $\pi i .2 \% s \% n$ and ospackit!. Thefe, according to Galen and Pliny, are undoubtedly certain kinds of (ofenbrucb) furnace-calamine; but Saumaife in his book De bomonymis, p. 230, and Sarracen in his Annotations, P. II3, are of opinion that Diofcorides confidered them

What here appears to me noof fingular is, that the ancients fhould have given the fame names to furnace-calamine (ofenbruct) as they gave to ores that contained zinc. The affinity of thefe fubftances they could conjecture only from their effeets, or perhaps they were induced to do fo from obferving
them as native kinds of cadmia, or minerals abundant in zinc.

 condly, becaufe he begins' aftèrwards to fpeàk for the firft time of factitious cadmia, or furnace-calamine, where he fays: $\varsigma, \varepsilon \% z \pi \sim$
 low myfelf to believe tlat Diofcorides, who was fo caréful, and who inmiediately after defcribes the artificia! prêparation of cadmia clearly and properly; fhould have thus erred: Befides, every kind of ofenbruch (furnace-calamine) mult have difcovered its origin from fire to fuch a good judge of minerals as Diofcorides. I am conviriced that he, as well as Gialen and Pliny, confidered

 tation made by fome perfon on the paffage, and inferted afterwards in the text by an inátentive tranferiber. Such infertions, in my opinion, are more frequent in Dofcorides than in any other author. His works were a kind of manual to phyficians; in which each made fuch obfervations as he thought proper. The
 ition to the artificial kinds of cadmia. The author only begins there to give an account how the before-defcribed kinds of cadmiac were produced or prepared. The tranflation: Gignitur porro et cadmia quxdam e fuligine, quæ, dum excoquitur æs, la. teribus camerifque fornacum applicatur, is entirely wrong. It ought to be: Fit autem cadmia. . . - Thie former has arifen from

obferving that furnace-calamine was not produced but when the different kinds of cadmia, as they were called, were melted; that is, when yellow and not red copper was obtained. Ofenbruch got the name of furnace-calamine at Rammelfberg, when it was obferved that it could be employed inftead of rative calamine for making brafs *. Were the ancients then in any meafure acquainted wich this
and thofe tranfcribers have been confidered to be right, who, on
 to be convinced that Diofcorides had hitherto fpoken of ative calamine.

Pompholyx was the name of the white flowers of zinc which Dioforides, v. 85. p. 352 , compares to wool, and which by chemilts were formerly called lana philofoplica. That author lays:
 The ancients collected thefe flowers when produced by the melt. ing of zinc-ore; but they obtained them alfo by an apparatus which is fully defcribed by Diofcorides and Galen, and which approaches near to that ufed for collecting arfenic in the poifon melting-houfes as they are ufually called.-That thefe flowers are named alfo nicht, and furnace-nicbt, is well known. Frifch conjectures that this name was derived from oryk bites, which fig. nified a kind of furnace-drofs. After this derivation was forgotten, the word was tranflated ribil and nibilum; and in the fame manner from glals-gall has arifen fel vitri.

[^27]ufe of it ? Galen and Diofcorides fpeak only of its ufe in medicine, and fay nothing of its being employed in the preparation of brafs. The Arabian writers, particularly the tranflators of the Greek phyfician, 「peak in a much clearer manner of the preparation of brafs; but the appellations which they employ are fo indeterminate in their fignification, that an anfwer to that queftion cannot be deduced from them. Climia, which fome pronounce calimia, and from which the modern Greeks made kelimia, and the Latins lapis calaminaris, feems to have entirely the fame meaning as cadmia.

- Tutia, which occurs firft in the eleventh century, in Avicenna, and which the Greeks write toutic, or perhaps more properly thouthia, fignifies fometimes pompholyx ; but, in common, it feems to exprefs alfo minerals that contain zinc, and likewife furnace-calamine *. Could it be proved that the tutia of the Arabs and latter Greeks was furnacecalamine, or the tutia of our druggifts, the oldeft account with which I am acquainted of furnacecalamine, employed in making brafs, would occur in Zofimus, who, according to every appearance,

[^28]lived
lived in the fifth century *. This author tells us, that, in order to make brafs, Cyprus copper mult be melted, and pounded tutia muit be ftrewed over it. Saumaife fufpects that Zofimus here means only calamine : but however this may be, his receipt has been retained till the prefent time in books on the arts; for thefe recommend not cao lamine but tutia. Notwichftanding this, we are ftill ignorant where and how the fubftance is prepared which is fold under that name ; but it evidently appears to the eye, that it is a mixture of calciform zinc and burnt earth $\uparrow$.

We can with more certainty affirm, that this ufe

* It is not certainly known when this Zofimus Panoplitanus lived. His works, which muft contain abundance of information relpecting the hiftory of chemiftry, have never yet been printed. The greater part of them were preferved in the King's library at Paris. The receipt to which I allude has been inferted by _Saumaife, p. 237.
+ Neumann's Chemie; von Keffel, iv. 2. p. 657. Fallopius de metal. p. 30\%, fays, it is made at Venice, which appears to me moft probable, though it occurs alfo in the bills of lading of Eaft India fhips. We read in Obfervations fur la plyyfique, vi. p. 255, that for many years tutia has been collected and fold in the bifhopric of Liege. Lehmann endeavours to fhew that it was made by the Jews in Poland. Novi commentarii Academ. Petropolit. xii. p. 381 . As the ufe of tutia has been almoft abandoned, becaufe phyficians prefer pure flowers of zinc, and becaufe thofe who make pinchbec employ purified zine; it is probable that this fublance will foon be entirely neglected.

82 HISTORY OF INVENTIONS.
of furnace-calamine, in making brafs, was known to Albertus Magnus in the thirteenth century; for he fays, firft, that yellow copper was made by the addition of calamine, which he calls lapis calaminaris. He tells us afterwards, that Hermes taught how to give a gold colour to copper by throwing pounded tutia into the melted metal. Tutia, fays he, which is ufed in the tranfmutation of metals, is not a native mineral, but an artificial mixture, produced in the furnace when copper-ore is melted; and he advifes glafs-gall to be ftrewed over the ore, otherwife calamine and tutia will lofe their force in the fire *. It would appear that the laft-mentioned name, in the thirteenth century, fignified only furnace-calamine, and that its ufe for making brals was at that period known.

For many centuries however, the ofenbruch, fur-nace-calamine, with which, as we are told, the furnaces at Rammelfberg overflowed, was thrown afide as ufelefs, till at length, in the middle of the fixteenth century, Erafmus Ebener firt Alewed that it might be ufed inftead of native calamine for making brafs. This Ebener, defcended from the

* Ligatur autem per oleum vitri; tolluntur cnim fragmenta vitri, et convertuntur in pulverem, et fpargitur in teflam fuper 2s pofquami immiffa eft calaminaris, et tunc vitrum projectum enatat fuper ess, et non finit evaporare lapiden et lapidis virtutem, fed reflectit vaporen lapidis in æs. De mineralibus. Colonixe 1569, 12 mo . p. 350 . lib. iv. cap. 5 ; and lib. .. cap. 7. p. $3^{8 S}$.

Hoble family of that name at Nuremberg, was a man of great learning, and an able ftatefman. He was employed by his native city, and by foreign princes, on occafions of the higheft importance. In 1569 , he was privy-counfellor to Julius duke of Brunfwick, and died in 1577 , at Helmiftadt, where he was buried *. I regret much that I can give no farther account of this important difcovery than what I have inferted in my introduction to Technology. The time even when it was made, is not known with certainty. Lohneyfs fays, that it was fixty years before the period when he wrote. But at what period did he write? The oldeft edition, with which I am acquainted, of his treatife on mines is of the year 1617, fo that this difcovery would fall about the year $1557 \dagger$. Calvor caufed to be printed an old account of the Rammeliberg mines, which was faid to have been publifhed in 1565. According to that work, Erafmus Ebern (for fo was the name there improperly written) made the above-mentioned oblervation at Nuremberg, about feventeen years before, that is, about

[^29]the year 154.8 :. Schluter $\dagger$ affigns as the period, about 1550, and Honemann * about 1559. We may therefore very fafely place it in the middle of the fixteenth century, and probably the difcovery happened in 1553, at which cime Ebener was fent to duke Henry, with whom he continued a long time, as we are exprefsly told by Doppelmayr. This ufe of calamine refufe induced the managers of the profitable brafs-works in the Harz foreft to pick up carefully that which had there been before thrown afide. Duke Julius, who endeavoured to improve every branch of manufacture, and particularly what related to metallurgy, and who, agreeably to the then prevailing and apparently returning mode of princes, fuffered himfelf to be duped with the hopes of making gold, improved the brafs-works at Buntheim, below Harzburg, and by thefe means brought a great revenue to the electoral treafury ||.

Another production of zinc, arcificial white vi-
${ }^{*}$ Hiforifche nachricht von den Unter- und Ober-Harzifchen. bergwerken. Braunfchw. 1765, fol. p. 208.

+ Von hiittenwerken, p. 235 .
$\ddagger$ Die Altecthumer des Harzes. Claufthal IT54, 4 to, is. p. 119 and 124.
if Rehtmeiers Praunfchweig-Luneburgifhe Chronik. Braunfehweig 17:2, fol. p. iofiz.
triol, was alio long prepared, ufed, and employed in commerce before it was known that it was procured from this femi-metal. That it was not known before the middle of the fixteenth century, and that it was firt made at Rammelfberg, may with confidence be affirmed. Schluter afcribes the invention of it to duke Julius, and places it in the year 1570 * : but it mult be fomewhat older than the above-quoted account of Rammeliberg; for the author, who wrote about 1565 , relates, that in his time one citizen only, whom he calls Henni Balder, boiled white vittiol; and it appears that this perfon kept the procefs a fecret. That the invention however was not then new, is evident from his adding, that what its effects might be in medicine had not been examined; but that its ufe in
* Von hiittenwerken, p. 597.
$\dagger$ White vitriol alfo is made at Goflar, but by one citizen only, named Henni Balder. It is not procured by the evaporation of copper like other vitriol ; but when large quantities of ore are roafted in the furnaces, a red fubftance is from time to time collected on the refufc of the ore, and found in fome places half an cll thick. This fubflance, which is faltifh, is formed into a lye, and boiled in fmall leaden pans. The reft of the procefs I do not know, but 1 obferved that it cryftallifes like faltpetre, but it is ftronger and whiter. It is alfo calt into fmall calkes about the thicknefs of one's hand. This vitriol is employed by the leatherdreffers, and may be ufed for many things inftead of alum ; but it cannot be ufed in drefling white fkins, becaufe it makes them yellowifh. Hiforifibe nachricht, p. 212.

[^30]making eye-water had been known almoft as early as the time when it was difcovered. This agrees with another account, according to which the method of boiling white vitriol was found out at the time when Chrittopher Sander, whofe fervice to the Harz is well known, was tithe-gatherer *. Honemann fays, that Sander was tithe-gatherer at the mines of the Upper Harz before the year 1564 , but that in this year he was principal tithe-gatherer and director of the mines and melting-houfes at Goflar $\psi$. Sander himfelf, in a paper dated Augutt 3, 1575, feems to afcribe the invention of white vitriol to duke Julius ${ }^{\text {+ }}$.

At firft this falt was called erzalaun, a name occafioned by its likenefs to cryftal, but afierwards it was more frequently known by thofe of gallitzeinflein, golitzenflein, and calitzenfein \|. The latter names however appear to be older than white vitriol itfelf; as we find that green vitriol, even before the year 1565 , was called green gallitzenfein. May not the word be derived from gallo: becaufe it is probable that vitriol and galls were for a long time the principal articles ufed for making ink and

[^31]in dyeing? I am of opinion that the white vitriol, which is produced in the mines of Rammelfberg in the form of icicles, gave rife to the invention of this falt. The former, fo early as the year $\mathrm{I}_{5} 65$, was called white native vitriol, or white gorkelgut, and was packed up in cafks, and in that manner tranfported for fale *. I thall not here enter into the old conjectures refpecting the origin and component parts of this vitriol; but it deferves to be remarked, that Henkel $\dagger$ and Neumann $*$ obferved in it a mixture of zinc, by which Mr. Brandt, a member of the Swedifh council of the mines, was led to prove, that, when pure, it confifts of the vitriolic acid and zinc earth; and this was afterwards confirmed by Hellot $\mid$.
\#Calvor, Hiftorifche nachricht, p. 199 and 200. Properly it is written and pronounced jockel. It is very remarkable that in Iceland this word at prefent fignifies icicles. I imagined that I had been the firft perlon who made this remark when I found the word often in Olafien und Povellen's Reife durch Ifland, i. p. $4^{6}$; but I obferve that the fame remark is made and explained by Anderfon, in Nachrichten ven Ifand, Hamburg i $77^{6,} 8 \mathrm{vo}$. p. 4.
$\uparrow$ Kieshiforie, p.904.
$\ddagger$ Chemic, von Keffel, iv. 2, p. 832, where may be found the old opinions on this fubject.
|| Brandt, in A ia Upfalienf. 1735. Hellot in Memoires de $l^{\prime}$ Acad. des foiences à Paris, $1735, \mathrm{p} .29$. Of the lateft flate of white vitriol works I have given an account in Beytragen zur akonomie, technolog. iv. p. 59. It deferves to be remarked, that fince the year 1730 the demand for this article has increafed every ten years, though one cannot fay why it is more ufed at prefent than formerly.

I come now, in the laft place, to the hiftory of this femi-metal, which, when furnace-calamine was ufed, could not remain long unobferved, as it is fometimes found amongtt it uncalcined in metallic drops. It is worthy of remark, that Albertus Magnus, who firt defrribed the ufe of furnace-calamine in making brafs, is the oldeft author in which mention is to be found of zinc \%. He calls it marcbafita aurea. This was properly a fone, the metallic particles of which were fo entirely:fublimated by fire, that nothing but ufelefs afhes remained behind. It contained fixed quickfilver, communicated a colour to metals, on which ac-

* I fhall here give the author's whole account, that the reader may compare it with my extract; for I an not fo fully acquainted with the nomenclature of the ancient chemifts as to flatter myfelf that I underftand the whole of it.

De mineral. ii. cap. II: Marchafita, five marchafida ut quidam dicunt, eft lapis in fubitantia, et habet multas fpecies, quare colorem accipit cujunibet metalli, et fic dicitur marchafita argentea et aurea, et fic dicitur aliis. Metallum tamen quod colorat eum non dinillat ab ipfo, fed evaporat in ignem, et fic relinquitur cinis inutilis, et hic lapis notus eft apud alchimicos, et in mult is locis veniuntur.

Lib. iii. cap. 10: Es autem invenitur in venis lapidis, et quod eft apud locum qui dicitur Gofelaria eft puriffimun et optimum, et toti fubfantix lapidis incorporatum, ita quod totus lapis eft ficut marchafita aurea, et profundatum eft melius ex eo quod purius.

Lib. v. cap. 5: Dicimus igitur quod marchafita duplicem habet in fui creatione fubftantiam, argenti vivi fcilicet mortificati,
count it was well known to the alchemifts, burned in the fire, and was at length entirely confumed. It was found in various parts, but that at Goflar was the beft, becaufe the copper it contained feemed to have in it a mixture of gold. To give this copper however a ftill greater refemblance to gold, fome tin was added to it, by which means it became more britule. This marchafita alfo rendered copper white as filver. Thus far Albertus. It obtained without doubt the name of marcbafita aurea, becaufe zinc communicates a yellow colour to copper; and for the fame reafon the Greeks and the Arabians called cadmia golden or curea *. But how could Albertus fay that marchafite made copper white? Did he commit a miftake and mean tin? To me this appears not improbable, as at one
et ad fixionem approximantis, et fulphuris adurentis. Ipfam habere fulphureitatem comperimus manifefta experientia. Nam cum fublimatur, ex illa emanat fubftantia fulphurea manifetta comburens. Et fine fublimatione fimiliter perpenditur illius fulphureitas.

Nam fi ponatur ad ignitionem, non fufcipit illam priufquam inflammatione fulphuris inflammetur, et ardeat. Ipfam vero argenti vivi fubftantiam manifeftatur habere fenfibiliter. Nam albedinem preefat Veneri meri argenti, quemadmodum et ipfum argentum vivum, et colorem in ipfius fublimatione cæleftium preftare, et luciditatem manifeftam metallicam habere videmus, quæ certum reddunt artificem Alchimix, illam has fubitantias fontinere in radice fua.

[^32]time he feems to call it argentea. I imagine that he knew that copper, when mixed with as much zinc as poffible, that is, according to Scheffer *, eighty-nine pounds to a hundred, became white; and it appears that by this he wifhed to ettablifh its affinity with quick filver.

The next author who gives an intelligible account of this metal, is Theophraftus Paracelfus, who died in 154r. I do not however imagine that it was forgoten in this long interval, at leaft by thofe who were called alchemifts. I am rather of opinion, that on account of the great hopes which it gave them by the colouring of copper, they defcribed it purpoiely in an obfcure manner, and concealed it under other names, fo that it was only not difcovered in their works. There are few who would have patience to wade through thefe, and the few who could do fo, twin their attention to objects of greater importance than thofe which occupy mine. Gold and filver excepted, there is no metal which has had formerly fo many and fo wonderful names as zinc $\dagger$. For this reafon, chemifts long believed that zinc was not a diftinct femi-metal, but only a variety of tin or bifmuth; and with thefe perhaps it may hence have been ofien confounded.

* Chemifche vorlefungen, p. 604.
+ A great many may be found collected in Fuchs, G.fobichte


The

The name zinc occurs firt in Paracelfus. He exprefsly calls it a difinct metal, the nature of which was not fufficiently known; which could be caft, but was not malleable, and which was produced only in Carinthia. Was he then unacquainted with the zinc of Goflar, which was known at an earlier period to Albertus Magnus *? George Agricola, who wrote about the year 1550, \{peaks however of the Goflar zinc, but he calls it liquor candidus, and German conterfey $\dagger$. Mathefius,

* Paracelfi Opera, durch Brifgoium in truck gegeben. Straโ. burg 1616, fol. Chronika des landes Karnten, p. 25 F. Von bergkrankbeiten, p. 656. De feparationc elementorum, p. 793. Pbilofophie lib. iv. p. 56: Zinc for the moft part is a battard kind of copper. Primum manuale, p. 685 and 686. De mumeralibus tractatus, i. p. I37. Becaufe this is the principal paffage, I thall here tranfcribe it as it may be found in the following edition : Etliche Tralat. Thropbr. Paracelf.-iv. von Mincralien, Strafburg 15S2, Svo. p. 425 : Of zinc. There is another metal, zinc, which is in general unknown. It is a diftinct metal of a different origin, though adulterated with many other metals. It can be melted, for it confifts of three fluid principles, but it is not malleable. In its colour it is unlike all others, and does not grow in the fame manner; but with its ultima materia I am as yet unacquainted, for it is almof as Atrange in its properties as argentunz vivum. It admits of no mixture, will not bear the fabricationes of other metals, but keeps itfelf entirely to itfelf. In Bafilii Valentini Tri-umplo-zuagen des antimonii, Hamburg 1717 , 8vo. p. 34T, zinc is mentioned together with cobolt, marchafite and biimuth.
+ De re metallica, lib. ix. p. 329, and in the frrft index. Liquor candidus primo e fornace defluens cum Gofelarix excoquitur pyrites, kobelt, quem parietes fornacis exudant, conterfey.
who publithed his Sermons in 1562 , fays, "at Freyberg, there is red and white zinc *." Perhaps he did not mean the metal, but minerals that contained zinc. George Fabricius, who died in 157 1, conjectures that Aibiuin is what the miners call cincum, which can be melted, but not hammered $\dagger$.

One fees by thefe imperfect accounts that this femi-metal muft have been fcarce, even in the middle of the fixteenth century, and that it was not 20 the collection of Agricola, which was confidesable for that period. Libavius, who died in 1616 , mentions it feveral times; but be regrets, in one of his letters, that he had not been able to procure any of it $\ddagger$. Was this owing to the prohibition of duke Julius, by which it was forbidden to be fold? This prohibition is quoted by Pott || from fungii Mineralogia, with which I am unacquainted; but as Pott has already, by his unintelligible quotations, made me fpend many hours to no purpofe, I fhall not wafte more in fearching for it. The prohibition alluded to is menrioned neither by Rehtmeier nor by any other author. The foolifh tafte for alchemy, which

* In the third Sermon, p. 122.
+ De metallicis rebus, in Gefner's work De ommi terum foffiliunn gerere, p. 27.
$\ddagger$ This leter may be found in J. Hornung's Cijfa mirdica. Lipfix 1661. iv.
|| De zinco, p. 2 Y.
mrevailed then at the Duke's court, makes it not altogether improbable that one was iffued *; and if that was really the cafe, it was occafioned not fo much by any dread of this femi-metal being mifufed, as Pott thinks, but by the high hopes which were entertained of its utility in making gold. The firtt accurate and certain account of the method of procuring zinc at Gollar, is, as far as I know, given by Lohneyfs, though he confiders it to be the fame as bifmurh $\dagger$. Joh. Schroder of Weftphalia,
* How much duke Julius, who in other refpects did great fervice to his country, fuffered himfelf to be duped by the art of making gold, appears from an anecdote given by Rehtmeier, p. 1016. Of this anecdote I received from Mr. Ribbentrop an old account in manufcript, which one cannot read without aftonifhment. There is fill fhewn, at the caftle of Wolfenbuttle, an iron ftool, on which the impoftor, Anna Maria Zieg. lerinn, named Scblunter Ilfche, was burnt, February 5, 1575.
$\dagger$ Page 83: When the people at the melting-houres are employed in melting, there is formed under the furnace, in the crevices of the wall, among the fones where it is not well plaftered, a metal which is called zinc or conterfebt; and when the wall is fcraped, the metal falls down into a trough placed to receive it. This metal has a great refemblance to tin, but it is harder and lefs malleable, and rings like a fmall bell. It could be made alfo, if people would give themfelves the trouble; but it is not much valued, and the fervants and workmen only colleat it when they are promifed drink-money. They, however, fcrape off more of it at one time than at another ; for fometimes they collect two pounds, but at others not above two ounces. This metal, by itfelf, is of no ufe, as, like bifmuth, it is not malleable; but when
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phalia, who died in 1664 , calls it marcafun falm lida*.

The firft perfon who purpofely procured this femi-metal from calamine, by the addition of fome inflammable fubftance, was undoubtedly Henkel, who gave an account of his fuccefs in the year 174I, though he concealed the whole procefs + . After him, Dr. Ifaac Lawfon, a Scotfman, feems to have made experiments, which proved the poffibility of obtaining zinc, in this manner, on a large fcale; and in 1737 Henkel heard that it was then manufactured in England with great advantage. Of this Lawfon I know nothing more than what is related by Dr. Watfon $\ddagger$. Anthony von Swab, member of the Swedifh
mixed with tin it renders it harder and more beautiful, like the Englifh tin. This zinc or bifmuth is in great requeft among the alchemifts.

* Thefaurus pharmacolog. Ulmæ 1662, 4to. p. 458.
+ Kieshiforie, p. 571, and particularly p. 72 I.
$\ddagger$ Pott refers to Lawfon's Diffort. de nihilo, and quotes fome sords from it ; but I cannot find it; nor am I furprifed at this, as it was not known to Dr. Watfon. See Chomical Effays; Cambridge 1786 , 12 mo. iv. p. 34. Pryce, in Mineral. Cornub. P. 49. fays: "The late Di. J. Lawfon, ublerving that the flowers of lapis calaminaris were the fame as thofe of zinc, and that its effects on copper were allo the fame with that femi-metal, never remitted his endeavours till he found the method of feparating pure zine from that ore. The fame account is given in the fupplemen:

Swedifh council of mines, procured this femi-metal afterwards from calamine by diftillation, in 1742; as did Marggraf in 1746, who appears, however, not to have been acquainted with the Swedifh experiment. In the year 1743, one Champion eftablifhed tin-works at Briftol, which were continued by his fucceffor James Emerfon, who eftablifhed works of the like kind at Henham, in the neighbourhood. The manner in which the metal was procured has been defcribed by Dr. Watfon, in his Chemical Effays.

The greater part of this femi-metal, ufed in Europe, is undoubtedly brought from the Eaft Indies. The Commercial Company in the Netherlands, between the years 1775 and 1779, caufed to be fold, on their account, above 943,08 r pounds of it *. In the year 1780, the chamber of Rotterdam alone fold 28,000 pounds; and I find, by printed catalogues, that the other chambers, at that period, had not any of it in their poffeffion. If the account given by Raynal be true, the Dutch Ealt India Company purchare annually, at Palimbang, a million and a half of
fupplement to Chambers's Dictionary, 1753, art. calam, and zixc; and in Campbell's Political Survey of Britain, ii. p. 35. The latter, however, adds, that Lawfon died too early to derive any benefit from his difcovery.

[^33]pounds.
pounds*. In r78r, the Danifh Company, at Coo penhagen, purchafed 153,953 pounds of tutenage, which had been carried thither in two veffels, at the rate of from four and one-eighth to four and a quarter fchillings Lubec per pound. It is probable that the Englifh and Swedes import this article alfo. It would be of fome confequence, if one could learn in what part of India, when, and in what manner this femi-metal was firlt procured, and in what year it was firt carried thence to Europe. According to the fcanty information which we have on the fubjcet, it comes from China in, Bengal \$, Malacca II, and the Malabar coaft, from which copper and tin are alfo imported §. In the oldeft bills of lading of thips belonging to the Ne-

* Gefchichte der befitzungen in Indien, i. p. 24I. The author fays that the Company give for it at the rate of twentyeight florins three-quarters per hundred weight, and that this price is moderate. At Amfterdam, however, the price commonly is from feventeen to eighteen florins banco. According to a catalogue which I have in my poifeffion, the price, on the gth of May I h8, was feventeen florins, and, on the 22 d of January $1 ; 81$, it was only fixteen.
+ Meifters Oricnt. lufgärtner, p. 276.
$\ddagger$ Ibid. p. 268 .
|| Linfchoten's Reife, b. ii. c. 17. The author calls it calaen, the name ufed in the country. It is a kind of tin. Brackiagntr, Magnal. Dei, p. 1038.
§ Baldxus, Befchreibung der küft Malabar, Amfterd. 16 § 2. fol. p. gs.
therlands I find no mention of zinc; but it is poffible that it may be comprehended under the name of Indian tin; for fo it was at firt called. Savor, who died about the year 1640 , relates, on the authority of a cotemporary writer *, that fome years before $f$ the Dutch lad taken from the Portuguefe a thip laden with this metal, which was fold under the name of speautre. It is probable, therefore, that it was brought to Europe fo early as the beginning of the feventeenth century. Indian tin is mentioned by Mr. Boyle $\ddagger$.

It is probable that this femi-metal was difcovered in India before any thing of the European zinc had been known in that country; but we are ftill lefs acquainted with the caufe of the difcovery than with the method of procuring the metal. We are iold thiat an Englifhman, who; in that century, went to India, in order to difcover the procefs ufed there, returned with an account that it was obtained by diftillation per defcenfum §.

* De nummis antiquis ; in Thefaurus antiquitat. Roman. xi. p. 1195.
+ In the latell edition of Effays de Jean Rey, publifhed, with notes, by Gobet; Paris ${ }^{7} 777$, viii. p. 178 . It is there faid that this happened in the year 1620 .
$\ddagger$ Experimenta de flammæ ponderabilitate. Londini 1673 . x2mo. p. 15. exp. 12.
§ Bergmania, Opufcula, ii: p. 32 x. Abbandlungen der Schaved. Akad. xxxtii. p. 85.
vol. III.
H.
Refpecting

Refpeceing the origin of the different names of this femi-metal, I can offer very litrle. Cointerfoy fignified formerly every kind of metal made in imitation of gold *. Frifch fays it was called zink, from which was formed firft zinetum, and afterwards zincum, because the furnace-calamine affumes the figure of (zinken or zacken) nails or fpikes; but it is to be remarked, that thefe names do not occur before the difcovery of this femiinetal, though ofenbruch was known long before. Fulda Cpeaks of the Anglo-Saxon Sin, zink, which he tranflates obryzum in. Spiauter. Specuter, and $\int p i-$ alter, from which Boyle made foltrum, and alfo tutaneg or tuttanego, came to us from India with the commodity. Under the lalt-mentioned name is fometimes comprehended a mixture of tin and bifmuth. Calaem is alfo an Indian appellation given to this femi-metal, and has a confiderable likenefs to calamine; but I am of opinion with

[^34]Saumaife, that the latter is not derived from the former, as lapis calaninaris occurs in the thirteenth century, and calaem was finft brought to us by the Portuguefe from India.

## BOOK-CENSOR̈S.

${ }^{5} \mathrm{O}$N accouint of the great eafe," fays Mr. Put. ter, "with which, after the invention of printing, copies of books could be multiplied and difperfed, it was neceffary that fome means fhould be detifed to prevent a bad ufe from being made of this art, and to guard againft its being employed to the prejudice of either religion or good morals, or to the injury of ftates. For this reafon it was every where laid down as a general maxim, that no one thould be allowed to eftablifh a printing-office at pleafure, but by the permiffion and under the in Ipection of government; and that no work fhould be fuffered to go to prefs until it had been examined by a cenfor appointed for that purpofe, or declared by a particular order to be of a harmlefs nature *."

* Der büchernachdruck nach ächten grundfätzein des rechts geprüft. ${ }^{1} 7 \uparrow 4,4$ to. It was by reading the above paffage I was induced to make this inquiry into the antiquity of book-cenfors.

Many centuries, however, before the invention of printing, books were forbidden by different governments, and even condemned to the flames. A variety of proofs can be produced that this was the cafe among both the ancient Greeks and Romans. At Athens the works of Protagoras were prohibited; and all the copies of them which could be collected were burnt by the public crier \%. At Rome the writings of Numa, which had been found in his grave, were, by order of the Senate, condemned to the fire, becaufe they were contrary to the religion which he had introduced $\uparrow$. As the populace at Rome were, in times of public calamity, more addicted to fuperftition than feemed proper to the government, an order was iffued that all fuperttitious and aftrological books fhould be delivered into the hands of the prator $\ddagger$. This order was often repeated §; and the emperor Auguftus caufed more than twenty thoufand of thefe books to be burnt at one time $\|$. Under the

[^35]fame emperor the fatirical works of Labienus were condemned to the fire, which was the firft inftance of this nature; and it is related as fomething fingular, that, a few years after, the writings of the perfon who had been the caufe of the order for that purpofe fhared the like fate, and were alfo publicly burnt\%. In a manner fomewhat fimilar the works of Ben. Arias Montanus, who affifted to make the firt catalogue of prohibited books in the Netherlands, were afterwards inferted in a casalogue of the fame kind. The burning of thefe works having induced Caffius Severus to fay, in a fneering manner, that it would be neceffary to

[^36]burn him alive, as he had got by heart the witings of his friend Labienus, this expreffion gave rife to a law of Auguftu:s againft abufive writings * When Cremutius Cordus, in his Hiftory, called C. Caffius the laft of the Romans, the Senate, in order to flatter Tiberius, caufed the book to be burnt; but a number of copies were faved by being concealed i. Antiochus Epiphanes caufed the books of the Jews to be burnt +; and in the firlt centuries of our æra the books of the Chriftians were treated with equal feverity, of which Arnobius bitterly complains §. We are told by Eufebius, that Diocletian caufed the facred Scrip-

* Taciti Annal. lib. i. c. 72. Bayle, in his Dictionary, has endeavoured to clear up fome doubts refpecting the hiftory of Caffius and Labienus. See the article Caffius.
+ Libros per ædiles cremandos cenfuere patres, fed manferunt occulti et editi. Quo magis focordiam eorum inridere libet, qui præfenti potentia credunt extingui poffe etiam fequentis ævi memoriam. Nam contra, punitis ingeniis glifcit auctoritas; ne: que aliud externi reges, aut qui eadem færitia ufi funt, nifi dedecus fibi, atque illis gloriam peperere. Tacit, Anna!. lib. iv. cap. 35 .
$\ddagger$ Maccab. ii.
§ Alios audio muffitare indignanter et dicere: oportere flatti per fenatum, aboleantur ut hæc feripta, quibus Chritiana religio comprobetur, et vetuftatis opprimatur auctoritas - - . Nam intcrcipere fcripta, et publicatam velle fubmergere lectionem, non eft deos defendere, fed veritatis teftificationem timerc. Arvitb:\#s Adverfus gentes, lib. iii. Lugduni•Bat. 16 jr. 4to. p. 10:. He epeats the fame thing at the epl of the fourth book, p. 152.
zures to be burnt \%. After the fpreading of the Chriftian religion the clergy exercifed againft books that were either unfavourable or difagreeable to them, the fame feverity which they had cenfured in the heathens as foolifh and prejudicial to their own caufe. Thus were the writings of Arius condemned to the flames at the council of Nice ; and Contantine threatened with the punifhment of death thofe who fhould conceal them $q$. The clergy affembled at the council of Ephefus requetted the Emperor Theodofius II. to caufe the works of Neftorius to be burnt; and this defire was complied with $\ddagger$. The writings of Eutyches flared the like fate at the council of Chalcedon; and it would not be difficult to collect examples of the fame kind from each of the following centuries.

We have inftances alfọ that, many centuries

* Eufebius, Hiftor. ecclef. lib. viii. cap. 2. Suidas fays the fame.
$\dagger$ Socrates, lib, i. cap. 6.
$\ddagger$ Ulpianus : Tantundem debebit jucex facere in libris improbatæ lectionis, magicis forte, vel his fimilibus; hæc enim omnia protinus corrumpenda funt. Digefor. lib. x. tit. 2, 4, r. -.. Wec vero impios libros nefandi et facrilegi Neftorii adverfus venerabilem orthodoxorum fectam, decretaque fanctiffimi coetus antiflitum Ephefi habiti, frriptos, habere aut legere, aut defcrilere quifquam audeat, quos diligenti Itudio requiri, ac publice çomburi decernimus . .- Cod. lib. i. tit. 5, 6 .
prior to the invention of printing, authors fub: mitted their works, before they were publifhed, to the judgment of their fuperiors. This was, done principally by the clergy ; partly to fecure. themfelves from cenfure or punifhment, and partly to fhew their refpect to the Pope or to bifhops. It, however, does not appear that this was a duty, but a voluntary act. In the year 768 Ambrofius Autpert, a Benedictine monk, fent his Expofition of the book of Revelation to Pope Stephen III, and begged that he would publifh the work and make it known. On this occafion he fays exprefsly, that he is the firft writer who ever requefted fuch a favour; that liberty to write belongs to every one who does not wifh to depart from the doctrine of the fathers of the church; and he hopes that this freedom will not be lef. fened on account of his voluntary fubmiffion*.

Soon after the invention of printing, laws began to be made for fubjecting books to examination ; a regulation propofed even by Plato; and which has been wifhed for by many fince + . It is very
probable

[^37]probable that the fear under which the clergy were, left publications fhould get abroad prejudicial to religion, and confequently to their power, contributed not a little to haften the eftablifment of book-cenfors. The earlieft inftançe of a book printed with a permiffion from government, is commonly fuppofed to occur in the year 1480; and Dom Liron, a Benedictine monk, is, perhaps, the firft perfun who made that remark. He is the author of a work called Singularités biforiques et litteraires*; in the laft part of which, where he fpeaks of the Heidelberg edition of the book Nofce te it fum, in 1480, he fays, "This is the firft pub" lication I found accompanied with feveral folemn "r approbations and atteftations in its favour." The fame thing is faid by J. N. Weiflinger, one of the mot illiberal defenders of the Catholic church, in whofe work, entitled Armamentariuin
commemorat, in omni republica prefcribi curarive oportere, ne cui liceat, que compofuerit, aut privatim oftendere, aut in ufum publicum edere, antequam ea conflituti fuper id judices viderint, nec damnarint. Utinam hodieque haberetur hæc lex; neque enim tam multi feriberent, neque tam pauci bonas litteras difcerent. Nam et copia malorum librorum offundimur, et omiffis eminentiffimis auftoribus, plebeios et minutulos confectamur. Et quod calamitofiffimum eft, periti juxta imperitique de fludiis impune ac promifcue judicant.-This letter may be found in Angeli Politiani Opera. Lugduni 1533. 8vo. p. 441 .

* Singularités hiftoriques at litteraires. Paris 1738 - 1740 . sto. vol, viii.

Catbolicun *, there is an account of that book. He there tells us in Latin, without mentioning Liron: Hic primus liber eft, quenn ego vidi, thcologorum examini fubjectum, lectum et approbatum; and, in the opinion of Mercier, it really is the oldeft. It has four approbations; the firft and laft of which I hall here infert, as they will ferve to fhew the foolifh pride of the clergy at that period :-" Ego Philippus Rota, juris utriufque doctor, licet ominiuns minimus, hoc ipfum opufculum Nofce te inftruciius perlegi ac diligentius perfcrutatus fum. Et quoniam ipfum non modo fancte catholiceque cempofitum reperi, verum etiam mira utilitate refertiffimum, in hujufce rei teftimonium me fubfcribere non dubitavi. - - . - Nos Mapheus Girardo, miferatione divina patriarcha Venetiarum, Dalmatiaeque primas, ex infpectione fuprafcriptorum dominorum, qui fidem faciunt de fuprafcripto opere, et ex tali fua conclufione et fide conjuncti, idem teftificamur effe opus orthodoxum et devotum h."."

There

> Armamentarium catholic̣um bibliothecre quxe affervatur Argentorati in commenda St. Johannis Hierofolymitani. Argen2inæ 1749. fol.
> + I Philip Rota, doctor of laws, though the leaft of all, have read over carcfully, and diligently examined, this fmall work, Nofce te; and as I have found it not only compofed devoutly and catholically, but abounding allo with matter of wonderful utility, I do not hefitate, in teftimony of the above, to fubfcribe my name . . . . I Mapheus Giaardo, by the divine

There were, therefore, cenfors at this early period who gave their opinion of books without reading them.

I floould have confidered thefe inftances as the oldeft information refpecting book-cenfors, had I not been induced by Mr. Eccard, the learned amanuenfis belonging to our library, to look into the Literary Weekly Fournal of Cologne, for the year 1778. In that work I found an ingenious account, by an anonymous author, of the early fate of printing in that city, and of two books printed almoft a year fooner than 1479 , with the approbation of the public cenfor. The firft is Wilbelmi epifcopi Lugdunenfis Summa de virtutibus; at the end of which are the following words:-"Benedictus fit dominus virtutum, qui hoc opus earundem felici confummatione terminari dedit in laudabili civitate Colonienfi, temptatum, admifumque et approbatum ab alma univerfitate fudii civitatis praedictae, de confenfu et voluntate \{pectabilis et egregii viri pro tempore rectoris ejufden?, impreffum per Henr. Quentel." The other book is a Bible, with the following conclufion :-"Anno incarnationis dominice millefimo quadringentefimo Lxxix ipła
mercy patriarch of Venice and primate of Dalmatia, confiding jin the fidelity of the above gentlemen, who have examined and approved the above-mentioned book, do teflify that it is a deyout and orthodox work.
vigilia Matthaei apofoli. Quando infigre vetcris novique teftamenti opus cum canonibus evangeliftarum et eorum concordantiis in laudem et gloriam fancte et inclividue trinitatis intemerateque virginis Marie impreffum in civitate Colonienfa per Conradum de Homborch, admiffum, approbatum ab alma univerfitate Colonienfr."

The oldeft mandate for appointing a book-cenfor is, as far as I know at prefent, that iffued by Berthold, archbifnop of Mentz, in the year 1486, and which may be found in the fourth volume of Guden's Coder diplomaticus*. As this curious work is not common, fome readers, perhaps, will not be difpleafed to fee this order at full length, with the infructions given to the cenfors.

Mandatum poen. de codicibus Graecis, Latinis \&c. IN Lingudm vulgareai sine PRAEVIA DOCTORUM SPPROBATIONE NON VERTENDIS 8 c. I 486.

Bertoldus d. g. fancte Moguntịne Sedis Archiepifcopus s. r. r. per Germaniam Archicancellarius, princeps Elector. Effi ad mortalem eruditionem comparandam, divina quadam imprimendi arte ad fingularum fcientiarum codices

[^38]abunde facilique perveniri poffit, compertum tamen habemus, quofdam homines, inanis glorie aut pecunie cupiditate ductos, hac arte abuti, et quod ad vite hominum inftitutionem datum eft, ad perniciem et calumpniam deduci.

Vidimus enim libros de divinis officiis et apicibus Religionis noftre e latina in germanicam linguam traductos, non fine religionis dedecoreverfari per manus vulgi; Quid denique de facrorum Canonum legumque preceptis? Que, etfi a iure confultis, viris utrique prudentiffimis atque eloquentiffimis, aptiffime limatiffrmeque fcripta fint, tantam tamen Scientia ipfa habet nodofitatem, ut etiam eloquentiffimi fapientifimique hominis extrema vix fufficiat etas.

Huius artis volumina ftulti quidam, temerarii atque indocti, in vulgarem linguam traducere audent, quorum traductione, multi etiam docti Viri videntes confeffi funt, fe propter maximam verborum impropriationem et abufum minus intellexiffe. Quid denique dicendum de reliquarum ferentiarum operibus, quibus etiam nonunquam falfa commifcent, aut falfis Titulis inícribunt, tribuuntque Authoribus egregiis corum figmenta, quo magis emptores inveniant?

Dicant tranflatores tales, fi verum colunt, bono etiam
etiam five malo id faciant animo, anne lingua Germanica capax fit eorum, que tum Greci, tum Latin! egregii Scriptores de fummis 「peculationibus Religionis Xpiane et rerum fcientia accuratiffime argutiffinieque Fcripferunt? Fateri oportet, ydiomatis noftri inopiami minime fufficere, neceffeque fore, eos ex fuis cervicibus nomina rebus fingere incognita ; aut, fi veteribus quibufdam utantur, veritatis fenfum corrumpere, quod propter niagnirudinem periculi in litteris facris magis veremur. Quis enim dabit rudibus atque indoctis hominibus, et femineo fexui, in quorum manibus Codices facrarum litterarum inciderint, veros excerpere intellectus? Videatur facri Ewangelii, aut Epiftölarum Pauli textus, nemo fane prudens negabit, multa fuppletione et fubauditione aliarum fcriptu-: sarum opus effe.

Occurrerunt hec, quia vulgatiffima funt. Quid putabimus de his, que inter fcriptores in ecclefia Catholica fub accerrima pendent difpofitione Multa afferre poffemus, de quibus tamen ad propofitum paucula oftendiffe fufficiat.

Verum, cum initium huius artis in hac aurea noftra Moguntia, ut vera ejus appellatione utamur, divinitus emerferit, hodieque in ea polififima arque emendatiffima perfeveret ; Iuftiflime eius artis decus a nobis defenfabitur; Noftra enim interfit, divi-
divinarum litterarum puritatem immaculatam fervari; Vñde prefatis erroribus, et hominum impudentium aut fceleratorum aufibus, prout poffumus, auctore Domino cuius res agitur, occurrere, frenoque cohibere yolentes, omnibus et fingulis esclefiaticis et fecularibus perfonis noftre ditioni fubjeats, aut infra cius terminos negotiantibus, cuinfcunque gradus, ordinis, profeffionis, dignitatis aut conditionis exiftant, tenore prefentium diftricte precipiendo mandamus, ne aliqua opera, cuiufcunque fcientie, artis vel notitie, e Greco, Latino, vel alio fermone, in vulgare Germanicum traducant, aut traducta, quovis commutationis genere vel titulos diffralant, vel comparent, publice vel occulte, dirette vel indirecte, nifi ante impreffionem, et impreffa ante diftractionem, per clariffimos honorabilefque, nobis dilectos, Doctores et Magiftros univerfitacis ftudii in civitate noftra Moguntina Iohannem Bertram de Nuenburg in Theologia, Alexandrum Diechrich in iure, Theodericum de Mefchede in medicina, et Alexandrum Eler in arribus, Magiftros et Doctores Vniverfitatis ftudii in opido noftro Erfordie ad hoc deputatos, patenti teftimonio, ad imprimendum vel diftrahendum admiffa vel, $\mathfrak{r}_{3}$ in opido Franckfordie-libri venales expofiti, per honorabilem, devotum nobis dilectum loci plebanum in Theologia magiftrum, ac unum vel duos Doctores et Licentiatos, per Confulatum dicti Opidi, annali Atipendio conductos, vifi et approbati fuerint.

112 HISTORY OF INVENTIONS.

Si quis vero huius noftre provifionis contemptor fuerit, aut contra huiusinodi mandatum noftrum confilium auxilium vel favorem quovis modo, dim recte vel indirecte, preftiterit, Sententiam excommunicationis ipfo facto; et preterea anifforiem librorum expofitorum; ac etiam Centum florenoruni auri penam, Camere noftre applicandam, fe noverit incurriffe; a qua fententia nemini, citra auctoritatem fpecificam, liceat abfolvere.

Datum apud Arcem S. Martini in civitate nof: tra Moguntina, nottro fub Sigillo.

> Die quarta menfis Ianuarii Anno mcccelxxxyi.

Eiusdem cum priori mandato argument Quoad exactam librorum censuram. i486.

Bertoldus (\&c.) Honorabilibus, Doetiffimis nobis in Xpo dilectis, Io. Bertram in Theologia; Al. Dietherich in Iure, Th. de Mefchede in Medicina, Doctoribus, et And. Eler in Artibus Magif-tro-Salutem, et ad infra feripta diligentiarh.

Experti fcandala et fraudes, per quordam Litterarum tranflatores ac impreffores librorum commiffas, hifque obviare, et viam ur poffumus occludere cupientes; mandamus ne quis fub diocefi et ditione nottra quos libros in germanicam linguanı transferat, imprimat, vel impreffos diftrahat, nifi
prius in Civitate noftra Moguntina talia Opera five libri per vos vifi, et quantum ad materiam ipfam, ad transferendum et diftrahendum probati fuerint, iuxta formam mandati defuper publicati。

Vobis igitur, de quorum prudentia et circumfpectione plurimum confidimus, tenore prefentium committimus, ut, fi quando transferenda, imprimenda vel diftrahenda Opera five libri ad vos delati fuerint, eorum materiam ponderetis, et fi forte ad rectum fenfum non facile traduci poterunt, aut errores et fcandala mpgis pariunt, aut pudicitiam ledunt, eos reiiciatis; quos vero admittendos ftatueritis, manibus veftris propriis, faltem duo ex vobis in fine fignetis, quo magis appareat, qui libri per vos vifi et probati fuerint. Deo noftro ac rei publice munus gratum utileque exhibituri.

> Data apud Arcem S. Marcini-Sub fecreto noftro. X Ianuarii Anno mcceclyxxvi.

In the year 1501 , pope Alexander VI publihed a bull, the firt part of which may form an excellent companion to the above mandate of the arch bifhop of Mentz *. Affer fome complaints againtt the devil, who fows tares among the wheat, his

[^39]holinefs proceeds thus: "Having been informect, that by means of the faid art many books and treatifes containing various crrors and pernicious doctrines, even hoftile to the holy Chriftiarr religion, have been printed, and are ftill printed in various parts of the world, particularly in the provinces of Cologne, Mentz, Triers, and Magdeburg; and being defirous, without further delay, to put a flop to this deteltable evil - . . . . we, by thefe prefents, and by authority of the Apoftolic chamber, ftrietly forbid all printers, their fervants, and thofe exercifing the art of printing under them, in any manner whatfoever, in the abovefaid provinces, under pair of excommunication, and a pecuniary fine, to be impofed and exacted by our venerable brethren the archbifhops of Cologne, Mentz, Triers, and Magdeburg, and their vicars general or official in fpirituals, according to the pleafure of each in his own province, to print hereafter any books, treatifes, or writings, until they have confulted on this fubject the archbinhops, vicars or officials above mentioned, and obtained their fpecial and exprefs licence, to be granted free of all expence, whofe confciences we charge, that before they grant any licence of this kind, they will carefully examine, or caufe to be examined, by able and catholic perfons, the works to be printed; and that they will take the utmoft care that nothing may be printed wicked and fcandalous, or contrary to the orthodox faith." ..- The
reft of the bull contains regulations to prevent works already printed from doing mifchief. All catalogues and books printed before that period were to be examined, and thofe which contained any thing prejudicial to the Catholic religion were to be burned.

In the beginning of the fixteenth century, it was ordered by the well-known council of the Lateran, held at Rome in the year ${ }^{1} 5^{1} 5$, that in future no books fhould be printed but fuch as had been infpected by ecclefiaftical cenfors. The following are the words of the decree: Sacro approbante concilio ftatuimus et ordinamus, quod de caetero nullus librum aliquem, five aliam quamounque fcripturam tam in urbe noftra quam in aliis civitatibus et diocefibus imprimere feu imprimi facere praefumat, nifi prius in urbe per vicarium noftrum et facri palatii magiftrum, in aliis vero diocefibus per epifcopum vel alium ab epifcopo ad id deputandum et inquifitorem baereticae pravitatis illius dioecefis in quibus librorum impreffio eiufmodi fieret, diligenter examinetur, et per horum manu propria fubferiptionem gratis et fine di'atione imponendam approbetur. Qui autem fecus praefumpferit, ultra librorum amiffionem, et illorum publicam combuftionem, excommunicationis fententia innodatus exiftat *.

[^40]In France, the faculty of Theology ufurped, as fome fay, the right of cenfuring books; but it the year 1650 , when public cenfors were appointed without their confent, whom the faculty oppofed, they ftated the antiquity of their right to be two hundred years. For they faid, "It is above two " hundred years fince the doctors of Paris have had "s a right to approve books whour being fubject"ed but to their own faculty, to which they affert "they are alone refponfible for their decifions $\%$."

## EXCLUSIVE PRIVILEGE FOR PRINTING BOOKS.

IDO not mean in this artecle to give a complete catalogue of all- the books printed under a privilege in the fifteenth and fixteenth centuries, for fach a lift would be attended with very little utility. All I wifh is to contribute fomething towards anfwering the queftion, What are the oldeft privileges granted to books?

The oldeft known at prefent, is that granted is the year 1490, by Henry bifhop of Bamberg, to the following book: Liber miffalis fecundum ordinem ecclefiae Bambergenfis-Anno incarnationis dominice

[^41]Meccexc. nono vero kal. April. - In civitate Babenbergn. per magiftrum Johannem Senfenfchmidt, prefate civitatis incolam, et Heinr. Petzenfteiner. This privilege was firft noticed by Mr. Panzer, in his Hiftory of the Nuremberg editions of the Bible *, and afterwards by Mr. Am Ende, in Meulfel's Collections for enlarging hiftorical knowledge +. The latter fays: "One may readily believe that this bifhop was not the inventor of fuch privileges, and that they are confequently of much greater antiquity than has hitherto been fuppofed." Mr. Am Ende mentions alfo a privilege of the year 1491, to a work called Hortus Sonitatis, typis Iacobi Meydenbach. - . . - Impreffum autem eft hoc ipfum in incl. civ. Moguntina - - fub Archipraefulatus rever. et benigniff. principis et D. D. Bertholdi, archiep. Moguntinenfis ac princ. elector. cujus feliciffino aufpicio graditur, recipitur ef auctorifatur. This, fays Mr. Am Ende, may allude to a privilege, and perhaps not. For my part, I conjecture that it refers only to a permiffion to print, granted in confequence of the inflitution of book-cenfors by the archbifinop Berthold, in the year 1486.

The oldeft Venetian privilege at prefent known,

* Gefchichte der Nürnbergifchen aufyaben der Bibcl. Nurnberg 1778 , 4to. p. 3 I.
+ Meufel, Beytragen zur erwciterung "der gefchichtkunde, part ii, p. 105.
is of the year 1491, found by Mr. Putter to the following work: Foenix Magiftri Petri memoriae Ravennatis. At the end ftands, Bernardinus de Choris de Cremona impreffor delectus impreffit. Venetias die X Ianuarii moccexcr. The book is in quarto, and has the privilege on both the laft pages. There is a Venetian privilege alfo of the year 1492, to Tragedie Senece cum commenio -... Cum privilegio ne quis audeat hoc opus cum boc commento imprimere, fub pena in eo contenta, Venetiis per Lazarum Iffarda de Saliviano 1492, die XII Deçmbris.

The oldeft Papal privilege hicherto known, is of the year 1505, to Hervei Britonis in IV Petri Lombardi fententiarum volumina scripla fubtilifima.

The following lift of a few of the oldeet privileges is collected from Putter* and Hoffmann $\dagger$.
1494. A Venetian, to Vincentii Bellovacenjis Speculum biforiale.
1495. A Milanefe, by duke Louis Sforza, to Michael Ferner and Euftachius Silber for I. A. Campani Opera.
1497. A Venetian, for an edition of Terence.

[^42]PRIVILEGE FOR PRINTING BOOKS.
$\$ 501$. Privilegium fodalitatis Cellicae a Senatu Romani inperii impetratum, to Conrade Celtes' edition of the works of Hrofwitha.
15c.6. A papal, of pope Julius II, to Evangelifta Tofino the bookfeller, for Ptolomaci Gegrapbia.
150\%. A French, of Louis XII to Antoine Verard.
1507. A Venetian, for Epytoma Sapientie.
1510. The firft Imperial, to Lectura aurea fomper Donsini abbatis antiqui *.
1512. An Imperial, to Jacob Spiegel's Expofition of Aurelii Prudensii Clementis Hymni.
1512. An Imperial, to Rofslin's Swangere frauwen rojegarten.
1514. An Imperial, to Kayersbergers Predigten. I515. An Imperial, to Riccardi Bartbolini Lib. de bello Norico.

15I5. An Imperial, to Germania Enee Syluii.
1517. A book on medicine : impreffum in emporio Antverpiano-cum gratia et privilegio.
1519. An Imperial, to Pontani de immanitate liber.

152\%. A privilege from the duke of Saxony to the edition of the New Teftament by Emfer.

[^43]In the year 1495, Aldus publifhed the works of Ariftotle, at the end of the firft part of which we find the following notice: Conceffum eft eidem Aldo inventori ab illuftrifimo fenatu Veneto, ne quis queat imprimere neque hunc librum, neque caeteros quos is ipfe imprefferit; neque ejus uit invento. The laft words allude to the Greek types which were employed in printing the Aldine editions of the Greek claffics *.

In 1498 were printed at Venice, in quarto, Epbemerides, five Almanach perpetuus. At the end ftands: Expliciunt Ephemerides folis, lunae planetarumque perpetui, impenfis, opera et arte impref. fionis mirifica Petri Liechtenftein colonienfis explete, anno fiderum conditoris $\$ 498$. Venetiis. Cum gratia et privilegio,

Mr. Hoffmann fpeaks in a very doubtful manner refpecting a privilege of the year 1517 , granted to John Scheffep for his edition of Livy, and fays that he had fought for it in vain. For this reafon, and becaufe that edition, which I have now before me from the library of our univerfity, is exceedingly fcarce, and becaufe the privilege itfelf contains fome things worthy of notice, I thall here give it at full length. The edition however is of 1518 .

* See Hambergers Zuverläfligen nachrichten von den fehrift? fallern, i. p. 123, 267.

Maximilianus divina favente clementia Rosmanorum Imperator femper Augultus, ac Germaniae, Hungariae, Dalmatiae, Croatiae, Sxc. Rex, Archidux Auttriae, Dux Burgundiae, Brabantiae, \&c. Comes Palatinus, \&xc. Honefto noftro, et facri imperii fideli nobis dilecto Ioanni Scheffer Chalcographo Moguntino gratiam noftram Caefarean et omne bonum. Cum, ficut docti et moniti fumus fide dignorum teftimonio, ingeniofum chalcographiae, authore ano two, inuentum felicibus incrementis in univerfum orbem permanaverit, et fere omnes 'chalcographi, non modo per imperii noftri ditionem, fed alia etiam regna, gratia feu privilegio de non imprimendis libris ex officina corum emanatis fecundum vim obtenti cuiullibet privilegii gaudeant, ne eorum irritus labor fiat, et fibi iac. turam officio fuo pariant, ficut tibi in publicatione Liviana contigiffe accepimus. Proinde volentes tibi, tum ob avum tuum, omni vel ob hoc divinum inventum favore et commendatione dignum, tum pro damni tui recuperatione, quod accepifti ex praecipiti fecundaria operum a te publicatorum editione, opportuno remedio fuccurrere, et in pofterum profpicere, omnibus et fingulis, cuiufcunque. conditionis exiftant, Chalcographis ef librorum imprefforibus, ubilibet locorum in facro Romano imperio, et etiam in terris noftris haereditariis, confticutis fub poena infra feripta ferio inhibemus, ne Titum Livium per decennium, quem fub incude in praefentiarum habes, et Latinum et Germani-
cum, ac etiam auctiorem quam hactenus nunquans publicatus, edere proxime intendis, ac alia pleraque opera quacunque in lingua, quae tu primum apud Germanos, licet apud exteros impreffa fuerint, publicabis, per fexennium a dato editionis cuiuflibet talium librorum et operum imprimere, feu alibi imprimi facere, aut poft diem eorundem editionis impreffos adducere, quovismodo, aut quaefito colore ftudeant vel praefumant, aut $a b$ alis ifta fiant authores fint, fub poena amiffronis librorum fic editorum, aut vaenum expofitorum, quos etiam praefatus Ioannes, aut cui $a b$ co agendum hoc commiffum fuerit, de facto ubicunque eos compererit, accipere, et in commodum furm convertere poteris et poterit, impedimento, contradictione, et impugnatione ceffante quorumcunque, cuiufcunque dignitatis, praeeminentiae, ftatus et officii fuerint. Et amplius fub poena decem marcharum auri puri, quas toties quoties contrafactum fuerit, irremiffibiliter exigendas a contrafacientibus, et pro medietate fifco noftro Ciefareo, pro reliqua vero iniuriam paffi ufibus decernimus effe applicandas. Harum teftimonio literarum figilli noftri munimine roboratarum. Datum in oppido noftro Vuels die nona menfis Decembris. An. M.D.xvili. Regnorum noftrofum, Romani xxxinf. Hungariae vero xxix.

Ad mandatum Caefareae majectatis proprium.

Anderfon remarks on the year 1590, that the firt exclufive patent, for printing a book in England, which occurs in Rymer's Fœdera *, was granted in the above year by queen Elizabeth, to Richard Weight of Oxford, for a Tranflation of Tacitus. I am much aftonifhed that Anderfon, who was fo often obliged to ufe Rymer's Fœedera, and who feems indeed to have confulted it with attention, fhould have overlooked the oldett patents which are to be found in that collection. In that laborious work, fo important to thofe who wifh to be acquainted with the hiftory of Bitifl literature, Ames' Typographical Antiquities, there are privileges of ftill greater antiquity. The oldeft which I obferved in this work, theugh I may perhaps have overlooked fome, are the following:
1510. The hiftory of king Boccus .-. - printed at London by Thomas Godfry. Cum privilegio regali.
1518. Oratio Richardi Pacei - - Impreffa per Richardum Pynfon, regium impreforem, cum privilegio a rege indulto, ne quis hanc orationem intra biennium in regno A nglix imprimat, aut alibi impreffam et importatam in eodem regno $\mathrm{An}_{\mathrm{p}}$ glixe vendat.

> * Vol. xvi. p. g6.

Other works printed cum gratia et privilegio occur 1520, $152 \mathrm{I}, 1525,1528$, 1530 , \&cc.

In the year 1483 , when the well known act was made againft foreign merchants, foreigners however were permitted to import books and manufcripts, and alfo to print them in the kingdom; but this liberty was afterwards revoked by Henry VIII, in the year $\mathbf{1 5 3 5}$, by an order which may be found in Ames *. In $\mathbf{1}_{538} 8$, Henry iffued an order refpecting the printing of bibles; and in 1542, he gave a bookfeller an exclufive privilege during four years for that purpofe.

With a view of finding the oldeft Spanifh privilege I confulted a variety of works, and among others Specimen bibliothecae Hijpeno-Majanfanae $\dagger$, but I met with none older than that to the following book: Aelii Antonii Nésriffen Introductiones in Latinam Grammaticen. Logronii Cantabrorum Vafconum urbe nobilifima; anno falutis millefimo quingentefimo decimo. fol. That privileges to books were ufual in Poland, has been thewn by Mr. Am Ende, in Meufel's Collections before mentioned; and Origny, in his Dittionnaire des Origines, fays, that the firt privilege to a book in France was granted by Louis XII, in 1507 ; but Origny

## * Page 494.

+ Specimen bibliothecae Hifpano-Majanfianac; ex mufeo Baxidis Clementis. Hanoverae 1753, 4to.
is an author in whofe teftimony one cannot place much confidence.


## CATALOGUES OF BOOKS.

THE firt printers printed books at their own expence, and fold them themfelves. It was neceffary therefore, that they foould have large capitals. Paper and all other materials, as well as labour, were in the infancy of the art exceedingly dear for thofe periods; and, on the other hand, the purchafers of books vere few, partly becaufe the price of them was too hig!, and partly becaufe, knowledge being lefs widely diffufed, they were not fo generally read as at prefent. For thefe reafons many of the principal printers, notwithftanding their learning and ingenuity, became poor *. In this manner my countrymen Conrade Sweinheim and Arnold Pannarz, who were the firft, and for a long time the only, printers at Rome, a city which on many accounts, particularly in the fixteenth century, might be called the firft in Chriftendom, were obliged, after the number of the

[^44]volumes in their warehoufes amounted to 12,475 , to folicat fupport from the Pope*. In the courfe of time this profeffion was divided, and there arofebookfellers. It appears that the printers themfelves firft gave up the bookfelling part of the bufinefs, and retained only that of printing; at leaft this is faid to have been the cafe with that wellknown bookfeller John Rainmann, who was born at Oehringen, and refided at Augfburg t. He was at firft a printer and letter-founder; and from him Aldus purchafed his types. Books of his printing may be found from the year 1508 to 1524 ; and in many he is ftyled the celebrated German bookfeller. About the fame period lived the bookfellers Jof. Burglin and George Diemar. Somezimes there were rich people of all conditions, particularly eminent merchants, who caufed books which they fold, to be printed at their own expence. In his manner that learned man Henry Etienne was printer ar Paris to Ulric Fugger at Augtburg, from whom he received a falary for printing the many manulcripts which he purchafed. In fome editions, from the year $155 \$$ to 1567 , he fubfribes himfelf Henricus Stepbanus, iliuftris ciri Hulderici

* Their lamentable petition of the year 1472 has becn inferted by Fabricius in his Bibliotheca latina. Hamburgi $17 ; 2$, Sro. iii. p. 8c,8. See alfo Putter von Bücbernacharuck, p. 29.
+Mr . Von Stetten, Kunlt-gefchichte der reichs-ftadt Augro burg, p. 43.

Fuggeri

Fuggeri ispograppus*. In the like manner alfo, in the beginning of the laft century, a fociety of learned and rich citizens of Augfburg, at the head of whom was Marx Welfer, the ciry-fleward, printed a great number of books, which had commonly at the end thefe words, Ad infigne pinus + . Printing. therefore thus gave rife to a new and important branch of trade, that of bookfelling, which was eftablifhed in Germany, chiefly at Franckfort on the Mayn, where, at the time of the fairs particularly, there were feveral large bookfellers-flhops in that ftreet which ftill retains the name of book Areet.

George Willer, whom fome improperly call Viller, and others Walter, a bookfeller at Augfburg, who kept a very large thop, and frequented the Franckfort fairs, firlt fell upon the plan of causing to be printed every fair a catalogue of all the new books, in which the fize, and printers' names were marked. Le Mire, better known under the name of Miræus $\underset{\text { t }}{ }$, fays, that catalogues were firit

## *Von Stetten, p. 68.

$\dagger$ Ibid. p. 40.
$\ddagger$ Le Mire, a Catholic clergyman, who was born in 159 , and died in 1640, wrote a work De foriptoribus ecclefiuficis faculi wvi. which is printed in Fabricii Eibliotbeca ecclefonfica, Hamburgi 1718 , fol. The paffage to which I allude may be found p. $23^{2}$. but perhaps $15 \mathrm{C}_{4}$ has been given in Fabricius inftead of 1554 by an error of the prefs.
printed in the year 1554 ; but Labbe *, Reinann $\frac{1}{1}$ and Heumann + , who took their information from Le Mire, make the year erroneoufly to be 1564 . Willer's catalogues were printed till the year 1592 by Nicol. Baffæus, printer at Franckfort. Oher bookfellers however mult have foon publifhed catalogues of the like kind, though that of Willer continued a long time to be the principal.

Among the many curious and rare articles in the library of profeffor Baldinger, there is a collection of old catalogues, the earlieft of which are the following: Catalogus novus nundinarum autumizaliunt Francofurti ad Moenum anno 1586 celebratarum. Plerique apud Joan. Georg. Portenbachium et Th. Lutz bibliopolam Auguftanum venales habentur: A catriligue of all the new books-printed at Franckfort by Peter Schmid \|. This catalogue was publifhed by bookfellers of Augfburg; but not by Willer, of whom we have : Catalogus nevus nundinaruis aitimmalium Francofurti ad Moenum anno $15^{8} 7$-Plerique in aedibus Georgii Willeri, bibliopolac Auguftani, venales ha-

* Labbe, Bibliotheca bibliothecarum, Lipfixı682, 12 mo . p. 112.
+ Einleitung in die HiForiam literariam, i. p. 203.
$\ddagger$ Conipectus reip. litter. c. vi. § 2. p. 3 I 5 .
$\downarrow$ Verzeichnuls aller neuwer bucher-Gedrucht in Frankfort durch Peter Schmid.
bentur.
bentur. A catalogue of almoft all the books which bave been pubiifbed between laft Eafter and the prefent September fair. Franckfort on the Mayn printed by Nicolas Baffæus*。

In all thefe catalogues, which are in quarto, and not paged, the following order is obferved. The Latin books occupy the firtt place, beginning with the Proteftant theological works, perhaps becaufe Willer was a Lutheran ; then come the Catholic; and after thefe books of jurifprudence, medicine, philofophy, poetry and mufic. The fecond place is affigned to German books, which are arranged in the fame manner.

The laft Eafter catalogue of Willer which I find in profeffor Baldinger's library, is of the year I 597. On the title is: Plerique libri in adibus Elice et Georgii Willeri fratrum bibliopolarum Augufianorum babentur. It is printed alfo by Baffæus at Franckfort. George and Elias Willer were perhaps fons of the former.

In the year 1604 , the general Eafter catalogue was printed with a permiffion from government, as appears by the following title: Catalogus univerfalis pro nundinis Francof. de anno 1604-A catalogue of all the new books, or books improved and repub-

[^45]I3O HISTORY OF INVENTIONシ.
lifhed, which will be expofed for fale in Bookflreet, Franckfort, during the Eafter fair 1604. Francofurci permiffu fuperiorum excudebat Joh. Saur. To be had at the flop of Peter Kopff. The order of the books is the fame as before.

After this the Leipfic bookfellers began not only to reprint the Franckfort catalogues, but to enlarge them with many books which had not been brought to the fairs in that city. I have, from profeffor Baldingers's librayy, Catalagus univerfalis pro mundinis Francofurtenfibus vernalilus de anno 1600 -.- or A caralogue of all the books on fale in Book ftreet, Franckfort, and alfo of the books publifired at Leipfic, which have not been brought to Franckfort, with the permifion of his highnefs the elector of Saxony to thofe new works which have appeared at Leipfic. Printed at Leipfic, by Abraham Lamberg; and to be had at his fhop. On the September catalogue, of the Fanse year, it is faid that it is printed from the Franckfort copy, with additions. I find an Imperial privilege, for the firt time, on the Franckfort September catalogue of 1616: cum gratia ct privilegio fpeciali $\int$. caef. maj. Proßat apud 7. Krrwgerum Auguftanum. Some Imperial permifions, however, may be of an earlier date; for I have not feen a complete feries of thefe catalogues.

Reimmans

Reimmann * fays that, after Willer's death, the catalogue was publifhed by the Leipfic bookfeller Henning Groffe, and by his fon and grandfon. The council of Franck fort caufed feveral regulations to be iffued refpecting catalogues; an account of which may be feen in D. Ortb's Treatife on the Imperial Fairs at Franckfort + After the bufinefs of book-felling was drawn from Franckfort to Leipfic, occafioned principally by the reftrictions to which it was fubjected at the former by the cenfors, no more catalogues were printed there; and the fhops in Book-ftreet were gradually converted into taverns 1 .

In perufing thefe old catalogues one cannot help being aftonilhed at the fudden and great increafe of books; and when one reflects that a great, perhaps the greater, part of them no longer exif, this perihhablenefs of human labours will excite the fame fenfations as thofe which arife in the mind when one reads in a church-yard the names and titles of perfons long fince mouldered into duft. In the fixteenth century there were few libraries; and thefe, which did not contain many books, were in

[^46]monafteries, and confited principally of theological, philofophical, and hiftorical works, with a few, however, on jurifprudence and medicine; while thofe which treated of agriculture, manufactures, and trade, were thought unworthy of the notice of the learned, and of being preferved in large collections. The number of thefe works was, neverthelefs, far from being inconfiderable; and, at any rate, many of them would have been of great ufe, as they would have ferved to illuftrate. the inftructive hiftory of the arts. Catalogues which might have given occafion to inquiries after books, that may be ftill fomewhere preferved, have fuffered the fate of tomb-ftones, which, being wafted and crumbled to pieces by the deftroying hand of time, become no longer legible. A complete feries of them, perhaps, is no where to be found; at leaft, I do not remember to have ever feen one in any library.

This lofs might, in fome meafure, be fupplied by two works, were they not now exceedingly farce. I mean thofe of Clefs and Draudius; who, by the defire of fome hookfellers, collected together, as Georg at a latir period, all the catalogues publifhed at the different fairs in different years. The work of Clefs has the following title: Uniass faculi ejufque virorum litte alorum monamentis tum foreitififini, tum forilififini, ab anno 1500
ad 1602 nuidinarum autumnaliums inchufive, elencbus conjummatifinuus-defumtus partion ex: ingularum nundinarum catalogis, partime er bibliotbecis. Auctore Joanne Clefio, Wineccenfi, Hannoio, philofopho ac medico *. By the editor's preface it appears that the firtt edition was publifhed in 1592. The order is almoft the fame as that obferved by Willer in his catalogues.

The work of Draudius, which was printed, in feveral quarto volumes, for the firt time, in $\mathbf{i} 61 \mathrm{I}_{\text {, }}$ and afterwards in té 25 , is far larger, more complete, and more methodical $\psi$. I have never feen a perfect copy of either edition; but, perlaps, the following information may afford fome fatisfaction to thofe who are fond of bibliography. One part, which I confider as the firt, has the title of Bibliotbeca claffica, five Catalogus officinalis, in quo finguli fing ularum facultatum ac profeffonum libri, qui in quaw:s fere lingua evitant-recenfentur ; ufque ad annum

[^47]1624 inclufive. Auctore M. Georgio Draudio *. It contains Latin works on theology, jurifprudence, medicine, hiftory, geography, and politics. The copy in the library of our univerfity ends at page 1 1304; which has, howercr, a catch-word that feems to indicate a deficiency. The fecond part is entitled, Bibliotheca claffica, five Catalogus officinalis, in quo philofopbici artiunnque adeo bunnaniorum, poetici etiam et muffic libri ufque ad annum 1624 continentur.

This part, containing Latin books alfo, begins at page 1298 , and ends with page 1654 , which is followed by an index of all the authors mentioned. A fmaller volume, of 302 pages, without an index, bas for title, Bibliotbeca exotica, Sive Catalogus officinalis librorum peregrinis linguis ufualibus fcriptorum; and a third part, forming 759 pages befides an index of the authors, is called, Bibliotheca librorum Germanicorum clafica; that is, A catalogue of al! the books printed in the German language till the year 1625 . By the indices, and the proper arrangement of the matter, the ufe of this work is much facilitated. I muf, however, obferve that the oldeft catalogues had the fame faulis as thofe of the prefent time $\psi$, and that thefe have been copied

[^48]pied by Daudius. Many books are mentioned which were never printed, and many titles, names, and dates, are given incorrectly ; but Draudius, neverthelefs, is well worth the attention of any one who may be inclined to employ his time and ingenuity on the hiftory of literature; and his work certainly was of ufe to Haller when he compored his Bibliotheca.

## AURUMFULMINANS.

IF gold be diffolved in aqua-regia, and precipitated with volatile lixivious falt, or with fixed lixivious falt, when the aqua-regia has been prepared with fal ammoniac, a yellow powder will be obtained, which, when heated, or only bruifed, explodes fuddenly with a prodigious report. The force of this aurum fulminans is terrible, and, in the hands of incautious perfons, has ofien occafioned much mifchief. But, however powerful, it cannot, as fome have imagined, be employed inftead of gunpowder, even were not this impolfible on account of the high value of the metal from which it is
comparifon of the catalogues of 1619 with thofe of $1 ; 80$, and of the flate of literature at that period, with what it is $2 t$ pre. fent, to Frommichen's Eflay in the Truffibe Mufeunn for September 1780 , p. 176 .

$$
K_{4}
$$

made;
made; for explofion does not take place when the powder is confined. Phænomena of this kind are always of importance, and afford fubject of fpeculation to the philofopher, though no immediate ufe can be made of them. Experiments, however, have rendered it probable that this powder may poffers fome medicinal virtues, and we are affured that it can be employed in enamel painting*.

In attempting to trace out the invention of aurum fulminans one is, like a perfon bewildered in a morafs, in danger every moment of being loft. I allude here to the immenfe wildernefs of the ancient alchemifts, or makers of gold; to, wade through which my patience, though pretty much accuftomed to fuch labour, is not fufficiently adequate. Thofe who know how to appretiate their time will not facrifice it in endeavouring to difcover the meaning of books which the authors. themfelves did not, in part, underftand, or to comprehend paffages in which the writer tells us nothing, or, at any rate, nothing of importance. I have, however, made my way through this labyrinth from Spielmann to the works which are afcribed to one Bafilius Valentin $\dagger$.

[^49]The period when this powder was invented is as uncertain as the accounts given of its compofition. It is, however, probable that the difcoverer was a German Benedictine monk, who lived about the year $14133^{*}$; and there is reafon to think that he may have made many ufeful obfervations, of which we are yet as ignorant as of the meaning of the Egyptian hieroglyphics; for both are almoof equally unintelligible, though fome, who poffefs more imagination and credulity than judgment, think they underftand and can explain them. The Egyptian hieroglyphics are indeed totally incompreinenfible, but thofe of Valentin only in part; for when new obfervations have been made refpecting gold, they have been found afterwards in the works of Valentin, in a paffage which no one before could underfand. In this cafe thefe writings are of no more utility than the anfwers of the ancient oracles, which were comprehended when a knowledge of them was no longer neceffary, and which mifled thofe who fuppofed that they comprehended them earlier. But the account of aurum fulminans in Valentin is fo uncommonly intelligible, that it almoft feems he either wrote in an explicit manner without perceiving it, or that the words efcaped from him contrary to his inten-

[^50]tion.
tion. As the work in which it may be found, is fcarce, I fhall tranfcribe the prefcription*.
"Take a pound of aqua-regia made with fal ammoniac ; that is, take a pound of good ftrong aquafortis, and diffolve in it four ounces of fal ammoniac, and you will thus obtain a ftrong aquaregia, which mult be repeatedly diftilled and rectified, until no more feces remain at the bottom, and until it become quite clear and tranfparent. Take fine thin gold-leaf, in the preparation of which antimony has been ufed; put it into an alembic ; pour aqua-regia over it; and let as much of the gold as poffible be diffolved, After the gold is all diffolved, add to it fome olium tartari, or fal tartari diffolved in a little fpring-water, and it will begin to effervefce. When the effervefcence has ceafed, pour fome more oil into it ; and do this fo often till the diffolved gold fall to the bottom, and until no more precipitate is formed, and the aqua-regia remains pure and clear. You muft then pour the aqua-regia from the gold calx, and, wafh it well with water eight or ten times. When the gold calx is fettled, pour off the water, and dry the calx in the open air when the fun Chines, but

[^51]not over the fire ; for as foon as this powder becomes a little heated or warm, it explodes, and does much mifchief, as it is fo powerful and violent that no man can withtand it. When the powder has been thus prepared, take ftrong diftilled vinegar and pour over it; keep it continually over the fire for twenty-four hours, without ftirring it, fo that nothing may fall to the bottom, and it will be again deprived of its power of exploding; but take great care that no accident happen by careleffnefs. Pour off the vinegar, and, having wahhed the powder, expore it to dry."

The latter part of the receipt hiews that Valentin had made experiments in order to difcover how aurum fulminans might be deprived of its power of exploding, and he found that this could be done by vinegar. It appears from his writings, that he had difcovered alfo that the fame thing could be effected by fulphur*.

After the time of Valentin, Crollius, who lived in

[^52]the laft half of the fixteenth century, feems to have been beft acquainted with this powder, and to have principally made it known *: at any rate his works are referred to by moft of the modern writers. He calls it aurum volatile, and fpeaks of its being ufeful in medicine. The name aurum fulminans was, as far as I know, firlt ufed by Beguin + . The method of preparing it is defcribed by Kircher, who confiders it as a thing uncommon, and who calls it pulvis pyrius aureus 末.

## C A R $P$.

So obfcure is the ichthyology of the ancients, or fo little care has been taken to explain it, that the queftion whether our carp were known to Ariftotle, Pliny,

* Ofualdi Crollii Bafilica chymica. Francofurti (1609) 4to. p. 2 II.
+J . Beguini Tyrocinium chymicum was printed for the firft time at Paris, in $56 \mathrm{c} 8,12 \mathrm{mo}$. In the French tranflation, Les álemens de chymie de maiftre Yean Beguin; reveus, expliquez el ausmentez par. Fean Iucas de Roy; troifième edition, Paris 1626 , 8 vo ; the receipt for making or fulminont may be found p. 314 .
$\ddagger$ Kircheri Magnes. Colonix 1643,4 to. p. 548 . The author fays, that he found the receipt for preparing it in Liber in-

Pliny, and their cotemporaries, cannot with any great degree of probability be determined. Befides, that fubject is attended with much greater difficulties than the natural hiftory of quadrupedes. Among four-footed animals there is a greater variety in their bodily conformation, which at any rate ftrikes the eye more, and can be more eafily defcribed than that of fifhes, which in general are fo like in flape, that an experienced fyftematic naturalift finds it fometimes difficult to determine the characterifing marks of the genera and '́pecies. It is not furprifing therefore that the fimple defcriptions of the ancients, or rather the fhort-accounts which they give us of fifh, do not afford information fufficient to enable us to diftinguifh with accuracy the different kinds. Quadrupedes miay terrifíy us by their ferocity, or endeavour to avoid us by Ghynefs and craft; but it is ttill poffible to obferve their fexes, their age, and their habits, and to remark many things that are common to one or only a few fpecies. Filhes, on the other hand, live in an element in which we cannot approach them, and which for the moft part con-
fignis de incendio Vefuvii. That I might know whether this work contained any thing refpecting the hiftory of aurum fulminans, I ińquired after it. Kircher undoubtedly meant Incendio del monte Vefurio, di Pietro Cafelli; in Roma 1632, 4to: but the directions given there, p. 46 , for making oro filminante, are taken from Crollius. Nothing farther is to be found in Kircher's Mundus Subicrrancus. Amfiel. 1678, fol. i. p. 229.
ceals them from our obfervation. The chace; fince the earlieft periods, and in modern times more than formerly, has been the employment of idle perfons, who beftow upon it greater attention the fewer thofe objects are which can attract their curiofity or empioy their minds: but fifhing has almoft always been the laborious occupation of poor people, who have no time to make oblervations, as they are obliged to follow it in order to find a fubfiftence; and mankind in general feldom fee filh except on their tables or in collections of natural hiftory. On this account thofe properties of filh by which their fpecies could be determined, are lefs known. The defcriptions of fourfooted animals which have been handed down to us from the time of the Greek and Roman writers give us, at any rate, fome information; but from thofe of finhes, which are more uncommon, we can fcarcely derive any; unlefs one were as acute or eary of belief as many collectors of petrifactions, who imagine that they can diftinguifh each fpecies of fifh in the impreffions which they, fee in ftones. More however might be done towards elucidating the ichthyology of the ancients than has hisherto been attempted. It would be neceffary only to make a beginning by collecting the fpecies and names which can be with certainty determined, together with the authorities, and feparating them from the reft ; and one fhould form an abltract of
what is faid in the ancients refpecting the unknown fpecies, or whatever may in any meafure ferve to make us acquainted with them ; but one ought never to give mere conjectures for proofs, nor adopt the opinions of commentators, or the explanations of dictionaries, without fufficient grounds. If we can believe thefe, without farther examination, the names cyprini and lepidoti muft be confidered as thofe of carp ; and the propofed queftion would be foon anfwered: but that opinion has fearcely probability in its favour when one fearches after proofs.

I hall not here lay before the reader every thing completely that the ancients have faid refpecting the cyprini, and which is in part fo corrupted by tranfcribers, that no certain meaning, can be drawn from it. Were I to treat of the ichthyology of the ancients, it might be neceffary; but as that is not the cafe, I fhall only quore fuch parts of it as have been employed by Rondelet and others to prove that they were our carp. Their principal grounds feem to be, that amongit all the firh of the ancients no others occur which can with any probability be. confidered as carp. If the cyprini therefore were not carp, thefe munt not have been named by the ancients; and that undoubtedly will not readily be admitted. It is well known what a high value the ancients, particularly the Orientals, fet upon firh, of which they had a great variety; and it appears
that they preferred them to all difhes prepared from four-footed animals or fowls*. Fifh feem to have been the choiceft delicacies of voluptuaries, and in that refpect they are offener mentioned hy hiftorians than fowls. Phyficians alfo, to whom the moft fumptuous tables have in all ages been of the greateft benefit, fpeak of finh oftener in their writings than of difhes made of the flefh of other animals. In the antient cookery, the number of difhes prepared from fifh is indeed great in comparifon of thofe dreffed from fowls. Turdi and attagines are much praifed; but had pheafants, fnipes, partridges, and others, been as much efteemed then as they are at prefent, there would not have been forgotten, or would have occurred oftener. Fith, at prefent, form the principal food in Greece, as well as at Conftantinople, and a great abundance and variety of them may be found there in the markets; but fowl which have been caught or thot are feldom expufed for fale. When the Egyptian and Greek monks wifhed to diftinguifh themfelves by abftinence and temperance, they denied themfetves all kinds of fifh, as the richeft delicacies, in the fame manner as pretended devotees among the Europeans deny themelves flefh. But though all this may be true,

[^53]it does not prove that our carp mult occur in the writings of the ancients. The Roman voluptuaries, indeed, left very little untried that was likely to gratify their appetite; but it was impofible for them to make a trial of every thing. There may have been particular reafons alfo which prevented them from meeting with carp; and who will venture to affirm, that all the knowledge of the ancients muft be contained in thofe few of their writings which have been preferved to us by accidents?

If one, freed from thefe prejudices, fhould now afk why the cyprinus mult be our carp, the anfwer will be, becaufe what we read of the tongue and fcales of the cyprini cannot be applied with fo much propriety to any fpecies of filh as to the cyprinus carpio of Línnæus. Ariftotle informs us that the cyprini had properly no tongue, but that their foft flefhy palate might very readily be taken for one *. Athenæus affirms that they had a tongue, but that it lay in the upper part of the mouth or palate ; and in confirmation of this, he refers to Ariftotle +. This affertion of Athenæus

* Hiftor. Animal. lib. iv. cap. 8. p. 477. I follow the reading of the beft edition, or that of Sylburgius: $\mu$ noxomov svos, which is adopted by Vofflus and H. Schueider in.Artedi Synonymia pif. cium. Lipfiæ 1789 , 4to. p. 8. Camus reads with Scaliger $\mu \varepsilon y$ inftead of $\mu \eta$.

[^54]however is very dubious; for thefe words are not to be found in the works of A rifotle which have been preferved, though the fame meaning might be indeed forcer?, in cafe of neceffity, from the paffage firlt quoted. It is poffible that Athenæus, as Cafaubon * has already conjectured, may here, as well as in other parts, allude to fome book of Ariftolle not now extant. Befides, he calls the finh of which he fpeaks, not cyprinus, but cyprianus; and a queftion thercfore arifes, whether he may not have meant fome other kind. This much at any rate appears certain from the paffage of Ariftotle, that the cyprinus had a thick flethy palate; and that indeed is the cafe with our carp, fo that the head, on account of the delicacy and agreeable tatte of the palate, is reckoned the mott relifhing part. By that circumftance however nothing is proved ; as it is not peculiar to carp alone, but common to every fpecies of the fame genus, fuch as the bream, tench, \&x. Fills of this kind, fays Mr. Bloch, have properly no tongue; that which appears to be one is merely a cartilaginous fubftance which projects through thofe band-like parts that enclofe it on each fide + . This proof would have more weight,

[^55]did we find it related, that in the time of Ariftotle, the tongue was confidered as an exquifite morfel: but that is not mentioned; and H. Krunitz * is miftaken, when he fays that Heliogabalus, to fatisfy his luxurious appetite, was induced to try a fricaffee of the tongues of carp : it confifted only of the tongues of peacocks and nightingales hr. Had the ancients really ufed carp on their tables, we muit have afcribed to them the difcovery of thefe delicious fifh.

The other proof which is brought from the fales confilts in what is faid by Dorion, in Athenæus \$, that the cyprianus was called alfo by fome lepidotus, or fcaly. As all fifh have fcales, the fcales of this fpecies muft have been extremely large, as they got that name by way of eminence \| ; and it mult be indeed allowed, that the above epithet would fuit our carp exceedingly well, as their fcales, as is known, are very large. But this circumftance alone proves noching, as the mullus and mugil have ftill larger fcales; and to the firt genus belonged one of the filh moft eiteemed by the ancients $\S$. Strabo
> * CEkonomifche Encyclopedie, xxxv. p. $1_{3} 8$.
> $\dagger$ Elii Lampridii Vita Heliogab. c. 20. p. 484.
> $\ddagger$ Lib. vii. p. 309.
> \| Orpheus, in his Poem on Stones, ix. 6. p. $3 \times 7$, afcribes to the lefidotus bright filver-coloured fcales.

(This fifh was a firt-rate aiticle of luxury among the Ro.

Strabo mentions the lepidotus among the facred fifh of the Nile; but whether it be the fame as that of which Dorion fpeaks, cannot be determined. It is however certain, that the Nile contains carp ftill; for Norden faw them caught at the waterfall near Effuane, which is the ancient Syene*. Did we know that the modern Greeks at prefent call carp cyprini, this would prove more; for it is an undoubted fact, that the ancient names have for the moft part been retained in Greece. We are affured by Maflarius 中, that the Greeks ftill ufe the name cyprinus; but Gyllius fays, that it is employed only by a few : and this is confirmed by Bellon, who mentions all the names of carp which he heard in Greece, and which are entirely different from the ancient $\ddagger$; but he adds, that carp in Ætolia
maus, and was purchafed at a dear rate. Juvenal fays: Mullum fex millibus emit, xquantem fane paribus feftertia libris. See Plin. lib. ix. c. 17. The Italians have a proverb: La triglia non mangia chi la piglia, which implies, that he who catches a mullet is a fool if he eats it and does not fellit. When this fifh is dying, it changes its colours in a very fungular manner till it is entirely lifelefs. This fpectacle was fo gratifying to the Romans, that they ufed to thew the fifh dying in a glafs veffel to their guefts before dinner. Oculos antequam gulam pavit. Seneca. Trans.
 8vc. p. $3 \%^{6 .}$

+ Franc. Maffarii Veneti in nonum Plinii de Nat. Hitt. librum cafligationes et annotationes. Bafilix 1537 , 4to.
$\ddagger$ A great fervice would be rendered to the natural hifory of the
are ftill called cyprini. Both the before-mentioned circumftances refpecting the cyprini agree extremely well with our carp; but as they will fuit other kinds equally well, they afford no complete proof, but only a probability which amounts to this, that among the large-fcaled filh, carp in particular have a flefhy palate; and it is readily admitted that the ancients were acquainted with all kinds, and chofe names for them with more foundation than is done at prefent.

In oppofition to this probability, it may be faid, that Oppian * and Pliny + reckon the cyprini among the fea-filh, to which kind our carp do not belong. This reply, however, which fome have indeed made, is not of great weight. In the firit place, both thefe writers feem to have been in an error; for what Pliny fays of the cyprini is evidently taken from Ariftotle, and the latter does not tell us that thefe filh live in the fea, but rather the contrary. The Roman author, as Dalechamp remarks, added the words in mari, if they were not added by fome tranfcriber. Oppian, as a poet, does not always adhere ftrifily to truth; and he
the ancients, if fome able fytematic naturalift would collect all the Greek names ufed at prefent. Tournefort and others made a beginning.

* Halieut. i. Io and 592.
+ Lib, ix. cap. 16. p. 509.
makes more of the frefh-water fifh of A riftotle to be inhabitants of the fea. In the fecond place, I confider the diftinction made between fea-filh, frefl-water fifh, and thofe kept in ponds, to be not always very certain or well founded. Who knows whether the greater part of the laft may not have been originally fea-fifh ? This is the more probable in regard to carp, as profeffor Fofter fays, that carp are fometimes caught in the harbour at Dantzic*.

In order to anfwer the queltion here propofed, another point may be confidered. As all nations at prefent give thefe finh the fame name, it is probable that it was brought with them from that country where they were firft found, and from which they were procured. Caffiodorus, who lived in the fixth century, is the oldeft author as yet known in whom that name has been obferved $\dot{\gamma}$. In a paffage where he fpeaks of the moft delicate and coftly fin, which at that time were fent to the tables of princes, he fays, Among thele is the carpa, which is produced in the Danube. In the earlieft Latin tranflation of Ariftotle, the word cyprinus, as

* Philofophical Tranfact. vol. lxi. 1771, part i. p. 3 Io,
$\dagger$ Privati ef, habere quod locus continet ; in principali convivio hoc profecto decet exquiri, quo vifum debeat admirari. Deftinet carpans Danubius. Variarum lib. xii. 4to, p. 38o. Edia sion of Gencra, 4 to. 1650.

Camus fays, is exprefled by carpra. In the thirteenth century this fifh was called by Vincentius de Beauvais * carpera, and by Cxfarius carpo 中; and it is highly probable that both thefe names allude to our carp. By the above paffage of Caffiodorus, the opinion that thefe fifh were the cyprini of the ancients obtains a new, but at the fame time a very feeble, proof; for the cyprinus was found alfo in the Danube, as we learn from Æ犬lian ${ }_{\text {W }}$, who, among the fifh of the Itter, mentions black cyprini; and thefe, according to the conjecture of profeffor Schneider, were the black fifh of the Danube which Pliny confiders as unhealthful or poifonous, and like which there were fome in Armenia. Our carp indeed are not poifonous, but Pliny alludes to a particular variety, and what he fays was only report, to which however fomething muft have given rife, as alfo to the idea of carp with a death's head, and the head of a pug-dog, as fome have been

* Carpera pifcis eft quafi fquamis aureis, in lacis vel fluviis, fic dicta, quafí quæ carpens pavit, \&c. Speculumn nahurale, xvii. 40. p. 12\%4. According to the edition of the Benedietines, Diaci 1624. fol.
+ Poft hee frater Simon vidit dæmonem loricatum et galeatum, habentem fquamas, tanquam pifcis qui vocatur carpo. Dialogi miraculorum, diftinct. ii. cap. 29. This hook forms the fecond part of the Bibliotheca patrum Cifercienfium. Bono-Fonte 1662, fol.
$\ddagger$ De nat. animal. xiv. 23. Plin. xxxi. fect. I9. p. 550 . Anti. gonus Carylt. cap. 18r. p. 222 .

L4. reprefented
reprefented by writers of the fixteenth century. The carpo of Cælarius appears to have been our carp, becaufe its fcales had a very great refemblance to thofe of the latter; for we are told in the work already quoted, that the devil, once indulging in a frolic, appeared in a coat of mail, and had fcales like the fifh carpo. The caipzra of Vincent de Beauvais is ftill lefs doubtful, as the fame craft in avoiding rakes and nets is afcribed to that filh as is known to be employed by our carp. Sometimes they thruft their heads into the mud, and fuffer the net to pafs over them; and fometimes they join the head and tail together, and feparating them fuddenly, throw themfelves towards the furface of the water, and, fpringing often four or five feet above the net, make their efcape.

But whence did this name arife? The origin affigned by Vincentius, or the anonymous author of the lof books De natura rerum, like another mentioned in ridicule by Gefner, is too filly to be repeated. More learned at any rate is the derivation of IMenage who traces it from cyprinus, which was afterwards transformed into cuprinus, cuprius, cuprus, cupra, curpa, and laftly into carpa. For my part, I am more inclined to derive it from a dialect which was fpoken on the banks of the Danube, and ro' believe that it was brought with the fifh from the fouthern part of Europe; but I am too little acquainted with shat dialect to be able to render my
conjecture
conjecture very probable; and the etymologifts I confulted, fuch as Wachter, Ihre, Johnfon, \&c. afforded me no affiftance. Fulda gave me fome hopes, as he allows the word to be of German extraction *; but I mut however confefs, that his derivation is too far-fetched, and, like the chemiftry of the adepts, to me not perfectly intelligible.

It may perhaps not be fuperfluous here to obferve that one mult not confound carpa and carpo, or our carp, with carpio. The latter belongs to she genus of the talmon and trout; and in the Linnæan fy $\mathrm{f}-$ tem is called falmo carpio. It is found chiefly in the Lago di Garda, the ancient Lacus Benacus, on the confines of Tyrol $\dot{\gamma}$. The oldeft account of this fifh is to be found in works of the fixteenth century, fuch as the poems of Pierius Valerianus ${ }_{\text {+ }}^{\text {, }}$, and in Fovius de Pifcibus $\|$. According to Linnaus, it is found in the rivers of England, but that is falle. This celebrated naturalift fuffered himfelf to be mifled by Artedi, who gives the char or chare, mentioned by Cambden in his defcription

- Germanifche Würzelwörter, p. 7.
$\ddagger$ Büfchings Geographie, v. p. $5^{8} 5$, where thefe fifh are mentioned under the name of carpioni.
$\ddagger$ The poem here alluded to is printed in Gefner, p. 219 .
|| Jovius de Pifcibus, cap. 35. p. 122. Benacinus carpio. He calls our carp, chap. 38. p. 131, carpence.
of Lancamire*, as the falmo carpio. Pennant however, by whom it is not mentioned among the Englifh filh, fiy's exprefsly, that the char is not the carpio of the Lago di Garda, but rather a rariety of the falino alsinus $\stackrel{\psi}{1}$.

That our carp were firft found in the fouthern parts of Europe, and conveyed thence to other countries, is undoubtedly certain. Even at prefent they do not thrive in the northern regions, and the farther north they are carried they become the fmaller +. Some accounts of their tranfportation are ftill to be found. If it be true that the Latin poem on the expeclition of Atrila is as old as the fifth or fixth century, and if the filh which Walther gave to the boatman who ferried him over the Rhine, and which the latter carried to the kitchen of Gunther king of the Franks, were carp; this circumftance is a proof that thefe filh had not been before known in that part of France which bordered on the Rhine $\|$. The examination of this conjecture

* Cambdeni Britannia in epitomen redacta a Ziricæo. Amfterd. 3639, 12 mo. p. 347.
+ Britifl Zoology, vol. iii. p. 259 .
$\ddagger$ Pontoppidan, Natü̈liche hiftorie von Norwegen, ii. p. 23 .
|| Illic pro naulo pifces dedit antea captos,
Et mox tranfpofitus graditur properanter anhelus.
conjecture I hall however leave to others. D'Aufiy quotes a book never printed, of the thirteenth century, intitled Proverbes, and in which is given an account of the beft articles produced at that time by the different parts of the kingdom, and affures us that a great many kinds of fifh were mentioned in it, but no carp, though at prefent they are common all over France *.

It appears alfo that there were no carp in England in the eleventh century, at lealt they do not occur in the Anglo-Saxon Dictionary of Aelfric, who, in 105 I , died archbihhop of York $\dagger$. We are affured likewife, that they were firt brought into 'the kingdom in the fifth year of the reign of Henry VIII, or in 1514, by Leonard Mafcal of Plum-

Portitor exfurgens præfatam venit in urbem,
Regalique coco, reliquorum quippe magiftro,
Detulerat pifces, quos vir dedit ille viator.
Hos cum pigmentis condiffet et appofuiffet
Regi Gunthario, miratus fertur ab alto:
Iftiufcemodi nunquam milhi Francia pifces
Oftendit, reor externis a finibus illos.
De prima expeditione Autila regis Hunnoram in Gallias, carmen ediva F . C. J. Fifcher. Lipfix $1 弓 80$, 4 to. x. 432 .

Fifcher, Sitten und gebräuche der Luropäer im 5 und 6ten jahrhundcrte. Frankf. $1_{7} 8_{4}$, 8vo. p. I2 I.

* Hiftoire de la vie privée des Français, p. i. 2. p. 59.
$\dagger$ It may be found at the end of Gul. Somneri Dicionarium Sax-susco-Latino-Anglicum. Oxonii 1659, fol. p. 55.
fted in Suffex *. What we read in the Linnæan Syftem, that there filh were firft brought to England abour the year 1600 , is certainly erroneous. Where that celebrated naturalift, under whom I had the pleafure of ftudying, acquired this information, I do not know.

Denmark is indebted for thefe fifh to that celebrated ftatefman Peter Oxe, who introduced them
into

* This information I found in Anderfon's Hifory of Commerce, and in Pennant's Britifb Zoology, vol. iii. p. 300 . Both thefe authors refer to Fuller's Britiß Worthies. Fuller compofed a large work on the lives of celebrated Englifhmen, who had rendered effential fervice to their country, which, as far as Iknow, was never printed. We are told in the Biograppia Britannica, vol. iii. p. 2059, that an abridgement of it was printed in quarto, under the ficiitioustitle of Abel redivivus. Another abridgement, however, mentioned by Anderfon, under the title of Engli/h Wortbies in Church and State, mult have been printed in octavo in 1684 . As I have feen none of thefe works of Fuller, I can give no farther account of this worthy Mafcal. I neverthelefs flatter my felf that I can rectify an error which has become very common. Klein, in Hi\{loria pifcium, v. p. $5^{8}$, fays : Leonard. Mafcal, libro de pifcat. primum fe cyprinos, carp, in Angliam intuliffe fcribit. The fame account has been repeated by Richter in his Ichthyologie, Leipzig 1754, 8vo. p. 792, by Krunitz in his Encyclopedie, xxxv. p. II, and alfo by others. It appears to me highly probable, or rather certain, that a book by Nicolaus Marfchalk or Marefchalcus, but who cannot be the Mafcal of Suffex, is alluded to in the above paflage. The former was a native of Thuringia, and died profeffor of law and hiflory at Roftock in 1525 . He wrote many hiftorical works, which were much efteemed, and of which a good
into the kingdom as well as cray-fifh, and other objects for the table. He dicd in the year 1575 *.
account may be found in various books, fuch as the Hanburgifches Biblioth. hijforica, cent. ii. p. 26I; Von Weftpbalen Monumenta edita, tom. i. in the preface, and p. 459 ; and in FabriciiBiblioth. ruedii revi, vi. p. 749. They are all very fcarce, as the author printed only a few copies in his own printing-office, which was one of the frift at Roftock. See Voot, Catal. lib. rar. p. 444, and Freytag, Anclecia litter. de lib. rar. p. 572. The fcarceft however is the work mentioned by Klein, and which I never faw. The beft account of it is in Conr. Gefineri Hiff. pijciun, where the author enumerates all the writers on the fubject of fifhes. It is as follows : Nicolai Marefchalci,' Thurii, Hiftoria aquatilium, impreffa eft Roftochii in ædibus ipfius an. 1520, in fol. cum picturis, fed fictis et abfurdis, iifdem aut fimillimis, quales in libris Bartholomæi Anglici et hujus farinæ fcriptorum de rerum natura habentur. Sunt autem collectanea tantum ex auctoribus ordine alphabeti congefta ; proprium nihil, neque obfervatio ulla, neque nomen Germanicum ullum ; quod hercle miror, cum de longinquis navigationibus fuis per maria glorietur. Promittit et \%oographiam et therion hiftoriam, et ornithographiam, que ipfum preftitiffe non puto. As I knew that Schöttgen had given fome account of the life of Marfchalk, 1 procured his work, which is intitled, C. Scliöttgeniii Commentat. de vita N. Marefibalci, quam ob raritatem recudi curavit J. P. Schmidius, Roftochii 1752, 4to. but I found in it nothing more concerning the above book than what is faid by Gefner. It is certain that the fimilarity of the names Mafcal and Marfchalk has occafioned them to be confounded, though the chriftiau name of the one was Leonard, and that of the other Nicholas.

[^56]We are told that thefe fifh were brought from Italy to Pruffia, where they are at prefent very abundant, by a nobleman whofe name is not mentioned. This fervice however may be afcribed with more probability to the upper burggrave, Cafpar von Noftiz, who died in 1588 , and who in the middle of the fixteenth century firf fent carp to Pruffia from his eftate in Silefia, and canfed them to be put into the large pond at Arenfberg not far from Creuzburg. As a memorial of this circumftance the figure of a carp, cut in ftone, was fliewn formerly over a door at the caftle of Arensberg. This colony muft have been very numerous in the year $\mathbf{1 5 3 5}$, for at that period carp were fent from Konigberg to Wilda, where the archduke Albert then refided *. At prefent a great many carp are tranfported from Dantzic and Konigflberg to Ruffia, Sweden, and Denmark. It appears to me probable, that thefe filh after that period became every where known and efteemed, as eating fifh in Lent and on Faft-days was among Chritians confidered to be a religious duty, and that on this account they endeavoured to have ponds flocked with them in every country, becaure no feecies can be fo eafily bred in thefe refervoirs.

I Thall obferve in the laft place, that the /piegel-

[^57]karpen, mirrorearp, diftinguifhed by yellow fcales, which are much larger, though fewer in number, and which do not cover the whole body, are not mentioned but by modern writers. Mr. Bloch fays that they were firft defcribed by Jonfton, under the name of royal-carp. The paffage where he does fo I cannot find; but in plate xxix, there is a bad engraving, with the title Jpiegel-karpen, which however have fcales all over their bodies, and cannot be the kind alluded to. On the other hand, the jpiegel-karpen are mentioned by Gefner, who, as appears, never faw them *. In my opinion, Balbin, who wrote in the middle of the fixe teenth cencury, was the firft perfon who gave a true and complete defcription of them; and, according to his account, they feem to have come originally from Bohemia $\uparrow$. The firf correct figure of them is to be found in Marfigli $\$$.

* Spiegt-karpen, cyprini quidam funt e Franconia, fic dicti a maculis, p. 370.
t Carpioncs regii, quod genus vix extra Bohemiam (in Moravia tamen aliquando, fed a nobis advectum) inveneris. Duos habent ordines fquamarum, que a capite ad caudam ufque trahuntur, cretera nudi funt; fquamæ in aureum colorem definunt; jucundiffimo quodam carnis fapore præflant cæteris. Sed ob teneritudinem diu non vivit, cum lorica illa fquamea adverfus injurias minime defendantur. Mifellan. Bobem. p. 126.

[^58]
## C A MP. MILLS.

UNDER this appellation are underfood portable or moveable mills, which can be ufed, particularly in the time of war, when there are neither windnor water-mills in the neighbourhood, and which on that account formerly accompanied armies in the fame manner as camp-ovens and camp-forges. Some of thefe mills have ftones for grinding the corn, and others are conftructed with a notched roller like thofe of our coffee-mills. Some of them alfo are fo contrived, that the machinery is put in motion by the revolution of the wheels of the carriage on which they are placed ; and others, and perhaps the greater part of thofe ufed, are driven by horfes or men, after the wheels of the carriage are funk in the ground, or faftened in fome other manner.

To the latter kind belongs that mill of which Zonca* has given a coarfe engraving, but without any

[^59]any defcription. He fays it was invented by Pompeo Targone, engineer to the well-known marquis Ambrofe Spinola; and he feenas to place the time of the invention about the end of the fixteenth century *. This mill is the fame as that defcribed by Beyer in his Theatrum macbinarum mobarium, and reprefented in the twenty-feventh plate of that work $\dagger$. Beyer remarks that it was employed by Spinola.

The inventor, as his name hhews, was an Italian, who made himfelf known, in particular, at the ce-
contains forty-two plates, befides the title-page. The greater part of the machines delineated are engines for raifing heaty bodies ; but many of them are ufed in various trades and manufactures, and may ferve in fome meafure to illuftrate the hifo tory of them. The figures are coarfe and defective, and the defcriptions, which are not altogether intelligible, contain only, for the molt part, an account of the common conftruction of each machine. By the preface it appears that the book was printed once before, in 1621.
*The figure of the mill has the following title : Nova inven tione de' molini per macinare et condurre in guerra, inventati dal Sig. Pompeo Targone, ingegniero dell' eccellentiffimo Sign. Ambrofio Spinola, generale per la maentà cattolica in Fiandra, dietro il numero ottantaotto. This figure is the only one in the work not particularly defcribed.

+ J. M. Beyer, Theatrum machinarum molarium, oder Schauplatz der Mühlen-Bau-kunft. Leipzig ${ }^{17} 735$, fol. This work was reprinted at Drefden in 1760 , but without any additions, though promifed in the title. Like figures alfo may be found in Harfdorfers Pbilofophifchen und mathematicchen erquickflundeen, dritter theil. Nurnberg 1692 , 4 to. p. 437 and $\sigma_{5} 8$.
vol. III.
M
lebrated fiege of Rochelle, under Louis XIII, at which he was chofen to affift, becaufe in the year 1603 , when with Spinola, who was confulted refpecting the operations at Rochelle, he had belped by means of a mole to fhut the larbour of Oftend during the tedious fiege of that place *. He was likewife in the Frencl fervice, as intendint des maclines du roi; but his numerous and expenfive undertakings did not fucceed according to hiṣ expectations i. He invented alfo a particular kind of gun-carriages, and a variety of warlike machines

Another old figure of fuch a mill was flrewn to me by profeffor Meifter, in Recueil de pluffiurs machines militaires $\|$, printed in 1620 . This machine

* Toze, Gefchichte derVereinigten Niederlande. Halle 577 r , 2 theile, 4 to. 1. p. 496.
+ All thofe authors who have written exprefsly on the fate of the Huguenots, the hiftory of Richelien, Louis XIII, and the fiege of Rochelle, make mention of Targone. See Hifoire de Louis XIII, par Dupleix. Paris 1643 , fol. p. 235 and 323 . This work forms the fourth part of Hifoire ginćrale de France, par Duplcix., Hifoire de Louis XIIl, par Le Fafjor. Amfterdan 1757, 4to. ii. p. 505. iii. p. 559.
$\ddagger$ Hiftoire de la milice Françoife, par Daniel. Amferdam $=17^{2} 4, z$ vol. 4 to. i. p. $33^{2}$.
H Recueil de plufieurs machines militaires et feux artificicls pour la guerre et recreation ; avec l'Alphabet de Tritemius .-. de la deligence de Franç. Thybourel et de Jean Appier. Au Pont-a-Mouffon 1620, 4to. Livre troifième, p. 22.
was driven by the wheels of the carriage ; but whether it was ever ufed, the author does not inform us.

Lancellotti * afcribes this invention to the Germans, about the year 1633 .

Carriages for tranfporting camp-forges and millmachinery are mentioned by Leonard Fronfperger $\psi$, but he does not fay whether complete mills were affixed to them.

* L' Hoggidi, overo glingegni non infériori a paflati ; delli ${ }^{j}$ abbate D. Secondo Lancellottida Perugia, parte feconda, inVenetia 1636, 8vo. p. 457: Quello anno (1633) sintende de Germania una nuova inventione di molino fopra un carro tirato da quatri cavalli, facile ad effere condotto per monti e valli, che caminando macina col giro delle ruote; e ftando fermo macina come un molino a vento.
$\dagger$ Kriegłuch, ander theil; von wagenburgk umb die veldleger。 Frankfurt 1596 , fol. p: 9 .


## MIRRORS*.

IT is highly probable that a limpid brook was the firt mirror $t$, but we have reafon to think that artificial mirrors were made as foon as mankind began to exercife their art and ingenuity on metals and ftones. Every folid body, capable of receivo ing a fine polith, would be fufficient for this purpole; and indeed, the oldeft mirrors mentioned in: hiftory were of metal. Thofe which occur in Job

* The works in which this fubject has been already treated are the following :

Eberfartas de Weibe de rpeculi: origine, ufu et abiufu. A compilation formed without tafte, of which I gave fome account in the Article on Chimneys.

Spanbemii Obfervationes in Callimacbi bymnam in lavacrum Palbadis, p. 615 .

Meurfii Exercitationes critica, ii. 2. 6. In his Works, vol. r . p. 6i4. Contains only fome paffages from ancient authors.

Hiftoirc de l'Académie des Inforiptions,tome xxiii. p. I:40. Recherches fur les Miroirs des anciens, par Mênard. A fhort paper, barren of information.

Saggi di Differtazioni Accademiche lette nella nob. Accadensia Etrufca dell' citlà di Cortona, 4to. tomo vii. p. 19: Sopra gli fpecchi degli antichi, del Sig.Cari. A tranfation from the French, with the figures of fome ancient mirrors, It contains an explanation of fome paffages in Pliny, where he feems to fpeak of a mirror formed of a ruby, and fome conjectures refpecting the mirror of Nero. An anonymous member of the academy, in

Job ${ }^{*}$ are praifed on account of their hardnefs and folidity ; and Mofes relates $\|$, that the brazen laver, or wafhing-bafon, was made from the mirsors of the women who had affembled at the duor of the tabernacle to prefent them, and which he caufed them to deliver up. As the women appeared in full dre!s at divine worfhip, it was neceffary for them to have looking-glaffes after the
an apendix, confirms the former, and confiders the latter very properly as improbable.

Recueil d'Antiquités (par Caylus), tome iii. p. 331, and tome vo p. I73. A defcription and figares of ancient mirrors, with fome chemical experiments on their compofition.

Amufemens Pbidofophiques fur diverfes parties des foiences, et principalement de la pbyjique et des mathematiques. Par le pere Bonaventure Abat. Amfterdam 1763, 8vo. p. 433 : Sur l'antiquilé des mirroirs de verre. A differtation worthy of being read on account of the author's acquaintance with the ancient writers, and his knowledge of technology ; but he roves beyond all proof, and employs much verbofity to decorate his conjectures, which by their ornaments, however, acquire very little probability.
$\dagger$ Paffages of the poets, where female deities and fhepherdeffes are reprefented as contemplating themfelves in water inftead of a mirror, may be found collected by Gudius, Rigaltius and others, in the notes to Pbredri Fab. i. 4 , in the edition of Burmann, Amftelodami $1698,8 \mathrm{vo}$. p. 19, $215,408$.
$\pm$ Haft thou with him fpread out the fky, which is ftrong and as a molten looking-glafs? Jub, chap, xxxvii, ver. 18.

If And he made the laver of brafs, and the foot of it of brafs, of the looking-glaffes of the women affembling, which affembled at the door of the tabernacle of the congregation. Exodus, chap. xxxviii. ver. 8 .

Egyptian manner. With thefe the wathing-bafons, according to the conjecture of moft interpretators, were only ornamented, covered, or perhaps hung round; and Michaelis* himfelf was
> * Hiforia vitri apud Judæos, which may be found in Commen. tar. Societat. Scient. Gotting. iv. p. 330. Having requetted profer. for Tychfen's opinion on this fubject, I received the following anfwer: "You have conjectured very properly that the mirrors of the Ifraelitifh women, mentioned Exod. xxxviii. 8, were not employed for ornamenting or covering the wafhing-bafons, in order tnat the priefts might behold themfelves in them; but that they were melted, and bafons caft of them. The former was a conceit firft advanced, if I am not mifaken, by Nicol. de Lyra, in the fourteenth century, and which Michaelis himfelf adopted in the year 1754; but he afterwards retracted his opinion when he made his tranlation of the Old Teftament at a riper age. In the Hebrew expreffion there is no ground for it ; and mirrors could hardly be placed very conveniently in a bafon employed for wathing the feet. I muft at the fame time confefs that the word (מראח) which is here fuppofed to fignify a mirror, occurs no where elfe in that fenfe. Another explanation therefore has been given, by which both the women and mirrors difappear from the paffage. It is by a learned Fleming, Hermann Gid. Clement, and may be found in his Differtatio de labro aenco, Groning. I732, and alfo in Ugolini Tbrfaurus, tom. xix. p. 1505, where it is quoted. He tranflates the paffage thus: Fecit labrum aeneum et operculum ejus aencum cum figuris ornantibus, quæ ornabant oftium tabernaculi. This explanation however is attended with very great difficulties; and as all the old tranflators and Jewifh commentators have here underltood mirrors; and as the common tranfation is perfectly agreeable to the language and circumftances, we ought to believe that Mofes, not having copper, neelted down the mir rors of his country women, and con: yerted them into walhing-bafons for the priefs."
ance of this opinion. But why hould we not rather belicve that the mirrors were melted and formed into wafhing-bafons? As foon as mankind began to endeavour to make good mirrors of metal, they muft have remarked, that every kind of metal was not equally proper for that ufe, and that the belt could be obrained from a mixture of different metals. In the mirrors, however, which were collected by Mofes, the artilts had a fufficient ftock of fpeculum metal, and were not under the neceffity of making it themfelves; and for this reafon they could much mare eafily give to the whole bafon a polifhed furface, in which the prietts, when they wathed, might furvey themfelves at full length. At any rate fuch a bafon would not be the only one employed inftead of a mirror: Artemidorus* fays, that he who dreams of viewing himfelf in a bafon, will have a fon born to him by his maid. Dreams indeed are generally as groundlefs as this interpretation; but one can hardly conjecture that Artemidorus would have thought of fuch a dream, had it not been very common for people to contemplate themfelves in a bafon. There were formerly a kind of fortune tellers, who pretended to thew in polifhed bafons to the fimple

[^60]and ignorant, what they winhed to know *. The ancients alfo had drinking veffels, the infide of which was cut into mirrors, fo difpofed, that the image of the perfon who drank from them was feen multiplied + . Vopifcus mentions, among the valuable prefents of Valerian to the emperor Probus, when a tribune, a filver cup of great weight, which was covered in the infide with mirrors of this fort .

## Menard

[^61]Menard and others conjecture, that mirrors in the time of Homer were not much ufed, becaufe he mentions them on no occafion, not even where he defcribes in fo circumftantial a manner the toilet of Juno \%. In anfwer to this, however, I have two things to obferve. In the firlt place, it is not to be expected that Homer fhould have mentioned every article with which he was acquainted; and, fecondly, we are affured by Callimachus, where he evidently has imitated the before-quoted paffage of Homeri, that neither Juno nor Pallas employ-
alfo before faid, that this anecdote had been inferted by fome malicious impoftor. This I will not venture to contradict, but I am of opinion that $\int$ pecillatum or Specellatum cubiculum is at any rate as much agreeable to the Roman idiom as patina specillata. This expreffion Saumaife and Cafaubon have juftified by fimilar phrafes, fuch as opera filicata, teffelata, bederata, \&cc. The chamber in which Claudian makes Venus ornament herfelf, and be overcome by the perfuafion of Cupid, was alfo covered over with mirrors, fo that whichever way her eyes turned, fhe could fee her own image. Hymn. in nupt. Honor. et Maria, I17.
————Speculi nec vultus egebat Judicio; fimilis tecto monftratur in omni, Et rapitur quocunque videt.
Did Claudian imagine that this goddefs knew how to employ fuch an apartment, not only for dreffing, but even after the was andreffed, as well as Horace? I have feen at a certain court, a bed entirely covered in the infide witla mirrors.

* Iliad. lib. xiv. ver. 166.

4. Hymaus in lavacrum Palladis, v. 15, 2 I. It was however cuftomary
eñ a mirror when they dreffed. Mythology therefore did not allow the poet to introduce a mirror upon the toilet of that deity. Polydore Vergilius, Boccace, Menard, and others, have all fallen into the error of making 在fculapius the inventor of mirrors, though Cicero* feems to fay the fame thing ; but the belt commentators have long fince obferved very jufty, that the Roman philofopher alludes not to a mirror but to a probe, the invention of which we may allow to the father of medicine, who ivas at firft only a furgeon.

When one reflects upon the ufe made of metal mirrors, particularly at Rome, to add to magnificence and for other purpofes; and how many artifts, during many fucceffive centuries, were employed in conftructing them, and vied to excel each other in their art, one cannot help conjecturing that this branch of bufinefs mult at thofe periods have been carried to a high degree of perfection. It is therefore to be regretted, that they have not been par-
cultomary to afcribe a mirror to Juno, as Spanheim on this paffage proves; and Athanafius, in Orat. contra gentes, cap. xviii. p. I8, lays that fhe was confidered as the inventrefs of drefs and all ornaments. Should not therefore the mirror, the principal inftrument of drefs, belong to her? May it not have been denied to her by Callimachus, becaufe he did not find it mentioned in the defcription which Homer has given of her dreffing-room?
:* Efculapiorum primus - . - qui fpecillum inveniffe et pripuus vulnus obligaffe dicitur. De natur. Drorum, iiio 22. Compare Lefcolofri:i Humanitas thsolog. p. 642.
ticularly defcribed by any writer, and that on this account the art was entirely loft after the invention of glafs-mirrors, which are much more convenient. No one at that time entertained the lealt fufpicion, that circumftances would afterwards occur which would render thefe metal-mirrors again neceffary, as has been the cafe in our days by the invention of the telefcope. Our artifts then were obliged to make new experiments in order to difcover the beft mixture for mirrors of metal ; and this fhould be a warning to mankind, never to fuffer arts which have been once invented and ufeful, to become again unknown. A circumftantial defcription of them fhould at any rate be preferved for the ufe of pofterity, in libraries, the archives of human knowledge.

When we compare metals in regard to their fitnefs for mirrors, we fhall foon perceive that the hardeft of a white colour poffels in the higheft degree the neceffary luftre. For this reafon platina is preferable to all others, as is proved from the experiments made by the count von Sickingen. Steel approaches neareft to this new metal, and filver follows fteel ; but gold, copper, tin, and lead are much lefs endowed with the requifite property. I have howeyer obferved among the an. cients no traces of fteel.mirrors; and it is probable they did not make any of that metal, as it is fo liable to become tarnifhed, or to contract ruft. An ancient
ancient fteel-mirror is indeed faid to have been once found, but as fome marks of filvering were perceived on it, a queftion arifes whether the filvered fide was not properly the face of the mirror *. Befides, every perfon knows that a feel mirror would not retain its luftre many centuries amidft ruins and rubbifh.

The greater part of the ancient mirrors were made of filver, not on account of cottlinefs and magnificence, as many think, but becaufe filver, as has been faid, was the fitteft and the moft durable of all the then known unmixed metals for that ufe. In the Roman code of laws, when filver plate is mentioned, under the heads of heirfhip and fucceffion by propinquity, filver mirrors are rarely omitted $\dagger$; and Pliny $\downarrow$, Seneca $\|$, and other writers, who

* Speculum chalybeum, cujus diameter quinque pollices æquat ; pars averfa leviter concava deargentata varia parerga habet. Fortun. Licet. de lucernis antiq. lib. vi. cap. 92. p. 1086. As this mirror was found near Nimeguen, I expected to fee a better account of it in Antiquitates Neomagenfes, five Notitia rerum antiquarum, quas comparavit fob. Smetius; Noviomagi 1678, 4to. : but I met with only the following paffage, p. 149 : Speculum chalybeum integrum, rotundum, convexum, cujus diameter pollicum quinque. Ad hæc innumera fpeculorum chalybeorum, ct in is quorundam deauratorum fragmenta.
$\dagger$ Digef. lib. xxxiii. tit. 6, 3. In the Greek tranीation, or Eclogas Synop fis тwy $\beta \alpha \sigma t \lambda \star \omega \omega$, lib. xliv. tit. 9. cap. 3. p. $3^{\mathrm{S} 9}$, frands $\tau \alpha \sigma \pi s \tau \lambda \alpha$ rov ouxov; where, as Leunclavius has already re. marked
who inveigh againft luxury, tell us, ridiculing the extravagance of the age, that every young woman in their time mut have a filver mirror. Thefe poe lifhed filver plates may however have been very flight, for all the ancient mirrors, preferved in collections, which I have ever feen, are only covered with a thin coat of that expenfive metal ; and, in the like manner, our artifts have at length learned a method of making the cafes of gold and filver watches fo thin and light, that every foomman and foldier can wear one. At firft, the fineft filver only was employed for thefe mirrors, becaufe it was imagined that they could not be made of that which was ftandard ; but afterwards meta! was ufed of an inferior quality. Pliny tells us fo exprefsly $\S$, and 1 form the fame conclufion from a
marked, P. gI, we ought to read $\sigma$ ms $\% \lambda \alpha$. This word can have no allufion to windows, as thefe were not then in ufe. Digefta, lib. xxxiv. tit. 2, 19, 8 : Nec fpeculum (argenteum) vel parieti affxum, vel etiam quod mulier mundi cauffia habuit; finon in argenti numero habiti fint. We find there alfo, lex xxv. 10 , and

$\ddagger$ Plia. lib. xxxiv. cap. 17. p. 669: Argenteis fpeculis uti cœpere et ancille.

II Jam libertinorum virgunculis in unum fpeculum non fufficit illa dos, quam dedit fenatus pro Scipione. शuaft, nat. at the end of the firlt book.
§ Laminas duci et fpecula fieri non nifi ex cptimo argento poffe creditum fuerat. Id quoque jam fraude corrumpitur. Lib. zesiii. cag. p. 625.
paflage of Plautus *. Philematium having taken up a mirror, the prudent Scapha gives her, w towel, and defires her to wipe her fingers, left: her lover flould furpeet by the fmell, that the had been receiving money. Fine filver howd ever communicates as little fmell to the fingers as gold; but it is to be remembered, that the ancients underfood much better than the mos derns how to difcover the finenefs of the noble metals by the fmell, as many modes of proof which we ufe to find out the alloy, were to them unknown. Money-changers therefore employed their fmell when they were defirous of trying the purity of coin $\dagger$. The witty thought of Vefpafian, who, when reproached on account of his tax upon urine, defired thofe who did fo to fmell the money it produced, and to tell him whether it had any fimell of the article which was the object of it, alludes to this circumftance. In the like manner, many fa-

* Sc. Cape igitur fpeculum.

Linteum cape, atque exterge tibi manus.
Phi. Quid ita, oblecro?
Sc. Ut fpeculum tenuift, nc oleant argentum mianus; Ne ufquam argentum te fufcepiffe fufpicetur Philolaches. Moftcll. act. i. fc. 3. v. Ior.

 Argentarius ad explorationem numifmatis utitur vifu, tactu, olfactu. -...
vage nations, at prefent, can by their fmell determine the purity of gold *.

We are informed by Pliny i, that Praxiteles, in the time of Pompey the Great, made the firft filver mirror, and that mirrors of that metal were preferred to all others. Silver mirrors however

* I have already quoted proofs in my annotations on Arifot. Aufcult. mirab. p. 100; and Antigoni Caryf. Hifl. miral. p. 234. The remaining paffages of the ancients with which I am acquainted, where mention is made of filver mirrors, are the following: Apuleius, in Apologia, p. 424: Cur exitimes imaginem fuam cuique vifendam potius in lapide, quam in argento ? that is fpeculo argenteo. The fame author mentions in his Floralia, p. 790, among the valuables of Juno in the ifland of Samos: Plurima auri ct argenti ratio in lancibus, fpeculis, poculis et hujufmodi utenfilibus. Koromtosy apyt pouy occurs amorg the rich articles confecrated to Juno, in Philoftratus, Icon. i. 6. p. 773. Chryfoftome, Serm. xvii. p. 224, drawing a picture of the extravagance of the women, fays: "The maid-fervants mult be continually import uning the filverfmith to know whether their lady's

 made by the filverfinithis. It appears however that the mirrormakers at Rome formed a particular company; at leafMuratori, in. Thefaur. infeript. claf. vii. p. 529, has made known an infcription in which collegium Speculariorum is mentioned. Thcy occur alfo in Codes Theoldef. xiii. tit. 4, 2, p. 5\%, where Ritter has quoted more paffages in which they may be found. But perhaps the fame name was given to thofe who covered walls with polifhed flones, and in later times to glaziers. In Greek they were called $\sigma \pi$ екरлотогs,
$\dagger$ Prelata funt argentea. Primus fecit Praxiteles, Magni Pompeii retate. Nuper credi cœeptum, certiorem imaginem reddi, amro oppofito averfis. Lib, xxxiii. cap. D. p. 62\%.
were known long before that period; as is prozeci by the patfage of Plautus above quoted. To reconcile this contradicion, Meurfius remarks that Pliny fpeaks only of his countrymen, and not of the Greeks, who had fuch articles much earlier, and the fcene in Plautus is at Athens. This therefore feems to jultify the account of Pliny, but of what he fays afterwards I can find no explanation. Haidouin is of opinion, that mirrors, according to the neweft invention, at that period, were covered behind with a plate of gold, as our mirrors are with an amalgam of metal. But as the ancient plates of filver were not tranfparent, how could the gold at the back part of them produce any effect in regard to the image? May not the meaning be, that a thin plate of gold was placed at fome diftance before the mirror in order to throw more light upon its furface? But whatever may have been the cafe, Pliny himfelf feems not to have had much confidence in the invention.

> Mirrors of copper, brafs *, and gold $\dagger$, I have found

* Callimach. in lavacrum Palladis, v. 21, calls the mirror of Venus $\delta_{b \nu \nu y \in \alpha} \chi^{\alpha \lambda r o v,}$ nitidum æs. Two lines before he mentions
 fays, Brafs is the mirror of the countenance, and wine the mirror of the mind : кatarteoresiou"s $\chi$ ai.xos. Nonnus, Diony. v. p. 174, calls a nirror $\chi \alpha \lambda \times o v \delta \delta x u y \varepsilon \alpha$, es fplendidum ; and he repeats the fame thing xlii. p. 1082.
t We find by Euripides, that Helen carried with her from Ilium
found mentioned only by the poets, who perhaps employed the names of thefe metals, becaufe they beft fuited thcir meafure, or becaule they withed to ufe uncommon expreffions, and thought a golden mirror the nobleft. By the brafs ones perhaps are to be underftood only fuch as were made of mixed copper. Did golden mirrors occur oftener, I fhould be inclined to refer the epithet rather to the frame or ornaments than to the mirror itfelf; for at prefent we fay a gold watch, though the cafes only may be of that metal.

Mirrors feem for a long time to have been made of a mixture of copper and tin *, as is exprefsly faid

Ilium the golden mirror, $\chi \rho_{\rho} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu \pi \tau \rho \alpha, \pi \alpha \rho, \rho_{v \omega y} \chi_{\xi} \alpha_{\xi} \tau \alpha \varsigma$, aurea fpecula, virginum delicias. Hecuba, ver. 925. Seneca, Quef. nat. i. at the end, fays: Poftea, rerum jam potiente luxuria, fpecula totis paria corporibus auro argentoque celata funt, denique gemmis adornata ; et pluris unurn ex his feminæ conftitit, quam antiquarum dos fuit illa, que publice dabatur imperatorum pauperum filiabus. An tu exiftimas, ex auro nitidum habuiffe Scipionis filias fpeculum, cum illis dos fuiffet æs grave? Several manufcripts however have auro indiium; and mirrors with golden frames are undoubtedly here meant. I know fill of another paffage where mention is made of a gold mirror. It occurs in Elian's V'ar. $h_{i j 2}$. lib. xii. cap. $5^{8}$; but the words are fo corrupted and unintelligible, that moft commentators wifh they were cleared up. Befides, in other writers, who relate the fame circumftance, there is no mention of a mirror. The author perhaps alluded to a painting.

[^62]faid by Pliny *, who adds, that the beft were conftructed at Brundifium. This mixture, which was known to Ariftode 4 , produces a white inetal, which, on account of its colour, may have been extremely proper for the purpofe, and even at prefent the fame mixture, according to the careful experiments made by Mr. Mudge, an Englifhman i, produces the beft metal for fpecula. It appears however that the ancients had not determined the proportion very accurately; for Pliny affures us twice, that in his time mirrors of filver were preferred. It is indeed not eafy to afcertain the quantity of each metal that ought to be taken, and the moft advantageous degree of heat; upon which a great deal depends. One of the principal difficulties is to caft the metal without blifters or air-holes, and without reducing any part of the tin to a calx, which occafions knots and cracks, and prevents it
ever fores doubt. To determine this point, a refearch would be neceffary, which I have not yet been able to make. The fannum of the ancients is certainly fometimes what the people at the German melting-houfes call werk, as I have proved in a note to Arifgot. Aufcult. mirabil. p. 102.

* Optima fpecula apud majores fuerunt Brundifina, ftanno et zere mixtis. Prelata funt argentea. Lib. xxxiii, c. 9. p. 627. Specula quoque ex famo laudatifima, ut diximus, Brundifii temperabantur, donec argenteiz uti cœpere et anciliz. Lib. xxxiv. c. 17. p. 669.
+ Aufcultat. mirabil. cap. 1xiii. p. 13 I.
$\ddagger$ Philofophical Tranfactions, vol. 1xvii. p. $29^{5}$.
from receiving a fine polifh. A paffage of Lucian*, which no one as yet has been able to clear up, alludes certainly, in my opinion, to thefe faults. A. muxture of copper and tin is fo brittle, that it is very liable to crack ; and a mirror formed of it, if not preferved with great care, foon becomes fo dim, that it cannot be ufed till it has been previoufly cleaned and polithed. For this reafon a fponge with pounded pumice-ftone was generally furpended from the ancient mirrors $\psi$, and they
* Quomodo hiforia fit confcrib. cap. 5t. Edition of Deux-

 fpeculo fimilem prebeat animum, nihil turbido, et fplendido, et centri exacti; qualefque acceperit operum fpecies, tales etiam illas oftendat ; perverfum vero, aut alieni coloris, aut figuræ diverfæ, nihil. Commentators have found no other way to explain xevipoy than by the word centre, to which, according to their owa account, there can be here no allufion. In my opinion $x \in \% \cdot \rho^{\prime} ;$ fignifies thofe faulty places which are not capable of a complete polifh, on account of the knots or cracks which are found in them. Lucian therefore fpeaks of a faultlefs mirror which reprefents the image perfect, as he afterwards informs us. This meaning of the term contrum I have proved already in a note to the article on Ultramarine. See Salmafii Exercitat. Plin. p. 756.
$\dagger$ The paffages which ferve to prove this circumftance have been quoted by Voflius in his Annotations on Catullus, p. 97, and after him by Spanheim on Callimachus, p. 622. Plato in Timaus, according to the edition of Stephanus, t. iii. p. $7^{2}$, fays: Tanquam fpongia parata et prompta detergendo, cui appofita eft, fpeculo. In Tertullian Depallio, Omphale rubs the blood from an arrow with the pumice-ftone which was ufed for
 ,surs \%alapioiy xarourtpoy, a mirror newly cleaned.
were kept likewife in a cale or box, as may be feen by the greater part of thofe flill extant. Mirrors of filver were lefs fubject to this inconvenience, and I am inclined to think that the latter on this account made the former be difufed, as we are informed by Pliny.

As ancient mirrors of metal are fill to be found in collections of antiquities, it might be of fome importance to the arts, if chemical experiments were made on their compofition. Thofe who have hitherto given us any account of them have contented themfelves with defcribing their external figure and Thape. Count Caylus * is the only perfon,
> * As the account of thefe experiments is given in an expenfive work, which may not often fall into the hands of thofe who are beft able to examine it, I flatter myfelf that I fhall receive thanks for inferting it here. "The ancient mirror which 1 examined, was a metallic mixture, very tender and brittle, and of a whitifh colour inclining to grey. When put into the fire, it remaired a long time in a fate of ignition before it melted. It was neither inflammable nor emitted any fnell like garlic, which would have been the cafe had it contained arfenic. It did not either produce thofe flowers which are generally produced by all mixtures in which there is zinc. Befides, the bafis of this mixture being copper, it would have heen of a yellow colour had that femi-metal formed a part of it. I took two drams of it and dif. folved them in the nitrous acid. A folution was fpeedily formed, which affumed the fame colour as folutions of copper. It precipitated a white powder, which I carefully edulcorated and dried. Having put it into a crucible with a reductive flux, I obtained lead very foft and malleable.
fon, as far as I know, who caufed any chemical experiments to be undertaken on this fubject. They were made on a mirror found near Naples,
"Having filtered the folution, I took a part of it, upon which I poured an infulion of gall-nuts, but it produced no clange. A folution of gold, which I poured upon another part, made it affume a beautiful green colour; but no precipitate was formed: thich is fufficient to prove that there was neither iron nortin in the mixture.
" On the remaining part of the folution I poured a fufficient quantity of the volatile alkali to diffolve all the copper that might be contained in it. The folution became of a beautiful fapphire-blue colour, and a white precipitate was formed. Haying decanted the liquor, and carefully edulcorated the precipitate, I endeavoured to reduce it ; but whether it was owing to the quautity being too fmall, or to my not giving it fufficient heat, I could not fucceed. I had recourfe therefore to another method.
"I took the weiglit of two drams of the mixture, which I brought to a high flate of ignition in a cuppel. When it was of a whitifh-red colour, I threw upon it gradually four drams of fulphur, and when the flame ceafed, I Atrengthened the fire in order to bring it to complcte fufion. By thefe means I obtained a tender brittle regulus, whiter than the mixture, in which I obferved a few fmall needles. Being apprehenfive that fome copper might ftill remain, I fulphurated it a fecond time, and then obtained a fmall regulus which was almoft pure antimony.
"It refults from thefe experiments, that the metal of which the ancients made their mirrors was a compofition of copper, regulus of antimony, and lead. Copper was the predominant, and lead the fmallett fart of the mixture ; but it is very difficult, as is well known, to determine with any certainty the exact proportion of the fubflances contained in fuch compofitions."
by Mr. Roux, who afferts that the compofition was a mixture of copper and regulus of antimony, with a little lead. Antimony however was not known to the ancients. If that metal was really a component part, the mirror mult have been the ivork of more modern times, or it mult be allowed that the artift had metal combined with antimony without knowing it ; bur the latter is not probable. The experiments however made by Roux do not feem to me to have proved in a fatisfactory manner the prefence of regulus of antimony; and for this reafon I requefted the opinion of Mr. Gmelin, which I here infert with his permiffion.
"According to the account given of the expe"riments, which were howerer incomplete, I "think it probable that the metal of the mirror " contained antimony; but it is much to be wifh"ed that the author had not confined himfelf " merely to relate that he obtained a white tender " brittle regulus, with a few metallic needles; and "that he had carried his proofs farther, and fhewn "t that it could be nothing elfe than metallic par" ticles of antimony. This regulus, at any raie, " cannot have been tin, which is not brittle, and "which readily becomes yellow by fulphur ; nor " iron, which would have become darker, and "which in gereral unites fooner with fulphur " than copper; nor could it have been manganefe, "which fomectimes cannot be eafily melted by fuch
s a fire, even with the addition of fulphur, and " which fometimes will not diffolve in the vitriolic " acid.
" In fhort, I am not convinced that the mixture " contained no tin. As it diffolved very fpeedily, " it appears to me highly probable, that the white "calx, which was in the mean time precipitated, "was, in part, and perhaps principally, calx " of zink; and that the pretended lead was, for "the greater part, tin. A portion of the tin " may, indeed, have been diffolved in the acid; cs for, though a purple calx was not precipitated " by the folution of gold, that proves nothing. "According to every appearance the acid was "far from being faturated, and with a folu"tion of tin fo little faturated a folution of gold "will as little produce a diftinguifhable purple, "as an infufion of gall-nuts will a precipitate."

No certain information can be derived from thefe experiments, for the antiquity of the mirror was not afcertained; nor was it known whether it ought to be reckoned among the beft or the worft of the period when it was made.

Thofe mirrors, which were fo large that one could fee one's felf in them at full length, muft, in all probability, have confifted of polifhed plates of filver; for, to caft plates of fuch a fize of copper
and tin would have required more art than we can allow to thofe periods; and I do not know whether our artifts even would fucceed in them *.

We read in various authors that, befides metals, the ancients formed ftones into mirrors, which were likewife in ufe. It is undonbtedly certain that many fones, particularly of the vitreous kind, which are opake and of a dark colour, would anfwer exceedingly well for that purpofe; but let the choice have been ever fo good, they would not, in this refpect, have been nearly equal to metals. Thefe of all mineral bodies have the moft perfect opacity; and for that reafon the greateft luftre: both thefe properties are produced by their folidity; and hence they reflect more perfeclly, and with more regularity, the rays of light that proceed from other bodies. Our glafs mirrors, indeed, are properly metallic. Scones, on the other hand, have, at any rate, fome, though often hardly perceptible, tranfparency ; fo that many of the rays of light are abforbed, or at leaft not reflected. Mention of fone mirrors pccurs alfo fo feldom in the ancients, that we may conclude they were made rather for ornament than

[^63]real utility. In general, we find accounts only of polifhed plates or pannels of ftone, fixed in the walls of wainfcoted apartments, which were celcbrated on account of their property of reflection.

Pliny * praifes in this refpect the obfidian ftone, or, as it is now called, the Icelandic agate. Every thing that he fays of it will be perfectly intelligible to thofe who are acquainted with this fpecies of ftone or vitrified lava. The image reflected from a box made of it, that I have in my poffeffion, is like a hadow or filhouette; but with this difference, that one fees not only the contour, but alfo the whole figure diftinctly, though the colors are darkened. To form it into images and utenfils, which Pliny fpeaks of, mult have been exceedingly difficult, on account of its brittlenefs. I faiv at Copenhagen, among other things made of it, a drinking-cup and cover, on which the artift had been employed four years.

Domitian, when he fufpected that plots were formed againft him, caufed a gallery, in which he

[^64]ufed to walk, to be lined with phengites, which by its reflection fhewed every thing that was done behind his back*. Under that appellation we are undoubtedly to underftand a calcareous or gypfeous fpar, or felenite, which is indeed capable of reflecting an image; but we cannot therefore pretend to fay that the ancients formed mirrors of it; nor do I explain what Pliny fays, where he fpeaks of the phengites, as if whole buildings had been once conftructed of it $\dagger$. That kind of ftone, for various reafons, and particularly on account of its brittlenefs, is altogether unfit for fuch a purpofe. At thofe periods, the windows of houfes were open, and not filled up with any

* Tempore fufpecti periculi adpropinquante, follicitior in dies, porticuum, in quibus fpatiari confueverat, parietes phengite lapide dittinxit, e cujus fplendore, per imagines, quidquid a tergo fieret, provideret. Suton. in Vita Dowil. cap. xiv. p. 334.
† In Cappadocia repertus eft lapis duritia marmoris, candidus atque tramlucens, etiam qua parte fulw inciderant venæ, ex argumento phengites appellatus. Hoc conftruxerat adem Fortunæ, quam Seiam appellant a Servio rege facratam, aurea domo complexus. Quare etiam foribus opertis interdiu claritas ibi diurna erat, alio quam fpecularium modo, tanquam inclufa luce. Lib. xxxvi. 22. p. 752.

Cappacocire lapis, duritia marmoris, candidus atque tranfucidus, ex quo quondam templum conftructum eft a quodam rege, foribus aureis, quibus claufis claritas diurna erat. Ifidor. Origin, 16, 4. Our fpar is tranfparent, though clouds and veina occur in it, like, for example, the violet and ifabella-coloured of that found at Andreasberg. Compare this explanation with what Samaife fays in Txercital. Phin. p. 184 .
tranfparcnt
tranfparent fubftance, but only covered, fometimes, by lattices or curtains. It is probable, therefore, that thofe openings of the walls of the building mentioned by Pliny, where the windows ufed to be, were filled up with thengites, which, by admitting a faint light, prevented the place from being dark even when the doors were fhut ; fo that Pliny might fay, "it appeared as if "the light did not fall into the building, but as " if it were inclofed in it."

I might be accufed of omiffion did I not here mention alfo a paffage of Pliny *, where he feems to fpeak of a mirror made of an emerald, which Nero ufed to affitt him to fee the combats of the gladiators. Cary afferts, that Nero was hortfighted, and that his emerald was formed like a concave lens. The former is exprefsly faid by Pliny t, but the latter, though by Abat confidered not improbable $\ddagger$, I can fcarcely allow myfelf to

* Smaragdi plerumque et concavi, ut vifum colligant. Quapropter decreto hominum iis parcitur, fcalpi vetitis. Quamquam Scythicorum たgyptiorumque duritia tanta eft, ut nequeant vulnerari. Quorum vero corpus extenfum eft, cadem, qua fpecula, ratione, fupini imagines rerum reddunt. Nero princeps gladiatorum pugnas fpectabat fmaragdo. Lib. xxxvii. cap. 5. p. 774.
+ Neroni, nifi cum conniveret, ad prope admota hebetes (oculi). Lib. xi. cap. 37. p. 617.
$\ddagger$ This differtation of Abat may be found tranilated in Neuen Hamburg. Magazin. i. p. 568.
believe, becaufe fuch an interpretation of Pliny's words is too forced, and becaule they can be explained much better in another manner. As no mention of fuch an excellent help to hort-fighted people is to be found in any other ancient author, we muft allow, if Cary's opinion be adopted, that this property of the concave emerald was cafually remarked, and that no experiments were made to cut any other natural or artificial glafs in the fame form for the like ufe, becaufe people imagined that this property was peculiar to the emerald alone, which was then commonly fuppofed to be endowed with the power of greatly ftiengthening the eye-fight. Much more probable to me is the explanation of an Italian, which Abat alfo does not entirely reject, that the emerald bad a fmooth polifhed furface, and ferved Nero as a mirror *; and the paffage of Pliny alluded to feems to have been thus underftood by Ifidore + and Marbo-

[^65]dreus. It may here be objected, that real emeralds are too fmall to admit of being ufed as mirrors ; but the ancients fpeak of fome fufficiendy large for that purpofe, and alfo of artificial ones *; fo that we may with certainty conclude, that they claffed among the emeralds fparry fluor, green vitrified lava, or the green Icelandic agate as it is called, green jafper, and alfo green glafs. The piece of green glafs in the monaftery of Reichenau, which is feven inches in length, three inches in thicknefs, and weighs twenty-eight pounds three quarters $\uparrow$; and the large cup at Genoa, which is, however, full of flaws $\ddagger$, have been given out to be emeralds even to the prefent time.

Mirrors were made alfo of rubies, as we are affured by Pliny $\S$, who refers to Theophraftus for his authority; but this precious ftone is never found now of fuch a fize as to render this ufe pof-

[^66]fible;
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fible; and Cary and the anonymons Italian before mentioned have proved very properly that Pliny has committed a grofs miftake, which has not been obferved by Hardouin. Theophraifus, in the paffage alluded 10 , does not fpeak of a ruby, but of the well-known black marble of Chio, though he calls both carbunculuis t, a name given to the ruby on account of its likenefs to a burning coal, and to the black marble on account of its likenefs to a quenched coal or cinder; and the latter, as well as the obfidian ftone, was ufed fometimes for mirrors.

The account how mirrors were formed by the native Americans, before they had the misfortune to become acquainted with the Europeans, is of confiderable importance in the hittory of this art. Thefe people had indeed mirrors which the Europeans could not help admiring. Some of them were made of black, fomewhat tranfparent, vitrified lava, called by the Spaniards gailinazo, and which is of the fame kind as the obfidian ftone employed by the Romans for the like purpofe.

[^67]Of this fubftance the Americans had plane, concave, and convex mirrors. They had others alfo made of a mineral called the Inca's ftone*, which, as has been already faid by Bomare, Sage, Wallerius, and other mineralogifts $\psi$, was a compact pyrites or marcafite, fufceptible of a fine polilh; and on that account often brought to Europe, and worn formerly in rings under the name of the ftone of healch. Ulloa fays the Inca's ftone is brittle, opake, and of a fomewhat blueifh colour ; it has often veins which cannot be polifhed, and where thefe veins are it frequently breaks. The mirrors formed of it, which he faw, were from two to three inches in diameter; but he faw one which was two feet and a half. The opinion which fome have entertained that thefe mirrors were caft, has no other foundation than the likenefs of polifhed marcafite to caft brafs. This mineral is

[^68]very proper for relleding images; and I am inclined to think that the Peruvians had better mirrors than the Grecks or the Romans, among whom we find no traces of marcafite being employed in that manner. It appears, however, that the former had mirrors alfo of filver, copper, and brafs *.

I come now to the queftion in what century were invented our glafs inirrors, which confit of a glafs plate covered at the back with a thin leaf of metal. This queftion has been anfiwered by fome with fo much confidence, that one might almoft confider the point to be determined; but inftead of real proofs we find only conjectures or probabilities ; and I muft here remark, that I cannot help thinking that they are older than has hitherto been fuppofed, however defirous I may he to feparate hiforical truth from conjecture. When I have brought together every thing that I know on the fubject, I would fay, that attempts were even made at Sidon to form mirrors of glafs; but that they mult have been inferior to thofe of metal, becaufe they did not banifh the ufe of the latter. The firft glafs mirrors appear to me to have been of black-coloured glafs, or an imitation of the obfidian flone ; and to have been formed afterwards of a glafs plate with fome black

$$
\text { * De la Vega, ii. } 28 .
$$

foil placed behind it *. At a much later period, blown glafs, while hot, was covered in the infide with lead or fome metallic mixture; and ftill later, and, as appears, firt at Murano, artifts began to cover plates of glafs with an amalgam of tin and quickfilver. The neweft improvements are, the cafting of glafs-plates, and the art of making plates equally large by blowing and ftretching, without the expenfive and uncertain procefs which is required for cafting.

That glafs mirrors were made at the celebrated glafs-houfes of Sidon, is mentioned fo clearly by Pliny that it cannot be doubted $\mathfrak{q}$. When I read the paffage, however, without prejudice, without thinking of what others have faid on it already, and compare it with what certain information the ancients, in my opinion, give on the fame fubject, I can underftand it no otherwife than as if the author faid, that the art of manufacturing glafs various ways was invented, principally, at Sidon, where attempts had been made to form

* Montamy in abhandlung von den farben zum porzellan, Leipzig ${ }^{7} 767,8 \mathrm{vo} . \mathrm{p} .222$, afferts that he faw, in a collection of antiquities, glafs mirrors which were covered behind only with a black foil.
+ Aliud vitrum flatu figuratur, alind torno teritur, aliud argenti modo cælatur, Sidone, quondam iis officinis nobili, fiquidem etiam fpecula excogitaverat. Hrec fuit antiqua ratio vitri。 L.ib. xxxvi. cap. 26, p. 758.
mirrors of it. He appears therefore to allude to experiments which had not completely fucceeded; and to fay that fuch attempts, at the time when he wrote, had been entirely abandoned and were almoft forgotten. Had this circumftance formed an epoch in the art, Pliny, in another place, where he defcribes the various improvements of it fo fully, would not have omitted it ; but of thofe experiments he makes no farther mention *. All the inventions which the fpeaks of, evidently relate to metal mirrors only, of which the filver, at that time, were the neweft. Had the Sidonian mirrors confifted of glafs plates covered at the back, thofe of metal, the making of which was, at any rate, attended with no lefs trouble, which were more inconvenient for ufe on account of their aptnefs to break, their requiring to be frequently cleaned and preferved in a cafe, and which were more unpleafant on account of the faint, dull image which they reflected, could not poffibly have continued fo long in ufe as they really did ; and circumftances and expreffions relative to glafs mirrors muft certainly have occurred. Though glafs continued long to be held in high eftimation, particularly at Rome; and though many kinds of glafs-ware are mentioned

[^69]in ancient authors, among coftly pieces of furniture, mirrors are mentioned only among articles of filver plate. I am acquainted with no certain trace of glafs mirrors from the time of Pliny to the thirteenth century; but after that period, at which they are fpoken of in the cleareft manner, we find them often mentioned in every century; and mirrors of metal at length entirely difappear.

How the Sidonian mirrors were made, is not known ; but if I may be allowed a conjecture, I am of opinion that they confifted of dark-coloured glafs, which had a refemblance to the obfidian ftone. Such is the ufual progrefs of inventions. At thofe periods one had no other reprefentation of glafs mirrors than that afforded by natural glafs or vitreous ftones. When artifts wifhed to make mirrors of glafs, they would try to imitate the latter. After the invention of printing, people endeavoured to render printed books as like as poffible to manufcripts; becaufe they imagined that this invention was to be approved only fo far as it enabled them to imitate thefe, without obferving that it could far excell the art of writing. The Sidonian mirrors, therefore, were fo far excelled by the filver or brafs mirrors, which perhaps were invented about the fame time, that on this account they were never brought into ufe. Glafs mirrors, perhaps, would have been inven:ed fooner, had mankind employed at an earlier period glafs-win-
dows, which often, when they are fhut on the outfide fo that no light can pafs through them, reflect images in a much better manner than the beft mirrors of metal. This obfervation, which may be made daily, would then, in all probability, have been fooner turned to advantage.

No one has employed a greater profufion of words to maintain an opinion oppofite to mine than Abar ; but when his proofs are divefted of their ornaments, they appear fo weak that one has very little inclination to agree with him. "The obfervation," fays he, "that a plate of glafs is the beft mirror, when all other rays of light, except thofe reflected back from the glafs, are prevented, by a metallic covering placed behind it, from falling on the eye, is fo eafy, that it mult have been made immediately after the invention of glafs." Who does not think here of Columbus and his egg? Inftances occur in hiftory of many having approached fo near an invention, that we are aftonifhed how they could have miffed it ; fo that we may exclaim with a certain Emperor, Taurun toties non ferire difficile eft * "The

[^70]Sidonian

Sidonian invention," "continues he, "would not have been worth mentioning had it not produced better mirrors than thofe which the ancients had before of the obfidian ftone. But thefe even are mentioned only once, in fo fhort and abrupt a manner, and as it were out of ridicule, that one may eafily perceive they were not much efteemed." "If the Sidonians," adds he, "were not the inventors, let fome other inventor be mentioned;" and he affures us that he had fought information on this fubject, in Neri, Kunkel, and Merret, but without fuccefs. That I believe; but Abat does not remark that by the fame manner of reafoning we may afcribe so the Sidonians the invention of watches, and many other articles, the inventors of which are not to be found in books where they ought as much to be expected as the inventor of glafs in Neri. The grounds on which many old commentators of the bible, Nicholas de Lyra and orhers, have fuppofed that glafs mirrors were known fo early as the time of Mofes, are ftill weaker. If quoting the names of writers who entertain a like opinion be of any weight, I could produce a much greater number of learned men, who, after an exprefs examination of the queftion, deny altogether that glafs mirrors were ufed by the ancients.

Dr. Watfon *, alfo, has endeavoured to fupport

$$
\text { * Chemical Efrays. Cambridge 1786, vol. iv. p. } 246 .
$$

$\mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$, the
the opinon of Abat, but with lefs confidence and with more critical acumen. His grounds, I think, I have weakened already; but one obfervation here deferves not to be over-looked, becaufe it fuggefts an idea, that may ferve to illuftrate a paffage of Pliny *, which, as I before remarked, has never yet been explained. If we admit, fays he, that -Pliny was acquainted with glafs mirrors, we may thus underftand what he fays refpecting an invention, which was then new, of applying gold behind a mirror. Infead of an amalgam of tin, fome one had propofed to cover the back of the mirror with an amalgam of gold, with which the ancients were certainly acquainted, and which they employed in gilding + . He mentions, alfo, on this occafion, that a thought had once occurred to Buffon, that an amalgam of gold might be much better for mirrors than that ufed at prefent $\%$. This conjecture appears, at any rate, to be ingenious; but when I read the paffage again, without prejudice, I can hardly be:ieve that Pliny al-

* Nuper credi cœptum, certiorem imaginem reddi auro appofito averlis. Lib. xax. cap. 9, p. 627.
+ Plin. lib. xxxiii : 压s inaurari argento vivo, aut certe hydyargyro, legitimum crat. The firlt mame here feems to fignify native quickfilver, and the fecond that feparated from the ore by an artificial procefs.
$\ddagger$ On pourroit trouver le moyen de faire un meilleur étamage, et je crois qu'on parviendroit en employant de l'or et du vifargent. Hill uat. fuppleme. i. p. 45 t.
ludes to a plate of glafs in a place where he fpeaks only of meatline mirrors; and the over laying with amalgan requires too much art to allow me to afrribe it to fuch a period without fufficient proof. I confider it more probable that fome perfon had tried, by means of a polifhed plate of gold, to collect the rays of light, and to throw them either on the mirror or the object, in order to render the image brighter.

Profeffor Heeren fhewed me a paffage in the Ecloga of Stobæus, which, on the firft view, feems to allude to a glafs mirror *. That author fays, Philolaus the Pythagorean believed that the fun was a vitreous body, which only received the rays of the ethereal fire and reflected them to us like a mirror. When we compare, however, the words of Stobæus with thofe by which Plutarch $\uparrow$, Achilles Tatius $\ddagger$, Eufebius § and others, exprefs

[^71]+ De placitis philofoph. ii. cap. 20.
$\ddagger$ Ifaguge in Aratum, cap. 19.
§ Lib. i. cap. 8.
04
the
the fame thing, that meaning cannot be drawn from them. It appears, at firft, as if Philolaus had confidered the fun to be tranfparent, and fuppofed that the rays paffed through it, and came condenfed to our earth, in the fame marner as they are brought to a focus by a glafs globe. Some commentators have explained the paffage in this manner; and on account of the affinity of the Greek words have thought alfo of a funnel. In that cafe, however, the comparifon of the fun with a mirror could not have been juft; and if it be admitted that Philolaus confidered the fun as a bright body endowed with the property of reflection, what he fays of rays paffing or tranfinitted through it, and of the pores of the fun's body, will become unintelligible. But even if we adopt the laft explanation, that Philolaus imagined the fun to be a mirror, it does not follow that he had any idea of a glafs one *; and, beffides, he only fpeaks of a body capable of reffecting a ftrong light; and that glafs, under certain circumftances, is fit for that purpofe, may have been remarked as foon as it was invented, though men might not fund out the art of forming it into proper mirrors by
> *. It is undoubtedly certain, that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \cdot \rho$, which is tranfated viltreous or glafy, means any fmooth polifhed body capable of reflecting rays of light. Originally it fignified a watery body; and becaufe watery bodies have a luftre, it was at length ufed for glafs. See Salmaf. ad Salin. p. 77r. Hefychius, therefores explains $i x \lambda 0 s i s$ and $i x \lambda, 0 y$ by $2 z_{y} \pi p o \%$.
placing fome opake fubftance behind it *. Emspedocles alfo faid, that the fun was a mirror, and that the light received by our earth was the reflection of the ethereal fire, which Eufebius compares to the reflection made by water $\dagger$.


#### Abstract

* More obfervations refpecting the opinion of Philolaus may be found in the edition of Plutarch's work De placilis philofophorum by Ed. Corfinus, Florentiæ 1750, 4to. p. 6I, and p. 23. I fhall here add how it is underfood by Riccioli, in his Almageffum novum, i. p. 93: Solem non effe omnino opacum, fed tanquam cryftallum denfiffinum, ita diaphanum effe, ut in profunditatem corporis folaris vifus nofter fe infinuet, et radii ad nos propagentur, non ex fola fuperficie, fed etiam ex centro, fo-


 lis. The opinion of Empedocles is explained in 1. N. Frobefii Specimen polybijforis beliographici. Helmftadii $\mathbf{I}_{755}$, 4 to . p. 30 .$\dagger$ Profeffor Heeren having given me his opinion on this paffage of Stobrus, I fhall here infert it for the fatisfaction of the learned reader. The critics, fays lie, will hardly be perfuaded
 are correct, as they can be tranflated different ways. With regard to the explanation of the matter, I build only on the plain meaning of the words. The author tells us, that Philolaus thought the fun to be a mirror ; but we mult conclude that he fpeaks of a mirror fuch as were then in $u f e$ : a finooth plate of metal, and not a globe. In this cafe the firt explanation of a glafs globe falls to the ground. This is confirmed by Eufebius, who calls it io...0:6irs i6oko; though it is poffible that the latter word may be a glofs added by fome grammarian, or by Eufebius himfelf. If we enter farther into the explanation, we mult adopt the plain idea, that the rass of the fun fall upon this plate, and are reflected to us. (dir, Oouvi $^{2}$ - .. $火 \alpha, \tau^{\prime} \alpha \% \alpha \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ ). I an however of opinion, that iarios here ought to be tranflated glafs, ianosions glafly or vilicous; for the intention of Philo-

In the problems afcribed to Alexander of Aphrodifias, glafs mirrors, covered on the back with tin, are clearly mentioned*; but tivis information does
laus evidently was to dcfine the fubflance of the fun's body. The refult of the whole is, Philolaus confidered the fun as a plain plate of glafs which reflected the rays or brightnefs of the thereal fire. But that he was acquainted with a proper glafs mirror does not thence follow with certainty.

* As all the Greek editions of thefe Problemata are fcarce, I fhall here give the whole problem in the original. $\Delta \cdot x \cdot \tau, \tau \infty$




 problem may be found alfo in the very fcarce edition of Ariftotle, by Aldus, Venice 149 ; in the edition of Sylburg, printed at Mechlin, p. $29^{2}$; and in the Paris edition of the Problemata,

 an addition, which however does not feem to belong to the problem; and which, as Sylburg fays, is wanting in the oldeft manufrripts. 'Theodore Gaza muft not have found this problem in his manufcript, as it is not in his tranfation printed along with the problems of Ariftotle, at Paris, in quarto, without any date, with a preface by Martial Campius Carhofinus, though it contains the next problem: ©nam ob caufam in feculis atque aquis dilucidis nofram fpecien confpicere valeamus. But it occurs, No. 152, in the edition of Politian, printed at Paris, in quarto, (Proflant in cedib:es Nicolui Beraldi). It is inferted alfo in the Latin edition of Farious Problems: Amflelod. apud Joan. Wrfiergios, 1685,12 mo. p. 219 . In all thefe the aduition is wanting; but it is inferted in the following edition: silis:
 ilityfuzar.
does not lead us one ftep farther in the hiftory of whe art ; as it is proved that the above Alexamder, who lived in the beginning of the third century, could not have written that work. The author, who muft have been a phyfician, maintains the immortality of the foul, which Alexander of Aphrodifias, with Ariftorle, denies. Some, therefore, have afcribed thefe problems to Alexander Trallianus, who practifed phyfic in the middle of the fixth century; but this is only a conjecture which no one has as yet rendered probable, efpecially as there have been many phyficians of the name of Alexander. The problem to which I allude is not to be found in every manufcript and edition ; fo that it is doubiful wherher it may not be the production of a later author than that of the relt of the book, particularly as it is certain
illuffrata, Par:fiis $1541,12 m 0$. The tranflation in this work I fhall here tranferibe:-Quare vitrea fpecula fplendeant plurimum? Quoniam ftanni natura, quo intus illinuntur, cum fit pellucida, vitro ex fe perfpicuo commilta, magis refplendet, et radios fuos per vitri exiguos meatus tranfmittens, ac externam illius corporis faciem duplicans, reddit magnopere lucidam. Qualitatum porro alie quidem vires fuas in profundun nequaqua:m tranfmittunt : ut albun, nigrum, fulvum et hujpfimodi; alix penitus transfundunt per tranfmutationem, ut frigus, calor, ficcitas, humor, qua propterea, ad difcrimen et comparationem fupra dictarum, effeclrices qualitates a philofophis et medicis appellantur.-A A good account of the different editions of this book may be found in the edition of Ariftotle printed at Deux-Ponts under the inspection of Profeflor Buhle, vol. i. p. 289.
that many who had it in their poffeffion added problems of various kinds according to their pleafure. However this may be, it is evident that the author of this problem was acquainted with mirrors covered at the back; and the expreffion which he ufes does not merely imply that a leaf of tin was placed behind the glafs plate, but that the tin, in a liquid flate, was rubbed over it. The old French tranflator thinks that the author fpeaks of windows ; but that opinion is undoubtedly falfe *.

Of as little importance as the above paffage of Alexander, is another of Ifidore, often quoted in fupport of the antiquity of glafs mirrors. On the firft view it appears to be a teftimony of grear weight ; but when clofely examined it becomes reduced to very little. "Nothing," fays he, " is " fo fit for mirrors as glafs $\dot{\psi}$. ." Abat and others, who have confidered thefe words as decifive, make lefs hefitation to afcribe to the fixth century, in

[^72]which Ifidore lived, a knowledge of mirrors covered on the back with tin and quickfilver, as the fame writer, in another place, obferves, that quickfilver can be kept in no veffel but one of glafs *. It is very true that a glafs filled with that femimetal will form a very good mirror; but I am of opinion that this may have been long known before people thought of making an amalgam of tin and quickfilver in order to cover the backs of mirrors. The firtt paffage, which is properly the one of any confequence, lofes its force when one fees that it is taken from Pliny and copied incorrectly. The latter fays, that one can give to glafs every kind of fhape and colour, and that no fubftance is more ductile, or fitter to be moulded into any form $\psi$. lfidore, as is ufual, fays the fame thing, and in the fame words, except, that inftead of Sequacior he fubftitutes fpeculis aptior; fo that the mention of a mirror is altogether unexpected, and fo little fuited to what goes before and what follows, that one muft believe that this alteration, occafioned perhaps by the fimilitude of the words, or by an abbreviation, was not made by Ifidore

* Servatur autem melius in vitreis vafis; nam cesteras ma. terias perforat. Orig. xvi. 18, p. 396.
+ Fit et album et murrhinum, aut hyacinthos fapphirofque imitatum, et omnibus aliis coloribus. Nec eft alia nunc materia fequacior, aut etiam picturæ accommodatior. Maximus tamen honos in candido tranflucentibus, quamproxima cryftalli fimilitudine. Lib. xxxvi, cap. 26, p. 759.
but by fome tranfcriber*. But even if we believe that Ifidore himfelf fpoke of glafs being ufed at that period for mirrors, we are not able to comprehend, from what he fays, how glafs mirrors wele made in the fixth century.

I have met with no information refpecting this fubject in the whole period between the age of lfidcre and the eleventh century. About the year 1100, at leaft as is fuppofed not without probability, Alhazen the Arabian wrote his well known treatife on Optics $\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathbf{n}}$, in which I conjectured that I fhould find mention made of glals mirrors; but I fearched that work in vain, though I muft confefs I did not read it through entirely. Where he begins his catoptrical leffons, he, however, often fpeaks of iron mirrors, by which we may underftand mirrors of the beft fteel. In explaining a certain phenomenon, he fays, that the caule of it cannot be in the darknefs of the iron mirror, becaufe, if a mirror of filver be ufed, the fame

- This reading in Ifdore, however, muft be old, for it is quoted by Vincrutius Bellisvacenfis, lib. vi. cap. 77, p. 415 . He quotes alfo the words of Pliny, lib. vii. cap. 77 , p. 474, but with a little variation as follows: Nec eft materia fequacior vel picture fciliect accommodatior.
$\dagger$ Opticus thefaurus Alhazeni, Arabis,--item Vitellonis Librix. Omnes inftaurati a Frederico Rifnero. Bafiliz 1 ; $\boldsymbol{7}^{2 .}$ ful.
effects will be produced \%. Would he not on this occafion have introduced glafs mirrors, had he been as well acquainted with them as with thofe already mentioned? At firt, he never fpeaks of mirrors without adding of iron, of filver; but he mentions them afterwards without any epithet of the kind.

All the efe mirrors I find alfo in the Optics of Vitello $\dagger$, who wrote in the middle of the thirteenth century, in Iraly, a country which was at that time almof the only one where the arts flourifh. ed $\ddagger$. That author has, indeed, borrowed a great deal from Alhazen, though there are many things of his own, and he gives an account of fome experiments on the refracting power of glafs; but he never, as far as I have obferved, mentions glafs mirrors. Whether Jordanus Nemorarius, or Nemoratius, who alfo wrote, in the thirteenth century, a book De Speculorums natura, makes mention of them, I do not know, becaufe I have never had an opportunity of feeing that work. I am of opinion it was never printed.

[^73]It is in the thirteenth century however that find the firft undoubted mention of glafs mirrors covered at the back with tin or lead. Johannes Peckham, or Peccam, an Englıh Francifcan monk, who taught at Oxford, Paris, and Rome, and who died in 1292 , wrote about the year $12 \% 9$ a treatife of optics, which was once printed, with the title of Yobannis Pifani Perfpectiva communis *. In this work, befides mirrors made of iron, fteel, and polifhed marble, the author fpeaks often, not only of glafs mirrors, but fays alfo that they were covered on the back with lead, and that no image was reflected when the lead was fcraped off $\psi$. Vincen-
tius

* Fabricius, in Biblioth. medii avi, vol. iv. p. 331, Cays it was printed atVenice. Wolf, in Unterricbten von mathematijchen fchriften, quotes an edition printed at Cologne in 1624, eleven theets quarto. By the friendhip of profeffor Reufs, I have now before me the following fcarce edition: Perfpectiva Joannis Pifani Anglici, viri religioff, vulgo commuris appellata... In gymnafio Lipzenth emèndata atque in figuris quam diligentifime reaificata. Thir-ty-eight leaves, fmall fulio, with monkifh writing, and a broad margin, on which the coarfe figures are printed. At the end ftands: Explicit Perfpectiva Pifani communis dicta, in felici gymnafio Lipfenfi emendata re vifaque. Impreffa arte et follcrtia Baccalarii Martini Herbipolenfis. an. dom. 1504. Refpecting this edition, and the name Pifanus, which feems to bave been a byename given by fome one to Peckham, compare Einlcitung zur mathemaiifchen bücheckenntnifs, part ix. p. 280 and 284.
+ Si res in fpeculo oftenduntur per radios reflexos, ut jam patet igitur perfpicuitas, per quam fpccies in profundum ingreditur freculi, impeditur, non expedit vifioncm, quoniam reflexio eft a
tius Bellovacenfis* fpeaks in a manner ftill clearer, for he tells us that lead was poured over the glafs plate while hot. To the fame century alfo belongs the teftimony of Raimundus Lullius ir, Roger Bacon *, Antonio di Padua II, and Nice-
denfo per primum hujus, quia denfum eft, propter quod fpecula vitrea funt plumbo fubducta. Quod f , ut quidam fabulantur, dyaphoneitas effet effentialis fpeculo, non fierent fpecula de ferro te calibe, et a dyaphoneitate remotiffimis. Nec etiam de marmore polito, cujus contrarium tamen videmus. In ferro autem et hujufmodi, propter intenfionem nigredinis, non eft efficax fpeculatio. In quibufdam tamen lapidibus debilis coloris multo clarior ef fpeculatio quam in vitris. Propof. 7.

In fecculis vitreis plumbo abrafo nihil apparere. Propof. 4 .
${ }^{\text {EF }}$ Metalla videmus effe fpecula, quando polita funt et terfa, ut ferrum, argentum et talia. Idem quoque videmus de quibufdam politis lapidibus - - Argentum bene politum inter omnia metalla melius eft fecculum, quia in colore magis accedit ad diaphanum. - - At inter omnia melius eit fpeculum ex vitro et plumbo, quia vitrum propter tranfparentiam melius recipit radios, plumbum non habet humidum folubile ab ipfo, unde quando fuperfunditur plumbum vitro calido - - efficitur in altera parte ter* minatum valde radiofum. Specul. natur. ii. 78. p. 129.
$\dagger$ In Speculo vitrum exiftit inter plumbum et aerem et figuram five colorem qui ei præfentatur. Ars magna, cap. lxvii. p. 517, $^{17}$ in Lulliii Opera que ad inventan ab ipfo artemperinent. Argen. torati $1607,8 \mathrm{vo}$.
$\ddagger$ Imago major fit per reflexionem a fpeculo, quia fpeculum denfum eft, et liabet plumbum ab altera fui parte, quod impedit fpeciei, et ideo fpeculum habet unde recipiat imaginem et reddat. Opus majus, edidit S. 7 febb. Londini 1733 , fol. p. 346.
|| Speculum nihil aliud eft quam fubtiliffimum vitrum. Dominica V pof Pafcha, p. 210 . In Francif:i AJjfatis et Antomia Paduani Opera. Lugduni 1653 , fol,
phorus Gregoras *, who died after the year $1360+$

That this invention cannot be much older, we have reafon to conclude, becaufe glafs mirrors were extremely farce in France even in the fourteenthr century, while mirrors of metal were in common ufe; and we are told that the mirror of Anne de Bretagne, confort of Louis XII, was of the latter kind + . Metal mirrors alfo were made and employed in Perfia and the Eaft, where indeed an-
 Sunt enim ex vitro fpecula et ex chalybe et alia materia. Nicephori Sclbolia in Synefium, at the end of Synefii Opera, interprete Dionyfio Petavio. Lutetiæ 1612 , fol. p. 419 .
$\dot{\dagger}$ In the collection of antiquities at St. Denis, an ancient miryor was fhewn, which was faid to have belonged to Virgil. It was oval, and, before Mabillon let it fall, was fourteen inches in length, ard twelve in breadth, and weighed thirty pounds. It is franiparent, and of a brownilh-yellow colour. According to experiments made on purpofe, it was found to confift of artificial glafs, mixed with a confiderable portion of lead ; and as it had been preferved in the above collection, from the earlieft periods, the practice of adding lead to glafs muft be very old. But whe. ther this mirror was covered at the back, and how it was covered, though thefe are the moft important points, I find no where mentioned. In the collection of the Grand Duke of Tufcany there is a piece of the fame kind, faid alfo to lave been the mirror of Virgil. See Le Veil, Kunfß aufglas za malen, Nurnberg 1\%79: 4to. p. 23, and Hift. de l'Acad. des fcicnces à Paris, annic 1787, p. 412.
$\ddagger$ This is related by Villaret in his continuation of Hiffoire de France, begun by Velly. Paris $15 \sigma_{3}$, tome xi. p. i42.
cient ufages continued longef，and glafs mirrors were not known there till the commencement of the European trade with thefe remote regions． The former are ftill preferred in thofe countries， becaufe they are not fo liable to break，and can be preferved better in a dry hot climate than the amalgam of the latter＊。

Refpecting the progrefs of this art，I know no－ thing more than what follows：At firf，melted lead，or perbaps tin，was poured over the glafs plate while yet hot as it came from the furnace． This procefs agrees with that which，fince very early periods，has been employed in or around Nuremberg for making convex mirrors by blow－ ing with the pipe into the glafs－bubble ftill hot a metallic mixture，with a little refin or falt of tartar， which prevents calcination，and affifts the fufion． When the bibble is covered all over in the infide， and after it has cooled，it is cut into fmall round mirrors．This art is an old German invention， for it is defrribed by Porta $q$ and Garzoni $\ddagger$ ，who both lived in the beginning of the fixteenth cen－ tury，and who both exprefsly fay，that it was then common in Germany．Curious foreigners
＊Voyage de Chardin．Rouen 1723,8 vo．ivo p． 252.
$\dagger$ Magia natural！xvii．22．p．618，Zabn，Oculus artifcialis。 Herbipoli 1686，fol．iii．p． 17 I．
$\ddagger$ Piazza univerfale，difc． 145 ，p． 383 ．
often attempted to learn it，and imagined that the Germans kept it a fecret．Mr．Boyle＊made va． rious experiments in order to difcoser the procefs； and the fecretary of the Royal Society endeavour－ ed，by means of the ambaffador from Charles II， who，perhaps about 1670 ，refided at Franckfort， to obtain a knowledge of it；but did not fucceed， as we are told by Leibnitz $\dot{+}$ ．It was called the art of preparing mirrors without foil；and it was highly efteemed，becaufe it was fuppofed that it might be ufeful to thore fond of catoptrics，by en－ abling them to fora convex and concave mirrors themfelves．This account of Leibnitz feems to have led Mr．Von Murr + into a fmall error，and induced him to believe that the art of making con－ vex mirrors withour foil was firft found ont at $\mathrm{Nu}-$ remberg in 1670 ．I introduce this remark becaufe
＊De utilitate philofophiæ natur．experimentalis．Lindaviz 1692；4to．exercit．viii．$\S 46,4^{8}$ ．p． 536 ．The original was printed at London in $156 \not{ }_{\mathrm{f}}$ ．

+ Mifcellanca Berolinenfia，tom．i．p． $2 \sigma_{3}$ ：Dc arte Noriber－ genfi fpecula vitrea conficiendi fine foliis．I find this account inferted alfo in Hifforijch－diplomatijches magazin für das anterland， Nurnberg 1781，8vo．i．p． 115 ；but nothing farther is faid re－ fpecting the art than that it was daily ufed in the glafs－houfes． Had I an opportunity，I fould make experiments of every kind in order to difcover a method of forming plane mirrors alfo in the like manuer．
$\ddagger$ Befchreibung den merkwiirdigkeiten in Nürnberg，エフィS， 8vo．p． $73 \%^{\circ}$

I fatter myfelf he will not be difpleafed that I make the above fervice, rendered by his native city, to be a century and a half older. Thefe fmall convex mirrors, which reflect a diminifhed, but a clearer image than our ufual mirrors, are perhaps made fill, though they are not now carried round fo frequently for fale in Germany as they were thirty years ago, at which time, if I remember right, they were called (ocbjen-augen) ox-eyes. They were fet in a round painted board, and had a very broad border or margin. One of them, in my poffeffion, is two inches and a haif in diameter. It is probable that the low price of plane mirrors, when glafs-houfes began to be more numerous, occafioned thefe convex ones to be little fought after. The mixture employed in making them, was, according to Porta, antimony, lead and colophonium ; but according to Garzoni it was una mifura di piombo, fagno, marchefita d'argento, e tarsaro, which in the German edition is tranflated very badly, "lead, tin, flint, filver and tartar." The following obfervation perhaps is not altogether ufelefs: Colophonium, which is employed on many other occafions for foldering, was formerly called mirror. refin, and was fold under that name even in the beginning of the prefent century. Frifch affigns no reafon for this appellation, and Jacoufon gives a wrong one, viz. Lis having a bright hining furface when broken. The true reafon was the abovementioned ufe; and as that is now very little
known, it is called from that to which it is principally applied, violin-refin.

It appears, that, inftead of pouring melted metal over plates of glafs, artifts for fome time applied to them the before-mentioned amalgam of tin, or $\mathrm{co}=$ vered them in fome other manner, perlaps in the fame as Boyle covered concave glaffes in the infide *. Porta however faw almoft the fame procefs employed at Murano as that which is ftill followed at prefent. The tin hammered to thin leaves was fpread out very fmoothly ; the quickfil= ver was poured over it, and rubbed into it, either with the hand or a hare's, foot; and when the tin was faturated it was covered with paper. The glafs, wiped exceedingly clean, was then laid above it; and while the workman preffed it down with his left hand, he drew out very tarefully with his right the paper that lay between the tin and the glafs, over which weights were afterwards placed $\dagger$. This much at any rate is certain, that the method of covering with tin foil was known at Murano fo early as the fixtecath century $\ddagger$, and it is therefore much

* Page 536. The receipt may be feen tranflated in that wellknown work, Croker's Mabler. Jena 1778,8 ro. p. 421.
+ Magia natural. xvii. 22. p. G1g. The whole procefs is defcribed by Zahn in a manner fill clearer. . See his work before quoted. Hartfoeker alfo gives directions for envering concave mirrors in the like manner, in A8a Berolin. i. p. 262.
$\ddagger$ Wecker, in his book De fecrecis, lib. x. p. 572 , feems to fay, that
much older than Joh. Maur. Hoffmann thinks *. To conclude, whether this ingenious invention be. longs to the Venetians, as feveral later, and particularly Italian, writers affert, I can neither prove nor contradiet ; but it is well known that till about the end of the feventeenth century their mirrors were fold all over Europe and in both the Indies. After that period the glafs-houfes in other countries were improved, and new ones eftablifhed; and the difcovery made in France, that glafs, like metal, could be caft into much larger plates than had been before prepared by blowing and rolling, was in more than one refpect prejudicial to the fale of ihofe made at Venice.
that one mult lay the faturated tin leaf fo carefully on the glafs plate, that no air can fettle between them. According to Garzoni, the tin leaf is fpread out on a fmooth fone table, and afier it has been rubbed over with quickfilver, the glafs is placed above it.
> * Amalgama ex parte una Jovis et partibus tribus Mercurii vivi ad pofticam fpeculorum fuperficiem obducendam ufuale habetur, quanvis Veneti hodie ex tempore tale conficiant impofitæ futuræ fpeculi fuperficiei interiori laminæ Joviali tenuiori Mercurium vivum fuperaffundendo, illius meatus in momento fubintrante, atque amalgama relinquente, refiduo fluido mox detergendo. A8a luboratorii chemici Alddorfini. Norimb. 1719, 4to. p. 245.-It appears to me, that the procefs is here defcribed as if the glafs plate were firft covered with tin leaf and the quickfilver afterwards poured over it. It is defcribed in the fame manner by Macquer in Algem, begriffe der chemie, edition of Porner, ii. p. 635 . Of that ufed at prefent I have given a fhort account in Anleitung zur technologie, p. $34^{8}$.

So early as the year 1634 , attempts were made in France to eftablith glafs-houfes for manufacturing mirrors, and Euftache Grandmont obtained a patent for this purpofe ; but his undertaking was not attended with fuccefs. As Colbert exerted himfelf very much to promote manufactures of every kind, Nicholas de Noyer propored to make mirrors according to the Venetian method. This plan was adopted by Charles Riviere, fieur du Freni, valet-de-chambre to the king; and having procured the royal permiffion, he fold it afterwards for a large fum to De Noyer, who, in 1665 , received a confirmation of the patent, and an advance of 12,000 livres for four years, on condition of his procuring workmen from Venice, who, after ferv. ing eight years in the kingdom, were to be naturalized. De Noyer was joined by feveral more, who entered into partnerfhip with him, and particularly by one Poquelin, who had hitherto carried on the greateft trade in Venetian mirrors, and who engaged workmen from Murano. The glafshoufes were erected at the village of Tourlaville, near Cherbourg, in Lower Normandy. After the death of Colbert, who was fucceeded by Lousois, the charter of the company was in 1684 renewed for thirty years longer, and at that period Pierre de Bagneux was at the head of it.

Scarcely had five years of this period elapfed, when, in 1688 , Abraham Thevart made a propo-
ial to the court for calting glafs mirrors of a much larger fize than any ever before made. This plan, after an accurate inveltigation, was approved; and in the fame year he received the royal permiffion to ufe his invention for thirty years, but it was not regitered till 1693 or 1694 . The firt plates were caft at Paris, and altonifhed every artitt who faw them. They were eighty-four inches in height, and fifty in breadch. In order to leffen the exceffive expence, the glafs-houfes were erected at St. Gobin, in Picardy ; and to prevent all difpute with the old privileged company, Thevart was exprefsly bound to make plates at leaft fixty inches in length, and forty in breadch, whereas the largelt of thofe made before had never exceeded forty-five or fifty inches in length. On the other hand, the old company were allowed to make plates of a fmatler fize, and were prohibited from employing any of the inftruments or apparatus invented by Thevart. Thefe however had not been fo accurately defined as to remove all caufe of litigation between the companies, and for that reafon permiffion was at length granted in 1695 , for both to be united into one, under the infpection of François Plaitrier, to whom the king in 1699 fold the palace of St. Gobin. After this they declined fo rapidly, that in 1701 they were not able to pay their debts, and they were obliged to abandon feveral of the furnaces. To add to their misfortune, fome of the workmen whom they had difcharged, retired to
other countries, which were already jealous of the French invention, and wifhed to turn it to their advantage. The French writers affert, that their attempts never fucceeded, and that mof of the workmen returned again to France, when a new company was formed in I702, under the management of Antoine d'Agincourt, who by prudent aconomy improved the eftablifhment, fo as to render the profit very confiderable. At prefent, mirrors are caft as well as blown, both at St. Gobin and at Cherbourg; and in 1758 the price of them was greatly reduced, in order probably to weaken the competition of the foreign glafs-houfes, among which there are many not inferior to the French.

This fhort hiftory of the glafs manufactories in France is collected from Savary * and Expilly $\uparrow$. A more particular account perhaps may be expected of the inventor, of his firf experiments, and of their fuccefs; but notwithflanding a ftrict fearch, I have not been able to find any farther information on the fubject. We are told only that his name was fieur Abraham Thevart, though the hiftorians who record that circumftance have filled their pages with uninterefting anecdotes, and even with the vices of many of the courtiers of the fame period.

* Tome iii. p. 87. art. Gluce. A tranflation of it has been infertcd in Gemeinnützige natur- und kunf-magazin, i. p. 293.
$\dagger$ Dictionnaire géographique de la France. Amfterd. 1762, 1970, fol. v. p. 415 . The article however feems to be taken from Savary, Some additions may be found $p, 6 \boldsymbol{T}_{2}$.

The principal benefit which has properly arifen so the are from this invention, is, that much larger mirrors can be obtained than formerly; for when atrempts were made to blow very large plates, they were always too thin. Calting, however, befides great expence in apparatus*, requires fo many expert workmen, and fo tedious and fevere labour, and is accompanied with fo much danger, that it is only feldom that plates of an extraordinary fize fucceed, and the greater part of them mult be cut into fmaller plates which might have been blown. Thofe caft are never fo even and fmooth as thofe that have been blown; they require therefore a great deal of polithing, and on that account muft be very thick. The monftrous mafs requifite for a mirror of the largeft fize, ftands ready melted in a very frail red-hot earthen pot, which is taken from the oven, and mut be lifted upon an iron plate, ftrongly heated, that the mafs may be poured upon the table, which muft be fpeedily conveyed to the cooling-oven. If it be found free from faults, it is ground, polihed, and filvered; but the lat part of the procefs is generally

[^74]done at the place where a purchafer can be found for fo expenfive an article, in order that lefs lofs may be fuftained in cafe it hould happen to break by the way.

Thefe great difficulties, which have excited the aftonifhment of every one who has feen the procefs, and that of finding fale for fo expenfive and magnificent wares, have obliged artifts to recurn to the old method of blowing; and many have been fo fortunate in improving this branch of manufacture, that plates are formed now by blowing, fixty-four Flemifh inches in height, and twenty-three in breadth, which it was impoffible to make before but by cafting.

The mafs of matter neceffary for this purpofe, weighing more than a hundred pounds, is by the workman blown into the fhape of a large bag; it is then reduced to the form of a cylinder, and being cut up, is, by ftretching, rolling it with a fmooth iron, and other means not yet known but to thofe employed in the art, transformed into an even plate.

## GLASS-CUTTING. ETCHING ON GLASS.

I DO not here mean to enter into the hiftory of engraving on ftone, as that fubject has been already fufficiently illuftrated by feveral men of learning well acquainted with antiquities. I Chall only obferve, that the ancient Greek artifts formed upon glafs, both raifed and engraved figures; as may be feen by articles fill preferved in collections, though it is probable that many pieces of glafs may have been moulded like pafte; for that art alfo is of very great antiquity *. It appears likewife that they cut upon plates of glafs and hollow glafs veffels all kinds of figures and ornaments, in the fame manner as names, coats of arms, flowers, landfcapes, \&c. are cut upon drinking-glaffes at prefent $\psi$. If we can believe that learned engraver in ftone, the celebrated Natter, the ancients employed the fame kind of inftruments for this purpofe as thofe ufed by the

[^75]moderns*. They undoubtedly had in like mariner a wheel which moved round in a horizontal direction above the work-table, or that machine which by writers is called a lapidary's wheel $\psi$.

If this conjecture be true, what Pliny fays ${ }_{*}^{+}$reipecting the various ways of preparing glafs is perfectly intelligible. It is turned, fays he, by the wheel, and engraven like filver. In my opinion we are to underftand by the firf part of this fentence, that the glats hollowed out like ftone was afterwards cut by the wheel, though it is poffible that drinking-cups or veffels may have been formed from the glafs metal by means of the wheel alfo $\|$. In the latter part of the fentence, we muft not imagine that Pliny alludes to gravers like thofe ufed by filver-fmiths, for the comparifon will not apply to inftruments, or to the manner of work -

* Traité de la méthode antique de graver en pierrcs fines, comparé avec le methode moderne; par Laur. Natter. Londres 1754. fol.

F I fay by writers, becaufe I never heard that word ufed by workmen; and the fame is the cale with the word frittes which, though common in booke, is in moft glafs-houfes not known.
$\ddagger$ Aliud flatu figuratur, aliud torno teritur, aliud argenti modo calatur. Lib. xxxvi. 26. p. 75 S.
$\|$ Of this kind were the calices audaces of Martial, xiv. 94, and thofe cups which often broke when the artift wifhed to give them the finifhing touch.
ing, which in filver and glafs muft be totally different; but to the figures delineated on the former, which were only cut out on the furface in a fhallow manner and fuch figures were formed on glafs by the ancient artifts, as they are by our glais-cutters, by means of a wheel.

Many, however, affirm, that the art of glafse cutting, together with the neceffary inftruments, was firlt invented in the beginning of the laft century. The inventor is faid to have been Cafpar Lehmann, who originally was a cutter of fteel and iron ; and who made an attempt, which fucceeded, of cutting cryftal, and afterwards glafs, in the like manner. He was in the fervice of the emperor Rodolphus II. who, in the year 1609 , befides prefents, conferred on him the title of lapidary and glafs-cutter to the court, and gave him a patent by which every one except himfelf was forbidden to exercife this new art. He worked at Prague, where he had an affiftant named Za charias Belzer ; but George Schwanhard the elder, one of his fcholars, carried on the fame bufinefs to a far greater extent. The latter, who was a fon of Hans Schwanhard, a joiner at Rothenburg, was born in 1601 ; and in 1618 went to Prague to learn the art of glafs-cutting from Lehmann. By his good behaviour he fo much gained the efteem of his mafter, who died a bachelor in 1622, that he was left his heir; and obtained from the.
emperor Rodolphus a continuation of Lehmann's patent. Schwanhard, however, removed to Nuremberg, where he worked for many of the principal nobility; and by thefe means procured to that city the honour of being accounted the birthplace of this new art. In the year 1652 he worked at Prague and Ratibon by command of the emperor Ferdinand III, and died in 1667 , leaving behind him two fons, who borh followed the occupation of the father. The elder, who had the fame chriftian name as the father, died fo early as 1676 ; but the other, Henry, furvived him feveral years. After that period Nuremberg produced in this art more expert mafters, who, by improving the tools, and devifing cheaper methods of employing them, brought it to a much higher degree of perfection *.

That the art is of fo modern date, feems to be confirmed by Zahn, who fpeaks of it as of a new employment carried on, at that time, particularly

* This account may be found in Sandratt's Teutche akademie, vol. i. part 2, p. 345, where the exprefs words of the Imperial patent are given ; but in the new edition by Dr. Volk. man very little of it has been retained. Befides many other faults of this edition, much valuable information refpecing the German artifts has been omitted. Thofe who may be defirous of writing on the prefent fubject mult have the firft edition. Compare alfo Doppelmayer, Nacbricht won Nïrnberg. kiinflern, p. 231, 232, 237.
at Nuremberg. He defcribes the work-table, as well as the other inftruments; and gives a figure of the whole, which he appears to have confidered as the firlt*. It may be feen, however, from what 1 have already quoted, that this invention does not belong entirely to the moderns; and, to deny that the ancients were altogether unacquainted with it would be doing them an injuftice. It was forgotren and again revived; and this is the opinion of Caylus $\psi$.

I mult here remark, that, before this invention, there were artifts who, with a diamond, cut or engraved figures on glafs which were every where

* Non ita pridem innotuit pulcerrimum artificium quafcunqué imagines etiam contrafacturas, quafcunque figuras, notas et frripturas curiofiffime in vitra incidendi ; precipue autem vitra potiora illo folent ornari. Norimbergæ modo fuit artifex, quii imagines contrafacturas artificiofiffime iifdem incidendo exhibuit. Vidi tale vitrum potorium ab eo elaburatun non adeo magnum, cujufdam principis Germaniæ effigiem nitidiffime ac perfectiffime prafentans, pretio quadraginta imperialium ab eodem coemptum ; multo autem majoris adhuc pretii alia ab eodem artifice confecta audivi arte fingularifima, qua incidendo ac interendo ita effigiat imagines, ut non intritæ ac impreffæ compareant, velut in iis vitris quæ communiter diftrahuntur ac venduntur, fed emineant et extent elatiores, perfectiffimeque fint expolitx. Oculus artificial. iii. p. 79. In the laft part of this quotation Zahn alludes to images which were affixed to glafs-ware intended for common ufe.
$\dagger$ Recueil d'antiquités, ii. p. $3^{63}$.
YOL, IIt.
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admired.
admired. Without entering, however, into the hiftory of diamonds, which would require more materials than I have yet been able to collect, I will venture to affert that the ancient artifts employed diamond duft for polifhing or cutting other kinds of ftones. Pliny * freaks of this in fo clear a manner that it cannot be doubted. The fame thing has been repeated by Solinus i+, Ifidore i, and Albertus Magnus $\S$, in a manner equally clear, and Mariette || confiders it as fully proved; but it does not appear that the ancients made any attempts to cut this precious flone with its own duft : I mean to give it different faces and to render it brilliant. Whether they engraved on it in that manner I cannot pretend to decide, as the greateft artifts are not agreed on the fubject. Mariette9f denies that they did; whereas Natter**
* Expetuntur a fcalptoribus, ferroque incladuntur, nullams non duritiam ex facili cavantes. Lib. $\pi x x v i i .4$. p. 7 个3.
+ Fragmenta fcalptoribus in ufum infigniendæ cujufcunque modi gemma expetuntur. Cap. 52. p. 59.
$\ddagger$ Adamantis fragmentis fcalptores pro gemmis infigniendif perforandifque utuntur. Origin. xvi. S.
§ Hic lapis penetrat ferrum et ceteraz gemmas omnes, preter chalybem, in quo retinetur. De miner. lib. ii. 2.
|| Mariette, Traité des pierres gravées, i. p. 20 and $\mathrm{I}_{5} 6$.
II Ibid. p. 156.
* In the preface, p. 15.
feems not to deny it altogether, and Klotz * confidently afferts it as a thing certain. But the laftmentioned author knew nothing more of this circumftance than what he had read in the abovequoted writers.

The queftion which properly belongs to my rubject is, whether the Greeks and the Romans ufed diamond pencils for engraving on other ftones. That many ancient artifts affited their work with them, or gave it the finifhing touches ${ }_{\partial}$ feems; according to Natter $\psi$;, to be fhewn by various antique gems. But, even allowing this to have been the cafe (for, at any rate; I dare not contradict fo eminent a connoiffeur), I muft confefs that I have found no proofs that the ancients cut glafs with a diamond. We are, however, acquainted with the means employed by the old glaziers to cut glafs: they ufed for that purpofe emery, harp-pointed inftruments of the hardeft

* Ueber den nutzen dè gefchnittenen fteine. Altenburg 1768. 8vo. p. 42. How little Klotz, who was fo ready to remark and criticife the faults of others, was acquainted with the fubftances on which the ancients engraved, may be feen in p. 44; where he fays, that the ancients engraved likewife on ambergris - Perhaps, alfo, on cheefe! He had read in his French author the word ambre, but did not know the difference between ambre gris and ambre jaune.
+ Page 10, 36. The fame thing is afferted by H. Doll, in H. Menfels Mufexm fïr künfler. At. 13.
fteel, and a red hot iron, by which they directed the rents according to their pleafure *.

The firft mention of a diamond being ufed for writing on glafs occurs in the fixteenth century. Francis I. of France, who was fond of the arts, fciences, and new inventions $\uparrow$, wrote the following lines with his diamond ring upon a pane of glafs, at the caftle of Chambord, in order to let Anne de Piffeleu, duchefs of Eftampes, know that he was jealous:

> Souvent femme varie, Mal habil qui s'y fie.

The hiftorian recorded this not fo much on account of the admonition, which is not new, as becaufe it was then thought very ingenious to write upon glafs \$. About the year 1562 , feftoons

* Le Veil, Die Kuntt auf glas zu malen. Nurnb. 1;80, 4 to. iii. p. 19.
† Daniel, Gefchichte von Frankr. viii. p. 570.
$\ddagger$ Le Veil, iii. p. 19. Where he found this anecdote, however, I do not know. It is not mentioned by Mezeray; Caftelnau, or Laboureur; nor does it occur in Galanteries des rois de France, Bruxelle $\mathrm{J}^{69+}$. Svo. i. p. 145, which is all taken from Varillas. Bellay, in his Memoirs of the Duchels, fays nothing of it. Bayle muft alfo have been unacquainted with it, elfe he would have introduced it into his long articie on the Ductoefle d'Eftampes. Perhaps it may be in Brantome's Dames galavits. The king's acquaintance with that lady began in $15=6$. Sce Danich's Gefclichte won Frankr. viii. p. 328.
and other ornaments, cut with a diamond, were extremely common on Venetian glaffes, which, at that period, were accounted the beft *. George Schwanhard the elder was a great mafter in this art $\dagger$; and, in more modern times, John Koft, an artift of Augfburg, ornamented, in a very curious manner with a diamond pencil, fome drinking-glaffes which were purchafed by the emperor Charles VI + 。

I now come to the art of etching on glafs, which properly was the fubject of this article. As that acid which diffolves filiceous earth, and alfo glafs, was firft difcovered in the year 1771, by Scheele the chemift $\|$, in fparry fluor, one might imagine that the art of engraving with it upon glafs could not be older. It has indeed been made known by many as a new invention §; but it can
*Matthefius fays, in his fifteenth fermon, p. 902: "On the beautiful fmooth Venetian glafs people engrave with a diamond all kinds of ornaments and figures."
$\dagger$ Doppelmayr, p. $23^{2}$.
$\ddagger$ Von Stetten, Kunitgefchichte von Augfburg, i, p. 434 .
\#/ Abhandlungen der Schwedifchen Akademie, xxxiii. p. 122. It deferves to be remarked, that Henkel, in his Kleinen Schriften, Drefden 1744. 8vo. p. 594 and 599, confidered fparry fluor as a faline fubltance.
§ Monatfchrift der Akademie der Künfte zu Berlin. Berlin, 1788. 4to. Schrifien der Berlinifchen, naturfor $\begin{aligned} \text { Chenden Gefelchaft. }\end{aligned}$ ii. p. 319. Halle, Fortgefetzte magie. Berlin 1988. 8vo. i. p. 516: The laft author fays that the invention came from England, where it was kept very fecret; but the honour of the fecond invention belongs to H. Klaproth.
be proved that it was difcovered fo early as the year 1670, by the before-mentioned artift Henry Schwanhard. We are told, that fome aqua-regia having fallen by accident upon his fpectacles, the glafs was corroded by it; and that he thence learned to make a liquid by which be could etch writing and figures upon plates of glafs *. How Schwanhard prepared this liquid I find no where mentioned; but, at prefent, we are acquainted with no other acid but that of fparry fluor which will corrode every kind of glafs + ; and it is very probable that his preparation was the fame as that known to fome artifts as a fecret in 1721. The inventor, however, employed it to a purpofe dif. ferent from that for which it is ufed at prefent.

[^76]At prefent the glafs. is covered with a varnifh, and thofe figures which one intends to etch are traced out through it ; but Schwanhard, when the figures were formed, covered them with varnilh, and then by his liquid corroded the glafs around them ; fo that the figures, which remained fimooth and clear, appeared, when the varnifh was removed, raifed from a dim or dark ground. He, perhaps, adopted this method in order to render his invention different from the art known long before of cutting the figures on the glafs as if engraven. Had he been able, however, to invertigate properly what accident prefented to him, he might have enriched the arts with a difcovery which acquired. great reputation to a chemift, a hundred years after.

I mentioned this old method of etching in relief to our ingenious Klindworth, who poffeffes great dexterity in fuch arts, and requefted him to try it. He drew a tree with oil varnifh and colours on a plate of glafs; applied the acid to the plate in the ufual manner, and then removed the varnifh. By thefe means a bright, fmooth figure was produced upon a dim ground, which had a much better effect than thofe figures that are cot into the glafs. I recommend this procefs, becaufe I ann of opinion that it may be brought to much greater perfection; and Mr. Renard, that celebrated artift of Strafburgh, whofe thermometers

$$
Q_{4} \text { wilh }
$$

with glafs fcales, in which the degrees and numz bers are etched, have met with univerfal approbation, was of the fame opinion, when I mentioned the method to him while he reficted here, banifhed from his home by the difturbances in his native country,

It is probable that Schwanhard and his fcholars kept the preparation of this liquid a fecrer, as the receipt for that purpofe was not made known till the year ${ }^{1} 725$, though it is poffible that one older may be found in fome of thofe books which treat on the arts. In the above-mentioned year, Dr. John George Weygand, from Goldingen in Courland, fent to the editor of a periodical work * a receipt which had been written out for him by Dr. Matth. Pauli of Drefden, then deceafed, who had etched, in this manner on glafs, arms, landfcapes, and figures of various kinds + .

[^77]+ 1725 . January, p. 107. "Invention of a powerful acid by which figures of every kind, according to fancy, can be etclied upon glafs. When Jpiritus nitri per difillationem has paffed into the recipient, ply it with a flrong fire, and when well dephlegmated pour it, as it corrodes ordinary glafs, into a Waldenburg flafk; then throw into it a pulvcrifed green Bohemian emerald, otherwife called befplorus (which, when reduced to powder and heated, emits in the dark a green light), and place it in warm fand for twenty-four hours. Take a piece of glafs well cleaned and freed from all greafe by means of a lye; put a border of

We find by it that a ftrong acid of nitre was ufed, which certainly difengages the acid of fparry fluor, though the vitriolic acid is commonly employed for that purpofe *. That the Bohemian emerald or befphorus, mentioned in the receipt, is green fparry fluor, cannot be doubted, and will appear ftill more certain from the hiftory of this fpecies of ftone, as far as I am acquainted with it, which I fhall here infert.

In the works of the old mineralogifts, fparry fluor is either not mentioned, or is claffed among their natural glaffes and precious ftones; and in thofe of the firft fyftematic writers it is fo mingled with quartz, and calcareous and gypfeous fpars, that it is impoffible to difcover it. The old German miners, however, diftinguifhed it fo early as the fixteenth century, and called it flufs; becaufe
wax round it, about an inch in height, and cover it all equally over with the above acid. The longer you let it ftand fo much the better, and at the end of fome time the glafs will be corroded, and the figures, which have been traced out with fulphur and varnifh, will appear as if raifed above the plane of the glafs." This receipt has been inferted by H . Krunitz, in his CEkonomijche encyclopedie, xi. p. 678.
*Klindworth covers the glafs with the etching ground of the engravers; but in the Annals of Chemifry for 1790, ii. p. 14r, a folution of ifunglafs in water, or a turpentine oil varnifh, mixed with a little white lead;' is recommended. Complete inftructions for acquiring this art may be found there alfo.
they ufed it to accelerate the fufion of ores that were difficult to be reduced to that ftare. Agricola, who firtt remarked this, changed the German name into fluor, an appellation, which, like many others, formed by him from German words, fuch, for example, as quarzum from quarz, spatum from Spat, wifinutbum, zincum, cobaltum, \&c. became afterwards common*. If a paffage of the ancients can be: quoted that feems to allude to fparry fluor, it is that of Theophraftus, where he fays, that there are certain ftones which, when added to filver, copper, and iron ores, become fluid + . The firft fyftematic writer who mentioned this kind of ftone, as a particular genus, was Cronftedt.

Befides being known by its metallurgic ufe, fparry fluor is known alfo by having the colours of fome precious ftones, fo that it may be fold, or, at leaft, hlewn as fuch to thofe who are not expert judges; becaufe the firft time when heated in the dark it Chines with a blueifh green luftre. It is poffible that farry fluor may have been among the number of that great variety of ftones

* Lapides funt gemmarum fimilca, fed minus duri, fluores, liceat mihi verbum e verbo exprimere, noftri metallici appellant, nec, meo judicio, inepte; liquidem ignis calore, ut glacies folis, liquefont et flumnt. Vaiii autem et jucundi colores eis infidunt. Bergmannus, p. 456.
+ De lapidibus, § 19.
which the ancients, with much aftonifhment, tell us thone in the dark; though it is certain that the principal part of them were only light-magnets, as they are called, or fuch as retain, for a certain period, the light they have abforbed in the day time *. The obfervation, however, that fparry fluor emits light, after it is heated, feems to have been firft made when artificial phofphorus excited the enquiry of naturalifts and chemifts ; and when they began to fearch, in their own country, for ftones which, in the property of emitting light, might have a refemblance to the Bologna fpar, made known about the year $16_{3} 0$. It is well known that the latter is prepared for that purpofe by calcination. Stones of the like kind were fought for; and among thefe fparry fluor, which is not fcarce in Germany.

In my opinion, the obfervation was made in the year 1676 ; for in that year Elfholz informed the nembers of the fociety for inveftigating nature, that he was acquainted with a phofphorus which had its light neither from the fun nor from fire, but which, when heated on a metal plate
> * The greater part of thofe paffages in the ancients which relate to this fubject have been collected by Du Fay, Bofe and Cohaufen. See a paper on the light of diamonds in Pbyfichen abhandlungen der Parifer academie, xi. p. 38. Difoours fur la lumière des diamans, publifhed at Gottingen in 1745 ; and Lu men novum ploophoris accenfum, a Cobaufen. Amftel. $1717,8 \mathrm{vo}$.
over glowing coals, hone with a blueih white luftre; fo that by ftrewing the powder of it over paper, one might form luminous writing. I doubt much whether this experiment was ever tried; at leaft I find no farther account of it in the papers of the fociety, nor in the re-publication of the above author's firft differtation, which appeared in $\ddagger 68 \mathrm{I}$ *。

As far as I know, Kirchmaier, profeffor at Wittenberg, was the firft iwho difclofed the fecret, in the year 1679 . Both call this phofphorus the

* Joan. Sigifm. Elfholtii De phofphoris quatuor obfervatio. Berolini 1676 , one fheet quarto. Alfo De phofphoro liquido obfervatio. Berol. 1677, half a fheet quarto; and De phofploris -bfervationes, quarum priores bina antea jam erlita, tertia vero prima munc wice prodit. Berol. 1681, two fheets quarto. This edition contains both the firft papers with fome new obfervations. The firlt papers may be found alfo in Ephemerid. ac nat. cur. Dec. I. an. 8: obf. 13, p. 32. The paffage relating to this fubject is as follows: Phofphorus fmaragdinus. Is fplendorem fuum non ex folaribus radiis, aut ex illuminato aere colligens; fed ex igne ipfo. Ejus [cilicet particulam fi laminæ argenteæ aut cupreæ imponas, adhibito carbonum fubtus calore, fplendorem ex cæruleo albicantem mox percipies, adeo ut, fi materiam illam in notas aut literas digefferis, legere nitentem commode fcripturam, poffis. Quare vero tertio huic (phofphoro) id nomen indiderim et qua ratione parandus ipfe fit, alteri fervo occafioni.
† Ante annos paucos admodum inventus mineralis alicujus, vifu et proprietatibus in quibufdam fimilis fmaragdo, ab artifieibus duobus mihi peranice notis ufus eft. Conficiendi phof-
the fmaragdine; becaufe the ancients fpeak much of luminous emeralds; and becaufe green fparry fluor is often exhibited as an emerald. Kirchmaier calls this mineral alfo befperus and vefperugo; and thefe names have been often given fince to fparry fluor, as in the receipt before mentioned for making a liquid to etch on glafs. Kirchmaier's information, however, mult have been very little known; for the Jefuit Cafatus, who, in 1684, wrote his Treatife on Fire, was not acquainted with it, as he has inferted only the words of Elfholz *. This obfervation mult have been new to Leibnitz himfelf, and to the Academy of Sciences at Berlin, in 1710; for the former then
phori et reprefentandi modus levis atque brevis ille. Recipe q. *. mineralis viridis fmaragdum pene referentis; contere in pulverem, aqua madefac communi, pulvis inftar ut fiat. Pencillo pofo tea in lamina cuprea, magnitudine vel orbis, vel majoris plani alicujus manubrio inftructa literas, quafcunque voles, in lamina defcribe craffufculas. Ardentibus fuper impone prunis vafculo exceptis. Phrnomenon fpectabis in obfcuro amœniffimum, fine fumo et odore lucens. Sed, at verum fatear, nec ufum, nifi curiofi animi explendi, artificium hoc, neque diuturnitatem habet. Pectus ergo avidum fciendi meliora fatiare nequit, five befperus vocetur, five vefperugo. Geor. Cafp. Kirchmaieri De phofphoris ef natura lucis, nec noil de igne, commentatio epijfolica. Wittebergæ; 1680. 4to. p. 7. This befperus mult not have been known to Thom. Bartholin in 1668 ; at lealt it is not mentioned in his book De lucc. Hafnix 1669, 8 vo .
* Differtat. phyficæ de igne. Francof, et Lipf. 1688. 4to. p. 353.
mentioned it to the fociety as a philofophicat novelty *.

I fhall remark, in the laft place, that the manufacturing of veffels and ornaments of every kind from folid fparsy luor was begun in Derbyfhire, in the year $1765 \$$. The articles furmed of it are in England called fpar ornaments, and fometimes blue-jobn. Many beautiful colours muft, as is faid, be brought forward by means of fire. But the heat muft be applied with great caution ; for fparry fluor, as is well known, by a ftrong and particularly a fudden heating, cracks, and lofes its tranfparency.-Since writing the above, I find that Mr. Rafpe + denies this bringing forward of colours by fire.

[^78]
## S O A P.

THAT the firft exprefs mention of foap occurs in Pliny and Galen, and that the former declares it to be an invention of the Gauls, though he prefers the German to the Gallic foap *, has already been remarked by many. Pliny fays that foap io was made of tallow and afhes; that the beft was made of goats' tallow and the afhes of the beech tree, and that there were two kinds of it, hard and foft. The author of a work on fimple medicines, which is afcribed to Galen, but which however does not feem to have been written by that author, and of which only a Latin tranflation has been printed, fpeaks of foap being made by a mixture of oxen, goats', or fheep's tallow, and a lye of afhes ftrength-

* In thofe works which were certainly written by Galen, I have found the word $\sigma \alpha \pi \omega$ twice. It occurs in De compofitione pbarmac. Secundum locos, ii. 2. p. 279, and lib. v. cap. 5, p. $323=$

Plin. xviii. 12. fect. 5 r. p. 475 : Sevum caprinum cum calce .-. Prodeft et fapo; Galliarum hoc inventum rutillandis capillis. Fit ex febo et cinere. Optimus fagino et caprino ; duobus modis, §piffus ac liquidus. Uterque apud Germanos majore in ufu viris quam feminis.
+ It is beyond all doubt that the words fapo and $\sigma a \pi \omega \%$ were derived from the German Sepe, which has been retained in the low German, the oldeft and original dialect of our language. In the high German this derivation has been rendered a little more undiftinguifhable by the $p$ being changed into the harder $f$. Such ahanges are common, as fchap, fcliaf; fcibip, fibiff, \&cc.
ened with quicklime. He fays the German foap was the pureft, the fatteft, and the beft, and that the next in quality was the Gallic *. This account correfponds more exactly with the procefs ufed in Germany at prefent ; whereas the French ufe mineral alkali, and inftead of tallow employ oil, which appears to be a later invention. Pliny in his defcription does not fpeak of quicklime ; but as he mentions a mixture of goats tallow and quicklime a little before, it is probable that the ufe of the Iatter was then known at Rome. Gallic and German foap are often mentioned by later writers $\dagger$,
* Sapo conficitur ex́ fevo bubulo veĺ caprino aut vetrecino, et lixivio cum calce; quod optimum judicamus Germanicum ; eft enim mundiffimum et veluti pinguiffimum, deinde Gallicum. Verum onnis fapo acriter relaxare poteft, et omnem fordem de corpore abftergere, vel de pannis, et exficcare fimiliter ut nitrum vel aphronitrum, mittitur et in caultica. De fimplicibus medicaminibus, , p. 90. G. In another book, afcribed to Galen, the greater part of which is taken from Aütius, and of which a Latin tranflation only remains, $D e$ dynamidiis, p. $28 . \mathrm{G}$, according to Gefner's edition flands: Recipe Sqponent Spatarcnticun, and p. $3^{1}$. C, cmplaftrund de fapone fpatbulano. Thefe epithets, in my opinion, fignified foap which was fo foft that it could be fpread.
+ The paffages with which I am acquainted are as follows: Theodor. Prifciaulus, lib. i. cap. 3. De crementis capillorum -. Attamen Gallico fapone caput lavabis. Sajonatunn occurs alio lib. i. 18. Sammon, cap. 12. ver. 155 : Attrito fapone genas partgare memento. P'lin. Valer. i. 23 : Gallicus fapo; and cap. 2 1: Saponarius, which word Barth in his Aiforifaria, p. 167r, tranflates a retailer of fuap. Paul. AEgin. lib. vii. in the alphabetical

as well as by the Arabians*, fometimes on account of their external ufe as a medicine, and fomerimes on account of their ufe in wathing clothes. The latter purpofe is that for which foap is principally employed in modern times; but-it does not feem to have been the caufe of German foap being introduced at Rome. Wafhing there was the occupation of indigent fcourers, who did not give themfelves much trouble concerning foreign commodities. The German foap, with which, as Pliny tells us, the Germans coloured their hair red, was imported to Rome for the ufe of the fahionable Roman ladies and their gallants. There is no doubt that the pilca Mattieco, which Martial recommends as a preventative of grey hair $\dagger$; the couffica
foriam vim habet. Aretcus De diuturnis morbis, ii. 13. p. 98 : Ad curationem elephantiafeos funt medicamenta innumera Celtarum, quos lase tempeftate Gallos vocant. Nitrofis quoque illis factitiis globis, quibus velaminum fordes expurgant, faponemçue vocant (the foap therefore appears to bave been formed into balls), illis globis corpus in balneo detergere optimum eft. Aëizus De arte med. vi. 54. and xiii. 126, Stephanus quotes from the fcholiaft of Theophraltus the diminutive oamwiov. The paffage may be found Idyl. iii. 17. according to the edition of Reike,


* Serapio, according to Brunfel's edition, cap. 348 : Sapo efl bonus ad maturandum apoftema - - Rafes De fimplic. p. 397: Sapo calidus exiftit, qui ulcerans corpus, in ipfo fortem efficit abflerfionem.
$\dagger$ Mart. xiv. 27 . This foap acquired the epithet of Mattiacum from the name of a place which was in Heffe.

[^79]R
Jpuma

Spuma with which the Germans dyed their hair *; and the Batavian foam or lather which the Romans employed for colouring theirs $\dagger$, were German foap. It is probable that the Germans tinged it with thofe plants which were fent to Rome for dyeing the hair +; and according to the modern man-
> * Cauftica Teutonicos accendit fpuma capillos, Captivis poteris cultior effe comis. Mart. xiv. 26.

Thefe lines are generally explained in this manner. "Dye th" hair with foap, and it will become more beautiful than that of the Germans." But in this cafe all the wit of the advice is loft ; and the expreffion eris cultior quam come captive, feems to me to be very improper. I fhould rather tranfate them as follows: "Let the Germans dye their hair with pomade; as they are now fubdued, thou mayft ornament thyfelf better witl a peruke made of the hair of thefe captives." This was a piece of delicate flattery to Domitian and the Roman pride. That prince thought he had conquered the Germans; and the moft beantiful German hair, that which was not dyed, could be procured, therefore, at Rome, much eafier than before. If the title of this epigram was written by Martial himfelf, it contains the firf mention of the word fapo.
$\dagger$ Fortior ct tortos fervat vefica capillos,
Et mutat Latias fpuma Batava comas.
Mart. viii. 23, 19 .
The firt line of the above proves that people then covered their heads, in the night time, with a bladder to keep their hair, after it was dreffed, from being deranged; and a bladder was undoubtedly as fit for that ufe as the nets and cawls emplosed for the like purpofe at prefent.
$\ddagger$ Femina canitiem Germanis inficit lerbis.
Ovidius De arte amandi, iii. 163.
ner of fpeaking, it was more properly a kind of pomade than foap.

It appears that the Romans at firt confidered hair-foap as an ointment made from afhes; for we read in various paffages of ancient authors, that the hair was dyed by means of ahhes, or an ointment made of athes and a certain kind of oil. It is however poffible that they may have had fuch an ointment, which undoubtedly would be of a faponaceous nature, before they were acquainted with the German foap, or that they imitated the German pomade with different variations * 。

As foap is every where ufed for wafhing at prefent, a queftion arifes what fubflitutes were employed before it was invented. Thofe with which

* Valer. Max. i. 5, p. 135 : Capillos cinere rutilarunt.

Ad rutilam fpeciem nigros flavefcere crines,
Unguento cineris predixit Plinius auctor.
2. Serenus, De medic. iv. ${ }^{56}$.

Serenus feems to allude to a paffage of Pliny xxiii. 3. p. 306, wherc he fpeaks of an ointment made from the burnt lees of vinegar and oleum lentijcinum. The fame thing is mentioned in Diofcorides, v. I32. p. 379. Servizs, 压n. iv. quotes the following words from Cato: Mulieres noftræ cinere capillum ungitabant, ut rutilus effet crinis. Alex. Trallianus, 1, 3, gives directions how to make an ointment for grey hair from foap and the ahhes of the white flowers of the verbafcum. The cinerarii, however, of Tertullian, lib. ii. ad uxar. 8. p. 64 r, feem to have been only hair-dreffers, who were fo called becaufe they warmed their curling-irons among the hot afhes.

I am acquainted I fhall mention and endeavour to illuftrate. They are all ftill ufed, though not in general ; and they are all of a foapy nature, or, at leaft, have the fame effects as foap; fo that we may fay the ancients ufed foap without knowing it.

Our foap is produced by a mixture of lixivious falts and tallow, by which mears the latter becomes foluble in water. The greater part of the dirt on our linen and clothes confifts of oily fiveat or greafe, or duft which that greafe attracts, and which either cannot be wafhen out, or can be wathen out only very imperfectly, by water alone. But if warm water, to which lixivious falts have in any manner been added, is taken, and if dirty cloth be rubbed in it, the greafy dirt unites with the falts; becomes faponaceous; and is fo far foluble in water that it may be wafhed out. There are alfo natural juices which are of a foapy quality, in the ftate in which we find them, and which can be employed in the ftead of artificial foap. Of this kind is the gall of animals, and the fap of many plants. The former being lefs ftrong in its effects on account of its flimy nature, is ufed at prefent particularly for coloured fuffs the dye of which is apt to fade. As far as I know, however, it was not employed by the ancients *, but it is

* Plin. ii. p. 474, fays: that fpots of the fkin may be removed by means of ox's gall. Maculas tollit fel tauri.
certain that in wafhing they ufed faponaceous plants.

In the remoteft periods, it appears that clothes were cleaned by being rubbed or ftamped upon in water, without the addition of any fubftance whatever. We are told by Homer, that Nauficaa and her attendants wathed their clothes by treading upon them with their feet in pits, into which they had collected water *. The epithet black, which the poet gives to the water, might induce one to conjecture that it had been mixed with ahhes, which would convert it into a lye; but where were the afhes to be found? Had they brought them along with them, the bard, where he before enumerates every thing that they carried with them, and even oil, would not have failed to mention them; and fuch a conjecture is rendered entirely groundlefs by his applying the fame epithet to pure water, in other places, where nothing can be fuppofed to have coloured it $\dagger$. Water, when it ftands

Etsibov d's Kolporar Hows epiox trpopipouбar.

-     -         -             - et inferebant in nigram aquam ;

Conltipabant autem in fcrobibus celeriter certamen proferentes.

Odyff. vi. 91.
 Sicut fons nigræ aquæ, qui obfcuram fundit aquam. This comparifon is repeated in the fame words, Iliad, xvi. 4. Theocritus
 gra lavare impurum laterem.
in deep pits, reflects fo few rays of light, that in a poetical fenfe it may very properly be called black.

We find however mention made at later periods of athes, and a lye of afhes cmployed for walhing; but, I think, very feldom, and I do not know how old the ufe of them may be. According to Pollux *, konia, mentioned by Arittophanes and Plato, was a fubftance ufed for wafling; and he fays exprefsly, that we are to underftand by it a lye of ahes. This I mention for the fake of thofe, who, like me, place little confidence in the terms of art given in dictionaries $\dagger$. With the above lye, oil and wine jars were cleaned $\underset{+}{+}$; and it was employed alfo for warhing the images of the gods $\|$. The method of ftrengthening the lye by means of unflaked lime was known, at any rate, in the time of Paulus 不gineta §; but it appears that the Ro-

* Onomaft. vii. 1 I , 39. p. $713,714$.
+ For abundance of thefe I fhall refer to Diofcorides, i. I 86. p. 8S. The clear lye which drops from the afhes was called xov:x saxtn yas $\operatorname{\delta in} \theta$ riksin (from $\sin \theta s \omega$, percolo) In the Geopon. x. 29,

$\ddagger$ Geopon. vii. 6. p. 475 . Plin. xiv. cap. 21. p. 727 . Colitmella; xii. 50. 14. p. 818.
|| Sordefcunt divi, et ad fordes eluendas lavantibus aquis opus atque cineris frictione. Arrolius, vii. p. 237.


 calcem affunat cinis, uftoria vi praditum lixivium facit, quod pliam $\pi$ тиuTocakroy nominant,
mans were not acquainted with the falt icfelf which is procured by diflolving common wood-athes in water : I mean, they did not undertand the art of producing it in a dry folid form, or of boiling potathes.

On the other hand, that fixed lixivious falr, the mineral which nature prefents in many of the fouthern countries, was long known and ufed in wafhing. This was the nitrum, or, as the people of Attica pronounced it, the litrum, of the ancients, as has already been remarked by others *. It would however be worth the trouble to inveftigate the proofs fill farther. By examining them with more mineralogical and chemical knowledge than have hitherto been employed for that purpofe, they might be farther ftrengthened, and ferve to illuftrate many oblcure pafiages. For my part, I have neither leifure nor room here to undertake fuch a tafk, though I have collected many obfervations relative to that fubject. It is certain at any rate, that the ancients employed nitrum for wathing, and it is evident from the teftimony of various authors, that it was much ufed in the baths ir.

[^80]That the people of Egypt, in the time of Pliny, made mineral alkali alfo from the afhes of fome plants, we have reafon to conclude, becaufe he fays that it was nereflary to put the Egyptian nitre into veffels well corked, elfe it became liquid *. Natural alkali is never liable to do fo, unlefs it be very much burnt ; and as no reafon is affigned for its affuming that form, we may believe that the Egyptian alkali was the ftrongly burnt afhes of thofe plants which are ftill ufed in Egypr for making falts, and perhaps the fame with which the Spaniards were made acquainted by the Arabians, and which they cultivate for making foda.

Strabo fpeaks of an alkaline water in Armenia, which was ufed by the fcourers for wahing clothes $t$. Of this kind alfo mult have been the lake Afcanius, which is mentioned by Ariftotle +, Antigonus Carytius $\|$, and Pliny §. It is worthy of remark, that the ancients made ointments of this mineral alkali and cil, but not bard foap, though by thefe means they approached nearer to the invention than the old Germans in their ufe of wood-afhes; for dry folid foap can be made with

[^81]more eafe from the mineral than the vegetable al－ kali；and when Hungarian，Fiench，and German foap arc of equal goodnefs，the laft does more cre－ dit to the manufacturers becaufe they cannot em－ ploy the mineral alkali．I thall here obferve，that this alkali was ufed for wafhing by the Hebrews， and that it occurs in the facred writings under the name of boritb $\$$ ．

The cheapeft，however，and the moft common article ufed for walhing，was the urine of men and animals．When this excrement becomes old，the alkali difengages itfelf，which may be perceived by its fetid fmell ；and fuch alkalifed urine being warmed，and employed to walh greafy cloches， produces the fame effects as the nitrum of the an－ cients．It is ftill ufed for the like purpofe in our cloth manufactories．

[^82]To procure a fupply of it, the ancient wafhers and fcourers placed at the corners of the ftreets, veffels which they carried away after they had been filled by the paffengers, who were at liberty to ufe them ; and the practice of having fuch conveniencies was certainly more decent than that of employing the walls of churches and other buildings, which the police of Drefden forbade fome years ago, but with no effect. At Rome, that which at prefent fpoils and renders filthy our nobleft edifices, was converted to ufe. When clothes were wafhed, they were trod upon with the feer, as is the cafe in the cloth-manufactories at Leeds, Halifax, and other places of England, where the urine is collected by fervants, and fold by meafure to the manufacturers under the name of old lant. On account of the difagreeable fmell attending their employment, fcourers at Rome were obliged to refide either in the fuburbs, or in fome of the unfrequented ftreets *.

* Plin. xxviii. б. p. 466 : Virilis urina podagris medetur, argumento fullonum, quos ideo tentari morbo negant. Lib. xxxiii. S. p. 459 : Urinam camelorum fullonibus utiliffimum effe tradunt. P. 459 : Maculas e vefte urina ablui. Martial. vi. ep. 93. Alben. Deipnof. xi. p. $4^{S_{4}}$ : Fullones, abftergendis veftium fordibus, eas urina madefaciunt. Macrobius, Saturz, ii. 12, fpeaking of drunk people: Dum eunt, nulla eft in angiporto amphora, quam non impleant, quippe qui veficam plenam vini habeant. In the old editions this paffage occurs, lib. iii. cap. 16, or, as Beroaldus fays, cap. I7. It is quoted alfo in Folo. Sareforg. Polior. viii. 7. p. 479.

My readers here will undoubtedly call to remembrance the fource of taxation devifed by the emperor Vefparian, who, as his hiftorians tell us, urina vectigal commentus eft *. It is not certainly known in what manner this impoft was regulated. Did the emperor declare that article, which was not fubberraneum rarius, to be a regale as a res derelicta, fo that the fcourers were obliged to pay him what he thought a reafonable fum proportioned to the benefit which they derived from it? Or was it impofed only as a poll-tax? For every tax upon any thing indifpenfably neceffary to all, is, to fpeak in the language of finance, the fame as what is called a poll-tax, or a tax paid by every one who has a head. The latter conjecture is the moft probable, eipecially as this tax continued two centuries, till the time of Anaftafius, and as we read alfo of vectigal pro urina jumentorum et canum, which was exacted from every perfonwho kept cattle. Vefpafian therefore was not fortunate in the choice of a name for his tribute, which on that account mult have been undoubtedly more detefted. A poll-tax at prefent is called by thofe who do not fpeak favourably of it, the Turkifh-tax, becaufe the Turks impofe it on all unbelievers. When it was introduced by Louis XIV, in 1695 , he called it la capitation.

[^83]Of plants with a faponaceous juice the ancients, at any rate, ufed one inftead of foap; but it is difficult or rather impoffible to define it. I fhall not therefore content myfelf merely with tranfcribing the paffages where it is mentioned ; but I fhall arrange whatever I can find refpecting it in fuch a manner, as, according to my opinion, the names of plants ought to be explained in dictionaries.

Eifgoubio, Struthium, Latinis Herba lataRla, et Plinio etiam Radicula.

1. Elt planta fpinofa, Th. Pl.
2. Grata afpectu, fed fine odore, Thb. Pl.
3. Folio oleæ, Pl. vel papaveris Heraclei, Th.
4. Caule ferulaceo, tenui, lanuginofo, eduli, $P$ !
5. Radice magna, acri, medicinali, Pl.D. 〔pumefcente, Luc.
6. Floret æftate, $T$ \%. Pl. fed femen nullum, Pl.
7. Nafcitur faxofis et afperis locis, Pl.
8. Sponte, præcipue in Afia Syriaque ; trans Euphratem laudatiffima; fativa ubique, $P l$.
9. Radix conditur ad lanas lavandas, $\mathcal{T}$ \%. $P l$. D. Col. et alii.
10. Herba ovibus lac auget, Pl. *

The
Pl. here fands for Pliny ; Th. for Theophraflus; $D$. for Diofcorides ;

The above is all that the ancients have told us refpecting this plant. The information is indecd very fanty, and at the fame time it is not altogether certain; but even if it were, it would be fufficient only to confute fome conjectures, but not to eftablifh the fyftematic name of the plant. I call the properties of it defcribed to us uncertain : Firt, becaufe I do not know whether Pliny did not mean to diftinguifh the wild plant from that which was cultivated, and many have underfood as alluding to the former that which 1 have applied to both. Secondly, becaufe the words of Theophraftus, being in one paffage evidently corrupted, will admit of various conftructions; and becaufe in an-

Diofcorides ; Luc. for Lucian ; and Col. for Columella. The following are the paffages alluded to:
Plin. xix. 3. fect. 18. p. 161. xxiv. If. p. 341 ; and 17. p. 352 。 sxix. 3. p. 500.

Theophrafti Hift. plant. vi. 7. p. 679. ed. Stap. vi. 3. p. 588. ix. 13. p. 1093. In the firft paffage it is faid : Herba lanaria dicta ; flos afpectu pulcer, fed caret odore. According however to the common reading of the original it ought to be : Struthium diCtum; flos adfpectu pulcer, et elt odoratus autumno. Scaliger's emendation is: Struthium dictum, flos adfpectu pulcer, fed fine odore. Autumni floret lilii alterum genus. This is the more probable, as Pliny fays in the fame order: Grata adfpectu, fed fine odore.

Diofcorid. ii. 193. p. 156. Notha. p. 447.
Lucianus, in Alexand. cap. xii. edit. Bipont. v. p. 75 -
Columella, xi. 2. 35- p. 753 : Radix lanaria.
It would appear that the ancients were acquainted with different kinds of foruthium; for Celfus, vi. 5. p. 346, names in a receipt fruthium allum.
other, on account of fome exceptions, of which he fpeaks, they appear at leaft to me unintelligible. Thirdly, becaufe Pliny, who gives us the beft account of it, is the only author who calls the frutbium or foap-plant radicula, a name by which is rather to be underftood a dye-plant of the fame kind as madder. We have reafon therefore to furpect that he has confounded the properties of the two plants, efpecially as the fourth property was afcribed by others to a rubia, afperula, or galium, which was cultivated in Syria, and named often radicula Syriaca." On the other hand, this diminutive is very ill fuited to a root which Pliny himfelf calls large.

The words of that author, tingenti, quicquid $\sqrt{f}$ t cum quo decoquatur, have been by fome explained, as if he meant that the frutbium was a dye-plant, though as a foapy plant it mult have been deftitute of colour; and they have hence deduced a proof that Pliny confounded the firutbium with the radicula ufed in dyeing. On the other hand, Hardouin reads unguentis inftead of tingenti. He affures us that he found the former in manufcripts, and is of opinion that the fap of the frutbium was ufed alfo for ointments.

In my opinion, however, tingenti muft be retained; and the meaning is that when cloth was to be dyed it was neceffary to prepare it for that purpofe by foking it and wafhing it with the fap
of this plant. This he exprefsly tells us himfelf: tingentibus et radicula lenas praparat. It is probable that the ancient dyers mixed their dye-liquors with the juice of the frutbium, for the fame purpofe as bran and the feeds of fenugreek are added to dye-liquors at prefent; that is, to render thems thicker and nimier, in order that the colouring particles may be longer and more equally fufpended in or diffured through them *. The words quidquid fit cuin quo decoquatir will now become intellible. Whatever may be employed for dyeing, fays the author, the addition of the juice of the Arrotbium is ferviceable.

As what has been faid contains nothing that can enable us to determine the genus of the Arutbium according to the rules of botany, we may be allowed to conjecture that it was one of thofe plants ftill ufed for the like parpofe in Italy and orher neighbouring countries. Fuchs thinks it muft have been the fafonaria officinalis (foap-wort), the roots of which indeed contain a faponaceous juice that readily changes the fpittle into foam. The root was employed for that purpofe by the impoftor in Lucian; and the juice is ufed at prefent for cleaning wool and cloth. In the Helvetian Alps, the fheep are wafhed with a decoction of the plant and its roots before they are fhorn; and with a

[^84]mixture of ahmes it ferves for cleaning linen＊． The tafte of it is fo flarp，that it is compared by fome to that of the fmall burnet－faxifrage $\dot{+}$ ．

This Saponaria officinalis，however，differs too much from the remaining properties $\ddagger$ of the fruthitum．Its root is as thick only as a quill，or at moft as one＇s finger．The ftem，which is three feet in heighr，throws our many branches，and can－ not be called coulis ferulacous，tenuis．It is not rough and prickly，and，inftead of growing in poor， rocky foil，it is rather fond of deep ground，and the borders of corn－fields．

We may，therefore，conjecture with more proba－ bility that the gepoopbila fruthium Linv．｜｜a plant
ftill
＊Bock，Kräuterbuch，p．296．Sorr，Aip：mrife，ii．p．18；－
 かったanzen，i．p．7T4．

+ Cartheufer，Differtat．de radice fapon． 1760.
$\ddagger$ Thofe numbered $3,4,5,6$ ．
｜｜This plant belongs to thofe Enropean vegetable productions which have not yet been completulydeferibed，and of whichaccu－ rate figures have not been given．It was fent by hmperati to Cafp． Banhin，under the name of lanaria ovierion；and the later made it frift known in his Pinary flant．iv．p． 206 ．The former deferibed it himfelf，and gave a bad engraving of it，in ITij／．nat．p．$S-1 .^{\text {．}}$ Löfling found this plant on the Spanifh mountaine，as well as in the neighbourhood of Aranjues：and he relates，that in the pro－ vince of la Mancha the people boil clothes that are to be wafhed，
ftill ufed for wafhing in the lower part of Italy and Spain, is the Arutbium of the ancients. This opinion acquires fome ftrength by its being adopted among the Italians and the Spaniards; and becaufe the plant, as Pliny fays, grows in a rocky foil and on the mountains. It is alfo ftill called lanaria hy the Calabrian peafants. It has a tender ftem ; its leaves are fo like thofe of the olive tree that they might be compared to them by thofe who are not botanitts; and its root is large, but it is neither rough nor prickly. This contradiction may be accounted for by fuppofing that Pliny, through a miftake, of which I have already accufed him, afcribed falfely to the foap-plant the prickly or rough leaves of the dye-plant which had an affinity to madder. But even after this explanation there ftill remains to be got over a dubious paffage of Theophraftus, who, indeed, feems to make the plant prickly alfo.

I do not, therefore, place entire confidence in this opinion; but fufpect rather that we fhall re-
with the root of this plant infead of Soap. (The three laft words, however, appear to have been added by his tranflator.) Reifebefobreibung, p. 10 த. Linnæus did not hefitate to declare the frutbium of the ancients and the fruthium of his fyltem to be, the fame plant; and he gave his countrymen reafon to hope that their gypfophila fafigiata, which has a great refemblance to it, might be employed in the like manner. Amenitat. Academ. vi.p. 329 .

Vol. III.
ceive from the Eaft an account of a plant, fill ufed there, which will correfpond more exactly with the foap-plant defcribed by Pliny. I am inclined to think that I have already found fome precurfory information refpecting it in Bauhin *, who fays that, in Syria, there is another kind of foap-plant, which has prickly leaves like the thiftle, and a thick root of a fharp acrid tafte. The root, he adds, was employed for wafhing clothes and wool; and the confectioners of Damafcus formed of it, with boney and wine, a kind of fweetmeat which appeared as white as if it had been made of the fineft flour and fugar, and which was fo hard that it could fcarcely be broken with the teeth. This plant feems to belong to thofe, the cultivation of which was abandoned in Europe, after the ufe
> * Bellunenfis radicem effe fcribit plantæ foliis fpinofæ carduorum modo, craffitie pollicis, intus fubflavam, nigram foris, odore et guitu acrem ; ejus decocto lanas lancofque pannos fordidos abftergi. Damafcenos feplafiarios ejus decoctum immifcere confectionibus paratis e melle ac fapa, idque candoris tantum conciliare, ut ex amylo et faccharo puriffino conftare videantur quin eciam fic durare, ut tenaciores fint, et vix morfu divellantur. Conftat, ejus radice in globos coacta, Syros veftium, linteorum: et induforum fordes ac inquinamenta eluere, faponis aut lixivii modo. Hifor. plant. xxix. 43, p. 34\%. This account was too unintelligible to be introduced by Tournefort or Linnaus into their fyftems. But who was this Bellunenfis? In my opinior, the perfon meant is Andreas Bellunenfis, who wrote gloffemata on Avicenna, and who is fometimes quoted, and praifed, by Conrade Gefuer, on accoune of his acquaintance with the Arabic.
of them was rendered fuperfluous by newer difcoveries.

That the ancients employed their frutbium for wafhing wool is confirmed by various authorities *; but I do not remember to have found any evidence of its being ufed for cleaning clothes which had been worn'. Saumaife, however, quotes a paffage from the works, unfortunately never printed, of the old chemift Zofrmus, in which he gives directions for reftoring, by means of the foap-plant, the luftre of pearls which have become yellow $\dagger$.

As the fap of moft plants is faporiaceous; the meal of many kinds of grain may be ufed for wafhing, as well as various kinds of bran. Tliat of almonds, which on account of its oil is remarkably foft, is employed at prefent for wathing the hiands by thofe who are deffrous of having a white delicate fkin. Cloth; the colours of which eafily fade, and which will neither endure foap nor hard rubbing, may be wathen extremely well with brañ. Our fullers, therefore, and ftocking-manufacturers

[^85]ufe oat, barley and bean meal, efpecially when they wifh the cloth to be flowly milled *. Whether the ancients employed bran in the fame manner I have not had an opportunity of examining. I am rather inclined to think that they did; and I can at any rate quote a paffage of Galen, which feems to allude to the ufe of bean-meal $\dagger$. In all probability, the beans of the ancients were the fmalleft and roundeft variety of our horfe-beans, or thofe ufed as fodder $\$$.

In the laft place, the ancients, at thole periods of which I fpeak, ufed fullers-earth much oftener than it is ufed at prefent. Till the comntries where

* See Phyfikal. œkonom, biblioth. xiv. p. 47 S.
$\dagger$ De alimentor. facultate, i. cap. 19, according to the Greek






 ftantiam non deufam nee gravem, fed fungofan ac levem; qua vim quandam, quomodo ptifana, habet detergendi. Apparet enim perfpicue ipfarum farina furdes a cute detergere, quod mangones ac mulieres intelligentes, in balncis quutidie fabarum farina utuntur, quemadmodum alii nitro atque aphronitro et in fummo detergentibus. Hac propterea et faciem inungunt, quemadmodum ptifana. Edit. Gefneri, claf. 2. p. 26.
$\ddagger$ See Phyfikal, akonom. biblioth. גvi. p. $2 \pm 3$.
it was procured be defcribed by travellers who unite a knowledge of antiquities with flill in mineralogy, the fpecies of this earth, mentioned in the works of ancient authors, cannot be diftinguifhed with accuracy. But from the purpofes to which they were applied we can with certainty conclude that they mult have been partly of the nature of marl and partly of the nature of the foap-rock.

According to the then ufual method of wafhing, by which the clothes were ftamped with the feet *, the creto fullonic, as Pliny + calls them, acted in the fame manner as our fullers-earth employed at prefent, partly by foouring and partly by abforbing the greafy dirt. The ancients, after their manner, gave them names only from the countries where they were produced; and hence we find mention made of terra Cimolia ${ }^{\psi}$, Cbia $\|$, Lemnia §,

* A paflage of Titinnius, quoted from Nonius Marc. iv. 34. p. 623, in Gothofredi Aut. ling. Lat, which Schottgen reads in the following manner, may ferve as a proof:


## - - terra hæc non aqua

Ubi tu folitus pedibus argutarier ;
Dum compefcis cretam, et veftimenta eluis.

+ Lib. xvii. 18, p. 54.
$\ddagger$ Pollux, vii. 11, 39, p. 714. Plin. xxxv. 17. p. 718.

§ This terra Leemnia is entirely different from fealing-earth. See Galen. Di fimplic. medic. facultat. ix. p. 13z. ed. Gefneri.

Sarda,

Sarda*, Umbria \&, Samia, Tympbcaa $\ddagger$, and others, Many of them, like that brought from Sardinia, could not be ufed in cleaning coloured ftuffs; and for this reafon, perhaps, becaufe fome colours would not ftand hard fcouring, or endure their cauftic nature.

The fullers, however, did not ufe thefe earths merely for wafhing, but alfo for whitening many kinds of cloth. This was done by rubbing fine white earth into the cloth, in the fame manner as foldiers do to gíve fome parts of their drefs a brighter appearance. A like procefs is employed by glovers and thofe who wafh or clean leather. The earth ufed by the latter is a yellowifh white iron-ochre, called, from the purpofe to which it is applied, collar-earth $\|$. When a perfect white was required, a kind of white potters-clay or marl was employed; and the clofer it adhered to the

* Plin. p. 718.
$\dagger$ Plin. 1. c. The Sarda was cheap, and purchafed by meaiure; the Umbria was dearer, and fold by weight.
$\ddagger$ Theophraft. De lapid. § 109. Diofcorides, v. 152, p. 3 ST,


$\|$ I here meạn that it got ite name from being employed to clean that picce of armour, formerly ufed, which covered only the breaft and the back, and which was called a kollcr. The Siwedes alfo call yellow iron-ochre kiöllerfärg, or kyllerfarg. See H'aller, Min, ii. p. 2 §\&.
cloth, and the lefs eafily it could be rubbed out, it was fo much the better. The poor people at Rome rubbed it over their clothes on feftivals, in order that they might appear brighter *.

It deferves here to be particularly remarked, that fome of thefe earths, fuch as that of Chios, were employed in the baths inftead of nitrum $\dot{\gamma}$; and this is the cafe in the Levant ftill. De la Valle $\ddagger$ extols, in this refpect, a kind of reddifh earth, and fays that people of the firt diftinction never bathe without it. Perfumes are often mixed with it ; and it is formed into fmall balls which, when ufed, are fuffered to diffolve in the water. Different kinds of veffels, and particularly thofe in which wine and oil had been kept, were cleanfed with thefe earths alfo $\|$. Glafs flafks, which have had oil in them, cannot be cleanfed better or more fpeedily than by flaking in them a mixture of fullers-earth or potters-clay. When thefe afe not to be had, filtering-paper may be ufed, The oil is abforbed by the earth or the paper, and with then can be eafily wafhed out.

[^86]To render cloth perfectly white, it was alfo fumigated with fulphur by the fullers, who were not ignorant that many colours were deftroyed by the volatile fteam of that fubftance *. We are told by Apuleius that the wife of a fcourer concealed her gallant under a veffel of bafket-work, over which cloth ufed to be laid to whiten by the effects of fulphur kindled under it $\dagger$. Our walher-women employ for the fame purpofe a cafk $\underset{+}{+}$, and our clothiers a fmall clofe apartment, in which the wet cloth is fufpended upon hooks.

Pliny has defcribed the method of wafhing ufed at Rome, but many things refpecting it appear to me obfcure \|. The cloth was firft wafhed with Sardian

[^87]Sardian earth ; it was then fumigated with fulphur, and afterwards rinfed with real Cimolian earth. The word defquamatur was undoubtedly a term of art which cannot be farther explained, becaufe we are unacquainted with the operation to which it alludes*. Pliny feems to have been particular in mentioning real Cimolian earth, becaufe the falfe kind became black by the fteam of the fulphur which the cloth abforbed. Was it adulterated with fome metallic earth or with white lead? It was dear enough to induce people to mix it with fuch articles; and in that cafe it muft neceffarily have become black.

The expreffion funditur fulphure feems to be attended with no lefs difficulty. In comparing the different readings, I find that the oldeet editions have offunditur, which has been changed into
et pretiofos colores emollit Cimolia, et quodam nitore exhilarat contrifatos fulphure. Candidis veftibus faxum utilius a fulphure, inimicum coloribus. Grecia pro Cimolia Tymphaico utitur gypfo. Lib. xxxv. cap. 17. fec. 57 , p. 719.

* Imperati gives the follotring explanation of this word in Hijf. nat. iv. 48, p. 137: Defquamatio, quam Cimolia gypfove Tymphaico fieri Plinius docet, idem eft cum ea operatione quæ nunc fit gypfo communi in pannis fumo obductis. -- Qurdam terræ ufurpantur ficce, ut gypfun, ad fumum e pannis excutiendum ; namque fillis locus fumo infectus fricetur, gypfum cum fumo unitur, denuoque excuffo panno, fuligo pannis adhærens fimul excutitur. Huic itaque ininiflcrio infervit gypfum fimpliciter coctum, inquinatum et ficcatum.
effunditur, and lafly, into funditur*. It is probable, however, that inftead of offunditur we ought to read offenditur, which would make the whole clear. I am much furprifed that this reading was not adopted by Hardouin. As Pliny fays in other parts of his work offendit fomaclum, and offendit aciem oculorum, he might, undoubtedly, have applied that word to the earth and its colour.

Faft colours, which the acid of fulphur might render pale, but could not entirely deftroy, would by wafhing with Cimolian earch be improved, or rather reftored, as the earch would abforb and carry off the acid. There was alfo another kind of earth ( faxum) which was ufeful in the preparation of cloth fumigated with fulphur, but which injured the dye, probably, becaufe it was too calcareous, and which was perhaps our common chalk.

I do not intend to treat here of the whole art of the Roman fullers, which belongs rather to the hiftory of weaving or manufacturing cloth in general; but I hope I Chall be forgiven if I add the few following obfervations. The fullers received the cloth as it came from the loom, in order that it might be fcoured, walked, and finoothed. It

[^88]was walked by being ftamped upon with the feet. The rough wool raifed by this operation was combed off, partly with the fkin of a hedge-hog, and partly with the tops of fome plants of the thiftle kind, in order to give the cloth a nap. Shearing feems not then to have been known : I have at leaft met with no paffage where it is mentioned ; and the cafe is the fame with the ufe of preffes; which, in my opinion, were not invented till the fixteenth century *. The whole procefs of fmoothing feems to have confifted in. making the wool or nap lie as evenly as poffible one way, which certainly muft have given to the cloth a much better appearance,

As cloth, at prefent, is more dreffed and thorn on one fide than another, the ancient fullers prepared theirs in the like manner; fo that clothes could be turned, after the infide of them had been new dreffed. Wherher they made felt, alfo, I have not yet enquired; but I conjecture that the manufacturing it was the occupation of thofe called lanarii, coactores, and coactilarii क.

The

* Schrevelii Harlemum, p. 2g6. The author, fpeaking of cloth in the year 1522 , fays: Eodem hoc anno prelorum ufus cepit ad nitorem.
$\dagger$ The following explanation of fome terms of art, employed by the ancients, will, perhaps, afford fatisfaction to my readers :

Fullones, mactæ, rvapth, vazrot, cloth-drefers. The word cloth.

The occupation of the fullers was, at Rome, very extenfive, and affordec employment to a great number of people, but it at length entirely decayed. Schottgen is of opinion that it belongs to thofe arts which have been loft. But other writers have declared arts which are exercifed now in greater perfection than formerly to be loft, merely
cloth-dreffer feems to exprefs much better the employment of the ancient fullers than the word walker. For walking, properly fo called, the Romans, as appears, had no particular term of art. The Greeks had $x \nu a \pi \tau=1 \nu$ and $\sigma \nu_{\mu} \tau \mu \tau$ roal; which words, like the German durch-zvalken, were ufed to exprefs beating or baft-
 The Greeks fay alfo $\pi \lambda \nu \nu \varepsilon v$ for $\lambda$ ordopsev to wafh one ; to tell one an uupleafant truth; to reproach or revile one.

K, oupos, an inftrument ufed for dreffing cloth. At prefent a wooden crofs planted with prickles (/pina fullonia, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L} 1 \mathrm{~N} .}$ ). The oupos may have been conftructed in the like manner. If Saumaife has conjectured right, that inftrument was called alfo inea; but the paffage of Pliny, b. xix. 1, 3. p. 156, peditur ferrcis bamis, does not allude to dreffing cloth, but to dreffing flax, which requires an inftrument of iron; and has more affinity to the combing or carding of wool than to the dreffing of cloth.

Veffes cxpolire, polire, to fmooth cloth.
Viffes pexe, cloth walked and dreffed.
Politura, raw
Profa tunica, the right fide of the cloth, which had been completely fmoothed.

Vefes trita, worn cloth become threadbare.
Interpolire, interpolare vefles, to drefs anew. Non. IJar. i. 145: Eft tractum ab arte fullonia, qui poliendo diligenter vetera que. que quali in novan fpeciem mutant.
becaufe they were not acquainted with them; or becaufe, on account of the alterations they have undergone, they did not know where to find them. All the different operations of fulling have become fo complex by new methods, improvements, and inventions, that they can no longer be conducted by one man; and the whole bufinefs has, for that reafon, been feparated or divided into feveral diftinet branches.

The fcouring of cloth, when it comes from the loom, was, together with walking, feparated from the reft, after the invention of the walk-mill. How old that invention may be, I cannot accurately determine; but we find it mentioned in the beginning of the thirteenth, and even at the end of the tenth century. Such a mill formerly was called fullencium, or molendinum cuin fullone *. The dreffing and fmoothing of cloth, fince the invention of fhearing and preffing, requires fo much art, that thefe operations can be performed only by fkilful workmen, who are called cloth-fhearers or clothdreffers. The fcouring of cloth, dirtied in manufacturing, is, by the invention of foap, bleach. ing, and other proceffes, become fo eafy, that it can be performed by women. The Romans, for the moft part, wore a white drefs, made in the form of a cloak; which, indeed, as hirts were not

[^89]then
then ured, mult have often ftood in need of being cleaned *: We, on the other hand, wear in ge-neral fhort clofe clothes of coloured cloth; which, by the fafhion in which they are made, are lefs expofed to be dirtied; and we are more accuf. tomed alfo to ufe clothes of linen or cotton, which can be wafhen with much lefs labour. Felt, which is employed almoft for hats alone, is manufactured by our hat-makers. Whoever takes a general view of all thefe employments together, will be readily convinced that they maintain more people; and in a better manner, than the whole ars fullonia did at Rome.

[^90]
## $\mathrm{M} A \mathrm{D} D \mathrm{E}$ R.

THIS plant, the root of which is either dried and bruifed, or, according to the new method, ufed frefh, for dyeing red, has a weak, fquare, jointed ftem; and rifes to the height of eight feet when fupported, otherwife it creeps along the ground. At each joint there are five or fix leaves, about three inches in length, almoft an inch broad in the middle, and pointed at both ends. The upper fide of the leaves is fmooth; but the middle fibre of the under fide is armed with fmall rough prickles; and others of the fame kind may be found on the ftem. On this account, the leaves, which drop annually, adhere readily to other bodies, like thofe of the afperugo. The branches, which, in June, bear flowers divided into four yellow leaves, proceed from the joints. The fruit, a kind of berry, which, towards the time of its ripening, though that feldom happens among us, is firtt of a brownih colour, and then black, contains a round feed. The roots grow fometimes to the thicknefs of one's finger, pufh themfelves deep into the earth, are furrounded by many fmall fibres, have a yellowifh-red pith, and are covered
with a black bark or rind *. This plant grows wild in the Levant, as well as in Italy, the fouthern parts of France, and in Swifferland. The cultivated kind is well known; and is propagated with much advantage in various countries of Europe.

When one compares this fhort defcription with what Diofcorides fays of a plant which he calls creutbodanon ${ }^{3}$, it will be readily feen that he meant our madder. He even compares its long \{quare ftem, armed with a great many hooks, to that of the a.perugo; and he tells us that the leaves ftand in the form of a ftar around the joints. The fruit was at firft green, then red, and laftly black. The thin long roots, adds he, which are red, ferve for dyeing ; and on that account the cultivated kind (he mult therefore have been acquainted with the wild fort) is reared with much benefit in Galilea, around Ravenna in Italy, and in Caria, where it is planted either among the olive trees, or in fields deftined for that purpofe. It is remarked in fome manufcripts, that this plant had a name given it by

* A complete figure of it may be feen in Kerners Abbildanger der akonomifchen fflanzen, tab. 236. Of the wild kind, which is fmaller in all its parts, a figure is given in Memorie di offertazion: Sopra la collura di varie piante. In Padova, 1766 . 4to. tab. 9. p. 53.
+ Epruboiavor. He calls it alfo siefpoian:y. Lib, iii. cap. 160. p. 238 and 460.
the Romans, which, as Marcellus Virgil obferves, meant the fame thing as rubia fativa; and that it was called in Hetruria lappa minor, doubtlefs becaufe, like the bur, it adhered to other bodies. On account of the dye which it communicated, it was called alfo fometimes cinnabaris *.

In

* Some, alfo, may, with equal propriety, have called it fandyw and I am of opinion that under this name we are to underftand our madder, at leaft in a paffage of Virgil, Eclogue iv. 45, where he fays: Sponte fua fandyx pafcentes veftiet agnos. As the wool of the fheep became red by eating the madder which grew in the fields, it could be immediately manufactured, withont dyeing it artificially. We manufacture the wool of our brown fheep in its natural colour, and this was done alfo by the ancients, Cloths of this kind were the panni nativi coloris, as they are called by Pliny, b. xxxvi. 7; and the words of Martial, xiv. i 33, allude to a drefs made of fuch cloth :

Non eft lana mihi mendax, nec mutor aëno, — — — — - me mea tinxit ovis.
I fhall here take occafion to remark, that the word lutum, in the line preceding the above paflage of Virgil, muft be tranllated yellory. weed, and not wooad. The former, refida luteola, dyes yellow; but the latter, ifatis, dyes blue. Lutum, however, in Cefar De bello Gallico, v. 14. feems to have been zwoad: Omnes fe Britanni luteo inficiunt, quod et cæruleum efficit colorem. It appears, therefore, that both names were liable to be confounded in the Latin, as they are in the German ; unlefs Davis be right, who, inftead of lutee, reads vitre. That fandyx, in Virgil, fignifies a plant rather than a mineral, is to me far more probable. The author fpeaks of plants which the fheep ate while feeding (pafeentes); and both the above-mentioned dye-plants, yellowweed and woad, grow wild in Italy. The opinion of Pliny, who underftood the pallage fo, is not to be defpifed; and therefore

In oppofition to this afferted identity I find only one doubt; whicly is, that among thofe plants which, on account of the pofition of their leaves, were called feli'ate, and which were all fo like that we mult reduce them to one natural class, there are more forts, the roots of which dye red, and which are very improperly called wild madder*. Why, therefore, Mould the plant of Diofcorides be our madder, and not fome other plant of the like nature? For this reafon, in my opinion : becaufe the ancients, who were acquainted with all thefe plarts, which grew wild in their lands, would be equally prudent as the moderns, and cultivate that kind only which was the moft productive or beneficial, viz. our rubia tinEEorum.

This opinion will be ftrengthened by comparing the accounts given of that plant by other ancient writers. Theophraftus $\uparrow$ agrees almoft perfectly with Diofcorides; and adds, that it did
the poetical account, that the pafture dyed the wool, is not altogether without foundation ; efpecially as not only the roots, but alfo the leaves of madder, communicate a colour to the folid parts of animal bodies. I will however allow, that moft people readily fall into the error of being led away by imagination ; and often fuppofe that they find in paffages of ancient authors more than others can difcover, or pernaps even than they contain.

* See the catalogue in my Grundsaitzen der landwirthfchaft, § 310 .
+ Hift. plant. ix. 24. p. III.
not grow upright, but was fond of reclining. The comparifon, therefore, with the leaves of ivy, cannot be juft; but that I thall leave to the critics *. Pliny lays exprefsly, that the erytbrodanum or ereutbodenum was in his mother tongue called rubia; and that its red roots were ufed to dye wool and leather red 4 .

In the middle ages this plant was called varantia, a name which muft have arifen from verantia. The latter means the real, genuine dye; as aurantia fignified a golden yellow ${ }^{+}$. Till the year 1736 this plant was little regarded, except among dyers, farmers, and merchants, who purchafed it

* Stapel reads $i_{\mu}$ orov oxpe, becaufe Pliny, xix. 3. fays, fimilitudine ervilic. In my opinion, Pliny in this comparifon alluded to the ftem of the plant, which in madder is formed almoft like that of many kinds of pulfe, and which reclines in the fame manner ; and he adds, very properly, that the item of the rubia was jointed, and furrounded with five leaves, difplayed in the form of a far. His words are only not properly divided, and ought to be arranged as follows: Sponte provenit, feriturque. Similitudine erviliæ, verum 〔pinofus ei caulis.-_If one could introduce the word oxsw into the paffage of Theophrafus, fo as to retain this meaning, it would appear intelligible; but that would be difficult on account of the word $\varphi \nu \lambda \lambda 0 \%$.
+ Lib. xxiv. 9. p. 34 r.
$\ddagger$ Flame colour among the Greeks was called $\alpha \lambda r$ rbyov. Myrepfius fays that the rubia $\tau \alpha$ a $\lambda_{r \theta} \theta \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \pi \tau t$, , that is, dyes red. Salmafus ad Capitolini Macrinum, p. 169, 170. Ad Solinum, p. 810.
from the farmers, in order to fell it to the dyers with profit; and among a few herb-dealers and phyficians, who, on the authority of the ancients, afcribed to it eminent virtues, which others doubted or altogether denied. In the above year, however, a property of it was difcovered, by accident, as ufual, which rendered it an object of more attention. John Belchicr, an Englin furgeon, having dined with a cotton-printer, obferved that the bones of the pork which was brought to the table were red. As he feemed furprifed at this circumftance, his hoft affured him that the rednefs was occafioned by the fwine feeding on the water mixed with bran in which the cotton cloth was boiled, and whicli was coloured by the madder ufed in printing it. Belchier *, to whom this effeet was new, convinced himfelf, by experiments, that the red colour of the bones had arifen from the madder employed in printing the cotton, and from no other caufe; and he communicated his difco-
* The firt account of this circumflance may be found in the Philofophical Tranfactions, vol. xxxix. n. 442. p. 287. n. 443. p. 299 ; and in the French tranfation, Tranfacions, traduites par M. de Bremond, année 1736, p. 155 and 169 . Among the principal experiments made on this fubject, are thofe of the Italian Matth. Bazanus and H. J. Benj. Böhmer. Thofe of the former may be found in Comment. Bononienf. ii. 1. p. 129, and 2. p. 124; and thofe of the latter in a differtation entitled Radicis rubice tiniforum effeius in corpore animali, Lipf, 1751. Other works and obfervations relative to this fingularity are mentioned in Haller's Elementa Pbyyiologia, r. p. $32 \%$
very to the Royal Society, in a paper which was printed in their Tranfactions.

This fingularity was now foon known to all the naturalifts, feveral of whom made new experiments, the refult of which brought to light many truths ufeful to phyfiology. Befides the roots of madder, thofe of the galiunz (yellow ladies-bedftraw) and other plants which have an affinity to madder, produce the like effects; but this is the cafe neither with faffron nor woad, nor with many others much ufed in dyeing. The colouring takes place foonelt in young animals; and is ftrongeft where the bones are hardeft and thickeft. On the other hand it does not reach the foft parts; appears only a little in the milk ; and, in general, is not perceptible in the animal juices*.

[^91]As the Englifh calico-printers were acquainted with this effect of madder, before it was known to naturalifts, it is not improbable that it was known much fooner, in other places, where the plant has been much cultivated and ufed fince the earlieft periods. From what J. E. Stief fays, we have reafon to believe, that the people in the neighbourhood of Breflau, his native ciry, who gave the ftalks of the madder-plant to their cows inftead of ftraw, muft have firft difcovered that it poffeffed the property of communicating a red colour to the bones*。
hay, to his cows, which ate it readily. Their milis was fomewhat reddifh, and the butter and cheefe acquired by thefe means, in winter, an agreeable colour. See Bemerkungen der Pfälzifchen ökonomifiben Grfellfibaft. 1771. p. 253. Perhaps the effects do not take place when the animals get other food at the fame time. Or may not the fate of their health occafion fome difference? This much is certain, that cbclidoniunn (fwallow-wort) makes the milk of cows that are weak appear bloody, while the fame effect does not follow, or at leaft immediately, in thofe that are ftrong. Rueilius, De natura firpium, Baliliæ, 1543. fol. p. $57^{2}$, fays of the rubia: Folia capillum tingunt. If he meant that the hair became red by eating the leaves, he committed a miftake ; for Bölmer fays, p. 17: Conftanti et perpetua obfervatione cognovimus, quadrupedum pilos.et fetas nunquam a rubiæ radicis adfumptre colore infectas fuiffe. From his error, however, one might conjecture that he knew fomething of the property which this plant has of communicating its colour wher ufed as food. The firft edition of his book was printed in 1536.

* Differtatio de vita nuptiifque plantarum. Lipfia, 1741, p. 13 .

As many truths not yet inveltigated by means of new experiments, and which on that account have not yet been acknowledged, are concealed among the evidently falfe affertions to be found in the works of the ancients, and as thefe works were thrown afide too early, before their contents were properly examined, I was induced to fufpect that fome hints of this colouring property might alfo be mentioned in them, which indeed is the cafe.

We learn from the works of Galen and Diofcorides*, that the ancient phyficians remarked that the ufe of certain roots, which they adminiftered to their patients, communicated a colour to their urine and excrements; and this obfervation has been repeated by Cardan $\dagger$, Thurneiffer $\ddagger$, Porta $\S$, Caltor Durantes $\|$, and others. Had thofe ancient phyficians, who often prefcribed thefe roots, and paid attention tothe colour of the excrements of their patients, been accultomed to open their bodies when

[^92]they died under their hands, they would have perhaps remarked, in human bones, what was ob. ferved long after in the bones of animals, when the roots were no longer ufed in medicine; and what, if I am not miftaken, was never yet obferved in the bones of the human fpecies*.

Böhmer, who made refearches refpecting the antiquity of this obfervation, found it neither in Rombert. Dodonæus $\psi$, Mich. Ettmuller $\ddagger$, Morin§, Will. Salmon \|, nor others, who, however, fpeak of coloured urine. In his opinion the oldeft writer who fpeaks of coloured bones is Mizaldus ; but

[^93]what he relates is all taken from the treatife of Lemnius De miraculis occultis nature; and the latter therefore is the oldeft writer that I at prefent can mention, as acquainted with this property. He was a phyfician in Zealand, where madder has been cultivated fince the earlieft ages, and where he had an opportunity of remarking it. He fays that the bones of animals became red, as had been obferved when the flefh was dreffed, by their eating only the leaves, and not the roots. In the firt edition of the above work, printed in octavo, in the year 1559, which confifts of two books, this information will not be found ; but it may be contained in the fecond of 1564 , which comprehends four books*. On the other hand, the work of Mizaldus, as far as I can find, was firt printed in octavo, ar Paris, in 1566 ; and confifted then of no more than nine centuries. The dedication which ftands before my edition, printed in duo-

[^94]decimo, at Frankfort in 1559, is dated the fane year. In that fection where the author gives an account of the effects produced by madder, he refers feveral times to Lemnius *.

## JUGGLERS.

UNDER this tille I comprehend not only thofe properly called jugglers, who, for the fake of money, by quick and artful motions of their hands, bodies, and limbs, and by various preparations, delude the fenfes in an agreeable manner, or practife an innocent deception on the fpectators, fo that they think they hear and fee what they do not seally hear and fee, but alfo rope-dancers; people who place their bodies in pofitions, according to all appearance, dangerous; and thofe who for pay exhibit animals taught to perform uncommon tricks, as well as automata, which by their con-

[^95]JUGGLERS.
cealed conftruction feem to produce wonderful effects.

But is it worth while to enquire into the antiquity of all thefe arts, unprofitable to the public, which form the favourite amufements of the populace? The felfinh queftion cui bono, which is often thrown out by way of reproach to men of letters, but ofteneft to naturalits, and even to jurifts, when, in their refearches, they advance beyond the beaten track, I might eafily get rid of by civilly informing the querifts to pafs over this article if they think they are not likely to derive benefit from it. I might alfo apologize for employing my time and labour on this fubject, by ufing the words of a certain hiftorian: Frivola bec fortafis cuipiam et nimis levin effe videantur, fed curiofitas nibil recufat. I fhall, however, adopt neither of thefe methods; as I flatter myfelf that this effay may afford as much amufement as many that are read daily; and that sherefore it may not only be excufed but even juftified.

Thofe arts and employments which are moft neceffary in life were, undoubtedly, the earlieft, and they have ftill continued to be the moft important ; but when thefe were fufficiently occupied, or carried on by as many perfons as could live by them, the reft, who were excluded from them, conceived the idea of amufing the former
when tired with their labour; that by thefe means they might obtain from them a part of the fruits of their induftry. I requeft my readers to reflect how many occupations have been devifed for no other purpofe. They will find that feveral of thefe have acquired a pre-eminence over the neceflary or ufeful aits; and to the fame clafs belong jugglers*.

All political writers tell us, as a fundamental principle of government, that population ought to be increafed. This maxim, however, is juft only under certain circumftances: that is, when employment can be procured to a greater number of inhabitants than a country already poffeffes. Of beggars we have to maintain too many. All our trades and occupations are not only filled up with workmen, but overflow. Our farmers can

[^96]employ no more labourers; and our manufacturers no more hands than they have at prefent; our regiments are full; and in every employment there are more candidates and more fupernumeraries than is confritent with the good of the public. Muft it $n$ ot therefore give us pleafure, when neceffity inveints new means of acquiring a livelihood, although they could be difpenfed with? It is much better , that thofe who have learned no ufeful art ; who hav e loft their youth in the fervice of others; or whe) are deftitute, through any other caufe, fhould ga in their bread by amufing their fellow citizens, thi in that they fhould either beg or fteal.

Thefe arts are, indee d, not unprofitable, for they afford a comfortable fut fiftence to thofe who practife them; but their gait. 1 is acquired by too little labour to be hoarded up; and, in general, thefe roving people fpend on the. (pot the fruits of their ingenuity; which is an additio nal reafon why their flay in a place fhould be enc ouraged. I have, however, known fome who fave d fo much from their earnings that, in their old age, they were enabled to enter into fome bufinefs m, ore certain as well as more profitable.

People of this defcription will never was 7t encouragement and fupport while they exhibit is ith confidence any thing uncommon, and know how ${ }^{T}$
to fuit the nature of their amufements to the tate of the fpectators. The greater part of mankind love deception fo much, that they reward liberally thofe who impore on their fenfes, as is proved by the ready fale of gilt articles, artificial gems, and a thoufand other things which are not in reality what they appear to be. I do not know whether Montagne is right in confidering it as a fign of the weaknefs of our judgment, that we take a pleafure in beholding objects on account of their rarity, novelty, or the difficulty that attends them, though they may be fubfervient to no uffeful purpofe *. This appears to me to proceed from that innate curiofity which ferves as a fpur to incite us to enlarge our knowledge, and to engage in refearches and undertakings that often lead to difcoveries of greater importance.

Jugglers, indeed, feldom exhibit any thing that can appear wonderful to thofe acquainted with natural philofophy and mathematics; but there even often find facisfaction in feeing truths already known to them applied in a new manner; and they readily embrace every opportunity of having

[^97]them
them farcher illuftrated by experiments. Many, however, are too precipitate, and attempt to explain before they have fufficiently examined, of which the golden tooth at the end of the fixteenth century; the conjuring-rod at the end of the feventeenth; and the chels-player and fpeaking-machine at the end of the prefent, may ferve as inftances. But it often happens, that what ignorant perfons firt employ, merely as a hhow, for amufement or deception, is afterwards ennobled by being applied to a more important purpofe. The machine with which a Savoyard, by means of fhadows, amufed children and the populace, was by Liberkühn converted into a folar microfcope ; and, to give one example more, which may convince female readers, if I can hope for fuch, the art of making ice in fummer, or in a heated oven, enables guefts, much to the credit of their hoftefs, to cool the moft expenfive difhes. The Indian difoovers precious ftones, and the European, by polifhing, gives them a luftre.

But, if the arts of juggling ferved no other end than to amufe the moft ignorant of our citizens, it is proper that they fhould be encouraged for the fake of thofe who cannot enjoy the more expenfive deceptions of an opera. They anfwer other purpofes, however, than that of merely amufing : they convey inftruction in the moft acceptable manner, and ferve as an agreeable antidote to fuperfition,
and to that popular belief in miracles, exorcifm; conjuration, forcery and witchcraff, from which our anceftors fuffered fo feverely. Wherever the vulgar were aftonifhed at the effects of fhadows, electricity, mirrors, and the magnet, interefted perfons endeavoured by thefe to frighten them ; and thus mifapplied the powers of nature to promote their own advantage. The pontiffs and their clergy ought, undoubtedly, to be detefted for difcouraging experimental philofophy. That fcience they confidered as a formidable enemy; and they thought they gained no fmall advantage when they induced the houfe of Medici, by granting it the cardinalhip, to fupprefs the Academy del Cimento. When Gafner exhibited his deceptions, fome one propofed to him to try his art at Berlin or Gottingen, and to drive out there if it were only the fmalleft of all the devils; but thefe cities were not theatres where he was likely to fucceed, and he never yentured to appear in them *. It is, however, better that the populace, if they will abfoo lutely pay for being deceived, fhould be expofed to a momentary deception from jugglers than to a continual deception from priefts. As the former are not covered with the facred cloak of religion,

* The juggler, mentioned in Xenophon, requefted the Gode to allow him to remain only in places where there was much moncy, and abundance of fimpletons. "O OOV av $n$, diferat $\lambda x \rho$ "

their deceptions are more eafily feen through and detefted ; and they confequently foon ceafe to be hurrful. So late as the year 160 r , a horfe, which had been tanght to perform a number of tricks, was tried, as poffeffed by the devil, and condemned to be burnt*. At prefent fuch horfes are fo often exhibited publicly in the heretical countries of Europe, that the Spanifh inquifition, perhaps, will foon be afhamed of confidering fuch a proof of the docility of thefe animals, and of the patient dexterity of their teachers, as the work of the devil, as they did in the beginning of this century. Thofe who, like me, wifh to view the art of the juggler in this lighr, will, I hope, forgive me for introducing thefe obfervations, and allow me to continue them while I enquire into the antiquity of this employment ; efpecially as 1 hall endeavour by thefe means to illutrate more fully my fubject.

Had that book which Celfus wrote againft the Magi been preferved, we fhould have been much

* Le Siècle de Louis XIV. Berlin 175 r. 12mo. i. p. 44 . This horfe was feen in the abovementioned year by Cafaubon, to whom the owner, an Englifhman, difcovcred the whole art by which he had been trained. See Cafauboniana, p. 56 . We are aflured by Jablonfki, in his Lexicon der kiinfe und wiffenfchaften, p. $54 \%$, that he was condemned to the flames at Lifbon. In the ycar 1739, a juggler, in Poland, was tortured till he confeffed that he was a forcerer, and without farther proof he was hanged. The whole account of this circumflance may be found in the Schleffifiben gelebrten nunigkciten for the year 1739 .
better acquainted with the art of the ancient corijurors or jugglers. This Celfus, without doubt, is the fame author whofe virulent attack againft the Chriftians was refuted by Origen; and we have, therefore, greater caufe to regret that a work on the above fubject, by fo learned and acute a philofopher, fhould have been loft. He is mentioned with refpect by Lucian, and even by Origen; and the former derived from him the account which he gives of Alexander the impofor*. More ancient authors alfo wrote upon the fame fubject. Some of them are mentioned by Diogenes Laertius in his preface; and Suidas quores the Magicon of Antifthenes, though neither of thefe fpeaks of Celfus; but of all thofe writings none are now extant.

The deception of breathing out flames, which at prefent excites, in a particular manner, the aftonifhment of the ignorant, is very ancient. When the flaves in Sicily, about a century and a half before our æra, made a formidable infurrection, and avenged themfelves in a cruel manner for the feverities which they had fuffered, there was amongt them a Syrian named Eunus $\psi$,' a man of

[^98]great craft and courage, who, having paffed through many fcenes of life, had become acquainted with a variety of arts. He pretended to have immediate communication with the gods; was the oracle and leader of his fellow-flaves; and, as is ufual on fuch occafions, confirnied his divine miffion by miracles. When, heared by enthufiafm, he was defirous of infpiring his followers with courage, he breathed flames or fparks among them from his moith while he was addreffing them. We are told by hiftorians, that for this purpofe he pierced a nut-fhell at both ends, and, having filled it with fome burning fubftance, put it into his mouth and breathed through it. This deception, at prefent, is performed much better. The juggler rolls together fome flax or hemp, fo as to form a ball about the fize of a walnut; fets it on fire; and fuffers it to burn till it is nearly confumed; he then rolls round it, while burning; fome more flax ; and by thefe
num imperio concitavit ; idque ut divinitus fieri probaret, in ore abdita nuce, quam fulphure et igne flipaverat, leniter infpirans, flammam inter verba fundebat. Florus, iii. 19; 4. Te入eviraloy




 p. 526. Sulphur, which is mentioned by Florus, would hardly be fit for the purpofe. Compare Alexand. ab Alex. Gen. dies, is. 11. p. $345^{\text {\% }}$. Sulphur, however, is ufed for the like end in the Eaft, even at prefent. Sce Shaws Reifen, p. 214.
means the fire may be retained in it for a long time. When he withes to exhibit, he flips the ball unperceived into his mouth and breathesthrough it ; which again revives the fire, fo that a number of weak fparks proceed from it ; and the performer fuftains no hurt, provided he infpire the air not through the mouth but the noftrils \%.

By this art the rabbi Bar-Cucheba, in the reign of the emperor Hadrian, made the credulous Jew's believe that he was the hoped-for Meffias - ; and two centuries after, the emperor Conftantius was thrown into great terror, when Valentinian informed him that he had feen one of the body guards breathing out fire and flames in the cvening $\ddagger$.

For deceptions with fire the ancients employed

* Directions for performing this trick may be found in various works, fuchas Joh. Wallbergen's Sammlung natiir-licherzauberkiunfle. Stuttgard 1754, Svo. p. 25. and Natioriocles zuluberbuch. Nurnberg, $174^{\circ}, 8 \mathrm{vo}$. p. ifo.
+ T'u videlicet flamerets, immo fulmineus, qui in loquendo fulminas, atque ut ille Barchochebas auctor feditionis Judaicre flipulam in ore fuccenfam auhelitu ventilabat, ut flammas evomere videretur. Hicronsmi Apolog. ii. addecrfus Ruffrum. See Bayle's Dition. i. p. 4jo. art. Barchochchas.
$\ddagger$ Valentinianum aiunt vidiffe quendam ex iis qui filentiarii rocantur flammam ignis ore eibmentem. Tifos e enop $x$ Tou souacos a? $16 \% \times x$, vidiffe id vero circa vefperam, quando polt eibum fommum capere folemus, et hase quidem Contantio fignificaffe, qui nuncio hoc in fufpicionem metumque verfus eft, Phiigforgii Hifi. cacles. vii. $7 \cdot$ p. 93.
alfo naphtha, a liquid mineral oil, which kindles when it only approaches a flame. Gaken informs us, that a perfon excited great aftonifhment by extinguifhing a candle and again lighting it, without any other procefs than holding it immediately againft a wall or a ftone. The whole fecret of this confifted in having previoufly rubbed over the wall or ftone with fulphur \%. But as the author, a few lines before, fpeaks of a mixture of fulphur and naphtha $\dot{\psi}$, we have reafon to think that he alludes to the fame here. Plutarch $\underset{\downarrow}{+}$ relates how Alexander the Great was aftonifhed and delighted with the fecret effects of naphtha, which were exhibited to him at Ecbatana. The fame author, as well as Pliny, Galen, and others, has already remarked, that the fubftance with which Medea deftroyed Creufa, the daughter of Creon, was nothing elfe than this fine oil $\|$. She fent to the unfortunate princefs a drefs befmeared with it, which burf into flames as foon as the approached the
* Jam illud ceu rem mirandam quidam oftentavit ; extinxit lucernam, ac rurfus muro admovens accendit. Alter lapidi eam admovit. Fuerant autem tum murus, tum lapis fulfure contacti, quod ubi deprehenfum eft, defit mirum videri, quod oftentabant.
 tib, iii. 2. p. 44.

$\ddagger$ Vita Alexandri, p. 687.
if Galen, l. c.
fire of the altar. The blood of Neffus, in which the drefs of Hercules, which took fire likewife, had been dipped, was undoubtedly naphtha alfo *; and this oil mult have been always employed when offerings caught fire in an imperceptible manner i . In all periods of the world priefts have acted as jugglers to fimple and ignorant people.

In modern times, perfons who could walk over burning coals or red-hot iron, or who could hold them in their hands and their teeth, have often excited wonder. In the end of the laft century, an Englithman, named Richardfon, who, as we are affured, could chew burning coals; pour melted lead upon his tongue; fwallow melted glafs, \&c. rendered himfelf very famous by thefe extraordinary feats $\$$. Laying afide the deception practifed on the fpectators, the whole of this fecret confifts in rendering the fliz of the foles of the feet and hands fo callous and infenfible, that the nerves under them are fecured from all hurt, in the fame manner as by flooes and gloves. Such

* Ovid. Metamorph. lib. ix. 160.
+ Inftances may be found collected in Huetii Aheiane quefion. lib. ii. cap. 12, 21, p. 171; and in Baylc's Digionary, art. Egnatia, p. 344 .
$\ddagger$ Fournal des Sçavans, 1667, p. 54, 222; and 1680, p. 292. Deflandes, Mémoires de plyyique, ii. and Bremencher Magazin, i. p. 665 . See allo Bublequii Omnia, Bafil, 1740, Svo. P. $3^{14}$.
callofity will be produced if the fkin is continually compreffed, finged, pricked, or injured in any other manner. Thus do the fingers of the induftrious fempftrefs become horny by being frequently pricked; and the cafe is the fame with the hands of fire-workers, and the feet of thofe who walk bare-footed over fcorching fand.

In the month of September, 1765 , when I vifited the copper-works at Aweftad, one of the workmen, for a little drink-money, took fome of the melted copper in his hand, and after thewing it to us, threw it againft a wall *. He then fqueezed the fingers of his horny hand clofe to each other ; put it a few minutes under his armpit, to make it fweat, as he faid; and, taking it again out, drew it over a ladle filled with melted copper, fome of which he fkimmed off, and moved his hand backwards and forwards, very quickly, by way of oftentation. While I was viewing this performance, I remarked a fmell like that of finged horn or leather, though his hand was not burnt. The workmen at the Swedifh melting-houfes fhewed the fame thing to fome travellers in the laft century; for Regnard faw it in 168 r , at the copper works in Lapland $\dagger$. It is highly probable

* The fame thing was performed when Mr. Schreber was there, in 1760 . See his father's Neue Sammlung, i. p. 113.
† Algem. hiftor. der reifen, xvii. p. 308.
that people who hold in their hands red hot iron, or who walk upon it, as I faw done at Amfterdam, but at a cliftance, make their fkin callous before, in the like manner. This may be accomplifhed by frequent moiftening it with fpirit of vitriol; according to fome the juice of certain plants will produce the fame effect; and we are affured by others that the fkin mult be very frequently rubbed, for a long time, with oil, by which means, indeed, leather alfo will become horny *.

Of this art traces may be found alfo in the works of the ancients. A feftival was held annually on Mount Soracte, in Etruria, at which the Hirpi, who lived not far from Rome, jumped through burning coals; and on this account they were indulged with peculiar privileges by the Roman fenate $\mathrm{q}^{i}$. Women alfo, we are told, were accuftomed to walk over burning coals at Caßabala, in Cappadocia, near the temple dedicated to Diana ${ }_{4}$. Servius remarks, from a work of Varro now loft, that the Hirpi crufted not fo much to their own fanctity as to the care which they

* Haller, Elementa phyfiolog. v. p. 16.
 Strabo, v. p. 372. ed. Almel. The latter calls this fetival

$\ddagger$ Strabo, xii. p. 8ıı.
had taken to prepare their feet for that operation*.

I am not acquainted with every thing that concerns the trial by ordeal, when perfons accufed were obliged to prove their innocence by holding in their hands red hot iron ; but 1 am almoft convinced that this alfo was a juggling trick of the popes, which they employed as might beft fuit their views., It is well known that this mode of exculpation was allowed only to weak perfons, who were unfit to wield arms, and particularly to monks and ecclefiaftics, to whom, for the fake of their fecurity, that by fingle combat was forbidden. The trial itfelf took place in the church entirely under the infpection of the clergy; mafs was celebrated at the fame time; the defendant and the iron were confecrated by being fprinkled with holy water; the clergy made the iron hot themfelves; and they ufed all thefe preparatives, as jugglers do many motions, only to divert the attencion of the fpectators. It was neceffary that the accufed perfons fhould remain at leaft three days and three nights under their immediate care, and continue as long after. They covered their

[^99]hands both before and after the proof；fealed and unfealed the covering ：the former，as they pre－ tended，to prevent the hands from being prepared any how by art ；and the latter to fee if they were burnt＊。

Some artificial preparation was therefore known， elfe no precautions would have been neceffary．It is highly probable that，during the three firft days， the preventative was applied to thofe perfons whom they wifhed to appear innocent；and that the three days after the trial were requifite to let the hands refume their natural fate．The facred fealing fecured them from the examination of prefumptuous unbelievers ：for to determine whe－ ther the hands were burnt，the three laft days were certainly not wanted．When the ordeal was abolifhed，and this art rendered ufelefs，the clergy no longer kept it a fecret．In the thirteenth cen－ tury an account of it was publifhed by Albertus Magnus，a Dominican monk + ．If his receipt be

[^100]genuine, it feems to have confifted rather in cavering the hands with a kind of pafte than in hardening them. The fap of the altbea (marf1mallow), the flimy feeds of the flea-bane, which is fill ufed for ftiffening by the hat-makers and filk-weavers, together with the white of an egg, were employed to make the pafte adhere; and by thefe means the hands were as fafe as if they had been fecured by gloves. The ufe of this juggling trick is very old, and may be traced back to a pagan origin. In the Antigone of Sophocles, the guards placed over the body of Polynices, which had been buried contrary to the orders of Creon, offered, in order to prove their innocence, to fubmit to any trial: "We will," faid they, "take up red-hot iron in our hands, or walk through fire *."

The exhibition of balls and cups, which is often mentioned in the works of the ancients, as the moft common art of jugglers, is alfo of great antiquity. It confifts in conveying fpeedily, and with great dexterity, while the performer endeavours by various motions and cant phrafes to divert the attention of the fimple fpectators from



 Antigone, 270, p. 248 , ed. Cantabrigiæ 1665.8 vo .
obferving his movements too narrowly, feveral light balls, according to the pleafure of any perion in company, under one or more cups; removing them fometimes from the whole; and conveying them again back in an imperceptible manner. In general, three leaden cups are ufed, and as many balls of cork ; and to prevent all difcovery by their flipping from the thumbs of the juggler, or making a noife, as he mult lay hold of them with much quicknefs *, the table before which he fits is covered with a cloth + .

Thefe fmall balls were by the ancients called. calculi; and the cups acetabula, or paropfides \$. Cafaubon || has already quoted moft of thofe paffages in ancient authors which relate to this fubject; and they have been repeated by Bulenger §; but neither of theie writers makes mention of the fulleft and cleareft defcription given in the letters

* $K \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \varepsilon!$, which word was often ufed when any thing was
 montes clam hoftibus occupare.
+ Natürliches zauberbuch, p. 3 .



|| Animadverf. in Athenxi Deip. lib. i. 15, p. 46.
§ De theatro, lib. i. 40 , in Grawii Tlafuurus antiquit, Roman. ix. p. 902.
of Alciphron *. We have there an account of a countryman who came to town, and was conducted by a merchant to the theatre, where he faw, with great aftonifhment, the exhibition of cups and balls. "Such an animal," fays he, " as the performer I would not wifh to have near me in the country; for, in his hands, my property would

[^101]foon difappear." The art of oratory, becaufe it deceives the auditors, is frequently compared to that of balls and cups. From the Latin word gabata, mentioned by Martial, together with paropfides, the French have made gobelets; and hence their common expreffions jouer des gobilets, and joueur des gobelets, which they ule when fpeaking of jugglers.

In all ages of the world there have been merr who excited great wonder by extraordinary ftrength. Inftances of this have beenf already collected; but they do not belong to my prefent fubject *. I can, however, prove that, above fifo teen hundred years ago, there were people who, by applying a knowledge of the mechanical powers to their bodies, performed feats which aftonif:ed every ignorant fpectator; though it is certain that any found man of common ftrength could perform the fame by employing the like means. Of thefe one may fay with Celfus : Neque Hercule fcientiam pracipuam babent bi, fed audaciann ufu ifla confrimatam.

About the beginning of the prefent century fuch a ftrong man, or Sampfon, as he called him-

* Plin. vii. 20, p. 385 . Mrartial. v. 12. Suilas, fpeaking of Theogenes Thafius. Haller, Elcmenta physolog.iv. p. 486 ; of the edition in quarto.
felf, a native of Germany, travelled over almoft all Europe; and his pretended art has been mentioned by fo many writers, that we may conclude it had not been often exlibited before; and that it was then confidered as new *. His mame was Join Charles von Eckeberg; he was born at Harzgerode in Anhalt ; and, at that time, was thirty-three years of age. When he fixed himfelf between a couple of pofts, on any level? place, two or more horfes were not able to draw him from his pofition; he could break ropes afunder, and lift a man up on his knee while he lay extended on the ground. But what excited the greatef aftonifhment was, that he fuffered large ftones to be broke on his breaft with a hammer, or a fmith to forge iron on an anvil placed above it.

This laft feat was exhibited even in the third century, by Firmus or Firmius, who, in the time of Aurelian, endeavoured to make himfelf emperor in Egypt. He was a native of Seleucia in Syria; efpoufed the caure of Zenobia, the celebrated queen of Palmyra; and was at length executed publicly by order of the emperor Aurelian $\uparrow$. It is of this

* Breflauer Sammlung von natur- und kunft-gefchichten, is p. 82; iii. p. 882; v. p. 15 It; xxv. p. 333; and xx.sii. p. 320 : Hauber, Bibliotheca magica, part xxi. p. 5\% , where the art is illuftrated with figures.
$\dagger$ Algemeine welthitoric, xiii. p. 625.
Firmus,

Firmus, and not of another, who a century after was overcome in Africa by the father of the emperor Theodofius *, that Vopifcus fpeaks where he relates that he could fuffer iron to be forged on an anvil placed on his breaft. For this purpofe he lay on his back; but he put himfelf in fuch a pofition, by refting with his feet and fhoulders againft fome fupport, that his whole body formed an arch; fo that he feemed rather to be fufpended than to lie at full length i. This art, which is explained and illuftrated by Defaguliers ${ }_{\downarrow}^{\text {, }}$, and profeffor Kuhn $\|$, of Dantzic, has now be-

* Algemeine welthiftorie, xiv. p. 269.
$\dagger$ Vopifcus, Vita Firmi : Incudem fuperpofitam pectori contanter aliis tundentibus pertulit, cum ipfe reclivus ac refupinus et curvatus in manus penderet potius quam jaceret. The whole palfage will be better underflood, when one fees the figure in Defaguliers, tab, xix. fig. 5 , only that in manus occafions fome difficulty. I conjecture thạt Vopifcus wrote in arcumn, as Virgil Georg. ii. 448 fays: taxi curvantur in arcus. Defaguliers, p. 266, defcribes the pofition thus: The pretended Samplon puts his fhoulders (not his head, as he ufed to give out,) upon one chair, and his heels upon another (the chairs being made faft), and fupports one or two men flanding on his bellv, raifing them up and down as he breathes, making with his backbone, thighs and $\operatorname{leg} s$, an arch whofe abutments are the chairs. Seneca, in his treatife De Ira, ii. 12, fays of thefe people : Didicerunt ingentia vixque humanis toleranda v:ribus onera portare.
$\ddagger$ A courfe of experimental philofoply, London $17+5 \cdot 4$ to. :. p. 266 and $2 / 22$.

If Verfuche und abhandl. der Naturforch, Gefelfeh. in Danzig, i. p. $x_{5}$.
come fo common that it is often exhibited without occafioning much furprife.

In the works of the ancients, rope-dancers are frequent!y mentioned. The paffages where they occur have been collected by various authors, though never completely; and I am inclined to think that thofe who have feen many performers of this kind would be able to clear up fome that are obfcure. I have feen many myfelf; but I have forgot the greater part of what I obferved; and there are other reafons alfo which prevent me from undertaking that tafk: I dread the reproach of multum agendo nibil agis. That I may nor, however, pafs over this fubject entirely, I hhall prefent the reader with what follows*. We meet with various appellarions given to rope-dancers, which do not, as fome have imagined, point out different kinds, but allude only to new-invented arts, leaps, or dexterities, which, while recommended by novelty; were much wondered at,

[^102]though they were afterwards imitated by all. To thefe belong the fibsmobate, oriba'a, neurobast, petami:arii, finzmbuli, \&x. Some of the ancient rope-dancers fecon to have ufed a balancing pole, or, at keaft, to have bad weights in their hands to preferve an equipoife *. It is certain, alfo, that rope-dancers were not wanting in the middle ages. In the year 1237 they were very common in Italy $\dagger$; and in 1393 there were fome of them at Augfburg, who exhibited their dexterity on the rope, and received from each fpectator three Terman halfpence +。

* An epigram, afcribed to Petionius, which is not to Ee found in moft editions of that author, and which I fhall here eranferibe from that of Hadrianides, p. $; 42$, belongs to this fubject:

Stupea fuppofitis tenduntur vincula lignis, Qure fido afcendit docta juventa gradu.
Quæ fuper aërius pıætendit crura viator, Vixque avibus facili tramite currit homo. Brachia diftendens greffum per inane gubernat, Ne lap̧fa e gracili planta rudente caddt.
Dexdalus adiftruitur terras mutaffe volatu, Ex medium pennis profecuiffe dicm.
Prafenti exemplo firmatur fabula mendax, Ecce hominis curfum funis et aura ferunt.
A paflage of Niazianzenus, in nis Apolggia, alludes to the fame art alfo: Ut iis, qui in ligno alto ct fufpenfo ambulant, noor tutum ofl in hanc vel illam parten propendere vel leviter, fecunitatem sutem afiert iis xquale fibramentum. Acpanibo oेs zituls ; wo jojorro xatiratai.
$\dagger$ Muratori Antiquit. Ital. med. æri, ii. p. S45.
$\pm$ Von Stctten, Kunflgefchichte von Augfourg, ii. p. 177.

To place men upon the fhoulders of each other in fuch a manner that every row confifts of a man fewer, till they form a pyramid ending in a fingle perfon, upon whofe head a boy often ftands with his feet upwards, is likewife an ancient piece of dexterity. This exhibition is varied many ways; and, on that account, it is difficult to form even conjectures refpecting it, efpecially as the defcription given of it by a Roman poet is very unintelligible *.

I am, however, fill lefs acquainted with an art in which hoops and wheels were employed by the petaurifte, who excited great aftonifhment among the populace. The firft part of the art may have confifted in nothing more than the varied contortions and tumbling which we fill fee practifed by children trained for that purpofe. Cilano, explains a well-known paffage of Manilius, as if the performers had darted through fufpended iron hoops, made often red hot. Of this I entertain lefs doubt than how we ought ti) underitand the corpora jaitata petauro of Juvenal $\downarrow$; and the corpora

[^103]$\dagger$ Sat. xiv. $2 \epsilon_{5}$.
valido excuffa petauro of Manilius*, which many have attempted to explain already. At any rate this wheel was different from that upon which a female dancer, as mentioned by Xenophon, wrote and read while it turned round with great ves locity $\dagger$.

* Lib. v. 433.
† Sympofium, p. 65\%, edition of Bafle, 1555. fol. Eıғє申ррєто
 In the old edition of J. Ribittus, this paffage is thus tranfated: Allata eft faltatrici orbis faltatorius, in quo admiranda erat editura. The firft queftion that arifes is, what was $\tau \rho 0$ oos Tkv x\&pouetrav. The laft word alluded to a place at Athens where wreftling was exhibited every year ; and on that account Arifto-
 affords no explanation. Boulenger, who quotes the fame paffage, tranfates it in the following manner : Illata eft faltatrici figularis rota, per quam fe trajiceret, et miracula patraret. He means here therefore a potter's wheel, the invention of A nacharfis, but
 xspausixuv. But even allowing that a potter's wheel is meant, it is wrong to add per quan fe trajiceret; for the potter's wheel is not like a hoop, but like a plate or difh; and when turned round revolves not vertically but horizontally. Befides, how the performer could write or read on a wheel that the jumped through, he has not thought proper to explain. Tois emitais
 Scribere et legere in rota dum verfatur, mirabile quiddam eft. If a potter's wheel be meant, I confider it as certainly poffible for a perfon to ftand upon it whilf it revolves with the greateft' relocity, and even to read or write ; but it would be neceflary to lift up the legs, in turn, with the utmof quicknefs.

The art of exhibiting various feats of horfemanmip, which has been practifed fo much in modern times, feems to have come firft from the Eaf. At any rate, thofe performers in that way who, in the thirteenth century, were at the Byzantine court, and who travelled all over Europe, came from Egypt. They could ftand on the horfes when at a gallop; mount and difmount while on full fpeed at the chace; tumble on horfeback, and do many other things equally extraordinary ${ }^{*}$. At the end of the fixteenth century, an Italian, who had learned this art while a flave in Turkey, went about exhibiting his dexterity in various parts of

* Nicephorus Gregor. viii. 10. p. 215. This company of rope-dancers came from Egypt. They travelled through the greater part of Afia, and all Europe as far as the extremity of Spain. At Conflantinople they extended the ropes, on which they firft exhibited their art, between the malts of fhips. As the above book is not to be met with in every library, I would have inferted here the whole account, had it not been tranfcribed by Cilano, ii. p. 570. One is almoft induced to believe that ftupid fuperltition did not then prevail fo much in Europe as at the beginuing of the prefent century. The hiftorian fays, that the company at firft confifted of forty perfons; but that the half of them were caft away on their paffage to Conftantinople. He does not, however, tell us that they or their horfes were any where burnt as conjurors or poffefled with the devil. On the contrary, he adds: Quæ ab illis agebantur, erant illa quidem monfrofa et fane mirabilia; non tamen quicquam cum diabolicis præRigiis commune habebant ; fed erant fudia quædam dextri ingenii, longo tempore in hujufmodi rebus verfati. EтเTndeu $\mu x \tau \alpha$


Europe *. Montagne faw him at Rome in $158 \mathrm{r} \psi$; and the year following he was at Paris of thefe feats were performed by the ançient defultores $\S$.

Whether the ancients taught horfes, dogs, birds, and other animals, to perform various tricks which are frequently exhibited at prefent for money, I do not know; but it is certain that what they made the elephant, which, undoubtedly, is the mof fagacious and tractable of all animals, perform, exceeds every thing yet known of the kind. Witbout repeating what has been fo often related, I fhall only mention the elephant which walked upon a rope backwards and forwards, as well as up and down; and which Galba firft caufed to be thewn to the Roman people. After this, fo much confidence was placed in the dexterity of the animal, that a perfon fat on an elephant's back while he walked acrofs the theatre upon a rope extended

* Antholocgia Romana, iii. p. Ir 3 .
+ Sec the German tranflation of his Travels, ii, p. $23^{8 .}$
$\ddagger$ Journal du regne de Henry III. p. 57. It may be found in Recueil de diverfes pièces, fervant à llhifa. de Henry III. Cologne 1666.12 mo .
f The playing at ball on horfeback mentioned by Menrfus in his Gloffarium Graco-barbarum, Lugd. Bat. 16r4. 4to. p. 556 , from the works of Achmet, dues not belong to this fubject.
from the one fide to the other. Lipfins, who has collected the teftimonies, thinks they are fo Atrong that they cannot be doulted ${ }^{*}$.

The trainirg of horfes to obey a private figmal, imperceptible to the moft attentive fipectator, and to perform actions which appear, to thofe unacquainted with the art, to difplay rational faculties, I have never found mentioned in the works of the ancients. That the Sybarites, however, taught their horfes to dance to the found of mufic, is afferted by a variety of authors $\dagger$. In the fixteenth century, dogs trained in the like manner excited great wonder**

* Epifolarum felectarum centuría. Antverpize $8605.4 t \mathrm{c}$. i. epift. 50. p. 59. Plin. viii. 1 and 3. Seneca, cpiff. 86. Suetonit Vit. Galbre. Dio Cafjus. A great many alfo may be found collected in Hartenfels Elephantographia, Erfordise, 1755. 4to. It appears that in the thirteentlo century fome ventured to ride a horfe upon a rope. In the Chronicle Alberichi monachi TriumFontium, inferted by Leeibnitz in Acceffones hiforicia, vol. ii. we read the following paffage, where a defcription is given of the folemnities at the wedding of Robert, brother to the king of France, in the year 1237 : Minifelli in fpectaculo vanitatis multa fecerunt, ficut ille, qui in equo fuper cliordam in aere equitavit.
[Several inllances of the dexterity of the elephant may be found in Lipfii Lans Elephantis, inferted in Differtnt. Eudicrarum et amanitatum fcriptores varii, Lugd. 33at. 1638. Tr.ans.]
+ Eliani Hift.animal. xvi. 23.wi. 10. Athencus, lib. xii. Piinius. İuftutbius ad Diony. cle fitu orbis, 372. p. 52. edit. Stephani, $15 \% \%$ to.
* One inftance may be found in Theoplanis Cbronograpbia. $x_{4}$
which

In the year $\mathbf{1}$ 66, an Englifhman, named Wildman, made himfelf much known by taming or training bees, in fuch a manner that they not only followed him wherever he went, but fettled even on his face and hands withour ftinging him, and feemed as if obedient to his orders*. Some years after, a perfon who practifed the like art, travelled about through Germany, and gave himfelf out to be Wildman; but Mr. Riem proved that he was not Wildman, and publihed the fecret by which he acquired fo much power over thefe infects $\uparrow$. I which was printed at Paris 1655 , fol. and at Venice in 1729 : It occurred in the feventeenth year of the reign of Juftinian, or 543: Eodem anno planus ac circulator quidam, Andreas nomine, ex Italicis partibus adfuit, fulvum et orbum lumine circumducens canem, qui ab eo juflus, et ad cjus nutum, mira edebat \{pectacula. Is fiquidem in forum, magna populi circumftante caterva, prodiens annulos aureos, argenteos et ferreos, clam cane, a fpectatoribus depromebat, eofque in folo depofitos aggefta terra cooperiebat. Ad ejus deinde juflum fingulos tollebat canis, et unicuique fuum reddebat. Similiter diverforum imperatorum numifmata permixta et confufa, nomination et figillatim proferebat. Quinetiam, adflante virorum ac mulierum circulo, canis interrogatus mulieres uterum geftantes, fcortatores, adulteros, parcos et tenues, ac denique magnanimos, idque cum veritate, demonffrabat. Ex quo eum Pythonis fpiritu motum dicebant.

* Univerfal magazine, 1766, OCtober, p. 217. A trânlation may be feen in Neurs Bremenfche Nícgazin, 1767, ii. p. 217.
$\dagger$ Der entarvte Wildman, betrüger groffer höfe. Berlin 1774, 8vo. See allo Göttingijche Gelchate añcig. IT75, p. S16. The name of impofor given to Wildman was, however, too harf ; for I do not think that he who performs any thing extraordinary, never done by any one before, becomes an impoftor when another difcorers his art.
cannot fay whether the ancients were acquainted with this art ; but I thall here remark, that it was known in the kingdom of Galam, at Senegal, a hundred years before Wildman: for when Brue, a Frenchman, was there, in 1698 , he was vifited by a man who called himfelf the king of the bees. "Let his fecret," fays that traveller, "confift in what it may, this much is certain, that they followed him wherever he went, as fheep do their fhepherd. His whole body, and particularly his cap, was fo covered with them that they appeared like a fwarm juft fettled. When he departed they went along with him; for befides thofe on his body, he was furrounded by thoufands which always attended him *."

In modern times, perfons deftitute of arms and hands, or who have thefe limbs formed very imperfectly, but who poffefs the art of fupplying that want by the ufe of their feet and toes, fhew themfelves fometimes for money; and as they entertain the fpectators by exciting their wonder, they deferve from them that fupport which they are not able to obtain in any other manner. Inftances of fuch people who had acquired this art, have been

[^104]* The noft remarkable inftances of this kind are mentioned by Mofeati, in his treatife entilled Voms unlerfoliede zuvijchen der ftructur der thiere nund der menfochen, Gottingen 1777, 8vo. p. 10. See alfo Breflauer Sammlung zur uatur und kunf, i770. Febr. p. 200. Sauval in Hijtoire de Paris, ii. p. 544. Recueil fervant à l'hiff. de Henry III. p. 92. and Camerarii Horce Jubcijiva, cent. i. 37 . p. 170. iii. 80. p. 302.
[Several intances of the like kind may be found alfo in Mokfirorum bijecris memsorabilis a Joanne Georgio Sclenkio a Grafenberg filio, Francofurti 1609,4 to. p. 28 et feq. One of the moit cirrious is that of Thomas Schweicker, born at Halle, in Swabia, in the year 1586. The author gives the following account of him from Camerarius, who faw him not only write, but even make a pen with his fect: Mira eft providentia et follicitudo naturæ, quam creator omnium rerum ei tanquam optimæ matri attribuit. Ea enim in animalibus membris diftortis, vel mutilatis aut debilitatis, vel etiam omnino deficientibus, plerumque aliis membris, proter frum offecium ad quod deffinata funt, tale robur et dexteritatem ex diuturna confuetudine fuppeditat, ut dicere aliquis poffit, non in diftinctione membrorum fed in continno ufu perfectionem confiftere. Hac dere fepius cogitavi, cum effemus Con?burgi, apud vere nobilem et præeltantiffmum viruur D. Erafmum Neienftetteruni, is enim, cum nulla benignitatis erga nos præetermififfet officia, juffit accerfi ex vicinis falinis Suevicis Thomam Schweickerum, uatum triginta annos, et quiden honeftis parentibus. Quem licet mater fua abfque brachiis enisa fuiffet in lucem, omnia tamen munia manum, pedum fubfidio, ita exequebatur, it quod in uno defideraret, in altero fibi compenfatum effe, affirmare non erubefcerct.

Nam cum in editiore loco, qui xequaret altitudinem tabule, in qua efculenta alppofita erant, confedifiet, apprehenfo pedibus cul-
one. An Indian king, named Porus, fent to the emperor Augultus an embaffy with prefents, among which were fome rare animals, and a man without arms, who with his feet, however, could bend a bow ; difcharge arrows; and put a trumpet to his mouth and blow it. Dio Caffius confeffes that he did not know how this was poffible; but Strabo refers for his authority to Nicolaus of Damafcus, who faw all the prefents as they paffed through Antioch *. Had this deformed perfon, whom
tro, fcindebat panem, et alios cibos; pedes ea poftea, nec non et potum, veluti manus, ori porrigebant. Peracto prandio pedibus pingebat nobis omnibus videntibus, tam elegantes Latinas litteras ac Germanicas, ut exempla earum, quafi rem infolitam, nobifcum fumeremus. Poftulantibus etiam nobis, cultello parabat calamos ad frribendum aptiffimos, quos poftea nobis donabat. Cum effet ita occupatus, diligenter infpexi formam pedum, quorum digiti erant ita oblongi et ad res tenendas apti, ut procul afpicentibus (pallio enim fuo verecunde admodum crura tegebat) manus viderentur. Hoc fpectaculum fane jucundum, et ante non vifum nobis fuit. Juffus etiam fuerat, paulo ante, Cefarex majeftati, divo Maxiniliano II. cum Halam urbem ad comitia Spirenfia tranfiret, et Electoribus Palatinatus atque Saxonix, Ludovico et Augufto fc exhibere; quorum Majeftas et Celfitudo hanc nirandam naturie compenfationem, non abfque munificentia, et cum admiratione fpectavit. Trans.]

* Strabo, lib. xv. p. ro48. ed. Almel. Dio Caffius, lib. lix.

 Exprio. Porro adolefcens quidam brachiis carens (cujufmodi Hermx folent) qui, manuum loco, pedum omnia officio peragebat, iis arcum tendebat, fagittas emittebat, tuba canebat ; quod quomodo
whom Strabo compares to a Hermes, travelled about, according to the modern practice, as a hhow, he would have been better known, and in all probability his example would have induced others to imitate his art *, Manilius fays, however, that there were people, who, in playing at ball, could ufe their feet with as much dexteriy as their hands, who could catch the bail with them, and again throw it back ; but the poet, perhaps, did not allude to the fmall hand-ball, but to the large one which is ftruck with the fift, and which may be ftopped alfo by the foot. Befides, the paffage is read and explained different ways $\uparrow$.

Figures or puppets, which appear to move of themfelves, were employed formerly to work miracles; but they could hardly be ufed for that purpofe at prefent in any catholic country of Europe,
modo potuerit, equidem neโcio, ab aliis tamen tradita \{cribo. Suetonius, Eutropius, Eufebius, and Orofius, fpeak of this embaffy; but make no mention of the prefents.

* Non enim manus ipfæ hominum artes docuerunt, fed ratio ; manus autem ipfx funt artium organa. Galen De ufu partiums 1,3.
$\dagger$ Cafaubon reads the paffage in the following manner:
Ille potens curvo pede fundere concita pila, Ille pilam celeri fugientem prendere planta, Et pedibus penfare manus et ludere faltu, Perque totum vagas corpus difponere plantas.

Manilii Afrion. lib. v. 165.
though
though they ftill ferve to amufe the vulgar. Among there are the marionettes*, as they are called, the different parts of which are put in motion imperceptibly by a thread. Of a ftill more ingenious conftruction are thofe which are moved by the turning of a cylinder, as is the cafe in the machines with which fome of the old miners in Germany earn a livelihood; but the moft ingenious of all are thofe which are kept in continual movement for a certains time, by the help of wheels with a weight or fpring. The latter are called automata; and, when they reprefent human figures, androides. Under the former general name are comprehended our watches, the moft ufeful of all, and alfo jacks $\psi$, with many others.

* Frifch derives this word from morio a fool or buffoon.
+ This piece of kitchen furniture was known in the middle of the fixteenth century. Montagne faw one at Brixen, in Tyrol, in the year 1580 , and wrote a defcription of it in his Journal, as a new invention. He fays it confifted entirely of wheels; that it was kept in motion by a heavy piece of iron, as clocks are by a weight, and that when wound up, in the like manner, it turned the meat for a whole hour. He had before feen, in fome other place, another driven by fmoke. Reife, i. p. I55 and 249. The latter kind feem to be fomewhat older. Scappi, cook to pope Pius V, gave a figure of one, about the year 1570. His book Opera di M. Bartolomeo Scappi, cuoso Jecreto di Papa Pio V, is exceedingly fcarce. Sec Theoph. Sinceri Nacbrichten von alteri bücbern, i. p. 331; Scbeibens Gedanken aus der hiftorie, critik und Zitterat. Frankenthal an der Werra 1737, 8vo. i. p. 171 ; Merkwürdigkeiten der Drefdner bibliothek, i. p. 396. I lately faw a copy, which, inftead of eighteen, had twenty-four engravings.
others. The latter appellation is given to fmall puppets, which, when their inner works have been wound up, run upon the table or pavement, and as they advance move their head, eyes and hands. They have been exhibited fometimes under the name of courrante Margaretbe, which gave rife perhaps to the word marionette.

The proper marionettes are very old. They were common among the Greeks, and from them they were brought to the Romans. They were known by the name of neuroopafta, and were much ufed at their fhows. Aritotle fpeaks of rome which moved their head, eyes, hands and limbs in a very natural manner *. They are mentioned. with

This work was printed at Venice in 1570 , and twice afterwards at the fame place, viz. in 1571 and 1605 , in quarto. The third edition fays, con due aggiunte, cio i il Trinciante ct il Maeflro di cafa. Bayle feems to confound this book with that of Platina De bonefia voluptate, or to think that the latter was the real author of it. This however cannot be, as there were more than a hundred years between the periods when Scappi and Platina lived. Platina died in 1481, and not in 1581, as we read in Bayle. Scheiben alfo is in an error, when he tells us that Scappi was cook to Paul V : he fhould have written Pius V.


 Apuleius tranflates this paffage as fullows: 1lli, qui in ligneolis hominum figuris geflus movent, quando filum membri, quod agi-
with equal precifion by Galen *, Xenophon $\boldsymbol{t}$, Antoninus $\stackrel{\text {, }}{ }$, Horace $\|$, Gellius §, and others. To thefe belong the phalii, which were carried round during the feftivals of Ofiris and Bacchus, and of which one member only, that properly meant by the name, and which was almoft as large as the whole body, moved upon certain threads being pulled $\boldsymbol{T}$. Count
êari folet, traxerint, torquebitur cervix, nutabit caput, oculi vibrabunt, manus ad omne minifterium prefto erunt ; nec invenufte totus videbicur vivere.

* De ufu partium. At the end of the third book : 'Ooor $\delta \cdot a$ zivas

 quofdam funiculos lignea idola movent, ultra articulos, ad caput coli movendi eos applicant.
$\dagger$ Sympofium.
$\ddagger$ De feipfo, ii. 2. iii. 5. vi. 16. vii. 3. xii. g.
|| Sat. ii. 7, 32.
§ Lib. xiv. I ; where Oifelius in his notes has collected a great many paffages; but I doubt much whether the larea argentea fic aptata, ut articuli ejus vertclraque in omnem pariem laxarentur, in Petronius, chap. 34, belongs to thefe puppets. In my opinion, the author fpeaks of an artificial 介keleton, the different parts of which were moveable in every direction, I think allo, that a paffage of Aufonius, in the preface to his Cento muptialis, where he fpeaks of the osopucssay, cannot be employed to explain that of Petronius. Aufonius alludes to pieces of ivory cut into geometrical figures, which, for amufement, were put together fo as to reprefent various objects, and again feparated. Children, in the like manner at prefent, have boxes filled with fmall bits of wood which they join and form into houfes and other things.
© Herodot. ii. 48. p. 127. Feftum Baccho Regyptii celebrant, exceptis

Count Caylus has given an engraving of the body of a fmall puppet, made of ivory or bone; but he requires too much, when he defires us to confider that fragment, merely on his word, as a piece of Greck or Roman antiquity. He at leaft ought to' have informed us where it was found, and by what means he procured it. In regard to fuch articles, it is as eafy to deceive as to be led into an error; and objects of bone are certainly of no great dura= tion *.

The queftion concerning the antiquity of automata, properly fo called, which are moved by wheels, weights and fprings, I thall leave to thofe who have read the works of the ancient mathematicians; and who may be defirous of writing on the hiftory of mechanics. As far as I know, the ancients were not acquainted with the art of making them, unlefs fome propofitions of Cteffbius, mentioned by Vitruvius, allude to that fubject. When clocks were brought to perfection, fome artifts added to them figures, which, at the time of itriking, performed various movements; and as they fucceeded in
exceptis choris, fere per omnia eàdem Grecis. Sed loco phallo. rum funt $a b$ eis excogitatæ alixe ftatuæ circiter cubitales nervis mobiles, quas feminæ circumferunt per pagos, veretro nutante; quod non multo minus eft cretero corpore.-- Lucian. de Sjria Da,



* Recucil des antiquit. iv. p. 59.
thele,
thefe, fome attempted to make, detached from clocks, fingle figures, which either moved certain limbs, or advanced forward and ran. In the middle of the fixteenth century, when Hans Bullmann *, a padlock-maker at Nuremberg, conftructed figures of men and women which moved backwards and forwards by clock-work, and beat a drum, and played on the lute according to mufical time, they excited univerfal aftonifhment as a new invention. It was about the fame period that watches came into ufe. The accounts however which fpeak of much older automata deferve to be examined with more attention.

The moft ancient of all are undoubtedly the tripods conftructed by Vulcan $\psi$, which being furnifhed with wheels advanced forwards to be ufed, and again returned to their places. But what was impoffible to the gods of Homer? An unbeliever might conjecture that thefe tripods, which are mentioned alfo by Ariftotle $\ddagger$, and

* Doppelmayr von Nürnberg. küntlern, p. 285 .
$\dagger$ Iliad. xviii. 373. Tripodas viginti fabricabatur qui ftarent ad parietem bene fundatre domus. Aureas autem ipfis rotas unis suique fundo fubdiderat, at fonte fua divinum ingrederentur coetum, ac rurfus domum redirent, mirabile vifu. It deferves to be remarked, that there were alfo fuch тpuro ofe $\alpha u \tau 0 \mu a \tau$ or at the banquct of Iarchas. See Philofrat, Opera, ed. Olearii, p. 117 and 240 .
$\ddagger$ Polit. i. 3 .
vol. III.
Y
whick
which perhaps were only a kind of fmall tables, or dumb-waiters, had wheels fo contrived, that they could be put in motion and driven to a diftance on the finalleft impulfe, like the fire-pans in our country beer-houfes, at which the boors light their pipes.

That Dædalus made ftatues, which could not only walk, but which it was neceffary to tie, in order that they might not move, is related by Plato *, Ariftotle, and others. The latter fpeaks of a wooden Venus, and remarks, that the fecret of its motion confifted in quickfilver having been poured into it + . What the author here means I cannot comprehend; but I do not imagine that this Venus threw itfelf topfy-turvy backwards, like the Chinefe puppets. However this may be, it is aftonifhing that the Chinefe fhould have fallen upon the invention of giving motion to puppets by means of quickfilver, and in fo ingenious a manner that Mufchenbroek \$ thought it worth his while to defcribe their whole conftruction, and to illuftrate it by figures. But before this method was known in Europe, Kircher had an idea of putting

* In his Mrnon, p. 426 . Eutyphron, p. S and II. cdition of Francfort 1602, fol.
 s\%жravтa a.f\%vo\% xitc\%. Theophraft. De lapid. and Alexand.

$\ddagger$ Introduct. in philofoph. natur. i. p. 343.
a fmall waggon in motion by adding to it a pipe filled with quickfilver, and heating it with a candle placed below it *. The account of Ariftotle is more myfterious, for he does not inform us how the quickfilver acted.

Califtratus, another writer, who was the tutor of Demofthenes, gives us to underftand that the flatues of. Dædalus were made to move by the mechanical powers $\dagger$. But what has been afferted by Palæphatus $\ddagger$, and by Gedoyn II, Banier §, Goguet $\bar{F}$, and others among the moderns, is moft probable. The firft fatues of the Greeks were imitations of thofe of the Egyptians, for the moft part clumfy figures, with their eyes thur, their arms hanging down clofe to the body on each fide, and their feet joined together. Thofe made by Dædalus had their eyes open, as well as their feet

* Phyfologia Kircheriana. Amfelodami 1680, fol. p. 69.
+ Califtrati Ecphrafis feu ftatux, in Pbiloftrati Opera, ed.


 erat, que fidem fere fuperarent, machinis quibufdam mobilia opera, utque artis vi æs adactum fuerit ad fenfus humani fpeciem prebendam.
$\ddagger$ De Incredib.cap. 22.
|| In Mémoires de l'Academ. des Infcript, xiii. p. 374, and thence tranflated into the Hamburgijch. magazin, vii. p. 476.
§ Götterlehre, iv. p. $44^{8}$.
* Urfprung der gefetze und künftc, ii. p. 198.
and hands free; and the artift gave them fuch a pofture, that they feemed either reclining, or appeared as if ready to walk or to run. As Anacreon *, fruck with wonder, exclaimed when he faw a waxen image of his beloved object, "Begone, wax, thou wilt foon Speak !" the aftonifhed Greeks, in like manner, cried out, when they beheld the ftatues of Dædalus, "They will foon walk." The next generation affirmed that thery really walked; and their pofterity, adding ftill to what was told them, affirmed that they would have run had they not been bound.

Equally imperfect is the account given of the wooden pigeon conftructed by Archytas of Tarentum. We are informed that it flew; but when it had once fettled, it could not again take flight. The latter is not incredible; but even if we allow that aëroftaric machines were then known, it is impoffible to believe the former. At prefent one cannot determine with any probability, what piece of mechanifm gave rife to this relation $\dagger$. The head of Albertus Magnus, which is faid not only to have moved but to have fpoken, is too little known for any opinion to be formed concerning it. The con-


+ Aulus Gcllius, X. J2. Profeffor Schmid of Hehnftadt treats particularly on this dove, in a differtation De Archyta, printed at Jena in 1683 , which I have never had an opportunity of feeing.
ftruction
ftruction of it mult have been very ingenious and complex, if it be true that he was employed upon it thirty years *.

In the fourteenth and following centuries, automata, as I have faid, were frequently made. Among thefe was the iron fly of John Muller, or Molitor, or, as he is fometimes called, Regiomontanus, which is faid to bave flown about; and his artificial eagle, which flew to meet the emperor Maximilian on his arrival at Nuremberg, June the 7 th 1470 . None of the cotemporary writers however, though they often fpeak of this very learned man, make the leaft mention of thefe pieces of mechanifm; and it is probable that the whole tale originated from Peter Ramus i., who never was at Nuremberg till the year 157 I . J. W. Baier + endeavours to prove that the above-mentioned fly, moved by wheelwork, leaped about upon a table; and that the eagle perched upon the town-gate, Itretched out its wings on the emperor's approach, and faluted

* See Naude's Apology, Bayle's Dikionary, \&ic. Thomas Aquinas is faid to have been fo frightened when he faw this head, that he broke it to pieces, and Albert thereupon exclaimed: Periil opus triginta amnorum!
+ Schol. mathemat. lib. ii. p. $6 j$.
$\pm$ Diflertat. de Regiomontani aquila et mufa ferrea. Altorf 1ヶ00. See Memoires de Trevoux 1ヶ10, Juillet, p. 1283. I have never read them. Doppelmayr, p. 23. Fabricii Biblioth. med. etat. iv. P. $355^{\circ}$ Heilbronner Hife. math, Iniplie 1742, 4 to. D. 50.4.
him by an inclination of its body. We know that Charles V, after his abdication, amufed himfelf during the latter period of his life with autumata of various kinds *.

The moft ingenious, or at leaft the mof celebrated automata, were thofe made by Vaucanfon, which he exhibited publicly at Paris, for the firft time in $173^{8}$. One of them, which reprefented a flute-player fitting, performed twelve tunes, and, as we are affured, by wind iffuing from its mouth into a German-flute, the holes of which it opened and fhut with its fingers. The fecond was a ftanding figure, which in the like manner played on the Provençal fhepherd's pipe, held in its left hand, and with the right beat upon a drum, or tambour de Bafque. The third was a duck, of the natural fize, which moved its wings, exhibited all the geftures of that animal, quacked like a duck, drank water, ate corn, and then, after a little time, let

[^105]drop behind it fomething that refembled the excrement of a duck *. Thefe pieces mult have becn often imitated. I faw fome of the like kind in the year 1764, at the palace of Zarfko-Selo, near Pcteriburg, and was told that they liad been purchafed from Vaucanfon. As far as I can remember, the tambourin was damaged. I faw there alfo a regiment of foldiers, which went through their exercife, moved by wheel-work ${ }^{\text {w }}$.

In the year $175^{2}$, one Du Moulin, a filverfmith, travelled about through Germany, with automata like thofe of Vaucanfon. In 1754, he wifhed to difpofe of them to the markgrave of Bayreuth ; bur he was obliged to pawn them in Nuremberg, at the houfe of Pfluger, who offered to fell them for 3000 florins, the fum lent upon them. They were afterwards purchafed by counfellor Beireis, at Helmftadt, who was fo kind as to fhew them to me. It is much to be regretted that the machinery

[^106]of them is greatly deranged; the flute-player emits only fome very faint tones; but the duck eats, drinks, and moves ftill. The ribs, which are of wire, had been covered with duck's feathers, fo as to imitate nature ; and as thefe are now loft, one can fee better the interior conftruction; refpecting which I fhall only obferve, that the motion is communicated by means of a cylinder and fine chains, like that of a watch, all proceeding through the feet of the duck, which are of the ufual fize. Ni. colai * fays, that Du Moulin came to Peterfburg in 7755 , and died at Mofkow in 1765. It is probable that he made the automata which I faw in Rufia. Thofe which he left behind him at Nuremberg feem either not to have been completed, or to have been defignedly fpoiled by him; for they appeared to have defects which could not be afcribed to any accident. Mr. Beireis however has begun to caufe them to be repaired.

Of all thefe automata, the duck I confefs appeared to me the moft ingenious; but I can prove, that like pieces of mechanifm were made before the time of Vaucanfon. We are told by Labat $t$, that the French general De Gennes, who, about the year +688 , defended the colony of St. Chrif-

* Nicolai Reife, i. p. 287.
+ Nouvenu voyarge aux ifles de l'Amerique. A la Haye 1724, 2 vol. 4 to. ii. p. $=98$ and 384 . From his county he was called Count de Gennes.
topher againft the Englifh, conftricted a peacock which could walk about, pick up from the ground corn thrown before it, digeft it, according to appearance, and afterwards drop fomething that refembled excrement. This man was of an ancient noble family in Brittany, which had however been fo reduced, that the father carried on a handy-craft. The fon became acquainted with the marquis de Vivonne, who, on account of his promifing talents, bred him to the fea. He rofe to be commander of a veffel, conducted a fquadron to the Straits of Magellan, where it was intended to form a colony, and obtained in Cayenne a tract of land, which he got erected into a county, under the name of Oyac. He invented machines of various kinds ufeful in navigation and gunnery, and, as we are told, con. ftructed clocks that moved without weights or fprings.

The flute-player alfo of Vaucanfon was not the firft of its kind. In the beginning of the fixteenth century, the anonymous author of that well-known poem Zodiacus Vite faw at Rome a figure made in the like manner by a potter. It is much to be regretted that no account is given of its conftruction.

Vidi ço dum Romx, decimo regnante Lcone, Effem, opus a figulo factum, juvenifque figuram, Ifflantem angufo validum ventum oris hiatu **

[^107]1 hall here beg leave to fay a few words refpecting an object of juggling, which, however old it may be, fill excites aftonifhment, and has ofien impofed upon the credulity of men of learning *. I mean thofe fpeaking machines, which, according to appearance, anfwer various queftions propofed 10 them, fometimes in different languages, fing, and even blow a huntfman's horn. The figure, or only a head, is often placed upon a box, the forepart of which, for the better deception, is filled with a pair of bellows, a founding-board, cylinder and pipes, fuppofed to reprefent the organs of fpeech. At other times the machine is only like a peruke-maker's block, hung round with a Turkifh drefs, furnifhed with a pair of arms, and placed before a table, and fometimes the puppet itands upon the table, or againlt a wall. The founds are heard through a fpeaking-trumpet, which the figure holds in its mourh.

Many jugglers are fo impudent as to affert, that the voice does not proceed from a man, but is produced by machinery, in the fame manner as the mufic of an organ. Some, like the laft whom I faw, are more modett or timorous, and give

* See the Erlangifche Realzeitung $\mathbf{1}_{7}$ S8, part 53; or a fmall ircatife $U_{e} b e r H . D$. ALulier's redende mafoline, ulid :̈ber redende majchinin überbaupt. Nurnberg $17^{8}$, 8 vo. I am acquainted with the latier only by the Algem. Tcu:fches billioth. vol. lxaxxii. p. 473. The fpcaking figure and the automaton chefs-player expofed and detected. London $1 ; 34,8 \mathrm{vo}$,
evafive anfwers to the queftions afked them refpecting the caufe of the voice, with as much art as thofe who exhibit with balls and cups. Concerning thefe fpeaking machines, however, difa ferent opinions are entertained. Some affirm that the voice ifines from the machine; others, that the juggler anfwers himfelf, by feaking as ventriloquifts do, from the lower part of his belly, or by having the power to alter his voice; and fome believe that the anfiwers are given by a man fomewhere concealed. The violence with which there opinions are maintained expofes the juggler often to the danger of lofing his life; for, when the illufion is detected, the populace, who in part - fuffer themfelves willingly to be deceived, and who even pay the juggler for his deception, imagine that they have a right to avenge themfelves for being impofed on. The machines are fometimes broken; and the owners of them are harhly treated as impoftors, For my part, I do not fee why a juggler, with a fpeaking machine, is a more culpable impoftor than he who pretends to brearhe out flames and to fwallow boiling oil, or to make puppets fpeak, as in the Chinefe thadows. The fpectators pay for the pleafure which they receive from a well-concealed deception, and with greater fatisfaction the more difficule it is for them to difcover it. But the perfon who fpeaks or fings through a pupper, is fo well ficid, that people of confidcrable penetration have imagined
imagined that fuch concealment was impofible. At prefent this art is well known.

Either a child or a woman is concealed in the juggler's box ; or fome perfon, placed in a neighbouring apartment, fpeaks into the end of a pipe which proceeds through the wall to the puppet, and which conveys the anfivers to the fpectators. The juggler gives every neceffary affiftance to the perfon by figns previoufly agreed on. I was once thewn, in company with Mr. Stock, upon promifing fecrecy, the affiftant in another apartment, itanding before the pipe, with a card in his hand on which the figns were marked; and he had been brought into the houfe fo privately that the e landlady was ignorant of the circumftance. The juggler, however, acknowledged that he did not exhibit without fear; and that he would not veneure to ftay long at a place like Gottingen, or to return with his Turks, though the populace were fo civil as to permit him to depart peaceably with what he had gained.

The invention of canling fatues to fipeak, by this method, feems fo fimple that one can fcarcely help conjecturing that it was employed in the carlieft periods to fupport fupertition; and many have imagined that the greater part of the oracles foroke in the fame manner*. This, howerer, is

[^108]falfe, as has been proved by the Jefuit Balcus, and the author of an anfwer to Fontenelle's Hiftory of oracles *. It appears that the pagan priefts, like our jugglers, were afraid thatt their deceptions, if long practifed, might be difcovered. They confidered it, therefore, as more fecure to deliver the anfwers themfelves; or caufe them to be delivered by women inftructed for that purpofe, or by writing, or by any other means. We read, neverthe. lefs, that idols $\psi$ and the images of faints once fpoke; for at prefent the latter will not venture to open their mouths. If their votaries ever really heard a voice proceed from the fatue, it may have been produced in the before-mentioned manner +

Whether the head of Orpheus fpoke in the ifland of Lefbos, or, what is more probable, the anfwers were conveyed to it by the priefts, as was the cafe with the tripod at Delphi, cannot with certainty be determined, That the impoftor Alexander, however, caufed his 压culapius to fpeak

* Réponfe à l’Hiftoire des Oracles de M. de Fontenelle. The author of this work has not difclofed his name.
$\dagger$ A few inflances are related by Livy, Valerius Maximass, and Plutarch. Among the fables of the Chriftian church they are more numerous.
$\ddagger$ The paffages relating to this fubjee I have already quote: ${ }^{\text {d, }}$ in a note to Antigoni Caryfii Hijor. mirabil. p. so.
in this manner, is exprefsly related by Lucian*。 He took, fays that author, inftead of a pipe, the gullet of a crane, and tranfmitted the voice through it to the mouth of the ftatuc. In the fourth century, when bifhop Theophilus broke to pieces the ftatues at Alexandria, he found fome which were hollow, and placed in fuch a manner. againft a wall that a prieft could flip unperceived behind them, and fpeak to the ignorant populace through their mouths ip. I am acquainted with a paffage which feems to imply that Caffiodorus, who, it is well known, conftructed various pieces of mechanifm, made alfo fpeaking machines; but I muft confefs that I do not think I underfand. the words perfectly

That people ventured more than a hundred years ago to exhibit fpeaking machines for money, has been proved by Reitz in his annotations to Lucian, where he produces the inftance of one Thomas Irfon, an Englifman, whom he himfelf knew, and whofe art excited much won-

* Vol. v. p. 90, according to the edition of Deux-Ponts.
 ad fimilitudinem humani fabricatum, tranfmifis, alio quodam cestra inclamante, ad interrogat: refpondit, voce per linteum il-lum Fefrrlapium accidente.
+ Theodoreti Hiftor. ccelef. v. $22, p_{0}=28$.
$\ddagger$ Caffiodori Variar. i. co. 45 .
der in king Charles II. and his whole court. When the aftonilhment, however, became general, one of the pages difcovered, in the adjoining chamber, a popifh prieft who anfwered, in the fame language, through a pipe, the queftions propofed to the wooden head by whifpering into its ear. This deception Irfon often related himfelf.

I thall now add only a few obfervations refpecting the Chinefe fhadows, which I have occafionally mentioned before. This ingenious amufement confitts in moving, by pegs fattened to them, fmall figures cut of pafteboard, the joints of which are all pliable, behind a piece of fine painted gauze placed before an opening in a curtain, in fuch a manner as to exhibit various fcenes, according to pleafure; while the opening covered with gauze is illuminated, towards the aparment where the fpectators fit, by means of light reflected back from a mirror; fo that the fhadows of the pegs are concealed. When it is requifite to caufe a figure to perform a variety of movements, it is neceffary to have feveral perfons, who mult be exceedingly expert. When a fnake is to be reprefented gliding, the figure, which confifts of delicate rings, muft be directed, at leaft, by three affifants *.

[^109]This amufement, which one can hardly fee the firft time without pleafure, is really a Chincee invention. Many years ago, I have feen Chinefe boxes on which fuch moveable figures were apparent only when the box was held againft the light. In China, thefe fladows are ufed at the well known feaft of lanterns; and a defcription of them may be found in the works of fome travellers *. That they were common alfo in Egypt, we are informed by Profper Alpinus $\dagger$, who admired them much; but he was not able to difcover the method by which they were produced, as it was kept a fecret. I was told by an Italian, who exhibited them at Gottingen, fome years ago, that they were firf imitated, from the Chinefe, at Bologna.

## * Algemeine hiftorie der reifen, vi. p. ${ }_{7}{ }^{8}$.

+ Sunt qui intra feenam ex tenuiffimis linteis paratam latitantes, quadam mirabili arte ex umbris in fcena productis, fa, ciunt apparere perfonas varias recitantes, cujufque fexus et æetatis, atque animalia itidem cujufcunque generis, prout ad hiftoria reprefentationem eft opus. - . - Si noftri comici hanc artem callerent, ut quie volunt, per umbras reprafentare poffent, quam admirabiles comocdias facerent, admirabiliaque in fuarum comocdiarum interfeniiis quam minimo fumtu reprefentare poffent nimirum per umbras fingentes homines et animalia, domos, arbores, flumina, fontes et quecunque illis placuerint. Hi:Roris A.gypi natural. Lugduni Bat. 1735. 4to. p. 60.


## C A M E L.

IN the Zuyder-Zee, oppofite to the mouth of the river $Y$, about fix miles from the city of Amfterdam, there are two fand-banks, between which is a paffage called the Pampus. This paffage is fufficiently deep for fmall Mips; but not for thofe which are large and heavily laden. On this account veffels, which are outward-bound, take in before the city only a fmall part of their cargo. They receive the reft when they have got through the Pampus; and thofe which are home-ward-bound muft, in a great meafure, unload before they enter it. For this reafon the goods are put into fmall veffels called lighters; and in thefe conveyed to the warehoufes of the merchants at the city; and the large veffels are then made faft to boats *, by means of ropes, and in that manner towed through the paffage to their ftations.

Though meafures were adopted, fo early as the middle of the fixteenth century, by forbidding ballaft to be thrown into the Pampus, to prevent

* Thefe veffels are called watcr-cchepen; and, if I remember right, are thofe in which frefh water is conveyed to Amiterdam.
the farther accumulation of fand in this paffage *, that inconvenience increafed fo much, from other caufes, as to occafion fill greater opftruction to trade; and it, at length, became impoffible for fhips of war and others heavily laden to get through it. About the year 1672 , no other remedy was known than that of making faft to the bottoms of Chips large chefts, filled with water, which was afterwards pumped out, fo that the fhips were buoyed up, and rendered fufficiently light to pafs the fhallow. By this method, which was attended with the utmoft difficulty, the Dutch carried out their numerous fleet to fea in the above-mentioned year + . This plan, however, gave rife foon after to the invention of the camel, by which the labour was rendered mucls eafier.

The caniel confifts of two half fhips, built in fuch a manner that they can be applied, below water, on each fide of the hull of a large veffel. On the deck of each part of the camel there are a great many horizontal windlaffes; from which ropes proceed, through openings in the one half, and, being carried under the keel of the veffel, enter like openings in the other, from which they

[^110]afe conveyed to the windlaffes on its deck. When they are to be ufed, as much water as may be neceffary is fuffered to run into them; all the ropes are caft loofe ; the veffel is conducted between them; and large beams are placed horizontally through the port-holes, with their ends refting on the camel on each fide. When the ropes are made faft, fo that the fhip is fecured between the two parts of the camel, the water is pumped from it; and it then rifes, and raifes the fhip along with it. Each half of the camel is generally a hundred and twenty-feven feet in length; the breadth, at the one end is twenty-two feet; and at the other thirteen. The hold is divided into feveral compartments, that it may be kept in equipoife while the water is flowing into it. An Ealt India Chip, that draws fifteen feet of water, can, by the help of this machine, be made to draw only eleven; and the heavieft fhips of war, of ninety or a hundred guns, can be fo much lightened as to pafs, without obftruction, all the fand-banks of the ZuyderZee *.

* A complete technical defcription of the camel with a proper figure I have never yet met with. The beft figures, which I know, may be found in the following works: Nieque Hollandfe Scheeps-bouru - - door Carel. Allard, Amiterdam 1705. 4to. ii. p. 8. tab. 5. L'Axt de batir les raaifeaux. Amfterdam 1719. 4to. ii, p. 93. Encyclopédie, Paris edition, iii. p. 67. Planches, fixième livraijon, art. Marine, tab. v. fig. 2. Leupold's Theatrunn machinarum, p. 96, tab. 24.

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{2} \quad \text { Leupold }
$$

Leupold fays, that the camel was invented by one Cornelius Meyer; and the fame account is given by a writer in the German Cyclupædia *. This Meyer was a Dutch engineer; and towards the end of the laft century was invited to Rome by the Apoftolic Chamber, to clean the Tyber and render it navigable $\uparrow$. Some of his plans were carried into execution; but the moft important and greater part of them were never adopted ; chiefly through the jealoufy of the Italians. In order to do himfelf juftice, and prevent others from claiming his inventions, he publifhed an account of them, in a work ornamented with many beautiful copper-plates ${ }_{木}$. In this work a method is propofed for carrying large fhips over thallows; which has a great refemblance to that in which the camel is employed; for the author fays, that a veffel muft be conftructed in fuch a manner as to embrace the hull of the fhip, like a cafe ; and that when placed under the fhip it will raife it up $\|$. But though this machine or care, as Meyer

* Vol. iv. p. 815 .
$\dagger$ Keyfler's Reife, i. p. 623 . Volkmann, Nachrichten von Italicn, ii. p. 5 52.
$\ddagger$ L'Arte di reftituire a Roma la tralafciata navigazione del fuo Tevere-Dell' Ingegnic:o Cornelio Mcyer, Olandefe. In Koma 1683. fol.
|| As the book is fcarce I thall here infert the defcription, though it refers to a figure which 1 cannot add. Con occafione, che mi è convenuto parlare delli foltegni hò voluto toccare di paf-

Meyer himfelf calls it, is founded on the fame principles as thofe on which the camel is con-
fagio, ch'effi fono fervibili a moltri altri ufi, et in fpecie quando fi trovano nelli canali, ò nel mare feccli, ò fcanni d'arena coperti da cofi poca acqua, che le navi non poffono pafare fopia di effi ne profeguiré il loro viaggio. Occorrendo dunque provedere à fimili incontro, accio le navi non haveffero da trattenerfi con le merci, e d'afpettare finche viene qualche crefcente d'acqua, pottrebono farfi nel fudetto foltegno alcune viti fermati dentro le mura di effo, e tenere in pronto una fcafa fatta in forma di caffa ò fodera d'una nave, la quale fi pone fotto alle fudette viti, e mediante quefle fi manda tanto fott' acqua, che la даve puole effere tirata in effa fcafa, e rallentate poi dette viti, verrà la medema nave ad alzarfi fopra acqua, in modo che fe prima haveva di bifogno per navigare otto ò dieci palmi d'acqua, le baftaranno cinque, ò fei. Conciofiache fe un pefo exempli gratia di cento mila libre manda fott'acqua il corpo d'una nave da otto in dieci palmi, aggiunto poi à quefta nave il corpo d'una fcafa, che poffa portare altretanto pefo fegue neceffariam.ente, ch'effa nave pefcarà affai meno acqua perche viene foftenuta da un altro corpo, che ricercarebbe altretanto pefo. Il che firende anche più iutelligibile con la feguente confiderazione : fupponiamo, che una nave carica di quattro cento mila libre vadi fotto acqua palmi dieci, fi che polte nella medema nave due cento mila libre folamente, refta indubitato, ch'effa nave andarà folamente fott' acqua palmi cinque, perche non porta, che la meta delli fudette libre quattro cento mila; et il medefimo opera la fudetta fcafa pofta fotto ad una nave perche foftenta quella con potenza tale, come fe fuffe mezza carica, con che credo d'haver à fofficienza dimoftrato il modo di poter navigare fopra i luoghi coperti da poc'acqua, per effere quefta propofitione facile d'effere concepita da ogn'uno, e maffime da chi hà pratica delle materie di quefte genere.
ftructed, it is different, as it confifts of one piece, and can be placed under a thip only in a dock, by the help of a number of fcrews. The author does not fay that it is provided with purmps; and it mult indeed be acknowledged that this method would require much more expenfive apparatus than the camel, and muft be lefs extenfive in its ufe. We do not find, therefore, that it was ever tried or employed. On the contrary, Meyer's account feems to prove that, at the time when he wrote, that is, a little before the year 1683 , the camel was not invented; for had it been known he would certainly have mentioned it.

The Dutch writers, almoft unanimounly, afcribe the invention of the camel, with more probability, to a citizen of Amfterdam, who calls himfelf Meeuves Meinderṭzoon Bakker, Some make the year of the invention to have been 1689 , and others 16 go , We are atfured, on the teftimony of Bakker, written in $169^{2}$, and ftill preferved, that, in the month of June, when the water was at its ufual height, he conveyed, in the fpace of twenty-four hours, by the help of the camel, a Thip of war, called the Maagt van Enkbuyyen, which was a hundred and fifty-fix feet in length, from Enkuy en -booft to a place where there was fufficient depth; and that this could have peen done much foonẹ had not a perfect calm prevailed
prevailed at the time \%. In the year 1693 he raifed a thip, called the Unie, fix feet by the help of this machine, and conducted her to a place of fafery.

At later periods, this Dutch invention has been employed in other countries. Ships built in the Newa cannot be conveyed to the harbour, on account of the fand-banks formed by the current. On one of thefe a trading veffel from Lubec, in which I was a paffenger, ran aground in the year 1763. To carry fhips over thefe fhoals camels are ufed by the Ruffians; and they have them of various fizes. Bernoulli \& faw one, each half of which was two hundred and feventeen feet in length, and thirty-fix in breadth. Camels are ufed likewife at Venice $\$$.

But, however beneficial this invention may be, we bave reafon to fuppofe that fuch heavy veffels as thips of war cannot be raifed up, in fo violent a manner, without fuftaining injury. A fure proof of this is, the well-known circumftance, that the ports of a fhip, which had been raifed by the camel, were fo much ftrained that they could not be chut clofely afterwards $\|$.

* De Koophandel van Amfterdam, i. p. 14-16.
† Bernoulli Reifen durch Brandenburg, u. f. w. v. p. 23.
$\ddagger$ See Wright's Travels, in the tranflation of Blainville's, iv. p. 68.
|| Mufchenbrock, Introductio ad philofophiam natur. ii. p. $5^{2 \mathrm{I}}$.


## ARTIFICIAL ICE: COOLING LIQUORS.

THE art of preferving fnow, for cooling liquors during the fummer, in warm countries, was known in the earlieft ages. This practice is mentioned by Solomon *, and proofs of it are fo numerous in the works of the Greeks and the Romans, that it is unneceffary for me to quote them, efpecially as they have been collected by others 4 . How the repofitories for keeping it were conftructed, we are not exprefsly told; but what I know on the fubject I fhall here lay before the reader.

That the fnow was preferved in pits or trenches, is afferted by many ${ }^{\text {t. }}$. When Alexander the Great befieged the city of Petra, he caufed thirty trenches to be dug, and filled with fnow, which was covered with oak branches, and which kept in

[^111]that manner for a long time *. Plutarch fays, that a. covering of chaff and coarfe cloth is fufficient $\dagger$; and at prefent a like method is purfued in Porrugal. Where the fnow has been collected in a deep gulph, fome grafs or green fods, covered with dung from the fheep-pens, is thrown over it; and under thefe it is fo well preferved, that the whole fummer through it is fent the diftance of fixty Spanifh miles to Libon $\ddagger$.

When the ancients therefore wifhed to have cooling liquors, they either drank the melted fnow or put fome of it in their wine, or they placed jars filled with wine in the fnow, and fuffered it to cool

* Chares Mitylenxus, in fuis de Alexandro Hiftoriis qua induf. tria nix confervari debeat, expofuit, quo loco Petræ urbis Indorum obfidionem enarrat. Scribit enim, Alexandri juffu foffas triginta, parum inter fe diftantes, excavatas fuiffe, eafque nive impletas fuperinjectis quercus ramis ( $\delta p v o s ~ « \lambda \alpha \delta o u s$ ), ac nivem longo fic tempore perduraffe. Athenai Deipnof. iii. p. i24.
+ Sympor, vi. queft. 6. p. 6وr. Nivem paleis involventes et rudibus pannis per multum temporis integram retinent. A $\chi$ upss
 guftinus De civitate Dei, xxi. 4. p. 610: Quis palex dedit vel sam frigidam vim, ut obrutas nives fervet; vel tam fervidam, ut poma immatura maturet?
$\ddagger$ Memoires inftructifs pour un voyageur. Or the German tranflation Gegenvuärtiger flaat von England, Portugal und Spari。 Danzig 1755, 8vo. i. p. 205. How the fnow repofitories at Conftantinople are conftructed, is related by Bellon in his Obfervat. iii. 22.
there as long as they thought proper- It appears that in thefe trenches it could not remain long clean ; on the contrary, it was generally fo full of chaff, that the fnow-water was fomewhat coloured with it, and had a tafte of it, and for this reafon it was neceffary to ftrain either it or the wine that had been cooled by it *.

That ice alfo was preferved for the like purpofe, is probable from the teftimony of various authors $t$, but it appears not to have been ufed fo much in warm countries as in the northern. Even at prefent fnow is employed in Italy, Spain and Portugal; but in Perfia, ice $\ddagger$. I have never any where

* This circumftance will make a paffage of Seneca, Q Quaf. nat. iv. I 3, intelligible: Quid Lacedæmonii feciffent, fil vidiffent reponendx nivis officinas, et tot jumenta portandæ aquæ defervientia, cujus colorem faporemque paleis, quibus cuftodiunt, inquinant? The colum nivarium, or faccus nivarius, which occurs in feveral paffages that may be found in Bartholin and Latiin dictionaries, was ufed for the above purpofe. ..- The diffipated Heliogabalus caufed whole mounts of fnow to be heaped up in fummer in order to cool the air. See Lampridius, Vita Heliogab. cap. 23.
$\dagger$ Plin. xix. 4 : Hi nives, illi glaciem potant. Seneca : Nee nive contenti funt, fed glaciem, velut certior illi ex folido rigor fit, exquirunt, ac fæpe repetitis aquis diluunt. See the paffage before quoted.-Latinus Pacatus in Panegyr. Theodof: Delicati parum ie lautos putabant, nifi xftivam in gemmis capacibus glaciem Fa, lerna fregiffent.
$\ddagger$ De la Valle, Reifen, iii. p. 60, where the Perfian ice pits are defcribed, as well as in Voyages de Cbardin, iv. p. 195.
found an account of Grecian or Roman ice-houfes. By the writers on agriculture they are not mensioned ${ }^{*}$.

Mankind however foon conceived the idea of cooling water without fnow or ice, from having remarked that it became cold more fpeedily when it had been previoufly boiled, or at leaft warmed, and then put in a veffel among fnow, or in a place much expofed to the air. Pliny feems to give this as an invention of Nero ; ; and a jocular expreffion in Suetonius $\$$ makes it at any rate probable, that he was fond of water cooled by this method; but it appears to be much older. It feems to have been known even to Hippocrates $\|$;

[^112]at leaft Galen * believes fo. And-Aritotle \& was undoubtedly acquainted with it ; for he fays, that fome were accuftomed, when they wifhed water to become foon cold, to place it firft in the fun and fuffer it to grow warm. He relates alfo, that the fifhermen near the Black Sea poured boiling water over the reeds which they ufed in filhing on the ice to caufe them to freeze fooner. Galen + on this fubject

* In lib. vi. Hippocrat. de morbis rulgar. comment. 4, ic. p. 396.
$\dagger$ Meteorol. i. cap. 12 : Confert adhuc ad celeritatem congelationis et præcalefactam fuiffe aquam; citius enim infrigidatur. Quapropter multi, cum aquam infrigidare cito voluerint, ad folem ponunt primo. Et qui circa Pontum, cum in glacie habitaculum faciunt ad pifcium venationes (venantur enim intercidentes glaciem) aquam calidam arundinibus circumfundunt, propterea quod citius congelatur; utuntur enim glacie tanquam plumbo,
 iv «р $\varepsilon$; $\mu \sigma$ ov of $x a \lambda \alpha \mu 0$ : This paffage, like many others in the above curious work, deferves to be more accurately examined.
$\ddagger$ In the place before quoted. Porro in Alexandria totaque Egypto ipfos aquam in teftaceis quibuldam vafis hoc modo refrigerare confpexi. Occidente fole aquam prius calefactam in vafcula fundebant, deinde fublime totum hoc vas in feneftris vento adverfis, ut ibi per totam noctem refrigefceret, fufpendebant ; poftea ante folis ortum vas humi depofitum frigida aqua circumfundentes, frigida etiam folia toti vafi circumdabant, nomnunquan vitium, aut lactucx, nonnunquam vero et aliarum id genus herbarum, ṇt ea quam fub nocturno aere acquifiverat, diutius permaneret frigiditas.- A paffage allo in De compofit. medic. focundums locos, lib. ii. cap. 1. p. 256, alludes to the fame cuftom: Frigidusum fontium multa Romx ubertas eft, et nivis, quemadmodum
fubject is fill more precife. He informs us that the above practice was not fo much ufed in Italy and Greece, where fnow could be procured, as in Egypt and ocher warm countries, where neither fnow nor cool fprings were to be found. The water after it had been boiled was put into earthen veffels or jars, and expofed in the evening on the upper part of the houfe to the night air. In the morning thefe veffels were put into the earth, (perhaps in a pit) moiftened on the outfide with water, and then bound round with frefh or green plants, by which means the water could be preferved cool throughout the whole day. Athenæus*, who gives a like account from a book of Protagorides, remarks, that the pitchers filled with water, which had become warm by ftanding all day long in the fun, were kept continually wet du-
apud nos in Pergamo et in plurimis Afix Greciæque civitatibus. . At vero in calidis regionibus qualis eft たgyptus, in qua et fontium frigidorum et nivis penuria eft, neceffarium eft prefrigerato rofaceo per expofitionem fub divum per integram noctem et per obverfionem ad auræ alicujus aflatum, ita demum addere ei fempervivi fuccum.
* Interdiu aquam infolantes, et fub noctem quod craffifimum erat colo fecernentes, reliquum urnis fictilibus exceptum in excelfiffima ædium parte finunt, pueris duobus tota nocte urnas aqua irrigantibus ; diluculo vero urnis deorfum revectis, et fæce quz fidit, rurfum derracta, aqua fic extenuata, et ad tuendam ea ratione bonam valetudinem maxime idonea, urnas in paleis recondunt, et aquam fic purgatam bibunt, nive proffus nihil egentes. Deipnof. iii. p. 124.
ring
ring the night, by fervants deftined to that office, and in the morning were bound round with ftraw. In the ifland Cimolus *, water which had become warm in the day-time was put into earthen jars, and depofited in a cool cellar, where it grew as cold as fnow. It was generally believed therefore, that water which had been warmed or boiled, was fooneft cooled, as well as acquired a greater degree of refrigeration $\hat{\psi}$; and on this account boiled water - is mentioned fo often in the works of the ancients *。

The fame opinion prevails at prefent in the foutliern countries of Afra, and people there fill let their water boil before they expofe it to the air to cool $\|$. The experiments however which have been made on this fubject by philofophers, have proved very different in the refult. When one indeed places boiling and cold water, all other circumftances being equal, in frofty air, the latter will become ice before the former has cooled; but when one ex-

[^113]ARTIPICIALICE. COOLINGLIQUORS. 35Ḧ
pofes to the cold, water that has been boiled, and unboiled water of equal degrees of heat, it may then be expected that the former will be converted into ice fomewhat fooner.

Water by being boiled lofes a confiderable portion of its air, while that of unboiled water muft be difengaged before it can freeze, and by this its particles are kept in continual motion, which may retard its congelation. Boiled water however in cooling, imbibes air again, but for that purpofe feven or eight days are neceffary, according to the obfervations of Mariotte. One might therefore conjecture that the Indians are right.

The experiments, however, made by Mariotte *, Perrault $\dot{\psi}$, the Academy del Cimento $\ddagger$, Marian || and others, thewed no perceptible difference in the time of freezing, between boiled and unboiled water; but theformer produced ice harder and clearer: the latter ice more full of blifters. In later times, Dr. Black of Edinburgh has, from his experiments, afferted the contrary. Boiled water, he fays, becomes ice fooner than unboiled, if the latter be left at perfect reft; but if the latter be ftirred fometimes with a chocolate ftick, it is con.

[^114]verted into ice as foon as the former. This difference he explains in the following manner: Some motion promotes congelation; this arifes in the boiled water, through its re-imbibing air; and, therefore, it muft neceffarily freeze before the unboiled; provided the latter be kept at perfect yeft. Fahrenheit had before remarked that water not moved, would fhew a cold fome degrees below the freezing point, without becoming ice*.

Mr. Lichtenberg, with whom I converfed on thefe contradictory refults, affured me that he was not furprifed at this difference in the experiments. The time of congelation is regulated by circumftances, with which philofophers are not yet fufficiently acquainted. A certain, but not every degree of ftirring haftens it; fo that every icy particle which is formed on the fide of the veffel, or which falls from the atmofphere, may convert the water fufficiently cooled into ice inftantaneoufly; and fuch unavoidable accidents muft, where all other circumftances are equal, caure a great difference in the period of freezing. A variation, therefore, in the time may be well expected; both becaufe the boiling of river water expels the aerial acid; and becaule it produces alfo a kind of infpiffation, and becaure by both thefe effects being produced the water mult undergo fome change.

[^115]ARTIFICIALICE. COOLING LIQUORS. 353
I am inclined to think that the cooling of water; in ancient times, of which I have already fpoken, is not to be afcribed fo much to the boiling as to the jars being kept continually wet, and to the air to which it was expofed. A falfe opinion feems therefore to have prevailed refpecting the caufe; and becaufe it was confidered to be the boiling; many have not mentioned the real caufe, whicli appearëd to them only to afford a triffing affitance, though it hàs been remarked both by Galen arid Athenæus. We know at prefent that the heat decreafes by evaporation, or that coolnefs is prod duced. A thermometer kept wet in the open air, falls as long as evaporation continues. With æther of vitriol, and fill better with that of nitre, whicli evaporates very rapidly, one can in this manner bring water even in the middle of fummer to freeze; and Cavallo faw in fummer a Fahrenheit's thermometer, which ftood at $64^{\circ}$, fall in two minutes, by means of æcher, to +3 , that is to $29^{\circ}$ below the freezing point *.

On this principle depeñds the art of making artificial ice at Calcutta and other parts of India, between $25^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ and $23^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ of north latitude, where natural ice is never feen. Trenchestwo feet deep, dug in an open plain, are flrewed over with dry ftraw ; and in thefe are placed fmall fhatlow

[^116]yol. 111。
A a
unglazed
unglazed earthen pans, filled with water at funfet. The ice which is produced in them is carried away before funrife next morning, and conveyed to an ice-cellar fifteen feet deep; where it is carefully covered with ftraw to be preferved from the external heat and air. A great deal, in this procefs, depends upon the ftate of the atmofphere. When calm, pure, and ferene, it is moft favourable to the congelation ; but when the winds are variable, or the weather heavy and cloudy, no ice is formed; and the fame is often the cafe when the nights are raw and cold \%.

It was once believed that this freezing was occafioned principally by the water having been boiled; but it feems to be owing much rather to evaporation. It is not, however, faid that the veffels are kept continually wet on the outfide, but that they are unglazed, and fo porous or little burnt, that the water oozes through them ; and on that account their exterior furface appears always moitt + . By veffels of this kind the trouble

[^117] of wetting is faved. What has been faid refpecting the influence of the weather ferves, in fome meafure, to confirm my conjecture: The more it favours evaporation, the ice is not only formed more eaffly, but it is better ; and when evaporation is prevented by the wind or the weather, no ice is produced. The lateft accounts, how ice is made at Benares, fay exprefsly that boiled water is not employed; and that ali thofe veffels, the pores of which are ftopped by having been ufed, do not yield ice fo foon or fo good. In porcelain veffels none is produced; and this is the cafe alfo when the ftraw is wet \%

Another method of cooling water alfó feemst to have been known to Plutarch. It confifted in throwing into it fmall pebbles or plates of lead $\dot{\psi}$ 。 The autlior refers to the teftimony of Arifotle; but this circumftance I cannot find in the works

[^118]of that philofopher which have been preferved. It feems to be too unintelligible to admit of any opinion being formed upon it; and the explanation given by Plutarch conveys ftill lefs information than the propofition itfelf. This is the cafe, in general, with almoft all the propofitions of the ancients. We, indeed, learn from the queftions that they were acquainted with many phenomena; but the anfwers ficarcely ever repay the trouble which one mult employ in order to underitand them. They feldom contain any farther illuftration ; and never a true explanation.

It appears that the practice of cooling liquors, at the tables of the great, was not ufual in any country befides Italy and the neighbouring ftates, before the end of the fixteenth century. In the middle of that century there were no ice-cellars in France ; for when Bellon relates, in the Account of his travels, in 1553 , how fnow and ice were preferved at Conftantinople throughout the whole fummer, for the purpofe of cooling therbet, he affures us that the like method might be adopted by his countrymen ; becaufe he had found ice-cellars in countries warmer than France*. The word glaciere alfo is not to be met with in the oldent dictionaries; and it does not occur even in that of

[^119]Monct, printed in $1635^{*}$. Champier, the phyfician who attended Francis $T$, when he had a conference with the emperor Charles $V$ and pope Paul III at Nice, faw the Spaniards and Italians put fnow, which they caufed to be brought from the neighbouring mountains, into their wine in order to cool it. That practice, which excited his aftonithment, he declared to be unhealthful; and this proves that, in his time, it had not been introduced at the French court $中$.

Grand d'Auffy quotes an anecdote, related by Brantome, from which he forms the fame conclufion. The dauphin, fon of Francis I , being accuftomed to drink a great deal of water at table, even when he was overheated, Donna Agnes Bearrix Pacheco, one of the ladies of the court, by way of precaution fent to Portugal for earthen veffels, which would render the water cooler and more healthful; and from which all the water ufed at the court of Portugal was drunk. As there veffels are ftill ufed in Spain and Portugal, where the wine is cooled alfo with fnow, boch methods might have been followed in France. I have in my collection of curiofities, fragments of thefe

[^120]Portuguefe

Portuguefe veffels ; they are made of red bole; are not glazed, though they are fmooth, and have a faint glofs on the furface like the Etrufcan vafes. They are fo little burnt that one can eafily break them with the teeth; and the bits readily diffolve in the mouth. If water be poured into fuch veffels, it penetrates their fubftance; fo that, when in the lealt ftirred, many air-bubbles are produced ; and it at length oozes entirely through them *. The water

* Moft veffels of this kind in Portugal are made at Ellremos, in the province of Alentejo. The defcription given of them by Brantome is as follows: "Cette terre étoit tannée, fi fubtile et fl fine qu'on diroit proprement que c'eft une terre figillée ; et porte telle vertu, que quelque eau froide que rous y mettiez dedans, vous la verrez bouillis et faire de petits bouillons, comme fi elle eftoit fur le feu; et fi pourtant elle n'en perd fa froideur, mais l'entretient, et jamais l'eau ne fait mal à qui la boit, quelque chaud qu'il faffe, ou quelque exercice violent qu'il faffe." This clay feems to be the fame as that which the ladies in Spain and Portugal chew for the fake of its pleafant tafte, though to the prejudice of their health. They are fo fond of it that their confeffors make them abilain from the ufe of it fome days by way of penance for their tranfgreffions. Sce Madame D'Aunoi, Reife durch Spanich, ii. p. 92 and 109. Mémoires inffrulifs pour un voyageur, or the before-quoted tranflation, Gegenwärtiger ftaat ron England, Pprtugal, und Spanien, ii. p. 162, and Dr. Taube in Hannoverfches magazin, 1784 , part 75, p. 1 199. A veffel of the above kind is called bucaro and barro. In Diccionario Cafillzno, fu autor El. P. E.feb. de Terrecos y Pando, it is faid: Bucaro, poculum ex argilla odorifera confectum. In Diccion. de la lengua Cafellana, Madrid $178_{3}$, fol. it is added, that all thele veffels are brought to Spain from Portugal or India; Bucaro, vafo de water that has Itood in them acquires a tafle which many confider as agreeable ; and it is probable that it proceeds from the bark of the fir-tree, with which, as we read, they are burnt. When the veffels are new, they perform their fervice better; and they mult then alfo have a more pleafant fmell. If they really render water cold, or retain it cool, that effect, in my opinion, is to be afcribed to the evaporation. Their fimilarity to thofe in which the Indians make ice is very apparent.

Towards the end of the fixteenth century, under the reign of Henry III, the ufe of fnow muft have been well known at the French court, though it appears that it was confidered by the people as a mark of exceffive and effeminate luxury. In the vitty and fevere fatire on the voluptuous life of that fovereign and his favourites, known under the title of $L$ 'Ife des Hermapbrodites*, a work highly worthy of notice,
barro fino hecho de tierra olorofa que traen de Portugal y de las Indias. Sirven para beber agua - - Barro, lutum, argilla. Barro, valo de differentes figuras y tamā̃os hecho de tierra olorofa para beber agua. Llamafe tambien Bucaro. That fuch veffels, but of white clay, were made alfo in the iffand of Malta, is affirmed by Bartholin, in his Epifule medicinales, i. p. 224: In Melita ex terra alba fictiles urnas elegantes conficiunt, qua aquam confervant frigidam, etiam foli expofitam.

* This curious work contains fo much valuable information refpecting the French manners in the fixteenth century, that fome account of it may not prove unacceptable to my readers.
notice, but which is exceedingly fcarce, we find an order of the Hermaphrodites that large quantities

The edition which I have is entitled, Defcription de lifle des Hcrmaphrodites, nouvellement decouverte - - pour fervir de fupplement alu Journal de Henry III. A Cologne, chez les heritiers de Hermann Demen, $1726,35^{2}$ pages, 8 vo . In the library of our univerfity there is an edition of 1724 , which is entirely like the above. Marchand fays that the name of the place and the publifher are falfe; and that it ought to have been : a Bruxelles, chez Francois Foppens. The preface, to which there is no fignature, fays that the book was printed for the firt time in 1605 . In the firft editions neither date nor place is mentioned ; but one edition is dated 1612 . It appears to have been written in the reign of Henry IV, after the peace of Vervins, concluded in 1598 , which the author mentions in the beginning. Henry IV would not fuffer any enquiry to be made refpecting the author that he might be punifhed, becaufe, he faid, though he had taken great liberty in his writing, he had written truth. He is not therefore known. Some have conjectured that it was the production of Cardinal Perron, and others of Thomas Artus. But the former would not have chofen to lafl vices fuch as thofe mentioned in this fatire, with fo much wit and feverity; and the latter could not have done it. The one was too vicious, and the other too vehement. The cardinal mult have delineated his own picture ; and Artus have exceeded what he was capable of. The fame opinion refpecting Artus is entertained by Marchand. See the Gelelreren-Lexicon. The frontifpiece, which in many cditions is wanting, reprefents an effeminate voluptuary with a womanifn face, dreffed half in men's and half in women's clothing. Marchand fays the infeription is Les Hermaphordites. In the cditions with which I am acquainted it is, however, much nore cutting: Pars eft una patris, celera marris babet. This pentameter is taken from Martial, lib. xiv. ep. 174. The whole work is inferted alfo in Journal de Hcnri III, par Pierre de l'Eftoiles, à la Haye
of ice and fnow fhould, every where, be preferved, in order that people might cool their liquors with them, even though they might occafion extraordinary maladies, which, it feems, were then apprehended *. In the defrription of an entertainment

1744, Svo.iv. p. I ; but without the engraving: and fome pieces which fand at the end of my edition, and which could not be in the firt, becaufe they are of more modern date, are omitted: viz. every thing that follows p. 287, the Difcours de facoph. a Limue. Privileges, franchifics et liberté de la ville capitale de BoisBelle. (This piece, as mentioned in the margin, fhould be inferted in Recueil de diverfes pieces fervant al l'bifoire do Henry III: but in my edition, Cologne, chez Pierre du Marteau, 1666, 12 mo , which is not to be found in Le Long, it is wanting.) See Bibliotbeque de Madame de Montpenfier, p. 291; Remarques fur la Biblioth. de Mad. de Montpenfier, p. 298; and Difiours fur la vie du R. Henri III, par Le Laboureur, p. 331 ; which may be found ạlfo in Mémoires de Cafelnau; Paris 1659 , fol. p. 883. See Le Long, ii. p. 326. n. 19133, who ought properly to have faid that it was added to the new editions of $L^{\prime} I / l_{e}$ des Hermaphrodites. I fhall refer thofe who wifl for further information on this fubject to Le Long, Bibliotheque biforique de la France, ii. p. 326. n. 19128; and to the works there quotedDidionaaire bilorique de Profper Marchand, i. p. 305 -Ducatiana, p. 67 . Had the author of the Gyncoologie, Berlin 1795, been acquainted with this fatire, he might have extracted from it, to enlarge, in the part on amours, ii. p. 290, the picture which he gives of the manners of the fixteenth century.

[^121]we are told that fnow and ice were placed upors the table before the king; and that he threw fome of them into his wine *; for the art of cooling it without weakening it was not then known. The fame method was practifed even during the whole firf quarter of the feventeenth century \&.

Towards the end of the above century this luxury muft have been very common in France. At that period there were a great many who dealt in fnow and ice; and this was a free trade which every perfon might carry on. Government, however; which could never extort from the people money enough to fupply the wants of an extravagant court, farmed out, towards the end of the century, a monopoly of thefe cooling wares. The farmers', therefore, raifed the price from time to time; but the confumption and revenue decreafed fo much that it was not thought worth while to continue the reftriction; and the trade was again rendered free. The price immediately fell; and was never raifed afterwards but by mild winters or hot fummers $\ddagger$.

* On apporta de la neige et de la glace fur des affiettes. L'Hermaphrodite prenoit tantôt de l'une tantôt de l'autre, felon qu'il lui venoit en fantaifie, pour les mettre dans fon vin, afin de le rendre plus froid. P. 106.
+ In the Contes de Gaulard, printed in 1620, it is faid: Il alla un jour d'efté fouper chez un voluptueux, qui lui fit mettre de la glace en fon vin.
$\ddagger$ Dictionnaire de commerce, art. Glace.

The method of cooling liquors by placing them in water in which faltpetre has been diffolvect, could not be known to the ancients, becaufe they were unacquainted with that falt. They might, however, have produced the fame coolnefs by other falts which they knew, and which would have had a better effect ; but this, as far as I have been able to learn, they never attempted. The above property of faltpetre was firft difcovered in the firft half of the fixteenth century ; and it was not remarked till a long period afterwards, that it belongs to other falts alfo.

The Italians, at any rate, were the firt people by whom it was employed; and about the year 1550, all the water, as well as the wine, drunk at the tables of the great and rich families at Rome, was cooled in this manner. Blafius Villafianca, a Spaniard, who practifed phyfic in that capital, and attended many of the nobility, publifhed, in the before-mentioned year, an account of it, in which he afferts, more than once, that he was the firft perfon who had made the difcovery publicly known*. In his opinion it was occafioned by the remark

[^122]remark that falt water in fummer was always cooler than frefh water. According to his directions, which are illuftrated by a figure, the liquor muit be put into a bottle or globular veffel with a long neck, that it may be held with more convenience; and this veffel muft be immerfed in another wide one filled with cold water. Saltpetre muft then be thrown gradually into the water ; and while it is diffolving the botrle mult be driven round with a quick motion on its axis, in one direction. Villafranca thinks that the quantity of faltpetre fhould be equal to a fourth or fifth part of the water; and he affures us that, when again cryftallifed, it may be employed feveral times for the faine ufe, though this, before that period, had by many been denied. Whether other falts would not produce the like effect the author did not think of trying ; but he attempts to explain this of faltpetre from the principles of Ariftotle; and he tells his noble patrons what rules they fhould obferve for the prefervation of their health, in regard to cooling liquors.

Towards the end of the fixteenth century this
Valerium et Aloifum Doricos fratres Brixienfes, anno iubilei, 5550. This edition is in the library of our univerfity. Joeher mentions a Venctian edition of the year 1553, 4to. The ProGlemata, howcver, do not form a diftinet work; they make a part of the Mathodus refrigcrand; and relate only to the defcribed effects of the falepetie.
artificial ice, cooling liquors. 365 method of cooling liquors was well known, though no mention is made of it by Scappi, in his Book on cookery. Marcus Antonius Zimara, however, fpeaks of it in his Problems *. I do not know at what time this Appulian phyfician lived. In a lift of the profeffors of Padua $\dagger$ his name is to be found under the year 1525 , as Explicator philofophice ordinaric; and becaufe another is named under the year 1532, we have reafon to conjeEture that he died about that time. But in that cafe the phyfician Villafranca would probably have been acquainted with the Problemata of Zimara; and would not have faid that no one had fpoken of this ufe of faltpetre before him.

Levinus Lemnius * alfo mentions the art of

* Problema 102: Quæfivit dominatio veftra (Joannes Caftriota Ferrandinæ dux) propter quod vinum pofitum in vafe conflituto in aqua falinitro comnifta maxime refrigefcit. The anfwer no one at prefent would read. Thefe Problenata are often printed with the Problemata Arifotelis, Alexandri Apbroaif. and others. The collection which I have was printed at Amfterdam, by Janf. Waełberg, 1685.12 mo .
+ Ant. Riccoboni Commentar. de gymnafio Patavino. Patavii 1592 , 4to. p. 22. b.
$\ddagger$ 压tivis mentibus ne vinum in congiis cito vapefcat, ${ }^{\text {ªnt }}$ calore perfundatur, fed inter propinandum frigefcat, in labro aqua gelida oppleto collocentur urcei, aut capaciora pocula, deinde fal nitrum, vulgo falpcter, infternatur ; tanta frigiditate vinum imbui continget, ut eam vix dentes tolerent. De miraculis accultis natura lituri iv. Colouix Agrippinæ, 358 r. 8vo. p. 288.
cooling wine by this method fo much, that the reeth can fcarcely endure it. We are informed by Bayle that the earlieft edition of his work, which has been often reprinted, was publifhed as Antwerp, in the year 1559, in octavo. It contains only the two firft books; but as the above account occurs in the fecond book, it murt be found in this edition.

Nicolaus Monardes, a Spanifh phyfician *; who died about the year 1578 , mentions this ufe of faltpetre likewife. It was invented, as he fays, by the galley-flaves; but he condemns it as prejudicial to health. From fome expreffions which he ufes I am inclined to think that he was not fufficiently acquainted with it; and that he imagined that the falt itfelf was put into the liquor. At a later period we find fone account of it in various hooks of receipts; fuch as that written by Mizaldus in 1566 , and which was printed for the firt time the year following $t$.

[^123]In the Mineralogy of Aldrovandi, firt printed in 1548, this procefs is defcribed after Villa, franca *; but where the editor, Bartholomæus Ambrofiairus, fpeaks of common falt $\dot{\psi}$, he relates that it was ufual in countries where frefh water was fcarce to make deep pits in the earth; to throw rock-falt into thern ; and to place in them veffels filled with water, in order that it might be cooled. This remark proves that the latter fale was then employed for the fanse purpofe ; but it has led the editor into a very grofs error. He thinks he can conclude from it that the intention of potters, when they mix common falt with their clay, is not only to render the veffel more compact, but alfo to make it more cooling for liquors. But the former only is true. The addition of falt
tare, quo potus frigidior reddatur, non fecus ac $\sqrt{i}$ nivi vel glaciei efiet impofita. Centuria ix memorabilium. Francofurti 1599 Izmo. p. 67. Nonnius fays, in his Dicetetica, iv. 5, p. $44^{2}$, that the firf edition was printed iu $162 \%$.

* Aldrovandi Mufæum metallicum, p. $32 \%$.
+ Figuli maflam, ex qua vafa fingunt ad refrigeranda potulenta, fale congruo afpergere et fatim fubigere debent, quia fa! argillam aftringit, et maflam ad vaforum confervationem melius conglutinat ; deinde liquores in hujufinodi valis contenti, ope illius falis, cito frigefcunt. Hanc falis vim in refrigerando mediterranei noverunt, qui in locis campeftribus verfantes æftivis diebus, nullis fpirantibus auris, fiti et calore oppreffi puteos ficcos effodiunt, in quibus vafa ad refrigeranda potulenta collocant, quæ ut frigidiora evadant, falem potiffimum foffilem in funcio puteorum fubllernunt. P. $31 \%$
produces in clay, otherwife difficult to be melled, the fainteft commencement of vitrification; a cohefion by which the veffel becomes fo folid that it can contain fluids, even wheri unglazed; but for this very reafon it would be moft improper for cooling, which is promoted by the evaporation of the water that oozes through.

The Jefuit Cabeus, who wrote a voluminous commentary on the Metiorologica of Ariftork, which was ready for the prefs in the year $16_{4} 4$, affures us that, with thircy-five pounds of fa!tpetre one can not only cool a hundred pounds of water; by quickly ftirring it, but convert it. alfo into folid ice ; and for the truth of this affertion he refers to an experiment which he made *. Bartholin
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fays, that for the above account he can give him full credit *; but the truth of it is denied by Duhamel, who fufpects that this Jefuit took the thooting cryftals of the falt to be ice $\dagger$. As far as I have been able to learn, no one, in latter times, has fucceeded to congeal water by faltpetre alone, without the help of fnow or ice. The powder which a duke of Mantua had, in the middle of the laft century, and by which, as the ftory goes, water, even in fummer, could be inftantaneoufly converted into ice, may, without doubt, have been only faltepetre + .-Was this falt, therefore, confidered formerly as the caufe of the cold in the north-eaftern and other countries, becaufe it was ufed for cooling liquors? Even at prefent many farmers will fay that fuch or fuch a field is cold, becaufe it abounds with faltpetre \|.

Who firt conceived the idea of mixing fnow or ice with faltpetre and other falts, which increafes the cold fo much, that a veffel filled with

* De nive, p. 38.
+ Joh. Bapt. Du Hamel, Opera philofophica. Norimbergæ 168 r . 4to. tom. ii. p. 50.
$\ddagger$ Bartholin. De nive, p. 38 .
|| When fnow or ice is mixed with falt, both begin to be. 1liquid. This procefs is employed in Ruffia to clean windows coivered with frof. They are rubbed with a fponge dipped in falt, iand by thefe means they become immediately tranfparent.
water, placed in that mixture, is congealed into a folid mals of ice that may be ufed on the table, I cannot with certainty determine; but I hall mention the earlieft account of it that I have been able to find. Latinus Tancredus, a phyfician and profeffor at Naples, whofe book De fame et fili was publifhed in 1607 , fpeaks of this experiment ; and affures us that the cold was fo much ftrengthened by faltpetre, that a glafs filled with water, when quickly moved in the above mixture, became folid ice *.
* Quod falnitrum in aquam immerfum et attenuatum aquas illas ad vehemens frigus adigat ; quia, motione, agitatione, cum tenui fua fubflantia aquie calorem fecum foras in ambiens diffipet et difpergat, inde optime cognofci poterit ; quod fi phialam aque plenam, vel inediam in aqua fale nitro eliquato circumvolveris, fiet quidem aqua in vafe frigida; fed fi non nitrum, fed nivem in aquam injeceris, ibique phialam celeri motu convertes, multo quidem plus frigoris illa aqua concipiet, quam ea qur folo falifnitri frigore refrigerabatur.

At vero fi nitrum nivemque una mifcueris, mox phialam in falenitro et nive permixtis agitaveris, jam aqua in phiala contenta non folum frigidiffima evadet, fed etiam dura glacies fiet, Unde mirabile dictu, nifi frangatur phiala, glacies five gelu concretum a vafe non feparabitur.

Et ardente Sirio aquam in glaciem agere non folo nitro, nec fola nive, fed utriufque fubftantix mixtura, res eft in artis naturæque operibus admirabilis: cur fcilicet nix adjuncto falenitro intenfius refrigeret, atque adeo aquas in gelu permutet. Latini Tancredi De fams et fiti libri tres. Venetiis 160\%. 4to. lib. iii. queft. 27. p. 170.b.

In the year 1626 , the well-known commentary on the works of Avicenna, by Sanct. Sanctorius, was publifhed at Venice, in folio. The author in this work relates, that, in the prefence of many fpectators, he had converted wine into ice, not by a mixture of fnow and faltpetre, but of fnow and common falt \%. When the falt was equal to a third part of the fnow, the cold was three times as ftrong as when fnow was ufed alone.

Lord Bacon, who died in 1626 , fays that a new method had been found out of bringing fnow and ice to fuch a degree of cold, by means of faltpetre, as to make water freeze. This, he tells us, can be done alfo with common falt ; by which it is probable he meant unpurified rock-falt; and

[^125]he adds, that in warm countries, where fnow was not to be found, people made ice with faltpetre alone; but that he himfelf had never tried the experiment *. Mr. Boyle, who died in 16 g 1 , made experiments with various kinds of falt; and he defcribes how, by means of falt, a piece of ice may be frozen to another folid body $\dot{+}$. Defcartes fays, that in his time this was a well-known phenomenon, but highly worthy of attention $\ddagger$.

Since that period the art of making ice has been fpoken of in the writings of all philofophers
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where they treated on heat and cold, and with many ocher experiments has been introduced into various books of receipts. It was then employed merely for amufement * ; and no one furpected that it would ever be applied to an important. purpofe in luxury. In the like manner Fugger's firft bills of exchange were faid to be ufeful only for gambling; and gun-powder was called a trifling difcovery.

In the beginning of the laft century drinkingcups made of ice and iced fruit were firft brought to the table; but, towards the end of that century, it appears that the French began to congeal, in this manner, all kinds of well-tafted juices, which were ferved up as refrefhments at the tables of the great and wealthy. This was a grand invention for the art of cookery; which became

[^127]$$
\mathrm{Bb}_{3}
$$
common
common among the German cooks, both male and female, about the middle of the prefent century; and fince that time our confectioners fell fingle glaffes of iced articles to the ladies at our balls, and in the theatres.

I am acquainted with no older information refpecting this invention than what is contained in Barclay's Argenis, which is, indeed, a romance; but the author's account makes the poffibility of its being ufed fo clear, that we may certainly conclude it was then employed; efpecially as he mentions it feveral times. Arfidas finds in the middle of fummer, at the table of Juba, frefh apples, one-half of which were encrufted with tranfparent ice. A bafon, made alfo of ice and filled with wine, was handed to him; and he was informed that to prepare all thefe things in furnmer was a new art. Snow was preferyed the whole year through in pits lined with ftraw. Two cups made of copper were placed the one within the other, fo as to leave a fmall face between them, which was filled with water; the cups were then put into a pail, amidft a mixture of fnow and unpurified falt coarfely pounded, and the water, in three hours, was converted into a cup of folid ice, as well formed as if it had come from the hands of a pewterer. In the like manner apples juft pulled from the tree were covered with a coat of ice.

The firt edition of the Argenis was printed at Paris in 1621 ; and in that year the author died at the age of thirty-nine *. The book is far from being fcarce; but the paffage to which I allude, is fo beautiful that I fhall tranfcribe it to fave my readers the trouble of fearching for it $\psi$.

After

* Bayle, Diction. hif. Barclai.
+ Arfidas nihil altiori intentione fpectabat, quam inter promulfidaria effe varii generis poma ita glacie circumvenienti inferta, ut aliorum pars emineret extra hoc frigus, alia prorfus condita, tamen fub lucentis aquæ crufta nativo colore cernerentur. - - Tum Juba, Ut magis mireris, inquit, cum in hortum intrâfti, liæc poma adhuc ex arboribus pendebant, et quæ modo eft glacies, ex fontibus unda manabat. - - Nova eff, inquit, apud nos hæc ratio revocandi arte hiemem fub medio fole. - - Aderat puer Ægyptius cum mulfo, quod in calice proferebat et ipfo ex glacie facto; quo exhaufto cum in terram a puero collideretur, doleretque Arfidas, fragile quidem, fed in $æ$ ftatem pretiofum vas perire; Noli indignari, inquit Juba; in fingulas potiones ufus ejufmodi fcyphorum eft. Iterato eundem in menfa confpici, vile effet. Nihil amplius guftabat Arfidas, avidus cognofcendi, qua arte ad naturæ veritatem fic perveniretur; cum allata funt variarum formarum ex ære prototypa. Orbium, poculorum, paropfidum, omnis denique convivalis inftrumenti fpecies erant. Tum Juba: Hæc funt clauflra quæ aquis infufis glaciem concipiunt. Quippe unumquodque operculo fuo fic tegitur, ut ore invicem cohæreant, excepta foraminis anguftia, per quam aqua liquitur in interiora, quomodo ex fanno vel plumbo fufilis fupellex conflatur. Alveolo deinde lignen illa deponimus, cujus. fundum fale primum nigro illo parumque contufo, et moas nive, contegitur, quæ nobis femper ad manum eff, fulta ftraminibus, et in umbra antrorum toto anno inviolata. Supra ipfa deinde prototypa, in alveolum fic dimiffa, pari modo nix quoque ali-

After brandy, from being a medicine, came into general ure as a liquor at table, and was drumk in common by the populace, the Italians, above all, endeavoured to render it weaker and more pleafant by various mixtures; and by raifing its value to make it more refpectable, and, at the fame time, more ufeful to people of the firft rank. That their wares might be diftinguifhed, with more certainty, they gave them the name of liquori ; and under that appellation fold them to foreign nations. The French were the firft who adopted the ufe of thefe articles; particularly after the marriage of Henry II, when duke of Orleans, with Catherine de Medicis, in the year 1533. This event brought to France great numbers of Italians, who made the French acquainted with thefe delicacies of their native country ; and who taught them to prepare and to ufe them. They were the firft, therefore, who made and fold the fine liquours at Paris; and in order to ferve thofe who could not bear heating liquors, or rather to
quoties cumulatur, "fale toties interjecto. Ita hrec aqua illo in ære ad glaciem preparata accepit undique circumjectr nivis frigus, quam fal acri mifura retat liquefcere; in locis precipue umbrofis, qualia vini aut olei apothecis effodimus. Trium circiter horarum fpatio coit ą̧ua, et fi quæ poma inferuimus, qualia nunc miraris, hrerent fepta in glacie. Wefu deinde languentibus grata eft hae vis uimii frigoris, quam et ipfa novitas commendat. Nuper cuim nefcio cujus non inepta luxuries hoc folatiun invenit. Barclaii Ařenis. Norimbergx 1703.12 mo . lib. v. cap. $5 \cdot$ P. 58 .
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ferve themfelves by filling their pockets with money, their fucceffors in this bufinces invented, abour the year 1630 or 1633 , that beverage called lemonade, becaufe the juice of lemons or oranges was its chief component part. This liquor foon came into high repute, as it not only ferved for cooling and refferhing people during the fultry heats of fummer, but was even recommended by phyficians againft putrid difeaies.

The limonadiers, or venders of lemonade, endeavoured to increafe the firlt property, which occafioned the far greateft confumption, by the means of ice ; and one of them, Procope Couteaux, an Italian from Florence, about the year 1660, conceived the happy idea of converting fuch beverage entirely into ice, by a procefs which had been before employed only by jugglers. The ready fale which he found for his invention induced others to make articles of the like kind. His example, therefore, was followed by Le Fevie and Foi ; and thofe three, for fome years, enjoyed a monopoly of this new-farhioned commodity. About the year 1676 , liquors cooled by, or changed into, ice, muft, however, have been the principal things fold by the limonadiers; for being then formed into a company, the following deli-: cacies were mentioned in the patent which they received on that occafion *: Eaux de gelée et glaces

[^128]de fruits et de fleurs, d'anis et de canelle, francbipanne, $d^{\prime}$ aigre de cetre, du Jorbec, $E^{2}$ c. There were at that time in Paris two hundred and fifty mafters in this employment. In 1690, when De la Quintiny wrote, iced liquors were extremely common*.

People, however, long imagined that fuch articles could be ufed only during the hot months of fummer. In the year 1750, Dubuiffon, fucceffor to the celebrated Procope, au café de la rue des Fofsés de S. Germain des Prés, and author of the Art du difiilateur $\dagger$, began to keep ready prepared, daily, the whole year through, ices of every kind for the ufe of thofe who were fond of them. At firft, they were little called for, except in the dogdays; but fome phyficians recommended them in certain diforders. Have the phyficians then, by their opinion, done moft fervice to the venders of Fiqueurs and to cooks, or the latter to the phyficians? This would make a fine fubject for an in-

* Inftruction pour les jardins. Paris $\mathrm{I}_{730}$. 4to. i. p. 263. The author fays that ice in fummer is indeed ufful; but, as a fardener, he wifhes that frolt could be prevented ; and that ice might be imported from the North, as olives and oranges are from the South. Some years ago, as no ice could be procured on account of the great milduefs of the preceding winter, the merchants at Hambuigh fent a flip to Greenland for a load of it, by which they acquired no fmall profit.
+ Der liqueurfabrikant des Demachy und Dubniffon, überfetze und vermehrt von D. Habnemann. Leipzig 1785. Sro. ii. p. 165 .
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augural differtation.-It is, however, certain, for we are told fo by Dubuiffon himfelf, that after two cures, in which ices had been of the greateft fervice, the more difcerning part of the public made ufe of them in every feafon of the year. That this part of the public might never lofe their conceit, the venders of liqueurs always employed their thoughts upon new inventions. Among the lateft is that of iced butter, which acquired its name on account of fome likenefs to that fubftance. It was firlt known at the Parifian coffeehoufe (caveau) in 1774. The Duke de Chartres often went thither to enjoy a glafs of iced liquor; and the landlord, to his great fatisfaction and furprife, having one day prefented him with his arms formed of eatable ice *, articles of a fimilar kind immediately became the mode. At prefent, Dubuiffon will, undoubtedly, fay, that the Parifians have loft all their difcernment; for where are the Dukes de Chartres ?

[^129]
## HYDROMETER.

THIS inftrument, called in Latin bydrometrum, bygrofcopium, bygrobarofoopium, bydrofoopium, areametrum and baryllion, ferves to determine the weight or fpecific gravity of different fluid maffes, by the depth to which it finks in them. If I am not miftaken, it is moft ufed in falt-works for difcovering the contents of falt-water.

The laws refpecting the comparative fpecific gravity of fluids and folid bodies immerfed in them were difcovered by Archimedes, when he tried the well-known experiment, by order of Hiero, king of Sicily, to find the content of a golden crown, made for that fovereign. Upon thefe is founded the conftruction of the hydrometer *; and it is not improbable that Archimedes, who was killed in the year 212 before the Chriftian æra,

[^130]was the inventor of ir, though no proofs to warrant this conjecture are to be found in the writings of that great man, or in thofe of any other author.

The oldeft mention of the hydrometer occurs in the fifth century, and may be found in the letters of Synefius to Hypatia. Of the lives of there two perfons I mult here give fome anectotes, as they deferve to be known on account of the fingular fate which attended them. Hypatia was the daughter of Theon, a well-known mathematician of Alexandria, fome of whofe writings are ftill extant. By ber father the was inftructed in the mathematics, and from other great men, who at that time abounded in Alexandria, fhe learned the Platonic and Ariftotelian philofophy, and acquired fuch a complete knowledge of thefe fciences, that the taught them publicly with the greateft applaufe. She was young and beautiful, had a perfonable figure, was fprightly and agreeable in converfation, though at the fame time modeft ; and the poffeffed the moft rigid virtue, which was proof againft every temptation. She conducted herfelf with fo much propriety'towards her lovers, that they never could obtain more than the pleafure of her company and of hearing her difcourfe; and with this, which they confidered as an honour, they were contented. Thofe who wilhed to intrude farther were difmiffed; and the deftroyed the apperite of one who would not fuffer her to philofophife, by means of
fome ftrong preparation, which, as far as I know, was never imitated. She was not baptifed, and, with all her knowledge adopted the blind fuperftition of paganifm. Had the been a Chriftian, and fuffered a cruel death from heathen perfecution, fhe would have merited a place in the martyrology of the faints: but the cafe was reverfed; for, by the conduct of the Chriftians towards her, fhe became entitled to have her name enrolled in the martyrology of the philofophers.

The patriarch of Alexandria, at the time when The lived, was Cyrill, whofe family for a hundred years before had produced bilhops, who were of more fervice to their relations than to the church. This prelate was a proud, litigious, vindictive and intolerant man, who thought every thing lawful which he conceived to be for the glory of God; and who, as profecutor and judge, condemned Neftorius without hearing his defence. In the city of Alexandria, which was then very flourifhing on account of its commerce, the emperor allowed greater toleration than he imagined could be juftified to the clergy in any other place ; and it contained a great many Jews, who carried on an extenfive trade, as well as a number of pagan families who were of fervice to the city, or at leaft did it no harm. This, in the eyes of Cyrill, was not proper; he would have the theep-fold clean, and the Jews mult be banifhed. Oreftes, however, the governor,
governor, who was a man of prudence, and better acquainted with the interefts of the city, oppofed a meafure that was likely to be attended with mifchief, and he even caufed to be condemned to death a Chritian profligate, who had done fome injury to the Jews. This malefactor was, by the order of Cyrill, buried in the church as a martyr; and he immediately collected five hundred monks, who ill-treated Oreftes in the ftreets, and excited an infurrection among the people, who plundered the unfortunate Jews, and expelled them from a city in which they had lived fince the time of Alexander the Great.

Cyrill, obferving one day a great number of horfes and fervants belonging to perions of the firft rank, before a certain houfe in the city, enquired the caufe of their being affembled in that manner. He was informed that the houfe was the habitation of the celebrated female philofopher Hypatia, who, on account of her extenfive learning and eminent talents, was vifited not only by people of the higheft diftinction, but even by the governor himfelf. This was fufficient to excite the bihop's jealoufy againft the unbelieving Hypatia, and he refolved to effect her ruin. As he had inftigated the people againft the Jews, he in like manner encouraged them to attack Hypatia. They feized her in the ftreet, hurried her to the church, ftripped off her clothes, tore her flefh to pieces with potherds, dragged
diagged her mangled limbs about through the city, and at length burned them. This bloody tragedy, which took place in the year 415 , could tend only to infpire the heathens with a greater hatred to Chriftianity, and to make fenfible Chriftians afhamed of the conduct of their brethren. To Cyrill however it occafioned no thame ; on the contrary, he endeayoured to divert the emperor from punifhing thofe who had been guilty of fo grofs a violation of the principles of juftice, and in this he was affifted by his numerous adherents and friends. In fome circumftances of this relation, hiftorians are not agreed, but they all concur in beftowing praife on Hypatia, whofe memory was honoured and preferved by her grateful and affectionate fcholars *.

Among thefe was Synefius, of a noble pagan family, who cultivated philofophy and the mathematics with the utmoft ardour, and who had been one of her moft intimate friends and followers. On account of his learning, talents, and open difpofition, he was univerfally efteemed, and he had been employed with great fuccefs on public occafions of importance. The church at Ptolemais at length withed to have him for their bifhop. After

[^131]much reluctance he accepted the office, but on condition that they fhould not expect him to acknowledge the refurrection of the dead, which he doubted. The people having confented to allow him chis indulgence, he fuffered himfelf to be baptifed, and became their bifhop. He was confirmed by the orthodox patriarch Theophilus, the predeceffor of Cyrill, to whofe jurifdiction Ptolemais belonged; and he afterwards renounced his errors, and declared himifelf convinced of the truth of the refurrection. This learned man fhewed his gratitucle to Hypatia, by the honourable mention which he made of her in fome letters that are fill preferved among his writings *.

In his fifteenth letter, he tells Hypatia that he was fo unfortunate, or found himfelf fo ill, that he wifhed to ufe a bydrofoopium, and he requefts that fhe would caule one to be conftructed for him. "It is a cylindrical tube," adds he, " of the fize of a reed or pipe. A line is drawn upon it lengthwife, which is interfected by others, and thefe point out the weight of water. At the end of the tube is a cone, the bafe of which is joined to that of the tube, fo that they have both only one bafe. This inftrument is called baryllion. If it be placed

[^132]in water, it remains in a perpendicular direction, fo that one can difcover by it the weight of the fluid *."

Petau, who publifhed the works of Synefus in the year 1640, acknowledges in his annotations, that this paffage he did not underftand. An old fcholiaft, he fays, who had added fome illegible words, feemed to think that it referred to a waterclock ; but this he confiders improbable, as a clepfydra was not immerfed in water, bur filled with it. He conjectures therefore, that it may allude to

* That m.y learned readers may judge fur themfelves, I think it neceflary to tranfcribe the whole letter, though it may be found entire, with Petau's annotations, in Wolf's Fragmenta







 $\gamma \operatorname{vop}_{f} \downarrow \mu \alpha_{\tau} \tau \alpha$. Eo fum infortunii redactus, ut hydrofcopio opus habeam ; jube mihi fabricari ac coemi. Tubulus eft cylindri figuram habens, tibixe magnitudine forma. Hic in una recta linea incifiones habet, quibus aquarum libramentum cognofcimus. Obturat enim illum altera ex parte conus, æquabili pofitu infertus, ita ut communis fit amborum bafis, coni vidclicet atque tubuli. Hoc ipfum elt, quod Baryllium appellant. Jam cum tubulum in aquam depofucris, erectus fubit, ut in eo incifiones facile numerare poffis, ex quibus libramentum cognofcitur.
fuch an inftrument as that called by Vitruvius chorobates. The latter however was employed for levelling; and it appears that Synefius, who complains of the bad ftate of his health, could not think of levelling. Befides, no part of the defcription "in Vitruvius agrees with that which is given in fo clear a manner by Synefius.

Petau publifhed his edition of the works of this philofopher in the time of Peter de Fermat, confeiller au parlement de Toulourfe, a man of great learning, who was an excellent mathematician, and well acquainted with antiquities and the works of the ancients. We have by the latter a commentary upon fome obfcure paffages of Athenæus, annotations on the writings of Theon of Smyrna, and emendations from a manufcript to the Stratagemata of Polyænus, which may be found alfo in his Mifcellanies *. Murfinna, in his edition of the fame author, has added them to the end of the preface. As Fermat was often confulted refpecting difficult paffages of the ancients, he could not be unacquainted with that in the new edition of Syncfius. He drew up an explanation of it, and gave it to a friend who was then about to publifh a French tranflation of Bened. Caftelli's book Della mizura dell'acque correnti, and who caufed it to be printed along with that work. Fermat died in the year 1665 , which

[^133]Cc 2
I remark

I remark becaufe it has not been mentioned in the Dictionary of learned men *. After his death his fon publifhed fome of his writings under the title of Varia opera matbematica $\psi$; and in this collection is inferted his chort treatife on the bydrofcopium 小, from which I have extracted the following explanation.

It is impoffible, fays he, that the bydrofcopium could be the level or chorobates of Vitruvius, for the lines on the latter were perpendicular to the horizon, whereas the lines on the former were parallel to it. The bydrofootiuin was undoubtedly a hydrometer of the fimpleft conftruction. The tube may be made of copper, and open at the top; bue at the other end, which, when ufed, is the loweft, it muft terminate with a cone, the bafe of which is foldered to that of the tube. Lengthwife, along the tube, are drawn two lines, which are interfected by others, and the more numerous thefe divifions are, the inftrument will be fo much more correct. When placed in water, it finks to a certain

* I know the year from his Eloge in the Fournal des Sçavans 1565 , Fevr. to which the fon prefixed a lift of his father's works which he publifhed.
$\dagger$, Varia opera mathematica D. Petri de Fermat, fenatoris Tolof́ni. Accefferunt quædan cjufdem cpiftolæ. T'oloæ 1679,210 pages folio.
$\ddagger$ It was made known by an cxtract alfo in the Fourral des Scuvant, 1079, Jan.
depth, which will be marked by the crofs lines, and which will be greater in proportion to the lightnefs of the water *. A figure, which is added + , illuftrates this explanation more than was neceffary. When a common friend of Fermat and Petau fhewed it to the latter, he confidered it to be fo juft, that he wifleed to have an opportunity of introducing it in a new edition.

Merfenne, on the other hand, entertains fome doubt $\#$ refpecting this inftrument, though he does not mention Fermat, with whom he was well acquainted; for in the difpute which the latter had with Defcartes, Merfenne was the bearer of the letters that paffed between them, as we learn from the Life of Defcartes, by Baillet $\|$. His objections however are of little weight. Why fhould Synefius, afks Merfenne, confider himfelf unfortunate, becaufe he had not a hydrometer? - It may be here

[^134]Cc3 replied,
replied, that he was in an infirm fate, and that the phyficians feem to have ordered him to drink no water but what was pure and light. We know that in formertimes, when fo many artificial liquors were not in ufe, people were accuftomed, more than at prefent, to good water. We read in the works of the ancient phyficians, fuch as Galen and Celfus *, directions how to examine the lightnefs and purity of water. He might have tried it, fays Merfenne, with a common balance. He indeed might, but not fo conveniently. ThatSynefius was in a bad ftate of health is apparent from reveral of his letters; otherwife one might fay that in a letter many expreffions may be only jocular, refpecting fome circumftance known to the friend to whom one writes; and that every expreffion is not to be taken according to its literal meaning. One might confefs alfo, without weakening a received explanation, that one does not know to what $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}$ nefius alludes in the firft line of his letter. But even if we allow that the inftrument was not a hydrometer, but a water-clock, or a level; it may be afked how the want of thefe could make him unfortunate. Merfenne thinks farther, that the cone, added to the end of the tube, would have been unneceffary in an hydrometer ; but it ferves to keep the inftrument with more eafe in a perpendicular

[^135]drection in the water*. Such is the opinion of H. Klugel, whom I hatl foon have occafion to quote.

For the explanation of Fermat one may produce a fill fironger teftimony, with which he feems not to have been acquainted. It can be proved that this inftrument was ufed in the next, or at leaft in the fixth century. Of that period we have a Latin poeni on weights and meafures, which contains a very juft defcription of an hydrometer. The author, in manufcripts, is called fometimes Prijcianus; and fometimes Rbennius Fannius Palcmoin; but we know, from grounds which do not belong to this fubject, that the former was his real name. Two perfons of that name are known at prefent. Thé one, Theodore Prifcian $\dagger$, was a phyfician, and lived in the time of the emperor Valentinian, towards the end of the fourth century. As more phyficians have written on weights and meafures, with which it is indifpenfably neceffary they fhould be acquainted, one might conjecture that this Prifcian was the author of the above poem. The

* As I do not know whether I underfand this objection perfectly, I fhall here add his own words: Non vidco, cur baryllii fuperficiem fuperiorem cono voluerit obturari, cum bafis cylindri fuperior ex eadem ac cylindrus ipfe materia fufficiat, nifi forfan in coni vertice pinnula quædam ad aquæ libramentum addita fuerit.
$\uparrow$ Haller, Biblioth. botan. i. p. I5 t.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{4} 4 \quad \text { relt }
$$

reft of his writings, however, ftill preferved, are in fo coarfe and heavy a ftyle, that one can fcarcely afcribe to him a work which is far from being ill written ; efpecially as one no where reads that he was a poet. With much more probability may we confider as the author the well-known grammarian Priician, who died about the year 528.

This poem has been often printed, and not unfrequently at the end of 2: Sereni Samonici De medicina pracepta. The beft edition is that inferted by Wernfdorf in the fifth part of the firft volume of his Poetce minores *, where an account may be found of the other editions.

Be the author who he may, this much is evident, that he was acquainted with the hydrometer of Synefius, and has defcribed it in a very clear manner $\dagger$.
"Fluids,"

* Page 238 and p. $24^{8}$.
+ I fhall here infert the whole paffage:
Illud praterea tecum cohibere memento,
Finitum pondus varios fervare liquores.
Nam libræ, ut memorant, beffem fextarius addet,
Seu puros pendas latices, feu dona Lyæi.
Addunt femiffen libre labentis olivi,
Selibramque fe:unt mellis fupereffe bilibri.
Hax tamen affenfu facili funt credita nobis;
Namque nec errantes undis labentibus amnes,
Nec merfi putcis latices, aut fonte perenni
"Fluids," fays he, " are different in weight, as may be proved by the fpecific gravity of oil and honey compared with that of pure water;" and the given proportion agrees almoft with that found by modern experiments. "This," adds he, "may be difcovered by an inftrument," which he thus defcribes: "It confifts of a thin metallic cylinder made of filver or copper, about as large as the joint of a reed between two knots, to the end of which is added a cone. This cone makes the

Manantes, par folldus habent : non denique vina,
Quæ campi aut colles nuperve aut ante tulere ;
Quod tibi mechanica promptum eft deprendere Mufa.
Ducitur argenti, tenuive ex ære, cylindrus
Quantum inter nodos fragilis producit arundo,
Cui cono interius modico pars ima gravatur,
Ne totus fedeat, totufve fupernatet undis;
Lineaque a fummo tenuis defcendit ad ima,
Ducta fuperficie ; tot quæque in frufta fecatur,
Quot fcriplis gravis eft argenti ærifve cylindrus.
Hoc cujufque potes pondus fpectare liquoris;
Naın fi tenuis erit, majori mergitur unda ;
Sin gravior, plures modulos fupereffe notabis.
Aut fi tantundem laticis funatur utrimque, Pondere preftabit gravior ; fi ponde:a fecum
Conveniunt, tunc major erit, quæ tenuior unda eft. Quod fi ter feptem numeros texiffe cylindri Hos videas latices, illos cepiffe ter octo, His drachma gravius fatearis pondus ineffe; Scd refert æqui tantum conferre liquoris, Ut gravior fuperet drachma, quantum expulit undx Illius aut hujus, teretis pars merfa cylindri.

Wernfiorf, p. 5 Io.
lower
lower end fo heavy, that the infrument, without finking or floating on the furface, remains fufpended perpendicularly in the water. Lengrhwife, upon the cylinder, is drawn a line, which is divided by crofs lines inco as many parts as are equal to the weight of the inftrument in Jcriple. If placed in light fluids, more of the divifions will be covered than when put into heavy fluids; or it finks deeper into thofe which are light than into thofe which are heavy. This difference of gravity may be found alfo," continues he, "by filling veffels of equal fize with the fluids and weighing them ; for the heavier muft then weigh mof: ; but when one takes an equal weight of two fluids, the lighter will occupy more fpace than the heavier. If twenty-one divifions of the inftrument are covered in water, and twenty-four in oil, and if one take twenty-four fcripla of water, twenty-one fcripla of oil only can be contained in the fpace occupied by the water." Such is the manner in which profeftor Klugel has conjectured the meaning of the author from hydroftatical principles; though neither he nor Wernfdorf has ventured to give a literal tranflation of the words which ougnt to convey this explanation. But, however obfcure they may be, it evidently appears that they allude to a hydrometer.

This poem was once publihed together with Cel Jus Di reacicelicia, in $1566^{*}$, by Robert Conftantin,

[^136]who died, at an advanced age, in 1605 , and who added a few, but excellent, notes, which have been inferted by Wernfdorf in his edition. This Conftantin feems to have known that the inftrument of Prifcian and the bydroocopiuns of Synefius were the fame ; and that they were ufed for determining the weight of fluids. He explains the ufe of them very properly; but is miftaken in fuppofing the cone to have been of wood, though it ferved to render the lower part of the inftrument heavier, as the poet himfelf fays: cui cono interius modico pars ima gravatur. I am almoft induced to think that interius implies that additional weight was given to the cone by throwing fome fmall heavy bodies into it, through the opening above; and at prefent grains of leaden fhot are employed for that purpofe. It appears therefore that the honour of having firt given a good explanation of the before-quoted paffage of Synefius belongs rather to Conftantin than to Fermat; but I can readily believe that the latter was not acquainted with the obfervations made on it by the former *.

Before

* Conftantin refers to the word Rapu $\lambda \lambda \cdot \frac{0}{}$ in his Dictionary, and, as I expected to find there farther elucidation, I confulted it. 'The firft edition of his Greek Dictionary was, as Conr. Gefner fays, printed at Geneva in 1562 . This I could not procure, but the other, enlarged by Francis Portus and others, Geneva 1592, I have now before me. The word $\beta$ apendiov, to which the author refers, I cannot meet with, and the explanation given

Before I conclude the hiftory of this inftrument among the ancients, I fhall add two remarks further. It is evidently wrong when one, with Mufchenbroek and others, whofe opinion I adopted before I engaged in this refearch *, confiders Hypatia as the inventrefs of the hydrometer. It was known at her time, and was made at Alexandria; but it feems not to have been very common, as Synefius wrote to Hypatia to procure him one, and even thought it neceffary to give her a defription of it.

Thofe are miftaken likewife, who fay that this
under $\dot{u} \$ \rho \sigma s \% 0 \pi t 0 \%$, which is entirely different from that of the cditor of Prifcian, is as follows: clefydre genus qua borce difcernuntur, deforiptum a Synefio. I fufpect therefore that Conflantin in 1566 was firft led to a right comprehenfion of Synefius by the account of Prifcian; and that he referred to his Dictionary, printed four years before, without examining whether the word had been there introduced. That reference is confequently of no ufe. In the well-known Dictionary of Bafle, however, printed in 1572 and 1577 , in which that of Conftantin and other dictionaries are inferted entire, I find the word $\beta \alpha=00 \lambda \lambda .60 \%$, hydrofcopii pars apud Synefum, and under idpor\%stavy the explanation beiore mentioned. Befides thefe two editions, I am acquainted with one of 1558 and another of 1565 . All the four are cx officina Henricpetrina; but in the wo laft the word Gaxe $\grave{\lambda 1.10 \%}$ does not occur. I have remarked this occafionally reipecting a dictionary printed fo often in the courfe of a few years. See Morkojii Pulylif. tom. i. p. So8.

* In the fourth cdition of my Tcchnology, juft publifhed, p. $174 . \$ 15$, 1.
intrument was called alfo baryllium. That word, as far as I have been able to learn, occurs only in Synefius, who exprefsly tells us that the fmall heavy cone alone was meant by it. In the fame manner has it been underftood by Conftantin. In the Dictionary of Bane it is faid to be hydrofccpia pars ; and in Stephen's Dictionary it is explained by fondufculum, as well as in that of Erneft, where it is given as the diminutive of baros. It fignified therefore the heavy part of the hydrometer only.

It is equally erroneous when one fays, with Mufchenbroek and others, that thofe who among the Romans made it their employment to examine the quality of water with the hydrometer, were called baryllifte or baryniba. Thefe words do not occur in the works of the ancient Latin authors, nor in any of the completeft dictionaries. We read only the following paffage in fome editions of the Commentary of Servius upon Virgil : Scrutatores et repertores aquarum (aquilices dicuntur) barinulas dixerunt *. If thefe words were really writ-
ten

[^137]ten by Servius, who lived in the fifth century, he either confounded the water-fearchers, aquilices, thofe who fought for fprings, with thofe who examined the nature of water when found, as the hydrometer was of no fervice to the former in their bufinefs, or both employments mult at that time have been followed by the fame people, and thefe muft have acquired their name from a part only of one initrument they ufed, which is not at all probable.

I think we may with certainty believe that the hydrometer was not known to Seneca, Pliny, or Galen, who died about the end of the fecond century. Were not this the cafe, it would certainly have been mentioned by the firft, where he fpeaks fo minutely of the fpecific gravity of hard and fluid bodies *; by the fecond, where he fays that the weight of water was afcertained by a common balance $\dagger$; and by the laft, where he gives directions how to difcover its lightnefs. Galen adds that, in his time, a method had been invented of
printed once more as completely and accurately as poffible. It contains much ufeful information, as well as many fragments of works now loft ; and on this account cannot well be entirely difpenfed with.

* Quef. nat. iii. 25 . p. 726.
+ Hift. nat. xxxi. 3. fect. 23.p. 552 : Quidam ftatera judicant de falubritate, fruftrante diligentia, quando perrarum eft, ut levior fit aliqua, Alben, ii. p. 46. Plutarchi 舁afi. nat. \%.
determining the quality of falt-lye by placing an egg in it, and obferving whether it floated *. Have we not reafon to think that, on this occafion, the hydrometer mutt have occurred to him had it been then ufed?

But however well known it may have been in the fifth century, it feems that it was afterwards entirely forgotten, and that, towards the end of the fixteenth, it was again, for the firlt time, revived or invented anew. To George Agricola it was farcely known; for where he fpeaks of the weight of different kinds of water, and particularly of that of falt fprings $\dot{\sim}+$, he does not mention it. Conftantin, however, who lived at the fame time, mult have been acquainted with it, elfe he could not have explained the before-mentioned paffages of Synefus and Prifcian.

I am inclined to think that the firt account of

* De firmplic. med. facultatibus, iv. 20. p. 6r. ed. Gefneri. Quin et modum janı invenerunt, moderatam ad faliendum conficiendi falfuginem, fir ovum in ea videatur natare. Nam ubi etiamnum fidit, ac uondum fuper falfuginis fuperficiem innatat, aquofa magis eft et duicis ; graviter vero falfa, ubitanta cet falis copin indita, ut amplius liquari qui poffea adjicitur nequeat. A $\lambda \lambda$ a

 curs in the Greek edition of lante, part ii. F. 52. 49.

[^138]the hydrometer being again brought into ule, muft be found in the oldeft German books on faltworks. It is, at any rate, certain that from thefe the modern philofophers became firlt acquainted with it. One of the earlieft who has defcribed it is the Jefuit Cabeus, who wrote about the year $1644^{*}$; but he confeffes that he acquired his information from a German treatife by Tholden, whom Kircher $\dagger$ calls a German artift. He was, however, not properly an artift. He was a native of Heffe; a good chemilt for his time; and refided about the year 1600 or 16I4 as overfeer of the falt-works at Frankenhaufen in Thuringia. His treatife, which Cabeus had in his poffeffion, was entitled Tbolden's Haligraphia, printed at Leipfic in 1603 . Another edition, printed at the fame place in 1613, is mentioned by Draudius; but at prefent I have not been able to find it; and can fay only from Cabeus and Leupold, that Tholden's hydrometer had a weight fufpended to it; and that he fpeaks of the inftrument not as a new but a well-known invention, and on that account has defcribed it only imperfectly.

[^139]Kircher, whofe works were generally read, feems to have principally contributed towards making it publicly known; and Schott *, Sturm 中 and others, in their account of it, refer to his writings. The artifts at Nuremberg, who worked in glafs, and who conftructed a great many hydrometers which were every where fold, affifted in this likewife. One, above all, made by Michael. Sigifmund Hack, was highly valued about the beginning of the prefent century, as we are told by J. Henry Muller ${ }^{+}$, profeffor at Altorf. Of this artift, ofren mentioned by Sturm and other philofophers, an account has been given by Doppelmayr §. He died in 1724.

Many improvements, or perhaps only alterations, have been made in this inftrument in latter times by a variety of arrifts. The tafk of collecting thefe completely, in chronological order, with explanations, I thall leave to orhers; and only mention a few of them. One of the firft who endeavoured to adapt the hydrometer for determining the fpecific gravity and purity of metals was

* Curfus mathemat. p. 455 . icon. 20. f. 46 g'. $^{\circ}$
$\dagger$ Collegii experimentalis pars fecunda. Norimberga iys. 4to. p. 58.
$\ddagger$ Differtat. de hydrometro. Altorfi 1723 . 4to. p. 9.
§ Page 275.
vol. Lil. Dd Monconys:

Monconys *. Almoft about the fame period Cornelius Mayer and Mr. Boyle feem to have conceived the idea of facilitating the weighing of folid bodies by a weighing-fcale added to the inftrument. The former affirms that this improvement was invented by him fo early as the year 1668 +; whereas Boyle did not make his known till 1675 \%. Befides thefe the following alfo are worthy of notice : Feuille §, Fahrenheit, Clarke \|, and Leutmann **, whofe improvements have been defcribed by Wolf $+\boldsymbol{+}$, Leupold $\ddagger+$, Gefner $\S \S$, Weigel ||ll, and others.

* In the third part, p. 3. of the letters printed with his Travels, which addition feems to have been made in the year 1664. I quote the edition printed at Lyons 1665 and 1666 , three volumes in quarto, Fournal des voyages de Monconys.
$\dagger$ Nuevi ritrovamenti divifi in' due parte. Roma 16 g , fol. I fhall take this opportunity of obferving that a good account of Mayer and of his works, which are fcarce, may be found in Scbeibels Matbematifche bücherkunde, ii. p. 443.
$\ddagger$ Hydroftatica medica, and in the Philofoph. tranfact. I675. $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$. exv. p. $3^{29}$, where an engraving is given of all the parts, p. 340 .
- Journal des obfervations, phyfiques et mathematiques. Paris 1714, 4to. i. p. 16.
|| Philofoph. tranfact. No. ccclxxxiv. p. 140 ; and Numb. sccexiii. p. $27 \%$.
** Commentarii Açad. Petropolit. v. p. 274.
$\dagger$ In his Verfuchen. Halle 1737, 8vo. i. p. 556.
$\ddagger \ddagger$ Parsii. Theatri ftatici univerialis, five Theatrum hydroftaticum.
§§ In his Differtation mentioned in the firf note to this article.
IIII C. E. Weigel, Programma de hiforix barylliorum rudimentis. Gryphix $1 ; 85,4$ to.


## Lighting of streets.

THE lighting of ftreets, while it greatly contributes to ornament our principal cities, adds confiderably alfo to the convenience and fecurity of the inhabitants. But, of whatever benefit it may be, it is generally confidered as a modern invention. Mr. St. Evremond fays, "The invention of lighting the ftreets of Paris, during the night, by a multitude of lamps, deferves that the moft diftant nations thould go to fee what neither the Greeks nor the Romans ever thought of for the police of their republics." This opinion appears to be well founded; for I have never yet met with any information which proves that the ftreets of Rome were lighted. Some paffages, indeed, in ancient authors rather indicate the contrary; and, according to my ideas, the Romans would not have confidered the ufe of flambeaux and lanterns fo neceffary, on their return from their nocturnal vifits, as they feem to have done, had their ftreets been lighted; though I will allow that the public lighting of the ftreets, in our cities, does not render links or lanterns altogether fuperfluous. Whoever walked the ftreets of Rome, at night, without a lantern, was under the neceffly of creeping home in perfect darknefs, and D d 2
in great danger *, like Alexis in Athenæ115. Meurfius endeavours to make it appear that the ftreets of Rome were lighted; and in fupport of this opinion quotes Ammianus Marcellinus, and the Life of Julius Cæfar in Suetonius; but his arguments to me are far from being convincing it. That Naples was not lighted, appears from the return of Gito in the night-time, mentioned by Petronius $\ddagger$. Some circumftances, how-
ever,

* Non multum edens, bibenfque multum, protinus

Difcedo, lucernam puer nec fert mihi ;
Serpo cadens perfæpe per nigras tenebras.
Atben. Deipn. vi. 8. p. $2_{3}$ G.
$\dagger$ Joh. Meurfii Opera, ex recenfione Joannis Lami. Florentix 1745 . fol. v. p. 634.-The paffages on which Meurfins founds this idea are as follow : Adhibitis paucis clam ferro fuccinctis, vefperi per tabernas palabatur et compita, quæritando Greco fermone, cujus erat impendio gnarus, quid de Cæfare quifque fentiret ; et confidenter agebat in urbe, ubi pernoctantium luminum claritudo dierum folet imitari fulgorem. Airmian. Marcell. edit. Gronov. Leyden 1693. fol. p. 5. Dein poft folis occafum, mulis e proxino piftrino ad veliculum junctis, occultiffimum iter modico comitatu ingreflus ef ; et cum luminibus extinćtis deceffifiet via, diu errabundus tandem ad lucem duce reperto per angurtifimos tramites pedibus evafit. Suct. in Vit. Ful. Cidf. cap. xxxi.
\# Neque fax ulla in prefidio erat, quæ iter aperiret errantibus, nec filentium noctis jam medixe promitebat occurrentium luanen. Accedebat huc ebrietas et imprudentia locorum, etians interdiu obfeura. Itaque cum hora pene tota per omnes ferupos galtrorunque eminentiun fragmenta traxiffemus cruentos pedes, tandem expliciti acumine Gitonis funus. Pct. cap. Ixxix. That
ever, related by ancient authors make it probabie that Antioch, Rome and a few other cities had public lanterns, if not in all the ftreets, at leaft in thofe which were moft frequented.

Libanius, who lived in the beginning of the fourth century, fays in his Panegyric *, where he praifes his native city Antioch, " the light of the fun is fucceeded by other lights, which are far fuperior to the lamps lighted by the Egyptians on the feftival of Minerva of Sais. The night with us differs from the day only in the appearance of the light : with regard to labour and employment every thing goes on well. Some work continually; but others langh and amufe themfelves with finging." I cannot allow myfelf to imagine that the fophift here confiders it as a fubject of praife to his native city, that the inhabitants, after fun-fet,
the author here fpeaks of Naples I conclude from cap. lxxxio where the city is called Greca urbs. Others, however, with lefs probability, are of opinion that Capza is meant.

* Solis porro facem alix faces excipiunt, que illam 压gyptiorum (in Minerve Saiticæ fefto) lucernarum accenfionem longe fuperant. Hac una re tantum differt nox a die apud nos, nimirum fpecie lucis: quod ad opificia, certe, et ftructuras fpectat, ex æquo procedit. Quidam affidue et jugiter operantur manibus; alii vero molle rident et canticum laxantur. $\mathrm{K}_{z 1} \tau_{\eta \nu} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\eta} \geqslant .6 \mathrm{e}$


 setix apud Morcllum 1627 . fol. ii. p. 387.
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did
did not fit in darknefs, but ufed lights to work by. It appears, therefore, that he alludes to the lighting of the freets.

In another paffage, in the oration to Ellebichus *, the fame author tells us, that the ropes from which the lamps that ornamented the city were fufpended, had been cut by fome riotous foldiers, not far from a bath. "Proceeding," fays he, "to a bath, not far off, they cur with their fwords the ropes from which were furpended the lamps that afforded light in the night-time, to fhew that the ornaments of the city ought to give way to them." This quotation indicates, at any rate, that there were lamps furpended from ropes near the baths and places of greateft refort. The following paffage of Jerome, however, fcems to make it probable, or rather certain, that the ftreets of Antioch were lighted. In the alcercation between a Luciferan and an Orthodox, he relates that an adherent of the fchifmatic Lucifer difputed, in the ftreet, with a true believer, till the ftreets were lighted, when the liftening crowd departed;


 num bahneum, funes a quibus appendebant ea quar lumen noctus prabebant, gladiis refciderunt, quoniam oporteret ornatum qui in civitate oft fuis conciliabulis cederc. In Ellcbichum, p. 526.
and that they then fpat in each other's face, and retired *.

In the elegant edition of the works of that father, by Dominicus Vallarfius, we have a fhort dilfertation on the time when this unmannerly difpute took place; and the editor thews that it happened, at Antioch, in the year $37^{8}+$.

Bafilius the Great, in a letter to Martinianus, giving an account of the miferable fituation of his native city Cæfarea, in Cappadocia, in the year 37 r , fays they had nights without lights (noztes non illuftratas $\ddagger$ ). Mof commentators explain this paffage as if it meant that the lamps in the ftreets had not been lighted $\|$.

* Dum audientium circulum lumina jam in plateis accenfa fulverent, et inconditam difputationem nox interrumperet, confputa invicem facie recefferunt.
+ See the works of Jerome, fudio et labore Dominici Vallarfii, Veronx 1735. fol. vol. ii. p. 170.
$\ddagger$ Nıx $\tau \alpha_{\rho} \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon ı \varsigma$.
I| Valefius informs us, in his obfervations on Ammianus Marcellinus, that to denote public forrow, on occafions of great misfortune, it was cuflomary not to light the flreets; and in proof of this affertion, he quotes a paffage of Libanius, where it is faid that the people of Antioch, in order to mitigate the anger of the emperor, bethought themfelves of lighting either no lamps or a very fmall number. This paffage of Libanius I cannot find; but the words of Bafilius are in vol. iii. p. I69 of the excellent edition of that father publifhed by the Benedictincs at Paris, in $\mathrm{I}_{730} \mathrm{O}$, in folio.

That

That the ftrects not only of Antioch, but alfo of Edeffa, in Syria, were lighted, in the fifin century, feems proved by a paffage in the Hifory of Jefue Stylices. It is there exprefsly faid, that Eulogiris, governor of Edeffa, about the year 505, ordered lamps to be kept burning in the ftreets during the night; and that he employed, for that purpofe, a part of the oil which was before given to the churches and monafteries *.

With regard to the public lighting of whole cities on feftivals, and parcicularly on joyful occafions, which we call illuminations, that practice feems to be of great antiquity. Of this kind was a particular fettival of the Egyptians $\dagger$, during which lamps were placed before all the houfes throughout the country, and kept burning the whole night $\ddagger$. During that feftival of the Jews,

* Eulogius Edeffre prefectus, acceptis ab Anaftafio libris auri bis centun, extima urbis propugnacula reftaurat, Aquæ ductus preterea duos extruit. ... . Collapfas quoque balneas et pretoris ædes ædificat; aliifque preterea ædificiis Edeffam exornat. Petro etiam urbis epifoopo libras auri viginti Anaftafus mittit ad mæniorum inftaurationem. Uibicius eunuchus decem libras auri adjecit ad excitandum B. Marire templum. Solebant Edeffe prafecti menfuras olei fex mille et octingentas templis et monafteriis diflibucre. Enlogius vix ducentas fingulis templis erogari juffit, reliquas ad publicum urbis ufum recondi ; inftituitque, ut in porticibus noctu lampades arderent. Aifenanis Bibliotheca oricntalis. Rome 1719. fol. i. p. 28 1.
+ It was called by the Greeks $\lambda \cdot 0 \times 10 \% a!x$,
$\ddagger$ Herodot, lib, ii, cap. Gz.
salled fofrum enconiorum, the featt of the Dedication of the Temple, which, according to common opinion, was celebrated in December, and continued eight days, a number of lamps were lighted before each of their houfes*. A paffage in .eff chylus fhews that fuch illuminations were ufed allo in Greece. At Rome, the formm was lighted when games were exhibited in the night-time $\dagger$; and Caligula, on a like occafion, caufed the whole city to be lighted $\ddagger$. As Cicero was returning home late at night, after Catiline's confpiracy had been defeated, lamps and torches were lighted in all the Itreets, in honour of that great orator $\|$. The emperor Conftantine caufed the whole city of Contantinople to be illuminated with lamps and wax candles on Eafter eve §. The fathers of the firlt
* Et accendere mos eft in eis lumina tempore vefpertino, ad ofium domorum. Gemara Balylonica, ad tit. Sabbath. c. ii. p. 21.
$\dagger$ Romanis ludis forum olim ornatum lucernis. Nonius, p. 206.
$\ddagger$ Scenicos ludos et affidue et varii generis multifariam fecit ; quondam etiam et nocturnos accenfis tota urbe luminibus. Suet. Vita Calig. c. 18.
\| Plut. in Vita Ciceronis.
§ Sacram auten vigiliam in diurnum filendorem convertebat, accenfis tota urbe cereorum quibufdam columnis per eos quibus id operis erat injunctum. Lampades quoque accenfæ cuncta paffim loca illuftrabant, adeo ut bæc myltica vigilia quovis vel fplendidifimo die fplendidior redderetur. Eufel. Famphbili. Lib. iv. de vila Confantini, cap. 22. Cant. 1720. fol, p. 637 . Com-
firt century frequently inveigh againtt the Chriftians becaufe, to pleafe the heathens, they often illuminated their houfes, on idolatrous feftivals, in a more elegant manner than they. This they confidered as a fpecies of idolatry*. That the houfes of the ancients were illuminated on birthdays, by fufpending lamps from chains, is too well known to require any proof $\psi$.

Of modern cities, Paris, as far as I have been able to learn, was the firt that followed the example of the ancients by lighting its ftreets. As
pare with the above Greg. Nazianzeni Orat. 19, and Orat. 2. p. 676 , where the author alludes to the feftival of Eafter. I imagined that I fhould meet with fome orders refpecting illuminations in Conftantine's book $D_{e}$ ceremoniis aule Byzantinc; but I was not fo fortunate as to find any. Reifke fays, in his Annotations, p. 93. a: De illuminationibus et ignibus artificialibus vetezun annotavi quædam ad p. 351 , ubi de hilariis triumphalibus egi ; but thefe notes were unfortunately never printed.

* Plures jam invenies ethnicorum fores fine lucernis et laureis quam Chrilianorum. Tertnilian. De idololatria, cap. xs. p. 523. See alfo his Apologet. cap. 35. p. 178. In both places La Cerda quotes fimilar paffages from other writers. In Concilio Eliberisano, cap. 37 , it was decreed probibendum etiam ne lucernas pubtice accendant. See alfo Gob. Ciampini Vetera monzmenta, in quibus mufiva opera illuffrantur. Romæ 16go. 2 mol. fol. i. p. 90. where, on a piece of mofaic work, faid to be of the fifth century, fome lamps are reprefented hanging over a door.
$\dagger$.. Leipfii Elecia, lib. ii. cap. 3 , in the edition of his works Antwerp 1637, 3 vol. fol. p. 234. Kitpingii Antiquit. Rom. Lugd. Bat. 1713 . 8vo. p. 18 op.
this city，in the beginning of the fixteenth cen－ cury，was much infefted with ftreet robbers and incendiaries，the inhabitants were，from time to time，ordered to keep lights burning，after nine in the evening，before the windows of all the houfes which fronted the ftreet．This order was iffied in the year 1524，and renewed in 1526 and 1553 ；but in the month of October 1558，fallots were erected at the corners of the ftreets，or，when the ftreet was fo long that it could not be lighted by one，three were erected in three different parts of it．Thefe lights had，in a certain meafure，a refemblance to thofe ufed in fome mines；for we are told，in the Grand Vocabulaire，François $\uparrow$ ， that Falot is a large vafe filled with pitch，rofin and other combultibles，employed in the king＇s palace and houfes of princes to light the courts． At that period there were in Paris 912 ftreets； fo that the number of lights then ufed muft have heen lefs than 2736 米．

In the month of November，the fame year，

[^140]thefe lights were changed for lanterns of the like kind as thofe ufed at prefent *. The lighting of the Alrees of Paris continued, however, for a long time to be very imperfect, till the abbé Laudati, an Italian of the Caraffa family, conceived the idea of letting out torches and lanterns for hire. In the month of March 1662, he obtained an exclufive privilege to this eftablifhment for twenty years; and he undertook to erect, at certain places, not only in Paris, but alfo in other cities of the kingdom, booths or pofts where any perfon might hire a link or lantern, or, on paying a certain fum, might be attended through the ftreets by a man bearing a light. He was authorifed to reccive from cuery one who hired a lantern to a coach, five fous, for a quarter of an hour; and from every foot-pafenger three fous. To prevent all difputes in regard to time, it was ordered that a regulated hour-glars thould be carried along with each lantern $\uparrow$.

In I667, however, the lighting of the city of Paris was put on that footing on which it is at prefent. At the fame time the police was greatly improved, and it afierwards ferved as a pattern to
*Felibien, iv. p. j85. The order fays: que an lieu des fallots ardens feront mifes lanternes ardentes et allumantes. .-.
$\dot{+}$ Felibien, i. p. 19r, where the order may be feen in which forte lanterues and porte flambeaux à I inge are mentioned.
moft of the other cities in Europe. Affairs of judicature and thofe refpecting the public police, inftead of being committed, as before, to one magiftrate, called the Lieutenont civil du prevoft de Paris, were by a royal edict, of the month of March in the above year, divided between two peifons. One of them, who had the management of judicial affairs, retained the old title; and the orher, who fuperintended the police, had that of Lieutenant dut prevaft de Paris pour la police, or Lieltcnant genérel de police. The firt lieutenant of police was Nicholas de Reynie, a man who, according to the praifes beftowed on him by Frencl writers, formed an epoch in the hiftory of modern police. In the Hittory of Paris, fo offen already quoted, he is called an enlightened, upright and vigilant magiftrate, as zealous for the fervice of the king as for the good of the public, and who fucceeded fo well in this new office that we may fay, adds the author, it is to him, more than to any other, that we are indebted for the good order which prevails at prefent in Paris. The firit ufeful regulation by which La Reynie rendered a fervice to the police, was that for improving the (guet) night watch, and the lighting of the ftreets *. I can find no complete account of the changes he introduced; but four years after, that

[^141]414 HISTORY OF INV゙ENTIONS。
is, on the 23 d of May, $167 \mathrm{I}^{*}$, an order was made that the lanterns every year fhould be lighted from the 2oth of October till the end of March in the year following, and even during moon-light ; becaufe the latter was of little ufe in bad weather, and even in fine weather was not fufficient to light fome of the moft dangerous ftreets.

Before this period the ftreets were lighted only during the four winter months; and on account of the numberlefs atrocities committed in the night-time, when there were no lights, the Farifians offered to contribute as much money as fhould be fufficient to defray the expence of keeping the lamps lighted throughout the whole winter. The lamps employed by La Reynie were, on account of their likenefs to a bucket, called lanternes à feall $\dot{q}$, and fucceeded thofe invented by one Herault, called lanternes à cul-de.lampe.

When De Sartines held the office of Lieutenant de police, a premium was offered to whoever fhould difcover the moft advantageous means of improving the lighting of the ftreets; and the Academy of Sciences were to decide on the dif-

* Fclibien, t. v. p. 213.
$\dagger$ Dic kunft auf glas zu malen und glasarbeiten zu verfertigen; von LeVieil. Aus dem Franzöf. überfetzt. Nürnberg ${ }_{17} \mathrm{SO}_{0}$ 4to. iii. p. 77.
ferent plans that might be propofed. In confequence of this offer a journeyman glazier, named Goujon, received a premium of 200 livres, and Meffrs. Bailly, le Roy, and Bourgeois de Chateaublanc 2000 livres. To the laft-mentioned gentleman is afcribed the invention of the prefent reverberating lamps, defcribed by La Vieil, which were introduced in 1766 \%.

In a fmall work, called an Effay on Lanterns, by a fociety of literary men $\hat{h}$, which, though written to ridicule antiquarian refearches, and certain perfons at Paris, contains fome authentic information refpecting the lighting of the ftrects, we are told that reverberating lamps were invented by an abbé P. who, therefore, fays the author humouroufly, is the fecond abbé who can boaft of having enlightened the firft city in the world. The fuperiority of thefe lamps cannot be denied; but, befides their expence, they are attended with this difadvantage when they hang in the middle of the ftreet, that they throw a fhade over it, fo that one cannot be known by thofe who pals. In cities alfo where people walk principally in the middle of the ftreets, or where the ftreets are broad, they are not very convenient, and they oc-

[^142]cafion a ftoppage when it is neceffary to clean them.

In the year 1721, the lamps in Paris are faid to Have amounted to 5772 ; but in the Tableau de Paris, printed in 1760, the number is reckoned to be only 5694, and in the Curiofités de Paris, 1771 , they are fated to be 6232 .

In 1777 the road between Paris and Verfailles, which is about nine miles in length, was lighted at the yearly expence of 15000 livres by the fame contractors who lighted Paris. T he city of Nantes was lighted the fame year; and in 1780 had 500 lamps. Strafburgh began to be lighted in 1779 .

If what Maitland fays in his hiftory : be true, that in the year 1414 an order was iffued for hanging out lanterns to light the freets, and if that regulation was continued after the above period, which I very much doubt, then mult it be allowed that London preceded Paris in this ufeful eftablifhment. Maitland refers for his authority to Stow's Survey of London ; but in the edition of that work publifhed in $16_{33}$, I find only, where a lift of the magiftrates is given, the following infurmation: " 14 I 7 Major, Sir Henry Barton, fkinner. This Henry Barton ordained lan-

* Hiftory of London. London xi56. 2 vol. fol. i. p. iS6.
thorns with lights, to bee hanged out on the winter evenings, betwixt Hallontide and Candlemaffe." Nothing more occurs in the new edition of Strype, publifhed in 1700.

In the year 1668 , when feveral regulations were made for improving the ftreets, the Londoners were reminded that they fhould hang out lanterns duly at the accuftomed time*. In the year 1690 this order was renewed, and every houfekeeper was required to hang out a light or lamp, every night, as foon as it was dark, between Michaelmas and Lady-day; and to keep it burning till the hour of twelve at night. In the year 1716 it was ordained by an act of common council, that all houfe-keepers, whofe houfes fronted any ftreet, lane, or public paffage, fhould, in every dark night, that is, every night between the fecond night after every full moon, and the feventh night after every new moon, fet or hang out one or more lights, with fufficient cotton wicks, that fhould continue to burn from fix o'clock at night till eleven o'clock of the fame night, under the penalty of one filling. All thefe regulations, however, feem to have been ineffectual, owing to bad management. The city

* New Hiftory of London, by John Noorthouck. Lond. 1773. 4to. P. 233: For the fafety and peace of the city, all inhabitants were ordered to hang out candles duly at the accuftomed hour.
vol. 1II. Ee was
was lighted by contract, and the contractors for liberty to light it were obliged to pay annually to the city the fum of fix hundred pounds. Befides, the contractors received only fix fhillings per annum from every houfe-keeper whofe rént exceeded ten pounds; and all perfons who hung out a lantern and candle before their houles were exempted from paying towards the public lamps. The ftreets were lighted no more than one hundred and feventeen nights; and as this gave great opportunity to thieves and robbers to commit depredations in the night-time, the lord mayor and common council judged it proper, in the year 1736, to apply to parliament for power to enable them to light the ftreets of the city in a better manner; and an act was accordingly paffed, by which they were empowered to erect a. fufficient number of fuch fort of glafs lamps as they fhould judge proper, and to keep themburning from the fetting to the rifing of the fun throughout the year*. Inftead, therefore, of a thoufand lamps, the number was now increafed to 4679 ; but as thefe even were not fufficient, feveral of the wards made a confiderable augmentation, fo that the whole could amount to no lefs: than 5000 . This, however, was not the amount of all the lamps in London, but of thofe in what is properly called the city and liberties. As this.

[^143]divifion
divifion forms only a fifth part of London, Maitland reckons the whole number of public and private lamps to have been, even at that period, upwards of fifteen thoufand. The time of lighting alfo, which before had been only 750 hours annually, was increafed to five thoufand. In our cities of Lower Saxony, the ftreets of which are not fo dark as thofe of London, the lighting continues 1519 hours.

In the year 1744, owing to the great number of robberies committed in the ftreets during the night; it was found neceffary to apply for another act of parliament to regulate ftill farther the lighting of the city * and at that period this eftablifhment was placed upon that footing on which it now ftands.

The lamps of London, at preferit, are all of cryftal glafs; each is furnifhed with three wicks; and they are affixed to pofts placed at the diftance of a certain number of paces from each other. They are lighted every day in the year at fun-fet. Oxford-ftreet alone is faid to contain more lamps than all Paris. The roads, even, feven or eight miles round London are lighted by fuch lamps; and as thefe roads from the city to different parts are very numerous, the lamps feen from a little

$$
\text { * Maitland, i. p. } 640
$$
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diftance,
diftance, particularly in the county of Surrey, where a great many roads crofs each other, have a beautiful and noble effect *. Birmingham was lighted, for the firlt time, in 1733, with 700 lamps $f$.

It appears that the ftreets of Amfterdam were lighted by lanterns fo early as 1669 ; for in the month of February that year, the magiftrates, who in 1665 had forbidden the ufe of torches, iffued an order againft deftroying the lamp-pofts, to which it was cuftomary to faften horfes $\ddagger$. This order, as well as the inftructions given to the lamp-lighters in 1669 , may be found in a work called the Privileges of the city of Amfterdam. The lanterns were not of glafs, but of horn; for the lamp-lighters were ordered, in their inftructions, to wipe off every day the fmoke of the train-oil which adhered to the horn of the lanterns.

At the Hague an order was iffued in the month of OEtober 1553 , that the inhabitants fhould place

* The above account is taken from Mr. Archenholz (England zun Italien. Leipzig ${ }^{17} 85,8 \mathrm{vo}$. i. p. 141) ; but the information of this author, on account of his great partiality for England, is very doubtful. Compare Nouvelles obfcreations fur l'Angleterre, par un woyageur; Paris 1779: though the author of this work alfo is not confidered as aliogether free from the fame fault.
$\dot{\dagger}$ Huiton's Hiftory of Birmingham, 1781 , Svo. p. 99.
\& Handueften of te privilegien end octroyen de Stad Amftelredam. Te Amftelredam 174S, fol. ii. p. $104 \%$.
jights before their doors during dark nights；and afterwards fmall ftone houfes were erected at the corners of the principal ftreets，in which lights were kept burning；but in the year 1678 lamps were fixed up in all the ftreets＊．

The ftreets of Copenhagen were firf lighted by lamps in 1681；and on the 16 ch of July 1683， new regulations were made，by which the plan was much improved，as well as that of the night－ watch $\uparrow$ ．
The ftreets of Rome are not yet lighted．Six－ tus $V$ was defirous to introduce this improvement in the police，but he met with infurmountable ob． ftacles．In order however that the benefit of light－ ing might be enjoyed in fome meafure，he ordered the number of the lights placed before the images of faints to be augmented＊．De la Lande fays，in his Travels，that Venice bad been lighted for fome years before the period when he wrote，by 3000 lamps \｜．Meffina \＄，and Palermo $\pi$ ，in Sicily， are bork lighted．

[^144]Madrid, which till lately was the dirtieft of all the capital cities of Europe, is at prefent as wel! lighted as London*. Valencia in Spain was fome years ago indebted for this improvement to Joachim Manuel Fos, then infpector of the manufactories qu. Barcelona is lighted alfo t. Lifbon however has no lights.

The ftreets of Philadelphia are lighted, and on each fide there is a foot-pavement \|.

In the year 1672 , the council of Hamburgh made a propofal to the citizens for lighting the ftreets. The year following this propofal was accepted, but the lamps were not fixed up till two years after, that is to fay in 1675 §.

In the year 1679 , Berlin had advanced fo far towards this improvement, that the inhabitants were

* See Twifs and Dalrymple's Travels.
+ Ueber Sitten, temperament, alterthümer und die gerichtshöfc Spaniens. Aus dem Franzöfifchen. Leipz. 1781, 2 vol. 8ro. i. p. 86.
$\ddagger$ Travels through Spain, by Henry Swinburne. London 3779, 4 to.
|| Burnaby's Travels through North America.
Von Griefheims Anmerkungen über den tractat, die Staçi Hamburg, p. 223. Nucleus receffurm ot conventuum Hamburgenfium; Altona 1705 , fol. art. Lighting. Sammlung der Hamburg ifoben mandate, befchle u.f. w. vol. i. p. 321 , and ii. p. 584 ; where pnay be found the rigid infructions given to the lamp-lighters and thofe who had the care of the lamps.
obliged in turns to hang out a lantern with a light at every third houfe. In 1682 , the elector Frederick William caufed lamp-pofts with lamps to be erected, notwithttanding the oppofition made by the inhabitants on account of the expence. In a petition which they prefented in 1680, they ftated that the lamps coft 5000 dollars, and that 3000 were required yearly to keep them lighted. At prefent Berlin has 2354 lamps, which are kept lighted from September till May, and at the king's expence. Porfdam has $590^{*}$.

Vienna began to be lighted in the year 1687. The lights were hung out in the evening on a fignal given by the fire-bell + . In $\mathbf{1 7 0 4}$, lamps were introduced ; but at firf the light which they afforded was very imperfect, as the lamps burned badly, and becaufe, to fave the expence of lamp-lighters, every houfekeeper was obliged daily to remove the empty lamps, to carry them to the lamp-office to be filled, and to light them again on a fignal given with a bell. In ${ }^{1776}$, the lamps, which before amounted to 2000 , were increafed to 3000 , and a contract was entered into for lighting them at the rate of 30,000 florins. Thefe lamps were invented by counfellor Sonnenfels, and amount now to

* Nicolai Berfchreibung von. Berlin und Potfdam, p. 308, 97.
$\dagger$ Codex Aufriacus. Vienna 1704, fol. p. 5 14; and Supplement, i. p. 993.

Ec4
3445.

3445 *. They are made of white glafs, in a globular form, and have a covering of tin plate, painted red on the outfide and polifhed within. They are fupported by lamp-irons, fixed in the houfes at the height of fifteen feet from the earth. Each lantern is only fixteen paces diftant from the other, fo that the ftreets are completely illuminated. They are kept lighted both fummer and winter, whether the moon thines or not; and this is more neceffary at Vienna than any where elfe, on account of the height of the houfes and the narrownefs and crookednefs of the ftreets. The lamp-lighters wear an uniform, and are under military difcipline. In 1783 , the yearly expence of the lamps was eftimated at only 17,000 florins $\psi$.

Leipzig was lighted in 1702, and Drefden in $1705 \ddagger$. $\operatorname{In} 1766$, the number of lamps at the latter amounted only to 728 , for the lighting of which oil of rape-feed was employed.

In Caffel the ftreets began to be lighted under the landgrave Charles, in 1721 ; but as regulations were not made fufficient to fupport this improvement, it was at length dropped. It was however

* Neuefte befchreibung aller merkwürdigkeiten Wiens. Wien 1779, 8 vo . p. 14.
$\dagger$ Nicolai Befchreibung einer reife, iii. p. 212, 214.
$\ddagger$ The regulations may be found in Corlex. Auryficus, i. p. 1721, 1727. Sec alfo Schmieders Policey ron Sachjen, p. 315 .
revived in $174^{*}$, and in 1778 the number of the lamps was increafed to 1013, befides thofe at the landgrave's palace.

Hanover was lighted in $1696 \dagger$, Halle in 1728 小, and Gottingen in 1735. Brunfwick fince 1765 has had 1565 lamps $\|$. Zurich has been lighted fince $\mathbf{1 7 7 8}$, but the lamps are very few in numper §.

## NIGHT-WATCH.

THE eftablifhment of thofe people who are obliged to keep watch in the Atreets of cities during the night, belongs to the oldeft regulations of police. Such watchmen are mentioned in the Song of Solomon, and they occur alfo in the book of

* Schminke, Befchreibung der refidenz-ftadt Caffel, r767, 8vo. p. 329.
$\dagger$ Du Plat, Situations-riffe der chauffeen des Churfürfenth. Brunfchw. Luneb. Hannover 1780, 4to. i. p. 71.
$\ddagger$ Von Dreyhaupts Befchreibung des Saalkreifes, ii. p. 379.
|| Brunfchw. Intelligenz-Blatt, 1785. Handbuch für kaufleut, - 984 , p. 18.
§ Anth. Werdmuller, Memorabilia Tigurina, oder Merkwürdigkeiten der Stadt Zürich. 1780, 4to. i. p. 350.

Pfalms.

Pfalms *. Athens, and other cities of Greece, had at lealt fentinels pofted in various parts; and fome of the thefmotbete were obliged to vifit them: from time to time, in order to keep them to their duty i. At Rome there were triumviri noiturni, cobortes vigilum, \& c . +

The object of all thefe inftitutions feems to have been rather the prevention of fires than the guard-

* The watchmen that go about the city found me: to whom I faid, Saw ye hine whom my foul loveth? Song of Solomon, chap. iii. ver. 3. The watchmen that went about the city found me, theyfinote me, they wounded me; the keepers of the walls took away my veil. Ibid. chap. v. ver. 7. Except the Lord build the houfe, they labour in vain that build it : except the Loid keep the city, the watchman watcheth but in vain. Pfalm exxvii, ver. I.
\# They were called $x \omega \delta$ wivs $\varphi 0,006$ bell-bearers or bellmen, becaufe, while going the rounds, they gave a fignal with their bell $\varepsilon_{\text {, }}$ which the fentinels were obliged iminediately to anfwer. See the Scholiafts on the Aves of Ariftophanes, ver: 841, whofe words have been inferted by Varinus in his Dictionnary, p. 461. To
 lux, viii. cap. 9,105 , where fome however read $\chi \omega_{f} \alpha{ }^{2}$. Dio Caffius, lib. liv. 4. p. 733, fays: The watchmen in the different quarters of the city have fmall bells, that they may make fignals to each

 bells therefore did not ferve for announcing the hours, as fome lave imagined.
> $\ddagger$ Sec Crufii Comment. de nogi, cap. 5 , in Sallengre, Thefaur. antiq: ii. p. $8_{3} 6$, and C. C2. Ileubach, Comment. de politia Romanorum. Gottingere9r, fto. p. 54, i2.

Fing againft nocturnal alarms or danger ; though in the courfe of time attention was paid to thefe alfo. When Auguftus wifhed to ftrengthen the nightwatch, for the purpofe of fupprefing nocturnal commotions, he ufed as a pretext the apprehenfion of fires only *. The regulations refpecting thefe watchmen, and the difcipline to which they were fubjected, were almolt the fame as thofe for nightfentinels in camps during the time of war; but it does not appear that the night-watchmen in cities were obliged to prove their prefence and vigilance by finging, calling out, or by any other means. Signals were made by the patroles alone, with bells, when the watchmen wifhed to fay any thing to each other. Singing by fentinels, in time of war, was cuftomary, at leaft among fome nations ; but in all probability that practice was not common in the time of peace $\dagger$.

Calling out the hours feems to have been firft practifed after the erection of city gates, and, in

[^145]my opinion, to have taken its rife in Germany ; though indced it mult be allowed that fuch a regulation would have been very ufeful in ancient Rome, where there were no clocks, and where people had nothing in their houfes to announce the hours in the night-time. During the day, people could know the hours after water-clocks had been conftructed at the public expence, and placed in open buildings erected in various parts of the city. The cafe feems to have been the fame in Greece; and rich families kept particular fervants, both male and female, whofe bufinefs it was to announce to their mafters and miftreffes certain periods of the day, as pointed out by the city clocks. Thefe fervants confifted principally of boys and young girls, the latter being deftined to attend on the ladies. It appears however, that in the courfe of time water-clocks were kept alfo in the palaces of the great : at any rate Trimalchio, the celebrated voluptuary, mentioned in Petronius, had one $\therefore$ in his dining-room, and a fervant ftationed near it to proclaim the progrefs of the hours, that his maftel might know how much of his lifetime was fpent; for he did not wifh to lofe a fingle moment without enjoying pleafure *.

I have not read every thing that has been writ-

* Trimaichio, lautiffuns homo, horologium in triclinio, et buccinatore:n habet fubornatum, uti fubinde fciat, quantum de vita perdiderit. Cup, xavi, p. Gz。
ten by others on the divifion of time among the ancients; but after the refearches I have made, I mult confeís that I do not know whether the hours were announced in the night-time to thofe who wifhed and had occafion to know them. There were then no clocks which ftruck the hours, as has been already faid; and as water-clocks were both fcarce and expenfive, they could not be procured by labouring people, to whom it was of molt importance to be acquainted with the progrefs of time *. It would therefore have been an ufeful and neceffary regulation to have caufed the watchmen in the ftreets to proclaim the hours, which they could have known from the public waterclocks, by blowing a horn, or by calling out.

It appears however, that people mult have been foon led to fuch an inftitution, becaufe the above merhods had been long practifed in war. The periods for mounting guard were determined by water-clocks; at each watch a horn was blown, and every one could by this fignal know the hour of

[^146]the night*; but I have met with no proof that thefe regulations were eftablifhed in cities during the time of peace, though many modern writers

* Vegetius De re milit. iii. 8: In quatuor partes ad clepfydram funt divife vigilix, ut non amplius quam tribus horis nocturnis neceffe fit vigilare. That C æfar had fuch clocks, may be concluded from the obfervation which he makes in his Commentaries on the length of the day in the iflands near Ireland : Nos nihil de eo percunctationibus reperiebamus, nifi certis ex aqua menfuris, breviores effe noctes; quam in continente, videbamus. Maternus, in Römifche alterth:ìmer, iii. p. 4广, endeavours to prove by what Suetonius relates of Domitian, cap. 16, that this prince had in his palace neither a fun-dial nor a water-clock. But what kind of a proof! Domitian afked what the hour was, and fome one anfwered, the fixth. Such infiguificant dial probants have been banifhed from philofophy by the moderns, and ought they not to be banifhed from antiquities likewife? The often-quoted paffage alfo of Valerius Maximus, viii. 7,5 , proves nothing, unlefs we firft adopt the amendment of Green. Carneades, it is faid, was fo engaged in the fudy of philofophy, that he would have forgot his meals had not Meliffa put him in mind of them. Green reads monitrix domeftica; but Valerius fays Meliffa, quam zuxoris loco babcbat. See Greerii Lib. de ruflicat. Roman. cap.9, in Sallengre, Thefaurus antiq. Rom. i. p. 72 r. A paffage likewife in Pliny's Epittes, iii. i. p. 181: Ubi bora balinci nunciuta eff, does not properly prove that it alludes to onc of thofe boys who announced the hours. That fuch ferzants however were kept, is evident from the undoubted teftimony of variotis authors. Martial, viii. ep. 67 : Horas quinque puer nondum tibi nunciat. Fiven. Sat. x. 216. Sencea De brevit. vila, c. 12. Alciphron, Epif. lib. iii. p. 28z: Theocares non prius occupat torum, nifi ci fervus accurrens indicat fextam effe. Still ftronger is a paffagc of Sidon. Apollin. ii. ep. 9. p. 120: Ecce et ab archimagiro adventans, qui tempus inftare curandi corpora commoneret. Quem quidem nuncium per Spatia clepfydrarum
have not liefitated to refer to the night-watch in cities what alludes only to noeturnal guards in the time of war *. On the contrary, I am fill more ftrongly inclined to think, that ancient Rome was entirely deftitute of fuch a police eftablifhment. The bells borne by the night watchmen were ufed only by the patroles, as we are exprefsly told, or to give fignals upon extraordinary occafions, fuctr as that of a fire, or when any violence had been committed. Cicero, comparing the life of a civil with that of a military officer, fays, "The former is awaked by the crowing of the cock, and the latter by the found of the trumpet." The former therefore had no other means of knowing the hours of the night but by attending to the noife made by that animal $\dot{+}$. An ancient poet fays;
horarum incrementa fervantem probabat competenter. A pafo fage in the thirteenth epifle of the fame book, p. 139 , belongs alfo to this fubject: Cujus fpatia vitæ fic cuflodiebantur, ut per horarum difpofitas clepfydras explicarentur. That there were maid-fervants for announcing the hours is proved by Hefy=

$*$ To night-watching in the time of war the following paffages allude: Propert. iv. 4.62 : Et jam quarta canit venturam buccina lucem. Silius Ital. vii. 155 : Mediam fomni cum buccina noctem divideret. Livius, vii. $35 \cdot \mathrm{p}$. 609 : Ubi fecundæ vigiliæ buccina datum fignum effet. Seneca, Tbyef. v. 798 : Tertia mifit buccina fignum.
$\dagger$ Vigilas tu de nocte, ut tuis confultoribus refpondeas; ille ut eo, quo intendit, mature cum exercitu perveniat. Te gallorum, illum buccinarum cantus exfufcitat. Cic. Orat. pro Murcena, cap. 22.
that the cock is the trumpeter which awakens people in the time of peace *. The ancients indeed underftood much better than the vulgar at prefent, who are already too much accuftomed to clocks, how to determine the periods of the night by obferving the fars; but here I am fpeaking of capital cities, and in thefe people are not very fond of quitting their beds to look at the fars, which are not always to be feen.

Without entering into farther refearches refpecting watchmen among the ancient Greeks and Ro. mans, I fhall prove, by fuch teftimonies as I am acquainted with, that the police eftablifhment of which I fpeak, is more modern in our cities than one might fuppofe. But I muft except Paris ; for it appears that night-watching was eftablifhed there, as at Rome, in the commencement of its monarchy. De la Mare $\dagger$ quotes the ordinances on this fubject of Clothaire II, in the year 595, of Charlemagne, and of the following periods. At firt the citizens were obliged to keep watch in turns, under the command of a miles gueti, who was called alfo chevalier. The French writers remark on this circumftance, that the term $\varepsilon^{g u t}$, which occurs in

[^147] the
the oldelt ordinances, was formed from the German words wache, wacht, the guard, or watch; and in like manner feveral other ancient German military terms, fuch as bivouac, landfquenet, \&c. * have been retained in the French language. In the courfe of time, when general tranquillity prevailed, a cultom was gradually introduced of avoiding the duty of watching by paying a certain fum of money, until at length permanent compagnies de guet were eftablinhed in Paris, Lyons, Orleans, and afterwards in other cities.

If I am not miftaken, the eftablifhment of fingle watchmen, who go through the ftreets and call out the hours, is peculiar to Germany, and was copied only in modern times by our neighbours. The antiquity of it however I will not venture to determine. At Berlin, the elector John George appointed watchmen in the year 1588 †; but in 1677 there were none in that capital, and the city officers were obliged to call out the hours \$. Montagne, during his travels in 1580 , thought the calling out of the night-watch in the German cities a very

[^148]fingular cuftom. "The watchmen," fays he, " went about the houfes in the night-time, not fo much on account of thieves as on account of fires and other alarms. When the clocks ftruck, the one was obliged to call out aloud to the other, and to afk what it was o'clock, and then to wifh him a good night *." This circumftance he remarks alfo when fpeaking of Infpruck. Mabillon likewife, who made a literary tour through Germany, defcribes calling out the hours as a practice altogether peculiar to that country - .

The horn of our watchmen feems to be the buccina of the ancients, which, as we know, was at firt an ox's horn, though it was afterwards made of metal + . Rattles, which are moft proper for
*Reifen. Halle ${ }_{7757} 2$ vol. 8 vo. i. p. 172 and 237.

+ Muræ primum obfervavimus, quod in omnibus ferme Germaniæ locis obfervatur, ut unus famulorum propter incendiii periculum noctu excubias agat, et fingulis ab ignitegio horis, id elt ab hora octava in hyeme, nona in æflate, quæłlam verba variis in locis proclamet, ut fe vigilem probet. Audite quid dicturus fim, inquit ille in æftate hora nona, infonat hora nona, extingnite lnmen et ignem, int nos Deus cum Maria tutetur. In aliis horis fignificat, talem infonuiffe horam. Id fit, inquam, propter incendii periculum, quod in Germaniæ partibus maximum eff, quoniam abietinis liguis fere omnia conftant, ut etiam in plerifque locis pro tegulis funt afleres abiegni. Iter Germaiticum. Hamburgi 1717, 8vo. p. 26.
$\ddagger$ Lipfus De milit. Rom. iv. 10. p. 199. Bo: bert. Hierozoic. i. p. 317 and 426 .
citics,
cities, as horns are for villages, feem to be of later invention *. The common form, "Hear, my malters, and let me tell you," is very old. I am not the only perfon to whom this queftion has occurred, why it flould not rather be " Ye people or citizens." The chancellor von Ludwig deduces it from the Romans, who, as he fays, were more liberal with the word Mafter, like our neighbours with Meffieurs, than the old Germans $\dagger$; but the Roman watchmen did not call out, nor yet do the French at prefent. If I may be allowed a conjecture on fo trifling an object, I hould fay that the city fervanes or beadles were the firft perfons appointed to call out the hours, as was the cafe at Berlin. Thefe therefore called out to their mafters, and "Our mafters" is fill the ufual appellation given to the magiftrates in old cities, particularly in the Empire, and in Swifferland. At Gottingen the ancient form was abolithed in the year r79r, and the watchmen call out now, "The clock has ftruck ten, it is ten o'clock."'

[^149]Watchmen

Watchmen who were ftationed on Ateeples by day as well as by night, and who, every time the clock ftruck, were obliged to give a proof of their vigilance by blowing a horn, feem to have been eftablifhed on a permanent footing, firft in Germany, and perhaps before watchmen in the ftreets. In England, there are none of thefe watchmen *; and in general they are very rare beyond the boundaries of Germany. That watchmen were pofted on the tops of towers, in the earlieft ages, to look out for the approach of an enemy, is well known, and has been fufficiently proved by Faber, my friend and former pupil $\dagger$. In the times of feudal diffenfion, when one chief, if he called in any affiftance, could often do a great deal of hurt to a large city, either by plundering and burning the fuburbs and neighbouring villages, or by driving away the cattle of the citizens, and attacking fingle travellers $\ddagger$, fuch precaution was more neceffary than at prefent. The nobility therefore kept in their ftrong cafles watchmen, ftationed on towers ; and this practice prevailed in other councries befides Ireland and Burgundy $\|$. It appears by the laws

* Phyfikal œconom. biblioth. v. p. 294.
$\$$ Archæologie der Hebräer. Halle 1773, 8vo. i. p. iSi.
+ Thofe who have rearl ancient annalifts muft recollect many inftunces of this kind ; but at all events they may be found by recurring to Von Falkenfcin's Hiftoric von Erfutt.
$\|$ This is proved by profeftor Fifcher in Sitten der Europï̈er
of Wales, that a watchman with a born was kept in the king's palace *. The German princes had in their cattles, at any rate in the fixteenth century, tower-watchmen, who were obliged to blow a horn every morning and evening $\psi$.

At firft, the citizens themfelves were obliged to keep watch in turns on the church-fteeples, as well as at the town-gates; as may be feen in a policeordinance of the city of Einbeck $\$$ in the year 1573. It was the duty of thefe watchmen, efpecially where there were no town clocks, to announce certain periods, fuch as thofe of opening and fhutting the city-gates $\|$. The idea of giving orders
im fiunficin jabrthunderte. Frankf. 1784, 8vo. p. 96. from the teftimony of Richard Stanihurt in De rebus in Hibervia gefis, lib. i. p. 33, and from other works.

* Leges Wallix. Lond. ${ }^{7} 730$, fol.
+In Meiners and Spittlers Hiftorifches magazin, i. p. 45, mention is made, in a letter of a landgrave, dated 1561 , of a towerwatchman, who was obliged to blow his horn in the caftle morning and evening.
$\ddagger$ It may be found in Dafelifilie und Eimbeckicche Chronica, durch Letzncrum. Erfurt 1596, fol, vol. vi. p. 106. The perfon whofe turn it was to watch at the gates, was obliged to perform the duty himfelf, or to caufe it to be performed by a fufficient: young citizen. Thofe who attended to trade, and neglected the watch, paid for every omiffion one mark to the council. The cafe was the fame with the watch on the tower in the marketplace.
|| Hiftorifch-diplomatiche abhandlung vom urprung der Radt Roltock, p. 25, 62.
to thefe watchmen to attend not only to danger from the enemy but from fire alfo, and, after the introduction of public clocks, to prove their vigilance by making a fignal with their horn, mult have naturally occurred ; and the utility of this regulation was fo important that watchmen on fteeples were retained even when cities, by the prevalence of peace, had no occafion to be apprehenfive of hoftile incurfions.

After this period perfons were appointed for the particular purpofe of watching; and fmall apartments were conitructed for them in the feeples. At firft they were allowed to have their wives with them; but this was fometimes prohibited, becaure a profanation of the church was apprehended. In moft, if not in all, cities, the town-piper, or, as we fay at prefent, town-mufician was appointed fteeplewatchman; and lodgings were affigned to him in the fteeple; but, in the courfe of time, as thefe were too high and too inconvenient, a houfe was given him near the church, and he was allowed to fend one of his fervants or domettics to keep watch in his ftead. This is the cafe ftill at Gottingen. The city mufician was called formerly the bausmann, which name is ftill retained here as well as at the Hartz, in Halle, and feveral other places; and the flecple in which he ufed to dwell and keep watch was called the bausmann's tburm*. There eftablifh

[^150]eftablifhments, however, were not general ; and were not every where formed at a period equally early, as svill be fhewn by the proofs which I fhall here adduce.

If we can credit an Arabian author, whofe Travels were publifhed by Renaudot, the Chinefe were accuftomed, fo early as the ninth century, to have watchmen pofted on towers, who announced the hours of the day as well as of the night, by ftriking or beating upon a fufpended board *. Marcus Paulus, who, in the thirteenth century, travelled through Tartary and China, confirms this account; at leaft in regard to a city which he calls Quinfai, though he fays that fignals were

Creyfes. Halle ${ }^{1} 773$, Svo. ii. p. 44. Frijch, Teut ches-Wörter- $^{\text {- }}$ buch. Zeitfuchs, Stolbergifche biforie; Frankf. I717, 4to. p. 59. Vulpius, Magdeburgijche gefchichte, 1702, 4to. p. 300. Vulpius, Wär.eluro 1700 , 4to. p. 33 and 37. In the Berlin police ordinance of the year 158c, which may be found in Kiiffers Alte u. n. Berlin, iii. p. 353 , it was ordered that the ratbs-tburn oder bausmann, fteeple watchman or city mufician, fhould attend at weddings with mufic for the accuftomed pay, but only till the hour of nine at night, in order that he might then blow his horn on the fteeple, and place the night-watch.

* Anciennes relations des Indes et de la Chine. Paris i 1 I8, 8vo. p. 25, 192. The credibility of thefe travels, which are highly worthy of attention, has been of late much confirmed in Notices des manuucrits $d u$ Roi, i. p. 157, as they were formerly in Memoires de l'Académie des Infcriptions, xxxvii. p. 477. See alfo Merıél's Biblioth. Dif. ii. 2. p. 117.
given only in cafes of fire and difturbance *. Such boards are ufed in China even at prefent + ; and in Peterfburg the watchmen, who are ftarioned at fingle houfes or in certain parts of the city, are accuftomed to announce the hours by beating on a furpended plate of iron. Such boards are fill ufed by the Chritians in the Levant to affemble people to divine fervice, either becaufe they dare not ring belis or are unable to purchafe them. The former is related by Tournefort of the inhabitants of the Grecian iflands \$, and the latter by Chardin of the Mingrelians $\|$. The like means
* De regionibus oriental. edit. Mulleri 1671, 4to. p. 120. Algemeine biftorie der reifen, vii. p. 100.
$\dagger$ Martini Atlas Sinenf. p. 17. Matches, or links, to which alarums are fometimes added, are employed in China to point out the hours; and thefe are announced by watchmen placed on towers, who beat a drum. See Algemeine biforic der reifen, vi. p. 289, 29o. Kampfer's Gefchichte und befchreibung von '7apan, ii. p. 28, or the French tranflation $H_{j} f_{c i}$ ire de Fapon, Amfterdam J $73^{2}$. I 2 mo. ii. p. 175 , where the mention of matches is omitted. Thunberg's Refa uti Europa, Africa, Afn, iii. p. 99: "Time is meafured here not by clocks or hour-glaffes, but by burning matches, which are plaited like ropes, and have knots on them. When the match burns to a knot, which marks a particular lapfe of time, the hour is announced, during the day, by a certain number of flrokes on che bells in the temples; and in the night by watchinen who go round and give a like fignal with two pieces of board, which they knock againt each other:"
$\ddagger$ Voyage du Levant, i. p. 45, where a figure is given of the inllrument, which fill retains the ancient names explained by Reifice ormairpeiv and orpavirpoicv.
|| Voyages, edition of Routn, 12 mo i. p. 180.
were employed in monafteries, at the earlieft pesiods, to give notice of the hours of prayer, and to awaken the monks *. Mahomet, who in his form of worfhip borrowed many things from the Chriftians of Syria and Arabia, adopted the fame method of afiembling the people to prayers; but when he remarked that it appeared to his followers to favour too much of Chriftianity, he again introduced the practice of calling out.

The fleeple-watchmen in Germany are often mentioned in the fourteenth and fifteenth century. In the year 1351, when the council of Erfurt renewed that police ordinance which was called the $z \sharp c b t b r i e f$, letter of difcipline, becaufe ir kept the people in proper fubjection, it was ordered, befides other regulations in regard to fire, that two watchmen thould be pofted on every fteeplet. A watchman of this kind was appointed at Merfeburg $\ddagger$ and Leifnig fo early as the year $1400 \|$. In the beginning of the feventeenth century the townpiper of Leifnig lived fill in apartments in the

* A great deal of important information, which is as yet too little known, has been collected on this fubject by Reifke, on Cionfantini Lib. de ceremoniis auldo Byzant. ii. p. 74.
+ Von Falkenfeins Hiftoric von Erfurt. Erfurt 1739, 2 vol. 4to. i. p. 249 .
$\ddagger$ Vulpius, Gefchichte der Stadt Märfeburg. Quedlinburg, 1700, 4to. P. 37.

If Kamprads Leifnigker chronika, Leifnig 1753, 4to. p. 390. fteeple.
fteeple. In the year 1563 , a church-Reeple was erected in that place, and an apartment built in it for a permanent watchman, who was obliged to announce the hours every time the clock. ftruck *.

In the fifteenth century the city of Ulm kept permanent watchmen in many of the fteeples i. In the year 1452 a bell was fufpended 'in the tower of the cathedral of Franckfort on the Mayn, which was to be rung in times of feudal alarm, and all the watchmen on the fteeples were ther to blow their horns and hoift their banners \%. In the year 1476 , a room for the watchman was conftruched in the fteeple of the church of St. Nicholas \|. In the year 1509, watchmen were kept both on the watch-towers and fteeples, who gave notice by firing a mufket when ftrangers approached. The watchman on the tower of the cathedral immediately announced, by blowing a trumper, whether the ftrangers were on foot or on horfeback; and at the fame time hung out a red flag towards the quarter in which he abferved them advancing.

[^151]The fame watchman was obliged, likewife, to blow his horn on an alarm of fire; and that thefe people might be vigilant day and night, both in winter and fummer, the council fupplied them with fur-cloaks, feven of which, in the above-mentioned year, were purchafed for ten florins and a half *.

In the year 1496, the large clock was put up in the fteeple of Oettingen, and a perfon appointed to keep watch on it $\dagger$. In I 580 , Montagne was much furprifed to find on the fteeple at Conftance a man who kept watch there continually; and who, on no account, was permitted to come down from his ftation *.

## LEAF-SKELETONS.

P
LANTS, as well as animals, are organifed bor dies, and like them their parts may be diffected and decompofed by art ; but the anatomy of the

* Von Lerfners Chronica von Trankf. i. p. 374 .
$\dagger$ This is related in the Oettingyijbes Gefobichts-almanach, p. 7. on the authority of an account in the parifh-books of Oettingen, faid to be extracted from an ancient chronicle of that town. The author of this almanack, which is now little known, was, as I have been told, Schablen, fuperintendant at Oettingen. I have the edition of 1783 , with the calendar. It confịts of 232 pages in octavo.
$\ddagger$ Reife, i. p. 180 .
former has not been cultivated fo long and with fo much zeal and fuccefs as that of animals. Some naturalift., about the beginning of the laft century, firft began to make it an object of attention, to compare the ftructure of plants with that of animals; and for that purpofe to employ the microfcope. Among thefe, two diftinguilhed themfelves in a particular manner: Marcellus Malpighi *, an Italian ; and Nehemiah Grew $\downarrow$, an Englifhman ; who both undertook almoft the fame experiments and made them known at the fame time; fo that it is impoffible to determine which of them was the earlieft. It appears, however, that Grew publifhed fome of his obfervations a little fooner; but Malpighi was prior in making his known in a complete manner. But even allowing that the one had received hints of the proceffes of the other, they are both entitled to praife that each made experiments of his own, and from thefe prepared figures, which are always more correct the nearer they correfpond with each other.

Among the various helps towards acquiring a knowledge of the anatomy of plants, one of the principal is the art of reducing to fkeletons leares, fruit and roots ; that is, of freeing them from their foft, tender and pulpy fubflance, in fuch a man-

> * Haller's Biblioth. ko:an. i. p. 5 S2.
> + Ibid. p. 562.
ner, that one can furvey alone their internal, harder veffels in their entire connection. This may be done by expofing the leaves to decay for fome time foaked in water, by which means the fofter parts will be diffolved, or at leaft feparated from the internal harder parts, fo that one, by carefully wiping, preffing and rinfing them, can obtain the latter alone perfectly entire. One will poffefs then a tiflue compofed of innumerable woody threads or filaments, which, in a multiplicity of ways, run through and interfect each other. By fufficient practice and caution one may detach, from each fide of a leaf, a very thin covering, between which lies a delicate web of exceedingly tender veffels. Thefe form a woody net, between the mehhes of which fine glandules are diftributed. This net is double, or, at leaft, can be divided lengthwife into halves, between which may be obferved a fubfance that appears as it were to be the marrow of the plant. Perfons who are expert often fucceed fo far, with many leaves, as to feparate the external covering, on both fides, from the woody net, and to fplit the latter into two, fo that the whole leaf feems to be divided into four.

One might conjecture that this method of reducing leaves to fkeletons muft have been long known, as one frequently finds in ponds leaves which have dropped from the neighbouring trees, and which by decompofition, without the affitance
of art, have been converted into fuch a woody reer, quite perfect and entire. It is however certain, that a naturalift about the year 1645 firft conceived the idea of employing decompofition for the purpofe of making leaf-fleletons, and of affift= ing it by ingenious operations of att.

This naturalift, Marcus Aurelius Severinus; profeffor of anatomy and furgery at Naples, was born in 1580 , and died of the plague in $1656^{*}$. In his Zootomia Democritaa, printed in 1645 , he gave the figure, with a defcription of a leaf of the ficus cpurtia reduced to a ikeleton. Of the particular procefs employed to prepare this leaf, the figure of which is very coarfe and indiftinct, he gives no account. He fays only that the foft fubflance was fo diffolved that the veffels or nerves alone remained; and that he had been equally fuccefful with a leaf of the palm-rree + . A piece of

* Haller's Biblioth. anatom. i. p. 367 , where he is called, improperly, profeflor of anatomy and botany.
$\dagger$ This book was printed at Nuremberg, in quarto, under the infpection of J. Gcorge Volkamer, who became acquainted with the author during his travels, and had obtained from him the manufeript, in order that it might be publifhed. The following paffage occurs, p. $\sigma_{3}$ : E foliis mihi, quod admiratione digniffinum obtigit, eft folium ficus opuntix, refolutun parte carnofa, iefiduis tantummodo venis aut nervis, fific vocandifunt. In p. $\sigma_{5}$, the author fays: Ita non diffimilis venarum vegetabilium, et fentientium omnium ufus cft ct fabricatio, pof hanc plantam fecunda eft opificio folium, quod primoribus intereft foliis palma.
of a leaf of the like kind he fent, by Thomas Bartholin, to Olaus Wormius, who caufed it to be engraved on copper, in a much neater manner, without faying any thing of the method in which it had been prepared*. The procels Severin kept fecret; but he communicated it to Bartholin, in a letter, on the 25 th of February, 1645 , on condition that he would difclofe it to no one i. At that period, however, it excited very little attention; and was foon forgotten, though in the year 1685 one Gabriel Clauder made known that he had reduced vine-leaves, the calyx of the winter cherry, and a root of hemlock, to a net or tiffue by burying them in fand during the heat of fummer, and hanging them up fome months in the open air till they were completely dried $\$$.

Carne enim fua refolutum rectis fecundum corpus fibris ac tranfverfis contextum eft fic, ut ftamina duplicia linteorum pannorum referat.

* Mufeum Wormianum. Lugd. Bat. 1655 , fol. p. 149.
$\dagger$ Bartholini Epittolarum medicinal. centuria i. Hagæ Com. ${ }^{1740}$, 8vo. p. 269. The following are the words of Severin, who feems, indeed, not to have been able to exprefs himfelf in a very clear manner: Verum me ineptum ! quid præterieram opuntii folii refolutionem artificiofam? Parabitur hæc porro fimplici tabefactu per affufam uberem aquam, tamdiu complexuram, dum fibris lignea duritie veftibilibus omnis exfolvatur carnea portio. Qure tibi ars ad analyfes plerafquc felici foli fervator, nulli pre. terquam Achati revelanda. Fuit huic fabricæ opificium divinum in Dendranatome mea parva, apud Zootomiam, enarratum.
$\ddagger$ Ephemerid. Nat. Curiof. dec. 2, an. 4. p. 285.

This art was confidered to be of much more importance when it was again revived by the wellknown Dutchman, Frederick Ruyfch. That naturalift found means to conduct all his undertakings and labours in fuch a manner, as to excite great wonder; but we muft allow him the merit of hav. ing brought the greater part of them to a degree of perfection which no one had attained before. By the anatomy of animals, in which he was eminently fkilled, he was led to the diffection of plants; and as it feemed impoffible to fill their tender veffels, like thofe of animals, with a coloured folid fubftance *, he fell upon a method of feparating the hard parts from the foft, and of preferving them in that manner.

* The well-known Sir John Hill, an Englifhman, has proved, however, in latter times, the poffibility of injecting a fubftance into the veffels of plants alfo. He diffolved fugar of lead in water, fufpended in it bits of the fineft wood, fo that one-half of thems was under water and the other above it, and covered the veffel in which they were placed with an inverted glafs. At the end of two days he took the bits of wood out, cut off the part which had been immerfed in the water, dipped them in a warm lye made of unflaked lime and orpiment, like what was ufed formerly for proving wine ; and by thefe means the fineft weffels, which had been before filled with fugar of lead, acquired a daik colour, and their apertures became much more diftinct. This procefs he deferibes himfelf in The Conftruction of Timber, two editions of which were publifhed in the year 1770, one in folio and the other in octavo. The whole account may be found in the latter, p. 33.

For this purpofe, he firtt tried a method which he had employed, with uncommon fuccefs, in regard to the parts of animals. He covered the leaves and fruit with infects, which ate up the fofe or pulpy parts, and left onl! thofe that were hard. But however well thefe infects, which he called his little affiftants, may have executed their tafk, they did nor abftain altogether from the folid parts, fo that they never produced a complete flkeleton. He difmiffed them, therefore, and endeavoured to execute with his own fingers what he had before caufed the infects to perform, after he had feparated the foff parts from the hard by decompofition. In this he fucceeded fo perfectly, that all who faw his fkeletons of leaves or fruit were aftonifhed at the finenefs of the work, and wifhed to imitate them.

I cannot exactly determine the year in which Ruyfch began to prepare thefe fkeletons. Tiew thinks that it mut have been when he was in a very advanced age, or at any rate after the year 1718 ; for when he was adpitted to Ruyfch's collection in that year, he obferved none of thefe curiofities. Rundmann, however, faw fome of them in his poffeffion in the year 1708*. At filft Ruyfch endeavoured to keep the procefs a fecret, and to evade giving direct anfwers to the queftions

[^152]YOL. III.
G g
of
of the curious. We are informed by Rundmann, that he attempted to imitate his art by burying leaves at the end of harveft in the earth, and leaving them there till the fpring, by which their fuft parts became fo tender that he could ftrip them off with the greateft eafe. He produced alfo clie fame effect by boiling them.

The firt account which Ruyfch himeeif publifhed of his procefs, was, as far as I know, in the year 1723. After he had fufficiently excited the general curioficy, he gave figures of fome of his vegetable fkeletons, related the whole method of preparing them, and acknowledged that he had accidentally met with an imperfect engraving of a leaffkeleton in the Mufeum of Wormius, which had at one time occafioned much wonder *. It is not improbable

* Adverfariorum decas tertia. Amftelod. 1 クニ3, 4to. In the preface, and p. 8, 9, 11. Neque latere velim artem, qua arcanum loc detegitur. Impono illos explorandos fructus aque, donec incipiunt putrefcerc, déiude rero eos vafy figulino immitto, cujna fundus planus eft, ficque pofitos deglubo lente ; excorticatos dein diu agito line inde per aquam, ut hoc concuffu folvantur a mintuis complexibus vafa, fimul autem blande lentcque comprimo inter digitos, donec incipiat diffolntio fieri. -. - Ia Mufeo Wormiano incidi in imperfectam imaginem partis fceleti opuntix, quod doctiffmi etiam viri tunc temporis valde misati funt, cujulque meminerunt in feriptis fuis. The many fmall treatifes write on by Puyfch, which are mentioned in Haller's Billioth. anial. i. p. 530, have, in part, been printed more than oncer in quarto, but they are known under the following general title: which Haller forgot
improbable that he knew how the Italian, whom he does not mention, though he is mentioned by Wormits, and though he mult certainly have been acquainted with his Zootomia, prepared his fkeletons. I mult however obferve, that it is remarked by thofe who knew Ruyfch, that he had read few books, and was very little verfed in the literature of his profeffion.

In the year following, Ruyfch defcribed more articles of the like kind, and gave figures of fome pears prepared in this manner *. In 1726, when Yater, profeffor at Wittenberg, expreffed great aftonifnment at the finenefs of his works, he replied, in a leiter written in 1727 , that he had at firft caufed them to be executed by infects, but that he then made them himfelf with his fingers $\uparrow$. He repeated
to give: Ruyfcbii Opera omnia anatomico-medico-cbirurgica. Amitelod. apud Janfonio Waefsbergios 1521, 4to. Then follow lis various treatifes with diftinct title-pages, and the different dates when publinhed. To this collection, which may very conveniently be divided into two volumes, is prefixed Hiforia rita et meritorum F. Ruyfcbii, auctore J. F. Schreibero. Amllel. I732. At the end there is a complete index to all his writings. Operum index locupletifimus, concinnatus ab Yfor. Gyborto Arlebout. Amitel. 1725.

* Curæ pofteriores, feu Thefaurus anatom. omnium pracedensium maximus, $1724, n .27,41,183,200$, and p. 31 , B. tals. P.
$\dagger$ Abrahami Vateri Epilt. gratulat. ad Ruyfchium de mufculo GIG orbiculari,
peated the fame thing alfo in 1728 , when he deo fcribed and gave engravings of more of thefe curious objects*. The progrefs of this invention is related in the fame manner by Schreiber, in his Life of Ruyich.

When the method of producing thefe fikeletons became publicly known, they were foon prepared by others; fome of whom made obfervations, which were contrary to thofe of Ruyfch. Among thefe in particular, were J. Bapt. Du Hamel, who fo early as the year 1727 defcribed and illuftrated with elegant engravings the interior contruction of a
or biculari, 1727. In the anfwer printed along with this letter, Ruyfch fays, p. 16: I have hitherto replied, when afked how it was poffible to make fuch fine works: me non effe hujus opificii auctorem, fed fatellites meos, puta animalcula, quæ tunc temporis in ufum revocaveram. Nunc vero illud longe nitidius et exquifitius ipfemet perago, idque propriis fatellitibus, nempe propriis digitis. Of employing different kinds of infeets, particularly the dermfles, as they are called, for reducing animal and vegetahle bodies to fkeletons, Hebenftreit has treated in Program. de vermibus anatomicorum adminiffris to the Difputat. de a.ljumentis fanguinis ad cor regreffus, by Qualmaltz vonTroppaneger; Lipf. 174t. Figures of the infects and of fome of their preparations are added.

* Cura renovatr, feu Thefanrus anat. pof curas pofteriores novus, 1728 ; in the preface, and allo No. $40,60,72,81,89,115$, ${ }_{127}$ 133, 138, and p. 21, No. 4. tab. 1, 2, 3. Some of thefe figures, with an account of the book, were inferted in Aga Eruditorum, 1729 , Febr. p. 63.
pear：；Trew 中，in whofe poffefion Keyfler faw fuch fkeletons in 1730 ：；P．H．G．Mohring $\|$ ； Seba§；Francis Nicholls If，an Englihman；profef－ for Hollmann＊＊at Gottingen，Ludwig iq中，Wal－ ther＋木，+ ，Gefner $\|\|$ ，and others．Nicholls feems to have been the firft who fplit the net of an apple or a pear－tree leaf into two equal parts，though Ruyfch fplit a leaf of the opuntia into three，four， and even five layers，as he himfelf fays．
> ＊Memoires de l＇Academ．des Sciences，année 1730， 173 Y， 1732 ；and tranfated into Latin in Commercium Litter，Norimberg． 1735．p．308；1736，p． 349 ；and 1738，p． 6.6
> ＋Commerc．litter．Norimb．1732．p． 73.
> $\ddagger$ Reife，p． 1 r97．
> ｜｜Commerc．Norimb．1733，p． 37.
> §Philofoph．tranfact．1730，No．ccccxvi．p．441；and in the firlt part of his Tbefaurus．

If Philofoph．tranf．1730，No．cccexiv．p． 37 I ．
\％\＃Ibid．No．cccelxi．p．ヶ89，and No．cccclxiii．p．796．Com－ merc．litter．Norimb．${ }^{1735, ~ p . ~ 353 . ~ H o l l m a n n i ~ C o m m e n t a t . ~ S y l l o g e . ~}$ Gottingæ $1765_{j}^{\circ}$ ，4to．p．109．In p． 120 ，the year 1727 mult undoubtedly be read inftead of 1734 ．The papers of Seba and Hollmann may be found tranflated into Italian inScella d＇opufcoli intereffanti，vol．ix．p．79．
t＋Inflituriones regni vegetabil．In the part on Leaves，
$\ddagger+$ Programma de plantarum Aructura．Lipf． 1 740， 4 to．$\$ 5,6$.
IIII Differtationes phyficæ de vegetabilibus， 1740 ， 174 I ．Both were reprinted at the fame time with Linnci Orat．de ncceffitate pe－ regrinationum intra patrian．Lugd．Bat． $1^{7} 43,8 \mathrm{vo}$ ．

In the year 1748 , Seligmann, an engraver, began to publifh, in folio plates, figures of feveral leaves which he had reduced to fikeletons *. As he thought it impoffible to make drawings fufficiently correct, he took impreffions from the Jeaves or nets themfelves, with red ink, and in a manner which may be feen defcribed in various books on the arts. Of the greater part he gave two figures, one of the upper and another of the under fide. He promifed alfo to give figures of the objects as magnified by a folar microfcope ; and two plates were to be delivered monthly. Seligmann however died foon after, if I am not miftaken; and a lawfuit took place between his heirs, by which the whole of the copies printed were arrefted, and for this reafon the work was never completed, and is to be found only in a rery few libraiies.

Cobres fays, that eight pages of text, with two black, and twenty-nine red copper-plates, were completed. The copy which is in the libraiy of our univerfity, has only eight pages of text, confitting partly of a preface by C. Trew, and partly of an account of the author, printed

* Die nahrungs-gefäffe in den blättern der bäume, nach ihrcr unterfchiedlichen austheilung und zufanmenfügung, fo wie folche die Natur felbft bilde:, abgedruckt von Johanu Níchael Seligmann, kupferfecher in Nürnberg. Nurnberg 1748. See Goitingijche Zeitungen won gelebritan fachorn, 1748, p. 109I. Haller, Biblioth. botan. ii. p. 374. Hamburg Magazin, iv. p. 93. Delicice Colreficiac, p. 653.
in Latin and German oppofite to each other. Trew gives a hiftory of the phyfiology of plants and of leaf.fkeletons; and Seligmann treats on the methods of preparing the latter. The number of the plates however is greater than that affigned by Cobres. The copy which is now before me contains chirty-three plates, printed in red; and, befides there, two plates in black, with figures of the objects magnified. Of the fecond plate in red, there is a duplicate with this title, "Leaves of a bergamot pear-tree, the fruit of which are mild ;" but the figures in both are not the fame; and it appears that the author confidered one of the plates as defective, and therefore gave another. The leaves reprefented in the plates are thofe of the orangetree, lemon-tree, thaddock-tree, butcher's-broom, walnut-tree, pear-tree, laurel, lime-tree, ivy, baf-tard-quince or mefpilus, chefnut-tree, maple-tree, holly, willow, white haw-thorn, \&c.

I fhall take this opportunity of inferting here the hiftory of the art of raifing trees from leaves. The firt who made this art known was Agoftino Mandirola, doctor of theology, an Italian minorite of the Francifcan order. In a fimall work upon Gardening, which, as I think, was printed for the firft time at Vicenza, in duodecimo, in the year 1652 , and which was reprinted afterwards in various places, he gave an account of his having produced trees from the leaves of the cedar and Gg 4 lemon-
lemon-tree "; but he does not relate this circumftance as if he confidered it to be a great difco-
> * Many editions of this book may be found mentioned in Lafri Bibliotheca zocorgica, p. 79; Halleri B:blioth. botan. i. p. 484 ; Bübmeri Biblioth. hijz. nat. iii. 1. p. 679. That in the library of our Univerfity has the following title: Manuale de' G:ardinieri, divifo in tre libri - - di F. Agofino Mandircla. Aggiuntovi il quatro libro, cloe dimofira lu qualita e virtu - . - de' fori deccritti in qucho volume. Venetia 1684. 12mo. The account to which I allude occurs lib. iii. cap. 5. p. I33. I fhall tranfcribe it entirely, as the original in Germany is exceedingly fcarce: Con un artificio fimile per via di ftillicidio hò provato piantar le foglie di cedri, di limoni e difinili in quefto'modo: hò prefo un valo pieno di buoniffima terra fottile e graffa, poi intorno all' orificio vi hò pofto le foglie con il gambo fotto terra tanto che refli meza la foglia fopra; pofcia hò fatto un' orcioletto d'acqua che a filla inaffiaffe effe foglie, al modo detto di fopra, aggiongendovi fempre terra nel fcavo dell' acqua, et in tal modo hanno fatto prefa, e gettato fuora le vergeleite in breve tempo.-Ac. cording to Laftri, the lateft edition was printed at Roworedo in 1733. I2mo. In the year $1 ; 6$ a French tranflation appeared, at Paris, under the title of Manuel du F̛ardinier - . - par le Sicur Mandircla, traluit fur l'origiual Italien far M. C. L. F. Randi, 455 pages, large duodecimo. To this edition is prefixed a letter from Mandirola, at Florence, in the year 1764, to the tranflator, in which it is faid that the former had fent him large additions. An evident impolture! The firft book is a literal tranflation from the Manuale of Mandirola. What follows is taken, as I think, from Infiruction pour la culture dis feners, which is printed at the end of Quiutiny's Fardinier farfait; but which, however, was not writien by him. The French tranflator has entirely omitted the ingenious account of raifing trees from leaves. In Haller and Bölhncr the French tranfator is improperly called Fraudi. 1 mult remark alfo that Agricola, Munch hanfen and others call the Italian author, crroneoufly, Mirandula,
very. On the contrary, he appears rather to think it a matter of very little importance. His book was foon tranfated into German *; and the above account was copied by other writers, fuch as Böckler $\dagger$ and Hohberg $\ddagger$, who were at that time much read. A gardener of Augfburg, as we are told by Agricola, was the firft who imitated this experiment, and proved the poffibility of it to others. He is faid to have tried it with good fuccefs in the garden of count de Wratiflau, embaffador at Ratifbon from the elector of Bohemia.

But never was this experiment fo often and fo fucceeffully repeated as in the garden of baron de Munchhaulen, at Swobber. A young tree was obtained there from a leaf of the Limon a Rivo, which produced fruit the fecond year. It was fent to Mr. Volkamer, at Nuremberg, who caufed a drawing to be made from it, which was afeerwards engraved, in order that it might be publifhed in the third volume of his Hefperides; but as the author died too early, it was not printed. The

[^153]exadt drawing, as it was then executed at Nuremberg, and an account of the whole procefs employed in the experiment at Swobber, have been publifhed by the baron de Munchhaufen himfelf, from authentic papers in his grandfather's own writing *.

No one, however, excited fo much attention to this circumftance as the well-known George Andrew Agricola, phyfician at Regenfburg (Ratifbon), who, with that confidence and prolixity which were peculiar to him, ventured to affert, that trees could be propagated in the fpeedieft manner by planting the leaves, after being fteeped in a liguor which he had invented; and for the truth of his affertion he referred to his own experiments ir. Among the naturalifts of that period none took more trouble to examine the poffibility of this effect than Thummig ${ }_{+}$, who endeavoured to prove that not only leaves with eyes left to them, could, in well moiftened earth, throw out roots which would produce a ftem, but that leaves alfo without eyes would grow up to be
${ }^{5}$ Hausvater, vol. v. p. 662.

+ Verfuch der univerfal-vermehrung aller bäume. Regenfb. 1716. fol. p. 107, 157 ; or in the newelt edition by Braufer. Regenfb. 1772. fol. i. p. 97 ; and ii. p. 4 I.
$\ddagger$ Thummigii Meletemata. Brunfw. et Lipf. 1727 . Sro. p. 5 . Thummigs Erläuterung der legebenibatcen in der natur. Marburg 1735. Svo. p. 101.
trces.
trees. Baron Munchhaufen, on the other band, affures us, that according to the many experiments made in his garden, one can expect young plants from the leaves of thofe trees only which do not bring forth buds; that experiments made with the leaves of the lemon-tree had alone fucceeded, but never thofe made with the leaves of the orange or lime-tree; and that Agricola and Thummig had erroneoully imagined that the leaves themfelves fhot up into trees, their middle fibre (racbis) becoming the ftem, and the collateral fibres the branches. But the leaf decays as foon as it has refigned all its fap to the young tree, which is fpringing up below it.

To conclude: It is probable that the well-known multiplication of the Indian fig, or opuntia, gave the firft idea of this experiment; for every joint of that plant, ftuck into the earth, and properly nurtured, throws out roots and grows. As thefe joints were commonly confidered to be leaves, people tried whether other leaves would not grow in the like manner. Luckily, thofe of the lemontree were chofen for this purpofe; and what was expected took place. Thus from a falfe hypothefis have new truths often been derived; and thus was Kepler, by a falfe and even improbable opinion, led to an affertion, afterwards confirmed, that the periodical revolutions of the planets were in proportion to their diftance from the fun. But

460 HISTORY OF INVENTIONS. the raifing of trees from leaves was too rafluly declared to be a method that might be generally employed; for it is certain that it now feldom fucceeds.

## BILLS OF EXCHANGE.

ISHALL not here repeat what has been colfected by many learned men refpecting the important hiftory of this noble invention, but only lay before my readers an ordinance of the year I394, concerning the acceptance of bills of exchange, and alfo two bills of the year I404, as they may ferve to illuftrate farther what has been before faid on the fubject by others. Thefe documents are, indeed, more modern than thofe found by Raphael de Turre * in the Writings of the jarift Baldus $\uparrow$, which are dated March the gth ${ }^{5} 328$; but they are attended with fuch circumftances as fufficiently prove that the method of tranfacting bufinefs by bills of exchange was fully eftablifhed fo early as the fourteenth century; and shat the prefent form and terms were even then ufed. For this important information I am in-
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debted to Mr. Von Martens, who found it in a book which, as far as I know, has never been noticed in any Literary Journal, though it is much more deferving of attention than many others better known. It is a Hiftory, written in Spanilh, of the maritime trade and other branches of commerce at Barcelona, taken entirely from the archives of that city, and accompanied with documents from the fame fource, which abound with matter highly interefting *.

Among thefe is an ordinance iffued by the city of Barcelona in the year 1394, that bills of exchange fhould be accepted within twenty-four hours after they were prefented; and that the ac-

* Memorias hifioricas fobre la marina commercio y artes de la antigua ciudad de Barcelona, por D. Antonio de Capmany y de Montpalau. Madrid 1779.2 vol. 4to. As a proof of what I have faid above, I fhall mention the following important articles, which may be found in this work. A cuttom-houfe tariff, written in Latin, of the year 122I, in which occur a great number of remarkable names and articles of merchandife not explained. Another of the like kind of the year 1252. Letters of power to appoint confuls in diftant countries, fuch as Sy ria, Egypt, \&c. dated in the years 1266,1268 , and $132 \pi$. An ordinance of the year 1458 , refpecting Infurance, which required that under-writing thould be done in the prefence of a notary, and declared polices ó foriptures privades to be null and void. A privilegium of the empcror Andronicus II. to the merchants of Barcelona, written in Greek and Spanifh, in 1290. Account of the oldeft Spanifh trade with wool, filk, falt and faffron; and of the oldeft guilds or incorporated focieties of tradefmen at Barcelona, \&c.
ceptance fhould be written on the back of the bill *.

In the year 1404, the magiftrates of Bruges, in Flanders, requefted the magiftrates of Barcelona to inform them what was the common praftice, in regard to bills of exchange, when the perfon who prefented a bill raifed money on it in an unufual manner, in the cafe of its not being paid, and by thefe means increafed the expences fo much that the drawer would not confent to fuftain the lofs. The bill which gave occafion to this queftion is inferted in the memorial. It is written in the fhort form ftill ufed; which certainly feems to imply great antiquity. It fpeaks of ufance; and it appears that firft and fecond bills were at that time drawn, and that when bills were not accepted, it was cuftomary to proteft them + .

## * Vol. ii. p. $3^{882}$.

1 As this article is of great importance I fhall here tranfcribe it, from vol, ii. p. 203 : Cum de menfibus Aprilis et Maii ultimo elapfis Antonius Quarti, mercator Lucanus refidens in villa Brugenfi, a Joanne Colom, mercatore civitatis Barchinonae, etiam refidente in praedicta villa Brugenfi, duo millia fcutorum Philippi, quolibet fcuto pro xxii groffis computato, folvendi per Francifcum de Prato mercatorem Florentiae, more folito, in Barchinona, mediatim Petro Gilberto et Petro Olivo, et mediatim Petro Scorp, et fupradisto Petro Gilberto, mercatoribus Cardonac: prout de dieis cambiis apparet quatuor litteris papireis, quarum tenores fubfequuntur.

Superfcriptio autem primae litterae fuit talis: Franco de Prato É comp, a Barfelona. Tenor vere eiufdem ad intra fuit talis:

My learned readers will here, no doubt, exprefs a wifh of feeing a complete tranflation of this curious and important work, though with the lefs hope of its being gratified, as fuch labour mult require

Al nome di Din, Amen. à di xxviii. Aprile 1404. Fayate per quefa prima dì camb. à ufanza à Piero Gilberto, è Piero Olivò fcuti mille à Jold. x. Barfelonefı per fouto, i quali fcuti mille Sous per cambio che con Giovanni Colombo à groffi xxii, di g. fiuto: Eo pag. à uffro conto, © Cbrifo vi guardi. Subtus vero erat fcriptum : Antonio Quarti Sal. de Bruggias.

Superfcriptio vero fecundae literae fuit talis: Francifco de Prato © comp. à Barfalona. Et ab intra fic habebatur: Al nome de Dio, Amen. à di xviii. di Magio 1404. Pagate fer quefa prima di camb. à ufanzı à Piero Gilberto छ' à P. de Scorpo fcutic mille de Felippo à fold. x. Barfelonefi per fcuto: i quali foxti mille fomo per camb́, che con Giorv. Colombo à grofl xxii, di g. fiuto: छ pag. à nofiro conlo: EG Cbriffo vi guardi. Subtus vero erat fic friptum: Aut. Quadri Sal. de Bruggias.
Et ita fit, quod fupra dicta cambia, per fupra dittum Franc. de Prato, aut aliquern alium fuo nomine, foluta minime fuerint, et ex illius defectu, et praecipue ex eo quod Guilh. Colon, vt procurator fupradictorum P. Gilberti, P. Olivo et P. Scorp. emit fupratacta duo millia fcutorum in praedicta civitate Barchinonae in promptis et paratis denariis, expenfis vt praetendit ipfius Ant. Quarti; certa coram nobis orta fit queltio inter fupradiftos Jo. Colom ex vna parte, et Ant. Quarti ex altera, dicente eodems Antonio, quod ipfe Guil. Colom, vt procurator praedictus, praedicta infolutione non obftante, non debebat, fumptibus et expenfis ipfius Antonii, fupradictas pecuniarum fumas emere in ipfa civitate Barchinonac in promptis et paratis pecuniis, fod folum debuiffer illas cepiffe ibidem in Tabulis, prout hoc dicit in tali cafumoris ct confuetudinis effe, et ab antiquo fuiffe in ipfa civitate Barchinoniae. Et ipfí cuftus et expenfac, pro illis duobus millibus fcutorum modo praedicto factae, in multo excedunt cuftus dictorum cambiorum,
require not only a knowledge of the Spanifh lariguage, but allo of the hiftory of trade, and of maritime and commercial laws, I find the greater pleafure, therefore, in informing them that Mr. Von Martens has refolved to undertake this tafk.
cambiorum, fi eadem capta fuiffent ibidem in Tabulis: afferit faepe dietus Antonius, fe illa praecife dampna Tabularum et non ampliora debere portare, fupradicto Jo. Colom dicente contrarium, et quod iusta proteftationum litteras fuper hoc fuo tempore factas, praedictus Antonius tolerare debet et folvere omnes expenfas et intereffe, quod ex defectu dictae folutionis, per emptionem diçarum pecuniarum vel alias factae funt, et de illis eundem Johannem redḍere indempnem. . . - The Tabula here mentioned was eftablifhed in 1401, and feems to have been the commencement of banks for paper-money, the firtt imitation of which was that formed at Genoa in 1407 . I hope I fhall merit thanks from my readers for adding the following information taken from the before-mentioned work, vol. i. p. 213 . Efte banco del cambio ò tabla, que al principio fe llammò Taula de czmbi, y eftaba afegurado con el credito $y$ las rentas publicas de La ciudad, fuè eftablecido primeramente en la cafa de la Lonja, y era adminiftrado por commerciantes, cuyos oficios de adminiftradores, tenedores de libros $y$ otros elegia y teria dotados la ciudad de fu erario proprio. Efte util eftablecimiento fuè adquiriendo cada dia mayor folidez en virtud de los varios reglamentos defde fu fundacion hafta principios de efte figlo, que fuè quando céfo el girc del cambio y la circulacion mercantil que tenian fus fondos. . . - Efta tabla, fegun la primitiva forma y metodo de fu inftitucion, fe cuftodian $y$ afeguran fin premio todos tos caudales de comunidades $y$ particulares en qualquiera efpecie de moneda corriente, abonandola con las debidas circuuftancias de fu valor intrinfeco. En ella fe hacen pagos y depofitos por toda efpecie de perfonas: de modo que por los medios autorizados $y$ autenticos que dimanan de las formalidades de dicha tabla quecdan afianzadas la verdad y legitimidad de los creditos, $y$ afegurada la confianza de los particulares.

## I $\quad \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{X}$

To the Authors and Books quoted in the
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## A.

- ABAT, Amufemens philofophiques, 165 - Abbandlungen der Schwedifcben Akad. 7.97.229. 380

Achilles Tatius, 199
Acta Eruditorum, 452

- Laboratorii chemici Aldorfini,

215

- Upfalienf. 87

Berolin. 214
压gineta, Paulus, 240.246
.
Æfchylus, 176
Aetius De arte medendi? 241
Agricola De re metallica, 9 r
-- Dc nat. eorum quæ effluunt e terra, 399

- Verfuche der vermebrung aller bäиme, 458
Alberichi Monachi Trium Fontium chronicon, 311
Albertus Magnus, 82. 88. 266. 298
Alciphron, 3 Or. 430
Aldrovandi Mufeum metallicum, 367
Alexander ab Alexandro, 291
Alexandri Aphrodif. Problemata, 202
—— Quxeft. natur., 350
Algemeine biflorie der reifen, 19r. $295^{\circ}$ 313.336 .440

3. Teutfche Biblioth. 330

Weli-bifacrie, 21.44 .157. 303, 304. $4: 1$
Alhazeni Opticus the faurus, 206, 207 Allard, Hollandfe Scbecps-bourv, 339 vor. 11.

| Ambrofianus, $3^{67}$ <br> Ambrofius in Apocalypfin, 73 <br> Ames' Typographical antiquities, I23 <br> Ammianus Marcellinus, 404. 427 <br> Anacreon, 324 <br> Anderfons Gefcbicbte des bandels, 156 <br> -- Nachrichten von 1/fand, 87 <br> Andrea, Briefe aus der Schrveitz, 189 |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Annals of chemiftry, 233
Anthologia Romana, 3 ro
Antigonus Caryftius, Hift. mirab. 15 :
Antoninus De feipfo, 3 :9
Antonio di Padua, 209
Apuleius, 175. 264
Archenbolz, England und Italien, 420
D' Ardenne, Traité dcs renoncules, II
Areræus De diuturnis morbis, 241
Aretini Hift. Florentina, 43
Argelati Biblioth. Scripter. Mediolanenf. 23
Ariftophanes, 426
Ariftotle, $145.175 .178 .248 .321 .34^{8}$
Arnobius, roz. 246
Arrianus in Epictetum, 174
L'Art de bâtir les vaiffeaux, 339
Artemidori Oncirocritica, 60.167
Artedi Synonimia pifcium, 145
Afciani Montes pretatis detecti, 2 r. 35 . 38.45.5 5

Affermani Biblioth. orientalis, 408
Athaldfius, 170.247
Athenæus, 145. 147. 250. 301. 311. $345.349,350.398 .404$
Auguftinus De civitate Dei, 345
D'Aunoi, Mudime, Reife, $35^{8}$
Aufonius, 319
H h
BACON,

## B．

BACON，Rnger．Opus majus， 209
Baconis（）pera， 372
Baier，Differtat．de Regiomontani aqui－ la， $32=$
Baillet，Jugemens des Scavans，IC4
——．La vie de M．Defanes， 39 S
Falbini Mifecllanea Rohemica，r 59
Ba！deus，Befoloreibung der küfße Ma－ labar，e， 6
Baldingers Magazin fiir ärzle，I39
Banier，Götterlelhre， 323
larclaii Arsenis， 375
Barrere，Hit．de la France Equinoxi－ ale， 7
Eartholini Epist．medicinales， 359.44 ？
Barthoininus De luce， 237
－De nive，314．3＇9
Bafilius， 407
Bauhini Hilt．plantarum，6． 298
－I＇inax plantarum， $25^{6}$
Baumé，Chemie experimentale， 230
Bayle，Diction．hiftorique，207．285． 292．294．325． 375
Beekmanns Anleitung zur technologie， 215． 396
Byträge atar citonomie， 87
－．．．Grundfälze der landzuirtbs－ cbafi， 274
－F／by．jakal．akonom．biblicth． 260.436

Beguini tyrocinium chymicum， 140
Bellon，Odfervat．， $3.45 \cdot 35^{6}$
Bergii Materia medica， 256
Heltrami，II fureftiere inftruito， 33
Bemerhungen der l＇fäazyforn akoncon． Gefellfcbaft， 278
Bergmanni Opufcula，97．139．23t
Bernoulli，Reifen， 343
Kefcluyving der fiadt Dilft， 50
Bejer，Theatum machin．molarium， 161
Beycrlink，Theatrum vitæ humane： 58． $5^{2}$
Hibla lacra，16：，166．：？ 44.42 亿
Bibliotheca patrum Ciftereiculium， $1=1$
Bubliotheque de Mad．de Munpenficr， 3 名
Bingraphia Britamica，${ }^{156}$
Blafii antatome Animalium， 146
Bloch，Fïf he Tialfablands，：40
Behart，Hierezicun， 43 ；

－Kïuluterath，256
Someteri D．fictat．de putu friegido， 3.4 ：


Boerhaave，Elementa chemix， 66
Bohmeri Biblioth．hift．11at． 456
Bübmers Technifche gefebichre der tiflan－ zen， 256
Bolle e privilegi del Monte della pietà di Roma，26． 32.35
Bomare，Mineralogie， 191
Bonaventura，Aaseli，Iforia di Parma， 31
Bninfacio，Iforia di Trivigi， 35
Borrichius De ortu et progreffu chemiæ， 59
Bofe，Difcours fur la lumière des dia－ maus， $22=$
Boulenger De theatro，300． 305
Boyle De Hamma ponderabilitate， 97
－Hydroftatica medica， $40 z$
De utilitate philofoph．nat．expe－ riment．， 2 に
Boyle＇s Works， 3 ／2
Brantome，Dames galantes， 22 S
Bremenfches Magazin， 204
Breflater Sammlung zur Nalur－ge－ fobichte， 232.303314
Ton Brake，Brobachutugen won blumen， 7 Bruckeri H1ft．philofoph．， $5^{6} .3^{5}+38_{5}$
Bruckmann，Magnalia Dei，S6． 96
Braunfa biv．Intelligeñ－blutt，425
Brugerinus De recibaria， 357
Buffon，Hif．naturelle， 598
Bullarium magnum Cherubini， 3 ， 8.44
Burnaby＇s＇Travels through N．Ameri－ ca， $42=$
Burbequii $\cap_{m n i a, ~}^{294}$
Buifchings Geagraphie： 153
Buffi，litoria di Viterbo， 25
De Bultis，Bernardi，Oier， 17
C．
CABEI Phifofoph．cepriment．， $36 S$ ． 400
Crefar，2－：
（：Clarius 1）：miraculis， $3_{5} I$
Califtrati f：cphiadis，： $2 ;$
Cal：inachus， $160.1: 6$
 bergrethken，84， $5:, 86,87$
C．mideni Brita：ma，：54
Camennl Hore lubcilin．s． 314
Comperil＇s lohateal lurvey of Bitain， $9=$
Du Cange，Gloflaium， $67 .=69$
Capitolıms： $2-5$
De Capmany y de Mf mpalau，Mcmorias fobre la matina，commereio $y$ aites de la cindad de lamelona，$f+1, f^{\text {fo }}$ ．
Caranzu，Sumana cincaliorum．its
Cardanue，$=7 y$

Cartheufer, Differtat. de radice fapon., $25^{6}$
Caryitius, Antigonus, 24 S
Cafauboniana, 289
Cafaubonus in Athenzum, 146. 300
Caffiodorus, Variarum liber, 150.334 . 427
Caftelli, Incendio del Vefuvio, 141 Della mifura dell' acque correnti, $3^{8} 7$
Caylus, Recueil des antiquités, 165. 221. 225 . 320

Celfus, 253.397
Chambers's Dictionary, 95
Chardin, Voyages, 2 Ir. 346.440
Chronika des landes Karnten, 91
Chryfortome, 175
Ciampini Vetera monumenta, in quibus inufiva ope ra illutrantur, 410
Cicero, 100. 170.:277.4?1
Von Cilano, Römifche alleribümer, $j 07$. 309. $43^{\circ}$

Claudian, 169. 307
Clemens Alexandr 61
Clement De labro æneo, 166
Clementis Specimen bibliothecz Hifpa-no-Majanfial: $12+$
Clufii Elenchus litteratorum, 133
Clufin Hitt. plantarum, 5, 6, 7. 10
Code de la Police, 413
Codex Angultens, 424

- Auttriacus, 4:3
--Theoduf. 17;
Cohaufen, Lumen phofphoris accenfum, 235
Columella, 246.253 .253
Commentat. Socictat. Bononienfis, 275
- Sucietat. Scient. Cotting, 166.281
- Aca3. Petrop., 402

Commerium litter. Nurimberg. 453
Concilium Lateraneufe, $3^{8}$
Conringii Hermetica medicina, 70
Conftantini, Manafti, Anutes, 60
Conftantinus De ceremoniis aulæ ByZdAt., 410. 441
Contes de Gaulard, 36:
Cornsul Canadenfum plant. hilt., 8
Crifpolti, Perugia deferitea, 25
Crokers Mabler, 214
Crollii Rafilica chymica, 140
Crufii Comment. de noéte, 426
Cypriani Epifulæ,:47

## D.

VAN DALE De oraculis, 332
Dalrymple's Travels, 422

Danieh, Gefchichte wion Frankreich, 228
———Hirt. de la milice Françoife, 162
Delicize Cobrefianæ, 454
Demachy, Der Liqueur- fabrikunt, 378
Democritus, De arte magna, 70
Defaguliers' Courfe of experimental philofophy, 304
Defcamps, Differtat. fur une medaille repretentant des danfeurs de corde, 205
Defcartes, Specimina philofophix, 372
Defuription de l'ifle des Hermaphrodites, 30
Diccionario de la lengua Caftellana, 358
Didymus in Homerum, 60
Disefta, 1:3.172, 173
Dio C'affius, 55.3 1f. $3^{15}$. 426
Diodorus Siculus, 29 r
Diogenes Laertius, 100
Dinicondes, 79. 243. 246. 253. 26 r , $262,263.272 .279$
Liflertat. ludicrarum et amenitat. Scriptor., 311
Docionai Florum hiltoria, 6
——— Pemptas, 5. 280
Doppelmulur I'on Niirmbergifchen künflein, 83. 224. 229, 230. 32: 325 . 401
Douglas, Lilium Sarnienfe, 9
Draudii liblotheca clafinca, 133
Dreybaupis Befcibreibung des Saal-CreiJes, 425
Ducatiana, 361
Dupleix, Hili. de Louis XIII. I62
Durantes, He:bariun novum, 279

## E.

ECLOGA s fynopfis Tw B B 172, 173
Einteitung aur mathematiccben bückerkenntnifs, 2.08
Eitholtii Difiertat. phyfice de ignc, 2.37
--- De phofphioris obfervatio, 236
Encyclopédie, 327.33.)
Ephemerides Naturae curiof. 23 6. 447, 448
Erlangifcbe Realzcitung, $33^{\circ}$
Elfai fur les lanternes, 115
L'Ftoilcs, Journal de Henri III, 360
F'temulleri Culleg. pharnaceut., 280
Euripidcs, $1 \geqslant 7$
Eufebius, $100.103 \cdot 199.499$
Eultathius ad Honernm, 60

Expilly, Dietion. geugraph de la France,
218
ll h 2
FABER,

## F.

FABER, Archaologie der Hebräer, 436

Rerum Suevicarum Scriptores, 442
Fabricii Biblioth. antiquaria, 305
———Bibliotheca ecclefiaft., 127

- Biblioth. Græca, 2go. 368. 385

Biblioth. Latina, 126
Biblioth. med. et infima ætatis, 29.157. 208. 325

Fabricius De metallicis rebus, 92
Faggot, Verbefferung der Lierproben, 350
Fon Falkenftein, Hiforie von Erfurth, 436.441

Fallopius De metalis, 8 I
Felibien, Hift. de la ville de Paris, 411, 412.414
Fermat, Opera mathematica, $387,3^{88}$
Feuille, Journal des obfervations phyf1ques, 402
Fifcher, Gefcbichre des Teutfchen bandels, 47
——De prima expedit. Attilx, 154
———Sitten und gebräucbe der Europäer, 154.436
Florus, 291
Freytag, Analecta litter. de lih. rar. 157
Frijch, Teutfches Wörterbuch, 439
Frobefii Specimen polyhift. haliographici, 201
Fronfperger, Kriegsbuch, $16_{3}$
Fucbs, Gefchicbre des zinks, 90
Fulda, Germanifcbe wurzelwörter, 98. ${ }^{1} 53$
Fuller's britifh Worthies, 156

## G.

CALANTERIES dcs rois de France, 228
Galen. De alimentorum facultate, 260
--De compofit. pharmac. fecundum locos, 139.348
__- In Hippocratem de morbis vulgar., $34^{8}$
-De fimplic. medicam. facultat., 75. 240.261. 279. 399

- De teinperamentis, 293
———De ufu partium, 356. 319
Garzoni, Piazza unfverfale, さil
Gaftcrer, Anlcibung den Harz zu bercifen, $8_{3}$

Gegenwärtiger faat von England, Por= tugal und Spanien, 345. 358
Gellius, 319. 324
remara Babylonica, 409
Gemeinü̈txige natur-und kunf-magazin, $2: 18$
Geoponica, 246. 263
Geargi, Befchreiturng der fladt Pcterfo burg, 327
German Cyclopædia, 340
Gcfner, J. Differtat. de hygrofcopiis, 380. 402

Gefner De omnium foffilium genere, 403
--- Differtat. phyficæ de plant. ftructura, 453
Gefneri Hift. pifcium, 157
Giannone, Gefcbicbte des königr. Napoli, 40
Gloffarium mannale, 43
Gmelin, Grundrifs der mineralogie, 72
—— Naturfysfem des mineralreicbs, 191
Goekings Journal für Teutchland. 48 Goguet, Urfprung der gefetze und kïnfle, 54.66. 189. $=33$
Gori Thefaurus gemmarum aftrifera. rum, 69
Gothofredi Auctores Iinguæ Latinæ, 26 r
Gottlieb, Montes pietatis, 2 I
Göttingijche Gelebrre anzcig. 312
Zeitungen vor: gelebrtex fachen, 454
Grævii Thefaurus antiquit. Roman. 300
Grand vocabulaire François, 41 r
Greenii Lib. de rufticatione Roman. $43:$
Gregorius Nazianzen. 301. 306. 410
Von Grießsims Anmerkungen über der traERat: Die Stadt Hamburs, 42:
Grignon, Bulletin des fouilles d'une ville Romaine, 75
Gudens, Codex diplomaticus, 108
G:inther, Unterfucbung über zoucker, 5\% Gyncologie, $3^{61}$

## H.

HALLE. Fortreferzite magic, 229. 335
Halleri Biblioth. anatomica, 446. $45^{\circ}$
——Biblioth. botanica, $=$. $\mathrm{S} 1,2 \mathrm{~S} 2$.
444. 454.456

- ——Bblioth. practica, $28:, 2 S 2$
- Elementa phyfiologix, 276, 277.0

296. 302.391
———Hiftoria Atirpium, 3
———Mcthodus flud. med., 37 r
Hambergers Nacbricblen von fob:if:jicllem\% 120
Hambiagifces Billiotb. biforica, 157 Hainbuig-

Kamburgichbes Magazin, 187. 323.| 327. 454

Du Hamel, Hift. de l'Acad. des Sciences, 35 r
Opera philofophica, 369
Handveften of te privilegien de flad Amplelredam, 4:0
$H_{\text {annover }}$ che Gelebrien anzeigen, 298
Hamoverfcbes Magazin, 358
Hanfen, Differtat. de rachitide, 280
Hardouini Acta conciliorum, $3^{8}$
Harfdorfers Pbilofoph. und mathemat. erquickfturden, I61
Hartenfels, Elephantographia, 3 II
Hazber, Biblioth. magica, 303
Hebenftreit, Programma de vermibus anatomicorum adminiftris, 452
Heilbroneri Hift. mathefeos, 325
Henkels Kieshifforie, 87. 94

- Kleine fobriften, 229. 238

Herodotus, 329. 408
Herret, Problemes d'Alexandre Aphrod. 204
Hervei Britonis Opera, 118
Herychius, 179
Heubach, Comment. de politia Rom. 426
Heumann, Confpectus reipub. litter. 12 8
Hierne, Prodromus hift. nat. Suecix, 238
Hieronymus, 407
Hieronymus Adverfus Ruffinum, 292
Hill's Conftruction of timber, $44^{\$}$
Hippocrates, 347
Hiftoire de l'Acad. des Infcriptions, 164
--De l'Acad. des Sciences, 2 ro
Hifforifche Abbandlung vom urfprunge der ßadt Roflock, 437
Iliforifches diplomatifcbes magazin, 212
Hoffmann Von bücher-privilegien, 118
$V$ 'on Hobberg, Adlicbes landleben, 373

- Georgica.curiofa, 457

Hollmanni commentat. fylloge, 453
Homer, 169.245 . 321
Honemann, Alterthïmer des Harzes, 84, 85
Horatius, 319
Hornung, Cifta medica, 92
Hortus fanitalis, II7
Huetii A Inetanx quarfiones, 2.94
Huetius in Manilium, 67
Hutton's Hift. of Birmingham, 420
Hygini Pocticon aftronom. 55

## I. J.

GABLONSKI, Lexicon der Rünße, 289
56.65

Imperati Hiftoria naturalis, 265

- Hilt. plantarum, ${ }_{256}$

Johannis Res Moguntiacx, 103
Johanues Sarifberienfis, I68. $25^{\circ}$
Jovius De pifcibus, I 53
Journal du regne de Henri III, 3 ro
———des fçavans, 294. $305 \cdot 388.389$
Ifidorus, 73. 76. 185, 186. 188. 204, $205 \cdot 226.264$
Juris civilis amcenitat. 397
Juvenal, I48.307.430

## K.

K. $\operatorname{EMPFER}$, Amenitates exoticæ, 8
----Befchreibung von Y̌apan,
440
Kamprad, Leifnigker cbronica, 441, $44^{2}$
Karflens Lebrbegriff der matbenatik, 380
Kerners Abbildungen der cekonomi/cben pfanzen, 272
Keyllers Reifen, 189.340 .453
Kippingii Autiquitat. Rom. 410
Kịcheri Magnes, 140

- Mundus fubterraneus, 145
-—— Edipus Ægyptiacus, 65,66
Kirchmaieri Commentat. de phofphoris et luce, 237
Klein, Hift. pircium, ${ }_{5} 6$
Klotz Ueber den nutzen der gefchnittenen fleine, 227
Kriegsmann, Tauut, oder Auslegung der chymifchen zeichen, 65
Krunitz, Encyclopedie, 147. 156.233. 380
Kufgers Alte z. n. Berlin, 439
L.

LABAT, A.frique occidentale, 313
——— Nouveau voyage aux ines de l'Ameriquc, 328
Labbe, Bibliotheca bibliothecarum, 128
Le Laboureur, Difcours fur la vie du roi Henri III, 36 r
Lactantius. 100
Lampridius, 15.147 .346
Lancellotti, L'Hoggidi, 163
De la Lande, Voynge par Italie, 428
Laftri Biblioth. georgica, $45^{6}$
Latinus Pacatus, 346
Leges Wallix, 437
Leibuitii Acceffiones hiftoricx, 3 II
Lemnius De miraculis occultis naturx, 281. 365

Von Lerfner, Cbronica won Frankfurt, 442, 443
Lefcoloperii Humanitas theolog. 170 11 h 3

Esflings

## 470 INDEX TO THE AUTHORS AND BOOKS

## Leffings Vermifchte fcbrifien, 68

Letzuers Dalfelfobe cbrenica, 437
I, eupold, Theatrum machinarum, 339
——— Thearrum ftaticum, 3SC. 402
Lequis, Zufammenbang der künfic, ${ }_{1}{ }^{6}$
Libanii Opera, 45j, 406
liber miffalis Kambergenfis, 116
l.icelus De lucernis antiq. 172

Licbienberg und Voigt, Magazin für die phyjik, 355
Linnxi Amoenitates academ. 257
———Hortus Cliffort. 7
_-Orat. de neceffitate peregrinationum intra patriam, 453
Jinfchoten's Voyages, 3.95
Lipfii Electa, 4 ro
--Epifolæ, 3 Ir
Lipfius De magnitudine Romana, 251
-- De militia Romana, 434
Liron, Singularités hiftoriques, 105
Livius, $\mathbf{x C O} .431$
Löfling, Reifibefchreibung, 257
Lobneyss, Bericbt von bergruerken, $S_{3}$. 93
Le Long, Biblioth. hift. de fa France, 361
———Kcopbandel wan Amferdam, 51.328 .373

Jubbini F.pit. Græcæ, 7 r
Lucian, 179. 253. 290. 320. 334. 429
Ludwig, Inftut. regni vegetabil. 453
Lullii Ars magna, 209

## M.

MABLILLON, Iter Gcrmanicum, 43 $\frac{1}{}$
Macrobius, 250
Magius D : tintinnabulis, 420
Marquer Algensein. begriff der cloemie, 215
Maitland's Fift. of London, 416.418, 419
Mandirola, Manuale de' giardinieri, 456
Manilius, $2: 8$, $: 16$
Manni, Oftervazoniforichi circa ifigilli antichi, 20. 3.1
Marchand, k'rofper, Diction. hiforjque, 361
Marcus Paulus De regionibus oriental. $44^{3}$
Marcus Ulyfloponenfis, Chronicon Ord. Ninorum, 23
1)e la Mare, 'Jraité de la polic: 377. 432
Marefchalci Hift. aquatilium, 157
Des Marets, Difiertal. de trapczitis, 51

Marian, Differtat. fur la glace, 35 r
Marianus Florentinus, Fafcic, chronic. Ord. Minorum, 23
Marictte, ${ }^{\circ}$ raité des pierres gravées, 221, 226
Mariotte, 'Traité du mouvement des eaux, 25 I
Marfigli Danubius, 159
Martialis, 222. 241, 242. 250. 273. 302. 360.420

Maffarii Amnotat. in Plinium, 148
Mathifirs, Bergpredigten, 92. 98.229
A Mauden, Difcurfus morales, 51
Meifters, Oriental. Infogärtner, c, 6
Mémoires de l'Acad. des Sciences à Paris, 87.280. $3^{8 r .} 453$

Mémoires de l'Acad. des Inferiptions, 323.439

Mémoires de Caßtelnau, 36 r
——_de Trevoux, 325
influctifs pour un voyageur, 245. $3: 8$

Mćmorie di offervazioni fopra la coltura di piante, 273
Nenagii Hift. mulierum philofophic. ? 84
Mercure de France, 189
Mercurialis l)e arte gymnaftica, 305
Alrkqü̈rdigkeiten der Drefdrer bióliotheek, 317
Merula, Cofmograph. 33
Méurfii Excrcitat. criticæ, 164

- Glefarium Græco-barbarum, 310

Meufels Bejtriäge zu der gefcbicbtsǐurde, 117

Biblicth. bift. 4:9
_Mufeum für kïnfler, 227
Meyer, l'Arie di restituire a Roma la navigazione del Tcucre, 340
—— Nuovi ritnoramenti, 402
Meyer, Diflertat. de montibus pietat. i2
Miclazelis Commentationes, $=49$
Mofaifoloes reshr, 13
Mil!cr:s Gärtmer-Lrxicon, 3. 10
Minucius loelix, 100
Myrxus De Ccripıor. ecclefiafticis, 127
Mifcellanea Berolin. 212.238
Mizaldi Cenlurax ix memorab. 282. 367
Monardes De nive, 266
Momnifclurift der Akat. der Künfle aw Burlin. 220
Mouconys, Vinyages, 402
Nomagne, Elfais, =S6
-Rrifin, 31C. $317 \cdot 434.443$

Mantamy Von farben axm porzellan, 193
Murhofii P'olyhit. $3: 6$
Morin, Botanologia, $2^{\circ} 0$
Mrrifoni Plantarum bitt. ?
Mofculi foom unter fchiede awifchen der fructur wer thiere and der menfibin, ! !
Muller, Differtat dehydrometro, 401
Fon Mianchbarfen, Hausvater, 458
Asuratori Antiqu!t. Italiza, 3. 6, 3:7
-. Thefsurus infeript. 175
Pon Murr, Bejchreibung der merkwiordigkeiten in Nürnberg, 212
Mufchenbrock, Introduct. in philofoph. natural. $3: 2.343$. 380
Mufeum Wormianum, 447

## N.

NATTER, Traité de la methode de graver en pierres, 222.226
Naudé, Apolugie pour les hommes foupcembe de magic, 325
Nencfle Befchreibung Wiens, 424
Neumamus Chemie, 8 r. 87
Nicolai, Befcbreibung von Berlin, 4⒊ 433
-_Reife, 328.424
Nonius Marcellus, 26 r. 268
Nouni Dionyf. $17^{6}$
Nonnii Diatetica, 367
Noorthouck's Hitt. of London, 417
Nordens Reife dureb Egypten, 148. 355
Nofce teiprum, 105
Notices des manuferits du Roi, 439
Novi Commentarii Acad. Petropolit. 8 r
Nouvelies obfervations fur l'agriculture, 420
Nucleus receffuum Hamburgenf. 422

## O.

OBSERVATIONS fur la phyfique, 81
Oellingifches gefchicbes-ahmanach, 443
Olaffens Reife duc cb I/land, 87
Olympiodorus in Meteora Ariltotelis, $6_{2}$ Oppian, 149
Origenes contra Cclfum, $5^{9}$
Origny, Diction. des origines, 415
Orpheus De lapidibus, 147
Orth Von den Reichsmeffen, 131
Ovidius, 242. 247. 294

## P.

PALEPIIATUS De incredibilibus, 323 Panzer, Gofebicbte der Niïrnbergifchen pulfaben der Libel, 11 ?

Papons Keije durch ditic Provence, 3
Patratellius, 91
Paw, Kecherches fur les Americains, 101.

Pellini, Stori:a di Perugia, 25
Pennant's Britim 2nology, 154. 156
St. I'etersburgifches Furrval, 3 ;4
Petronius, 3 . 6.3 19. 379. +04.428
Plaedrus, 565
Phavorini Dictionar. 247
Pailofuph. Tranfactions, 150.178 , 276 . $350.3: 2,3: 3,354.402 .453$
Philonorgii Hift. ecclefiartica, 292
Philoftratus. bo. 175.321.323
Phyfiologia Kircheriana, 3:3
Pbyifche abbandlungen der Parifer Acad. 935
Pierius Valerianus, 153
Pindarus, 60.63
Pifani I'erfpectiva, 208
Pistifi Lexicon antiquitat. Roman. 3 ;0
Platonis ()pera, 61. 179. 322
Du Platt. Situations-rife der ebaufleen des Churfiurfenth. Branng hw. Liineb. 425
Plautus, 174
Plinii Epitolx, 4 :?
Plinit Hirt. naturalis, 74. 77. 100. 148 , 149. 151. 168. 173. 175.178. 385, 186. 18\%.189. 1.93, 194. 198. 205, 206. 222.226. 244 - 246 . 248 . 250 . 253.259. 26I, 262, 263, 264, 265, 206, 268.273. 275. 296.302. 311 . $344 \cdot 316,347.398$
Pluche, Hiforie des bimmels, 55

- Scbauplatz der natur; q- II

Plutarchus, 103. 144. 199. 201. 293. $345 \cdot 355 \cdot 398 \cdot 459$
Politiani Opera, 105
Pollucis Onomaltiçon, 246, 247. 261. 268
Pollux, 426
Pontoppidans Natiurliche biforie won Norwegen, 154. 157
Porncrs Anleitung zur Farbekunft, 255
Porta, Magia naturalis, 21 I. 214 . 279
Pott De zanco, y2
Primafius in Apocalypfin, 73
Prificianus, Thicodorus, 240
Propertius, 43 I
Profper Alpinus, Hif. natural. Egypti, 336
Prudentius, 42
Pryce, Mineral. Cornub. 94
Pütter Wombüichernachdruck, 99. IIS
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 INDEX TO THE AUTHORS AND BOOKSput. de adjumentis fanguinis ad cor regre位s, 4 ;2
Quintilian, 184. 30 r
De la Quintiny, Inftructions pour les jardins, 378

## R.

RADICIS rubix tinct. effectus in corp. animal. 276
Ramus, Schola mathematica, 325
Rafes De fimplicibus, 24I
Raynal, Hift. des établiffemens dans les Indes, 96. 284
Raynaldi Annales ecclefiaftici, II3
Ray's Complete Florilege, 8
Recueil de diverfes pièces fervantàl'hift. de Henry III, 3 Io. 314 . 36 r
Rebtmaiers Braunfchrweig. Cbronik, 84 . 93
Reimann, Einleitung in Hift. litter. 128. 131

Biblioth. hift. litter. 133
Kemarques fur la biblioth. de Mad. de Montpenfier, 361
Renaudot, Anciennes relations des Indes, 439
Réponfe à l'Hift. des Oracles de Fontenelle, 333
Repofati Della zecca di Gubbio, 35
Rey, Fffais, 97
Ricards Handbuch der kaufleute, 95
Riccioli Almageftum novum, 64. 201
Riccoborii Commentar. de gymnafin Patavino, 365
Richard, Analyfis conciliorum, 25. 38. 52
Richelet, Dictionnaire, 357
Ricbters Icbibyologie, 156
Riedefels Reije durch Gricchenlant, 42 I
Rien, Der entlarvte Wildman, 312
Riemer, Befcbreiving van s' GravanHage, 42 I
Rocco De' banchi di Napoli, 40
Rubei Hit, Ravennates, 33
Ruellius De natura ftirpium, 278
De Rufel Hif. de Marfeille, 53
Rundmann, Rariora naturie ct artis, 449
Ruyfch, Adverfaria, 450

- Cura poiteriores, 4 ir
- Curx renovatæ, 452

Opera omnia, 45 :
Ryıncr's Fœdera, 49. 123

## S.

SAGE, Mincralogic, int
Sagei di differtazione lelle nell' Acad. di Cortona, 164.18

Sallengre, Thefaur. antiquitat. $4^{26}$. 429,430
Salmafii Exercitat. Plin. I79. I 86
Salmafius De fenore trapezitico, 42.50
$\longrightarrow$ De homonymis, 77.80.89
———ad Solinum, 67. 200. 259. 275
Salon De juftitia et jure, 24
Sammlung der Hamburgifclon mandate, 422
Sanctorii Commentaria in Avicennam, 371
Sandi, Storia di Vinetia, $4 \tau$
Sandrarts Teutfcbc akademic, 224. 230
Savary, Diction. de commerce, 218. 362
Savat De nummis antiquis, 97
Szuval, Hift. de Paris, 53. 3 I4
Scappi, cuoco di Papa Pio V. Opera, 3I7 Schiffer, Chemifcbe varlefungen, 90
Scheibels Matbenatifcbe bücberkunde, 402
Scheibens Gedanken aus der bifforie, 317
Scelta d'opufcoli intereffanti, 453
Schenkii Monftrorum hiftoria, 3 I4
Schlefifche Gelebrten nicuigkeiten, 289
Scbluter Von büttenwerken, 84, 85
Schmidt De Archyta, 324
Schmieders Policey von Sacbfen, 424
Scbminke, Befcbreibung der refidenzfladt Caffel, $4^{25}$
Schott, Curfus mathemat. 401
Schottgenii Antiquitates fullonix, 249

- Antiquitates trituræ, ibid.
- Vita N. Marefehalki, 157

Schreiberi Vita F. Ruyfchii, 45 I
Schriften der Bcrlinijcben Naiurforfab. Gcfellfcbaft, 229
Schrevelii Harlemum, 267
Schroder, Thefaur. pharmaceut. 04
Scbwertners Kraft und 玉wïrkuits des foblecbten quaffers, 3.47
Seligmann, Die vabrangs-gefäfe in den blätıcтn der bäume abgediukt, 454
Seneca, 1or. 148. 163. 173. 177. 184: 301. 304 . 311.344 .346 .398 .430 . 435
Senece Tragedire, 43 I
Scrapion, 80. 241
Serenus De medicina, 243
Scrvius in Virgil. 243. 297. 397.
Seftimi, Lettere feritte dalla Sicilia e dalla Turchia, 42 I
Severini Zootomia Democritas, 446.
Serfus Empiricus, 301
Shaws Rcifon, 291
Sidonius A pollinarist 430

## QUOTED IN THE THIRD VOLUME:

Silius Italicus, 206 . 431, 432
Sinceri Nachricbren toon alten bücbern, 317
Smetii Antiquitat. Neomagenfes, 172
Socratis Hilt. ecclefiaft. 103
Solinus, 226. 296
Somneri Dict. Saxonico-Latino-Anglicum, 155
Sophocles, 299
De Soto De juftitia et jure, 39
Spanhemius in Callimachum, 164
Spartianus, 75
Spenceri Annotat. in Origen. contra Celfum, 290
Spielmann, Inftitut. chemix, $\mathrm{r}_{3}{ }^{6}$
Spitlers Hiflorifches magazin, 437
Splendor urbis Venetiarum, 307
Spon, Recherches d'antiquité, 305
Stanihurft De rebus in Hibernia geftis, 437
Stróritz, Aus.aug von Dreybaupts Befchreibung des Saal-Creifes, $43^{8}$
Von Stettens Gefchichte der fadt Augsburg, 48. 126, 127. 229. 306
Stief De vita nuptiifque plantarum, 278
Stobæi Ecloga, 199
——Sermon. ethici, 176
Stock, Frankfurter chronik, I 3 I
Storr, Aipenreife, $25^{6}$
Strabo, 248. 296. 315
Strada De bello Belgico, 326
Sturm, Collegium experimentale, 401
Stylites, 408
Suetonius, 14, I5. 100. 168.186, 251. 311. 347.404 .409

Suidas, 301,302
Summonte, Storia di Napoli, 40
Swinburne's Travels, 422
Synefii Opera, 2 ro

## T.

tableau de Paris, 53
Tacitus, 15. 100. 102
Tancredus Latinus De fame et fiti, 370
Taffie's Defrriptive Catalogue of engraved gems, 238
Tentamina experiment. Academ. del Cimento, 35 I
De Terreros y Pando, Diccionario Cartellano, $35^{8}$
Tertullianus, 42. 179.243.410
Teulfobes Mufeum, 135
Theocritus, 245
Theodoreti Hirt. ecclefiaftica, 334
Theophanis Chronographia, 315
Theophraftus, 190.234.253.262.274. 322
Thiboutel, Recueil de machines, 162

Thierry de Menonville, Traité de da culture du nopal, 7
Tholofanus De republica, 45
Thummigii Meletemata, 458
Tbiummigs Erläuterung der begebenbeiten in der matur, ibid.
Thunberg, Flora Japonica, 8
$\xrightarrow{\text { Refa uti Europa, Africa, }}$ Afia, 440
Thurneiffer Von metallifoben zuäform, 279
Titinnius, 26 I
Tournefort, Vnyage, I I. 440
Torre, Carlo, Il ritratto di Milano, 32
Toze, Gefchicbte der Niederlande, 162
Turgot, Mémoires fur le prêt à l'interệt, 52
Turre, Monumenta Antii, 58
Tractatus tractatuum, 36
Trallianus, 243
Trebellius Pollio, I 96
Twifs's Travels, 422

## V.

VALENTINI Letztes teffament, 138
Opera, I 37
Triumph-zvagen des anso
fimonii, 9 I
Valerius Maximus, $100.243 .43^{\circ}$
De la Valle, Reife, 263.346
Varini Dictionarium, 426
Le Vaffor, Hilt. de Louis KIII, 162
Vateri Epift. gratulat. ad Ruy frchium de mufculo orbiculari, 45 t
Vaucaufon, Le mechanifme du flutcur automate, $3^{27}$
De la Vega, 192
Vegetius De re militari, 430
Verfuche der Naturforfch. Gcfellfcbaft in Danzig, 304
Le Viei!,'Kunft auf glas au malen, 2 10. 228.414
Villafranca, Methodus refrigerandi vinum, 363
Villaret, Hift. de France, 210
Vincentius Bellovacenfis, 118. 151.206. 209
Virgil, 273.296. 304
Vitellonis Optica, 206, 207
Vogt, Catalogus lib. rar. 157
Volk rann, Narbricht von Italien, $34^{\circ}$
Voltaire, Siè̀le de Louis XIV, 289
Vopifcus, 168.304
Vulpius, Magdeburgifche gefchichte, 439
--Merjeburg, 439.441
U.

UEBER II. D. Mullers redende maScbine, $33^{\circ}$
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Ueber Sitten und die gerichtsböfe Spa- Weifmantels Blumift, 4

## niens, 422

Ugolini Thefaurus, 166
Ulloa's Voyages, 19 I
Univerfal Magazine, 3 I2. 355
W.

Waddingii Annales Minorum, 22.25. $27,28,29,30,3 \mathrm{I}, 32,33,34,35$. 38, 39.41
$\xrightarrow{\text { Scriptores ordinis Minorum, }}$ 23. 29

Wagenaar, Amferdam in zyne opkomfl, $33^{9}$
Wagenfeilii Commentat. de civitate Norimbergenfi, 230
Wallberger, Sammhung natürlicher zauberkïnfle, 292

Natürliches aauberbuch, ib.
Wallerii Mineralogia, 191.262
Wallerius, Pbsifabe chemie, 69
Walther, Programma de plant. Itructura, 433
Watfon's Chemical effays, 94. 197. 238
Wecker De fecretis, 214
Weigel, Programma de hif. barylliorum, 402
Weigels Cbemie, $1 ; 6$
A Weihe De feculi origine, 164
Weillinger, Armamentariun catholicum, iob

Werdmiller, Memorabilia Tigurina, 425
Wernsdorf, Postæ minores, 392
Von Weitphalen, Monumenta edita, 157
Wieglcbs Magie, 327.335
Wilhelmi Summa de virtutibue, 107
Walf, Untervichten von matbenatifichen fchrifien, $2 \subset 8$
Werfuche, 4:2
Wolfii Fragmenta mulierum Græcarum, 284. 38 h

Würfel Fon der fuden-gameinde ins Nürnberg, 47
Wright's Travels, 343
X.

XENOPHON, 288. 300. 303.319
Z.

ZAGATA, Cronica di Verona, $3_{2}$
Zahn, Oculus articialis, 2 T1. 225
Zanon, Lettere dell' agricultura, 28
Zcilfucbs, Stolbergijche bißorie, 439
Zimara, Problemata, 365
Zodiacus vitæ, 329
Zonca, 'Teatro di machine, 160
Zolinus Panoplitanus, 81

## $\begin{array}{lllll}I & N & D & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{X}\end{array}$

## To the moit remarkable Things mentioned in the

THIRD VOLUME.

## A.

$A^{C E T} A B U L A$ and paropfides, jugglers' cups, 300
Ægyptians made mineral alkali from the arhes of plants, 248
Erarium ecclefic, for what deftined in the firft century, 41
Es, what it at firt fignified, 75
Æfculapius invented the probe, 170
Fther of vitriol and of nitre caufes water to freeze in fummer, 353
Agricola formed many. Latin words from the German, 234
Albertus Magnus made a head which is faid to have fooken, 324
Alchemits, their conceits, 56
Alciphron, his defcription of a juggler exhibiting with balls and cups, 301
Aldus, from whom he purchafed his types, 126
Alifina, of Diofcorides, not the auricula, 4
Alkaline water in Armenia, mentioned by Strabo, 248
Amalgam of gold, propofed for mirrors, 198. Amalgan of tin not known to the ancients, 199
Anaryllis formonfifima, when that flower was known, 6. A. Sarnienfis, 8
Androides, 317
Apotbecre fonoris explained, 19
Apples incrulted with icc in fummer, 374
Archytas of Tarentum, his wooden pigeon, 324
Arsentarii, money-changers, 19
Artificial ice, 344. Art of preferving fnow for cooling liquoṭs, known in the carlielt ages, ibil. Ancients preferved fnow for that purpofe in trenches, ibid. Ice preferved for the fame ufe, proved by the teftimony of various authors, 346. Nero's method of conling water, 347. Galen's account how water was cooled in Egypt, 349. Whether boiled or unboiled water becomes ice fooneff, 350. Experiments of Dr. Black on thiṣ
this fubject, 35 3. By means of æther water can be made to freeze in fummer, 353. Art of making ice at Calcutta, ibid. Strange method of cooling water mentioned by Plutarch, $355^{\circ}$ Practice of cooling liquors by ice, not known in any other country but ltaly before the end of the 16th century, 356 . Earthen veffels ufed in Portugal for rendering water cooler, 357. Ufe of fnow well known at the lireach court under Henry III, proved from a fatirical work called L'Ihe des. Hermaphrodites, 359. Trade carried on with fnow and ice in France, 362. When the cooling property of faltpetre was difcovered, 363. Who firft conceived the idea of mixing frow or ice with faltpetre, not known, 359. Drinking-cups made of ice ufed in France, 373-375. Liquori, when introduced into France by the Italians, 376 . Limonadiers at Paris formed into a company, $37 \%$. Coats of arms made of eatable ice, 379
Afcianus or Ziminerrnann, account of him, 25
Aftrology, origin of it, 55
Atlantis, defcription of its walls, 61
Augufus Cæfar eftablifhed lending-lioufes, 14
Auricula, when firf known, 3
Auricbalcum, 75
Aurum fullninans, 135. Of what compofed, zbid. Invention of it obfcure, 136. Said to have been difcovered about the year 1413 by a German monk, 137. Valentin's receipt for preparing it, 138 . Can be deprived of its power of exploding by means of vinegar, I39. This powder well known to Crollius, 140. The name aurtun fulminaus firt ufed by Beguin, ibid.
Authors, before the invention of printing, fubmitted their works to the judgment of their fuperiors, 104
Automata, whetlier known to the ancients, 320
A weftad, copper-works at, 295. Feats performed by the workmen there with melted metal, ibid.

## B

BAKKER, faid to have invented that machine called the can $\mathrm{mel}, 34^{2}$
Balls and cups, exhibition with, known to the ancients, 299
Bankers, the oldef at Rome, 19
Bar-Cocheba, juggling trick practifed by him to make the Jews belicuc he was the Meffias, 292
Bardi, Lombards fo called, 49
Barnabas Interamnenfis ettablifhed the firt lending-houfe, 22
Baryllifice and larynile, what they fignify, 397
Fiaryllium, the cone of an hydrometer, ijid.
Bafons ufed inftead of mirrors, 167 . Emploged to foretell future events, ibid.

## TO THE THIRD VOLUME.

Batavian lather, employed by the Romans for colouring their hair, 242
Bed, at a certain court of Europe, lined with mirrors, 169
Belchier, John, his difcovery refpecting madder, 276
Bernardinus Tomitanus fupported lending-houfes, 27
Bills of exchange, 460. Account of the oldeft, ibid. Ordinance iffued at Barcelona in the year i 394 refpecting them, 461. Copy of two bills dated in 1404, taken from a Hillory of the maritime trade at Barcelona, 462, 463
Black Sea, how the ancients fifhed there, 348
Bladders ufed by the Romans to preferve their hair during the night, 242
Blue-John, articles made of fparry fluor fo called, 238
Bologna fpar, when made known, 235
Bones of living animals coloured, 277
Book-cenfors, 99. Reafon of their being eftablifhed, ibid. Books for bidden and burnt by different goveruments, even before the invention of printing, 100 . Inftances of this at Athens and Rome, ibict. Books of ths Jews and Chritians burnt, 102. Works of Arius and Neftorius condemned to the flames, 103. The examination of books propofed by Plato, 104. Earlieft inftance of books publifhed with a permiffion from government, $10 ;-10 \%$. Copy of a penal mandate iffued by the archbifhop of Mentz againlt tranflating Greek and Latin works, 108-112. Mandate of the fame refpecting book-cenfors, 112,113 . Buill of Pope AlexanderVI prohibiting books to be printed unlefs previoufly examined, 113, I14. Order by the council of the Lateran that no books flould be printed but fuch as had been infpected by ecclefiaftical cenfors, 115. When book-cenfors were eftablithed in France, 116
Bohemian emerald, the fame as fparry fluor, 233
Borith, meaning of that word in the Sacred Writings, 249
Bullmann, Hans, at Nuremberg, made automata moved by clock-work, 32 I
Burning books, different inftances of, 100-103
Brachmans, in India, acquainted with the aftrological nomina. tion of the planets, 59
Bran ufed for wathing, 259
Brafs, hillory of it, 72
Brafs works at the Harz, when furnace-calamine began to be employed there, 84 .

## C

C.ABEUS, one of the earlieft writers who las defcribed the hydrometer, 400
Cadmia, different meanings of that word, 74
Cajetanus wrote againtt the legality of lending-houfes, 36

Calaem, Indian name of zinc, 98
Calamine known to the ancients, 74
Calculi, balls ufed by jugglers, 300
Calitzenfein, 85
Camel, machine for raifing fhips over fand-banks, 337. Defcription of it, 338,339. Said to have been invented by Cornelius Meyer, 340. Invention of it afcribed by Dutch writers to Meeuves Meindertfzoon Bakker, 342. Machines of this kind in Ruffia and at Venice, 343
Camp-mills, 160 . Defcription of them, ibid. Account of one invented by Pompeo Targone, engineer to the marquis of Spinola, 16I. Some account of Targone, 162. Invention of camp-mills afcribed to the Germans, 163
Candle, how extinguifhed and again lighted by holding it againft a wall, 293
Caorcini, Lombards, 49
Capitation, introduced by Louis XIV, 251
Capperon, Noel, fome account of hin, 5
Carbunculus, the ruby, alfo a kind of black marble, 190
Carp, hiltory of them, 141. Suppofed by fome to lave been the cyprini and lepidoti of the ancients, 143. Defcription of the cyprini in ancient authors, $145, \mathrm{I} 46$. Examination how far it agrees with that of our carp, $146-150$. Caffiodorus the oldelt author who ufes the term carpa, 150. Carpera and carpo ufed by Vincent de Beaurais and Cæfarius, 151. Origin of the name carpa, 152. Salmo carpio not to be confounded with carpa or our carp, 153. Carp fuppofed to have been firft found in the fouthern paris of Europe, 154. When carp were known in England, 155. When introduced into Denmark, 156. Brought froin Italy to Pruffia, $1 ; 8$. Spiegelkarpen, mirror-carp, by whom firlt defcribed, 159
Cafance fenoris explained, 19
Catalogues of books, 125. Firft printers printed books at their own expence, and fold them themfelves, ibid. When bookfelling became a diftinct bulinefs, 126. Catalogues firt printed by George Willer of Augfurg, 127. Account of fome of the earlielt catalognes, 128-130. Reflections on the increafe and lofs of books, 3 I . Collection of thefe catalogues by Clefs and Draudius, 132, 133
Caturcini, 49
Cauftica fpuma of the ancients, what it was, 242
Cenitram, meaning of it when applied to metalline mirrors, 179
Charles $V$ fond of automat:, 3:6
Chrlidonium, fiwallow-wort, makes the milk of cows appear bloody, 278
Chemical names of metals, 53. Thefe names given firt to the heavenly hodies, 54. Nommation of metals after the heathen deities, 56 . Aftrological nomination of the metals known
to the Brachmans in India, 59. Allufion to the nomination of metals after the gods to be found in the works of the aricients, 60. Origin of the characters by which the planets are expreffed, 64. Origin of thofe by which the metals are fignified, 64-66. Opinion of Saumaife, Du Cange and Huet on thefe characters, 67-69
Chequered lily, hiftory of it,
Chinefe fhadows, defcription of them, 335. The fame amufement feen by Profper Alpinus in Egypt, 336
Chorobates of Vitruvius, what it was, 387
Cimolian earth, its ufe among the ancients, 265
Citrinatio aris, explained, So
Clefs, his collection of Catalogues, 132
Climia, calimia, the fame as cadmia, 80
Cloth, how dreffed by the Koman fullers, 266
Clufius and his friends contributed to excite a tafte for flowers, $z$
Coactilarii, among the ancients, manufactured felt, 267
Coberger, infpectur of the lending-houfes in the Netherlands, 52
Collybifte, 19
Conterfey, meaning of it, 91
Cooling liquors. See Artificial ice
Courrante Margarethe, 318
Cows, milk of, coloured by eating certain plants, 277
Crown imperial, from whom it received its name, 5
Cups made of ice, 374
Cyrill, his hatred to the Jews, 392 . Perfecuted Hypatia, 383 Cyprus produced good copper and calamine, 76 .

## D.

D $\not$ 他DALUS made flatues that could walk, 322
Days of the week named after the planets, 59
Decocta of Nero, 347
Democritus of Abdera, his chemical writings, 70
Defultores, ancient, 3 10
Diamond, when firt ufed for writing on glafs, 228
Dominicans endeavoured to prevent the eftablifhment of lend-ing-houles, 24
Draudius, fome account of his Bibliotbeca claffica, 133
Drinking-cups made of ice ufed in France, 373
Dutch, how they carried their fleet to fea over the fhoals in the end of the latt century, 338 .

## E.

EBENER, Erafnus, difcovered the ufe of furnace-calaminc, 82 Von Eckeberg exhibited remarkablc fears of ltrength, 303
Ecbatana, its feven walls defcribed, 59
Electrum, whether it was a particular metal, $\sigma_{3}$

Elephant walked on a rope, $\mathrm{j}^{10}$
Elfholz acquainted with the luminous property of Sparry fluor, 235
Emeralds employed for mirrors, 187
Empedocles confidered the fun to be a mirror, 201
Epigram on rope-dancing, afcribed to Petronius, 306
Ereuthodanon, our madder, 272
Erzalaun, white vitriol, 86
Etching on glafs, art of, difcovered by Henry Schwanhard, 230. Procefs which he employed, account of, 231. Dr. Pauli of Drefden etched on glafs, 132
Eunus, fome account of him, 290. Practifed a juggling-trick to infpire his followers with courage, 291
Exchange-banks in Gerınany, 46
Exclufive privilege for printing books, i16. Oldeft privilege known, granted in 1490 by Henry bifhop of Bamberg, ibid. Account of fome of the oldeft privileges granted in different countries, 118-120. Copy of a privilege granted by the emperor Maximilian to John Scheffer, for his edition of Livy, 121, 122. Privileges granted in England, 123. Privileges in Spain, 124.

## F

FALOTS ufed at Paris for lighting the freets, 411
Fafting in the Eaft, different from that in Europe, I 44
Filtering-paper, how employed to clean oil-flafks, 263
Firmus could fuffer iron to be forged on an anvil placed above his brealt, 303
Fifh difficult to be characterifed, 141 . Were the favourite food of the ancients, 144
Flowers, whence firlt brought to our gardens, 2
Fiuor, fparry fluor, by whom that term was made, 234
Francis I acquainted with and fond of the arts, 288. Wrote with a diamond upon glafs, ibid.
Francifcans eftablifhed the firt lending-houfe, 22
Fritillaria meleagris, when firft known, 4. Frit. imper. 5. Frit. Perfica, ibid.
Fullers e:rrth ufed by the ancients for walning, 26 I
Funambuli, 306
Furnace-calamine known to the ancients, 76. When firlt ufed at the Harz, 84.

> G.

Galam, man there who called himfelf king of the bees, 313
Galiizenflein, origin of that word, 86
Gulium, yellow lady's-bedllraw, property of it, 277
Gall of animals, its faponaceous nature, 244

Gallinazo, kind of lava, 190
Garden-flowers, hiffory of them, I. Were not much cultivated by the Greeks and the Romans, ibid. Modern tafte for flowers came from Perfia and Confiantinople, 2. Tuberofe, when firft bronght to Europe, 3. Auricula carried by Walloon merehants to Bruffels, 4. Chequered-lily incroduced into gardens about the middle of the 16th century, 5 . Crown imperial brought from Perfia to Conftantinople, and thence to Vienna, ibid. African and French marigolds indigenous in South America, 6. Amaryllis formofifinma brought in 1593 from South America to Spain, ibid. Guernfey lily firtt cultivated in the beginning of the 17 th century at Paris, 8. Bulbs of it caft on fhore at Guernfey from a flip bound from Japan, which was wrecked there, took root and produced flowers, 9. Ranunculus brought from the Levant fo early as the time of the Crufades, 10. Fondnefs of Mahomet IV for this flower, ibid.
De Gennes, account of his automata, 329
Glacière, that word not to be met with in old dictionaries, 356 .
Glafs-cutting, 221. This art known to the ancients, ibid. Revived by Cafpar Lehmann in the beginning of the latt century 223. Schwanhard, a celebrated artift in glafs-cutting, fome account of him, ibid. Figures engraved on glafs with a diamond, 225. Kof, an artift at Augflourg, ornamented with a diamond drinking-glaffes, which were purchafed by the emperor Charles V1, 2229. Etching on glafs invented by Henry Schwanhard, 230. His method defcribed, 23 . Who made the liquid ufed for this purpofe firlt known, 232 . Hiftory of fparry fluor, 233-235. When its property of emitting light was difcovered, 235 . Ornaments of fparry fluor began to be made in Derbythire, 238
Glafs can be corroded by acids, 230
Glaziess, old, how they cut glafs, 227
Gobelets, derivation of that word, 302
Gogkeigut, white, 87
Greeks and the Romans did not cultivate flowers, I
Guernfey-lily when made known, 8
Gypfophila fruthium ufed for wafhing in Italy and Spain, $25 \%^{\circ}$

## H.

IIACTS, Sigifinund, of Nuremberg, his hydrometers much efteemed, 40 I
Hamburgh, merchants there fent to Greenland for a flipload of ice, $37^{8}$
Fands, how they may be rendered callous fo that one can hold in them red-liot iron, 296
ron. H1. I i Eerod,

Herod, coins of, fuppofed to have on them a reprefentation of the flower called crown imperial, 5
Hefperus, the fame as Sparry fluor, 233
Hirpi, their juggling trick with burning coals, 296
Homer, whether acquainted with mirrors, 169
Horfe, burnt as being poffefled by the devil, 289
Horfemanfhip, feats of, exhibited at the Byzantine court in the 13th century, 309
Hydrometer, 380 . Conftruction of it founded on the laws refpecting the fpecific gravity of fluids and folid bodics immerfed in them, ibid. Earlieft mention of it occurs in the $5^{\text {th }}$ century, in the letters of Synefius to Hypatia, 381. Anecdotes of the life of Hypatia, $381-384$. Some account of Synefius, 384,385 . Defcription of the hydrometer by Synefius, 385 . Petau's obfervations on that defcription, 386. Explanation of it by Fermat, 387. Hydrofiopium of Syncfius not the clonobates of Vitruvius, 388. Merfenne's doubts refpecting the inftrument of Synefius, 389. His objections anfwered, 390. Latin poem on the hydrometer by Prifcian, 392. Profeflor Klugel's tranlation of it, 393, 394. Remarks on this poem, 395. Hypatia not the inventrefs of the hydrometer, 396. Meaning of baryllium, 397. Knowledge of the hydrometer forgotten, and again revived towards the end of the Ithth century, 399. Earlieft account of it in modern times to be found in the works of Cabeus, 402. Improvements in this inftrument, 401
Hypatia, anecdotes of her life, $38 \mathrm{I}-384$.

## I, J

TACKS, antiquity of them, 317
Ice, artificial. Sce Artificial ice.
Ichthyology of the ancients, litile done to explain it, 140
Jews, perlecuted on account of their ufurious dealings, $2 S$
Illuminations on joyful occafions, antiquity of them, 40S, 409
Images of the gods, in what manner walted by the ancients, 245
Impoitor, by what means he made a fatue fpeak, 334
Inca's ftonc, account of it, 10 r
Indians, their method of conling water, 350
Infects cmployed to make leaf-nieletons, 449
Iron fiy of J. Muller or Regiomontanus, 325
Irfon, Thonas, an Englifman, exhibited a speakiug machine, 334. His deception detected, 335

Ifatis, woad, dycs blue, 273
Ifis, table of, faid to contain cliemical charaeters, 66
Italians finf fold -liqucurs at Paris, 376
jugglers, 282. Who comprethended under that title, ibid.
Obferratious

Obfervations on their employment, 284-289. Deception of breathing out flames very ancient, 290. How performed, 291. Deceptions of the ancients with naphtha, 293. Feats of Riehardfon, an Englifhman, with burning coals and melted lead, 294. Feat of one of the workmen at Aweftad with melted copper, 295. Ancient Hirpi and women at Caftabala acquainted with the art of walking through burning coals. 296. Ordeal, a juggling trick of the priefts, 297. Secret of it difclofed by Albertus Magnus, 298. Exhibition with balls and cups often mentioned in the works of the ancients, 299. Defcription of it in the letters of Alciphron, 301. Inltances of extraordinary ftrength have excited wonder in all ages of the world, 302. Charles von Eckeberg fuffered large fones to be broken on his breaft with a hammer, 303. A like feat exhibited by Firmus in the 3d century, 304. Ancient rope-dancers, 305. Petaurifer, 307. Art of exhibiting feats of horfenauthip came from the Eaft.Performers in that way in the 13 th century at the Byzantine court, 300. Romans taught elephants to walk on a rope, 310 . Sybarites taught their horfes to dance, 311. Wildman's exhibition with bees, 312. Perfons born without arms or lands, their dexterity with their feet, 313. Perfon of this kind fent to Augutus by an Indian king, 315. Puppets, 316. Marionettes, or neurofpafta of the ancients, 318, 319 . Antiquity of automata, 320. Tripods of Vulcan, 32 I . Moving ftatues of Dredalus, 322, 323. Pigeon of Archytas, 324. Wooden eagle and iron fly of Regiomontanus, 325 . Automata of Vaucanfon and Du Moulin, 326 - 332 ; of De Gennes, 329. Speaking machines, $330-335$. Clinefe fhadows, 335 .
Julius duke of Brunfwick fond of mineralogy, $8_{4}$. Forbade the exportation of zinc, 92 .

## K.

KIRCHER profofed putting a fmall waggon in motion by means of quickfilver, 322
Kirchmayer made known the luminous property of fparry fluor, 236
Konia, a fubllance ufed by the ancients for wafhing, 246 .

> L.

LAMPS, reverberating, by whom invented, 415
Lana pbillfuphica, 79
Lamaria, plant fo called by the Calabrian peafants, 257
Lanarii, explanation of that term, 267
Lapidary's wheel known to the ancients, 222

Lapis calaminaris, 80
Latinus Tancredus gives the firft account of mixing faltpetre with fnow to produce cold, 370
Laudati (abbé) let out torches and lanterns for hire at Paris, $4{ }^{1} 2$
Lawfon, Dr. his experiments to obtain zinc, 94
Leaf-ficletons, 443. Anatomy of plants began to be fludied about the beginning of the laft century, 444. One great help towards that fludy was the art of reducing leaves, fruit and roots to fkeletons, ibid. Nethod by which this is done, 445. Leaf-fkeletons firlt made by Severin, profeffor of anatomy at Naples, $44^{6}$. Made alfo by Gabriel Clauder, $447^{\circ}$ Inlects employed for this parpofe by Ruyfch, 449. When he firt publifhed an account of his procefs, $45^{\circ}$. Thefe flkcletons prepared by others, 452 . Figures of leaf-fleletons publihed by Seligmann, 454. Hiftory of the art of raifing trees from leaves, $455-460$
Lehmann, invento: of glafs-cutting, 223
Lemmins, Levintis, fome account of him, 231
Lending-houles, hifory of them, 12. Ancient princes lent money to the poor without interelt, 14. Their example followed in modern Italy, 15. Taberne argentarie of the Romans different from lending-houfes, 19. Public loans at Florence and other cities in the 14 th century, 20. Barnabas Interamnenfis firft propofed to eftablifh a lending-houfe, 22. The eftablifhment of lending-houfes oppofed by the Dominicans, 24. Bernardinus Tomitano preached in favour of lend-ing-houfes, 28. Chronological accuent of the eftablifhment of lending-houfes in different parts of Italy, 29-35. Difpute refpecting the legality of them, 37. Confirmed at the council of the Lateran, 38. Lending-houfes eftablifhed in the 16 th contuis 3, 39. Banco de' poveri at Naples, 40. Origin of the name Mons pietatis, 4I. Account of the oldert public loans, 44. Firit lending-houfe in Germany, 46. Lombards in the Netlerlands, 4S. Mont de piste at Paris, 53.

Lepidoti, whether our carp, 147
L, fe-rents, origin of them, 45
Light-magnete, what kind of ftones fo called, 235
Lighting of flreets, 403. Streets of Rome not lighted, itid. Contrary opinion of Meurfius, 404. Paffage of Libanius feems to flew that the flreets of Antioch were lighted, 405, 4c6. Cefaria lighted, 407. Antiquity of illuminations on joyful occafions, + CS . When the 1 trects of Paris began to be lighted, 41\%. Reverberating lamps invented, 415. Firlt account of lighting the frects of London, 416. Lighting at Amflerdam and the Hague, 420. At Copenhagen, 421 . Strects of Rome have no lights but thofe placed before the
images of Saints, ibid. Lighting of furcets at Philadelphia, Hamburgh, Berlin, 422. At Vienna and other cities, 423 $-4^{25}$
Lily of the Scripture, what fuppofed to have been, 5
Limonadiers at Paris, when formed into a company, 377
Liquori of the Italians, when introduced into France, 376
Lombard, origin of the name, 48
London, when its treets began to be lighted, 4 I 6
L'Ife des Hermaphrodites, fome account of that fatirical work, 359, 360.

## M.

MADDER, ${ }^{2} 7 \mathrm{I}$. Deicription of that plant, ibid. Was known to the ancients, 272 . Called varantia in the middle ages, 275. Its property of colouring the bones of animals which feed on it, how difcovered, 276 . Other plants poffefs the fame property, 277. Leminius the oldeft writer who fpeaks of coloured bones, 28 t
Mahomet IV fond of the ranunculus, if
Mandate for appointing a book-cenfor, copy of the oldeft, ros
Mandirola firt made known the method of raifing trees from leaves, 455
Mantua, duke of, faid to have had a powder which would convert water into ice initantaneoufy, even in fummer, 369
Marcofita aurea, zinc, SS. Marcafita pallida, 94
Marionieltes, 317
Marfchalk, Nicholas, account of him, 156
Marigold, French and African, hiftory of, 6
Marcal, Leonard, brought the firt carp to England, 155
Medea dellroyed Creufa by means of naphtha, 293
Melted copper held in the naked liand, inftance of, 295
MITufarii, bankers, 19
Mrenfer numularice of the ancients, ibid.
Metals ditinguifable by the fmell, 175 . Origin of the chemical characters by which they are reprefented, $\sigma_{3}$. Their nomination after the gods, 57
Nieyer, Cornelins, a Dutch engineer, fome account of him, $34^{\circ}$ Microfcope, folar, by whom invented, 287
Mirrors, $16_{4}$. The oldelt were of matal, ibid. Known in the time of Nofes, 165. Not mentioned by Homer, 165. What metals are propereft for making them, 171. Greater part of the ancient mirrors made of filver, ${ }_{172}$. Mirrors of copper, brafs and gold, 176. Ancient mirrors, how cleaned, ${ }^{179}$. Chemical examination of the metal of an ancient mirror, 180. Mirrors made of foncs, 184 ; of the obfidian flone or Icelandic agate, 185 ; of pheng jites, 186 ; of an cmerald, 187 ; of rubies, 189. Mirrors of the native Americans, $190^{\circ}$

Mirrors of glafs made at Sidon, 192. Mention of glafs mirrors fuppofed to occur in Stobæus, 199. Glafs mirrors covered on thic back with tin, mentioned in the problems of Alexander of Aphrodifias, 202. Paffage of Ifidore quoted in fupport of the antiquity of glafs mirrors, 204. Mirrors in the I2th century, 206. The firft certain mention of glaif-mirrors in the 13th century, 208. Manner in which the oldeft glafsmirrors were made, 211 . Procefs for filvering mirrors at Murano, defcribed by Porta, 214. Venetian mirrors nuch efteemed till the end of the 1 ;th century, 215. Eftablifhment of glafs-houfes in France, 216. Invention of calting glafs plates for mirrors, 217 . Advantage and difadvantages of this invention, 219. Abandoned for the old method of blowing, 220
Mithras, his myfteries, 5 ?
Mons pietatis, origin of the name, 41. Montes fidei, religionis, farina, E'c. 44
Du Moulin, account of his automata, 327
Muller, John, his artificial eagle and iron fly, 325 .

> N.

NAPLES, lending-houfe there, 40
Naphtha, Alexander the Great aftonifhed at the effects o? it, 203 Nero, obfervations on the emerald which he ufed to affirt him to fee the combats of the gladiators, 187
Neflus, blood of, fuppofed to have been naphtha, 294
Neurobata, зоб
Neurofpafa, puppets, 3 I 8
Nicht, furnace-nicit, origin of the name, 79
Night-watch, 425. Watchmen among the ancients, $4: 6$. When calling out the hours began to be practifed, 427. Rich people among the Greeks and Romans kept fervants whofe bufnefs was to announce ccrtain periods of the day, 428 . Methods of watching ufual in time of war, 429. Ancient watchmen carried bells, 43 I . Night-watching eftablifhed very early at Paris, 432. Watchmen eftablinhed at Berlin, 433. Montagnc's account of the night-watchmen in Germany, 434. Watclmen Itationcd on ftceples and towers, 436. Steeple-watchmen not fuffered to have their wives with them, left the churches fhould be profaned, 438. Watchmen pofted on towers, among the Chincfe, 439. Stecple-watchmen in Germany often mentioned in the $14^{\text {th }}$ and 15 th cen. tury, 44 t . Watchmen in times of feudal alarm, $44^{2}$
Nitrum or litrom, employed by the ancients for wafhing, 247
Nofce te ipfum, a book fo called, fome account of, 10 s
Von Noltiz firt introduced carp into Piuffia, 158
Nismularii, 19
Nurcmberg, lending-hou!e thcre, 46.

## O.

OBSIDIAN ftone of the ancients was vitrified lava, 185
Oil and wine jars, how cleaned, $246-263$
Old lant, name given to urine by the cloth-manufacturers, 250
Oleum lentificinum ufed by the ancients for making a kind of ointment, 243
Oracles, in what manner they fpoke, 322
Ordeal, trial by, a juggling trick of the prielts, 297. Account of it, ibid.
Oribata, 306
Ox-eyes, a kind of fmall mirrors, 213
Oxe, Peter, brought the firt cray-fifh and carp to Denmark, 156.

## P.

Panni nativi coloris explained, 273
Paris, when its ftreets began to be lighted, 4 II
Pauli, Matthew, of Drelden, engraved on glafs, 232
Peccam, John, account of him, 208
Perfcribere and refcribere, meaning of thefe words as applied to money tranfactions, 19
Perugia, the firt lending-houfe eftablifhed there, 24
Petaminarii, petaurifa, 306
Peteriburg, watchmen there announce the hours by beating on a furpended plate of iron, 440
Phalli, 3 I 9
Phengites of the ancients was a kind of fpar, 186
Phofohoric earth, 238
Phyficians, ancient, remarked that the ufe of certain roots coloured the urine of their patients, 279
Pilce Mattiaca, a preventative of grey hair, 241
Planets, characters by which their names are expreffed, $\sigma_{3}$
Polianthes tuberofa, hiftory of it, 3
Pomploolyx, meaning of that term, 79
Pope induced the houie of Medici, by granting it the cardinalw Chip, to fupprefs the Academy del Cimento, 288
Population, increafe of, obfervations on, 284
Portugal, account of the veffels ufed there for cooling water, 357
Porus, an Indian king, fent to Augufus a man without arms, who with his feet could bend a bow and difcharge arrovis, \&c. 315
Frinters endeavoured at firlt to make the books they printed refemble manufcripts, 195
Printing, bull of Pope Alexander VI. to reftriet it, 113
Prifcian, his poem on the hydrometer, 392

Probe invented by Refculapius, 170
Proflitunica, meaning of, 268
Public loans, oldeft acconnt of them, 43
Puppets employed formerly to work miracles, 316.

## Q.

QUICKSILVER, puppets of the Chinefe put in motion by
it, 322 .

## R.

RANUNCULUS, hiftory of it, 10
Renard, his excellent thermometers, $23 t$
Refeda lutcola, dyes ycliow, 273
Richardfon, an Englifhman, his feats with burning coals, melted lead, \&c. 294
Robin, Juhn and Vefpafian, two experienced gardeners, tended to promote a tafte for flowers, 2
Roman ladies dyed their hair with plants brought from Germany, $24^{2}$
Rome, lending-houfe there,
39
Rope-dancers mentioned in the works of the ancients, 305 .

## S.

SALMO CARPIO, 153
Saltpetre firft employed by the Italians for cooling wine, $3^{6} 3$
Sander, Chiftopher, his fervice to the mines of the Harz, 86
Sandyn: fuppofed to be our madder, 273
Supo, that word firft ufed by Martial, 242
Sirponaria officinalis thought to be the foutbium of the ancients, 255
Schicele difcovercd the acid of fparry fluor, 229
Scheffcr, John, privilcge granted to his edition of Livy, 12 I
Schenobativ, 306
Schwanhard, an cxperienced glafs-cutter, fome account of him, 223
Schweicker, Thomas, wrote and made pens with his feet, 314
Sea-fifh, whether different from frefh-water lifh, 550
Seligmann publifhed plates of leaves reduced to fkeletons, 454 Seven, why that number was accounted facred, 56
Shccp, how wafhed in the Helvetian Alps, 255 . Wool of coloured by feeding on certain plants, 273
Sidon, mirrors inade at the ghafs-houfes there, 193
Sikin, how rendered callous, 295
Smell cmployed to difcover the quality of metals, 175
show ufed by the ancients for cooling liquors, 34t. Hour peferved for that purpofe by Alexander the Great, ibit.

Sent the diftance of fixty miles to Lifbon, 345. Snow ufed at the French court towards the end of the 16th century, 359. Trade with fnow and ice, 362. Snow mixed with faltpetre, 369
Soap, 239. Invented by the Gauls, ibid. Much ufed by the ladies at Rome as a kind of pomade, 241. Germans dyed their hair with it, 243. Nature of common foap explained, 244. Oldeft method of walhing, 245. How wine-jars and the images of the gods were cleaned, 246. Nitrum ufed for walhing, 247. Alkaline water in Armenia, 248. Urine employed for wafhing, 249. Tax upon it, 25 I. Saponaceous plants ufed inftead of foap, 252-258. Bran, 259. Fullers-earth, 260-263. Cloth fumigated with fulphur to render it whiter, 264. Art of the Roman fullers, 266268. Walk-mill, when invented, 269

Soap-plant of Syria, defcribed by Bauhin, 258
Spar, ornaments of, when firlt made in England, 238
Sparry fluor earth, luminous, when firt known, 235
Speaking machines, account of them, 330-332
Speculatum cubiculum Horatii, 168
Speltrum, the fame as zinc, 98
Spiauter, Spialter, origin of thefe terms, ibid.
Spiegel-karpen, mirror-carp, 158
Sponge and pumice-ftone employed by the ancients for cleano ing their mirrors, 179
Stannum does not always fignify tin, 177
Stock-jobbing, origin of, 20
Strutbium, different accounts given of it by the ancients, 252
Sulphur, cloth fumigated with it becomes white, $2 \sigma_{4}$
Sun, why worhipped as a divinity, 55
Sweinheim, Conrade, and Arnold Pannarz, the firl printers at Rome, petitioned the Pope for fupport, 126
Sybarites taught their horfes to dance to the found of mufic, 31 I
Synefius, anecdotes of him, 384. Wrote to Hypatia for an hydrometer, 385.

## T.

TABERN/E argentaric of the Romans, in
Tayctes patula et erecta, when firft known, 6
Telefcope, invention of it made metal mirrors neceffary, 171
Thevart found out the art of cafting giafs plates, 216
Tiberius eftablifhed lending-banks, $1_{4}$
Toga of the Romans, how wove and made, 270
Tombac, 72
Tomitano, Bernardinue, anecdotes of, 27
Tontines, origin of them, 45
vOL. 11. Kk . Trapezite,

## $49^{\circ}$

 GENERALINDEXTrapczitc, banikers, 19
Trces, how raifed from leaves, 455
Truberofe, hittory of, 3
Tutia, how produced, 82
Tuttanego, zinc, 98
Tufac, Perlian name of the flower called crown imperial, 5;

## V.

$V$ arantia, name given to madder in the middle ages, 275
Vaucanfon, his automata, 326
Vectigal pro urina jumentorum et canum, 25 I
Venice, lending-houfe there, 41
Verbafcum, ointment for grey hair made of its flowers with foap and afhes, 243
Vefpafian laid a tax on urine, 25 :
$V$ efperugo, fparry fluor, 237
Villafranca, Blatius, firft made known the method of cooling liquors by faltpetre, 363
Virgil's mirror, account of, $2 \not 10$
Vitriol, white, when firft made known, 85 .

## U.

Urine employed by the ancients for warhing, 249. How collected by the feourers, 250. Tax laid upon it by Vefpafians 25 โ
Ufurious practices of the Jews in the 15 th century, 22.

## W.

Walk-mills, when invented, 269
Wafhing at Rome, Pliny's defcription of it, 264
Water, boiled, faid to freeze fooner than unboiled, 35 I
Water-fearchers, among the ancients, their employment, 398
Watclimen pofted on lleeples, 436. Uility of watchmen placed on the tops of towers in the time of feudal diffenfion, ibid.
Wildman taught bees to obey his orders; 312
Willer, George, firft printed catalogucs of books, $12 \gamma$
Windows in Ruffia, how cleaned when frozen, 369 .

## Z.

Zieglerinn, Anna Maria, an impofor, burnt on an iron ftool at Brunfwick, 93
Zimara acquainted with the method of cooling liquors by means of faltpetre, 365 . What time he lived, ibid.

## TO THETHIRD VOLUME. 49

Zinc, 7r. Was not known to the ancients, ibid. Ancients acquainted with brafs, 72. Meaning of the word cadmia, 74. Cuprum, what it fignified, 75. Furnace-calamine, 76. Climia, 80. Ufe of furnace-calamine in making brafs, known to Albertus Magnus, 82. Erafmus Ebener firf brought it into ufe at the furnaces of Rammellberg, ibid. Invention of white vitriol, $85 .^{\circ}$ Firlt mention of zinc under the name of marchafita aurea, 88. The name zinc occurs firf in Paracelfus, 91. Zinc fcarce in the 16 th century, 92. Zinc firft procured from calamine by Henkel, 94. Greater part of it imported from the Eaft Indies, 95. Origin of the different names given to it, 98
Zofimus, his method of reftoring the luttre of pearls, 259 .

## THE END.





[^0]:    * Papons Reife durch dic Provence. Leipzig $1 ; 83,8: 0$ P 332.
    $\dagger$ Navigatio et itinerarium in Or:cntalem Indiam. Haga s599, fol.
    $\ddagger$ Miller's Gärtner-Lexicon, iii. p. $\sigma_{33}$.
    1 Haller, Hiftor. Stirpium, i. p. 272. n. 612.

[^1]:    - Schauplatz der natur, ii. p. 49.

[^2]:    * Clufii Hift. plant. p. izo.
    + Dodonxi Florum hifloria, p. 62. Bauhini Hiftor. plant. iii. I. p. 98 :

[^3]:    * Millers Gärtncr-Lexicon, iii. p. 761.
    + Ranunculus Afraticus Limai,
    $\ddagger$ Hitor. plant. ar. i. p. $2 \neq$ i.

[^4]:    * Tournefort, Voyage du Levant, vol. ii. p. 15. Traité des renoncules (par D'Ardene), Paris I746, 8vo.; and an extract from it in Hamburg. magazin, i. p. 596. Pluche, Schauplatz der natur, i. p. 75.

[^5]:    * J. D. Michaelis Differtatio de mente et ratione legis Mofaicæ ufuram prohibentis, in Syntagma commentationum, ii. p. 9; and his Mofaifches recht. iii. p. 86.

[^6]:    * Quoties ex damnatorum bonis pecunia fuperflueret, ufum ejus gratuitum iis qui cavere in duplum poffent, ad certum tempus indulfit. Sucton. Vila Augufit, cap. 41.
    + Tulit open Cæfar, difpofito per menfas millies feftertio, factaque mutuandi copia fine ufuris per triennium, fi debitor populo

[^7]:    * It may be found in Bolle et privilegi del facro monte dellas piefà di Roma. In Roma 1618 : riltampati l'anno 16;8. This collection is commonly bound up with the following work, which was printed in the fame year and again reprinted: Statuti del facro monte della pietà di Roma. This bull is inferted entire by Afcianus, p. 7 I9: but in the Collection of the pontifical bulls it is omitted. I flall here give only the following estracts from it. Ut hujufmodi incommodis (the aful ious practices of the Fows) obvient, cupiunt, ad inftar dilectorum filiorum civium civitatis notrre Perufinæ, in prædicia civitatẹ Savonenfi, ex piis Chrifti fidelium fuffragiis, ac alias colligere, et in unam maffam, que mons pietatis nuncupctur, rẹdigere aliquam non parvæ pecunia fummam, de gqua per!onis pauperibus et egenis, per officiales, examinata cauffic neceflitatis corum, ac receptis pignoribus ab cifdem, opportunie valeat proportionabiliter fubveniri eo modo, quo fubsenitur ex pecuniis montis pictatis in Perufina civitate dudum apoftulica auctoritate interveniente ordinati, dummodo eis defuper per nos licentia coucedatur.

[^8]:    * This Michael travelled and preached much in company with Bernardinus, and died at Como in 1485 . Wadding, xiv. p. 396.

[^9]:    * The Piccolimini, nephews of the Pope, having once paid their refpects to him at Siena, he told them he was their namefake. Wadding, xir. p. 447.
    + Wadding, xii. p. 442. In Lettere dell'agricoltura, dell'arti e del commercio, by Anton. Zanon, vol. vi. p. 149, the year 1459 is given, which perhaps is an crror of the prefs.

[^10]:    * Waddingii Scriptores ordinis Minorum, p. 58. Fabricie Biblioth. medice et infime at. i. p. 586.
    †Wadding; ziv. p. 398 and 433.

[^11]:    * It is to be found in the well-known large collection of juridical writings quoted commonly under the title: Tradatus traflatuum. Venctiis $15^{8} 4$, fol. p. 419 , vol. vi. part I. It has alfo been printed feparately.
    $\dagger$ Nota quod mons ipfe eft fimpliciter approbatus et erectus ab ipfo Summo Pontifice. Ejus autem capitula fupradicta funt approbata cum hoc adjccivo, fcilicet: facris canonibus non contiaria. Unde, fi qua capitula funt facris canonibus contraria, approbata non funt. Hec autem cfie ea que injuftitiam continent, nullus dubitat. Non funt igitur appıobata capitula illa, qua injuffa effe fuperius monftratum efl. Propter quod (fi ita eft) non parum peccatum fuit facere inuprimi Summi Pontificis builas trun. catas abfque illa particula, fcilicet, facris canonitus non contraria. Laquets fiquidem oll amimatum, in quen ego incidificu, wol fal-

[^12]:    * (Summonte) Hiforia della città e regno di Napoli ; in Napoli 1749, 4to. vol. iv. p. 179. Gianionc, Gefchiche des künigreichs Neapel; mit anmerkungen zon Le Eret; Leipzig 1770, 4to. volo iv. p. 95. De' bunchi di Napoli, c della lor ragione; trattalo diNIichecic Rocec. Neapoli if ${ }^{5} 5,3$ rol. 8 vo. i. p. 15 I.

[^13]:    ＊Vettor Sandi，in Principi di foria civile della republica di Ve－ nezia．In Venezia エクラı，4to．vol．ii．p．430．The author treats exprefsly of the inftitution of this bank，but the year when it commenced is not mentioned．
    $\dagger$ Waddingii Annal．Minor．xv．p． 67.

[^14]:    * Sec the bull ia Bullarium mag. Cherub. n. I 7 .

[^15]:    * See Petr. Gregorius Tholafanus de republica. Francof. 1509, 4 to. l:b, xiii. c. 16. p. $560_{\text {i }}$ and Afcianus, p. 753.

[^16]:    * Proofs may be found in Befchrywing der Stadt Delft. Te Delft IT29, ful. p. 553.
    $\dagger$ Salmafius de foencere trapezitico. Lugduni Bat. I $\sigma_{10}$, Svo. p. 744.

[^17]:    * De koophandel tan Amfterdam. Te Rotterdam 1780; 8voo 1. P: 22 I.
    $\uparrow$ S. de Marets Difl. de trapezitis.
    $\ddagger$ Afcianus, p. 773, takert from David a Mauden Difcurfirs morales in decalogum, P. 936.
    E. occafion

[^18]:    $\ddagger$ Tableau de Paris. Hamburg 1781, 8vo. i. p. 73.

[^19]:    * Sce Goguct, Urfprung der gefetze und kiüjfe, ii. p. 3 fo $_{3}$; from? which has been taken what Bailly fays in the end of his Hifoire. te l'aftronomis ancierare. Paris 1775, 4to.

[^20]:    * Jablonnki, Panth. proleg. p. 55, 56. Voffius de idololatria, ii. 34, p.489. Bruckeri Hifor. philofuph. i. p. 10550

[^21]:    * It is probable that Ficinus had in view a paffage in Olympio-

[^22]:    * In his Annotations on Manilii Aftronomicon. Stralburgh r 665 , 4to. p. 460 . Quam vetufti fint characteres planetarum, argumento funt vetuftiffimx gemmx, et palæ annulorum, in quibus ex incifx vifuntur.
    $\dagger$ In Gori, Thefaurus Gemmarum antiquarum aftriferarum, Florentix ${ }^{7} 750,3$ vol. fol, I found nothing on this fubject. Characters of the moon and of the figns in the zodiac often occur ; but no others are to be feen, except in tab. 33 , where there is a ring, which has on it the prefent characters of Mars and Venus. In gencral the panets are reprefented by feven fmall aftesifks, or by fix and the character of the moon. Befides, the antiquity of this gem cannot be afcertained.
    $\pm$ Phyfifche chemie, i. p. 48 .

[^23]:    * It appears that he never faw the book; for in vol. i. p. 809, he mifquotes both the title and the date. The whole title is, Democritus Abderita de arte magna, five de rẹbus naturalibus. Nec non Synefii et Pelagii, et Stepbani Alexaudrini, at ITichaelis Pf:lli, in eundem commentaria. Dominico Pizimentio Vibonenfi interprete. Patavii 1573, nine fheets fmall octavo. The editor, however, fays in the preface: Democriti Abderitæ libellum de arte magna, et Synefium ejufdem interpretem, ereptum a Corcyres quodam, qui Venetiis Romam fe contulerat, in Latinum converti. In p. 5 ftands: Es rebus naturalibus V myfticis Democriti, and in p. II follows: Diofcoro facerdoti magni Serapidis in Alexandria, Deo favente, Synefius pailofophus f. p. dy and alfo a letter, p. 18: Pelagii Philofophi de eadem magna arte. P. 23; Stepl. Alexandrini, æcumenici philofophi et magitri magne hujus artis, auri conficiendi actio prima. D. Pizimentio interprete. There are nine actiones. At the end ftands; Michaelis Prelli Epifola ad Xiphilinum patriarcham, de amri conficiendi' ratione. D. Pizim. Vibon. interprete. Conring fays in his Hermetica medicina, P. 29, that this book was printed four years after at Cologne, with Mizaldi Mirabilia. Salmafius, in his Annotations on Tertullian De pallio, P. 188, 189, gives, two receipts from the Greek original:

[^24]:    * See the collection of Greek letters of Eill, Lubbinus. Ex officina Commelina, $160 \mathrm{r}, 8 \mathrm{vo}$.
    $\dagger$ Bulletin des fouilles d'une ville Romaine, po Ir,

[^25]:    * See Gmelins Grundriffe der Mineralogic. Gottingen $\mathrm{I}_{5} 90$, 8vo. p. $44^{\circ}$,

[^26]:    * Zinc-earth, befides being mentioned by Ariftotle and Strabo, is mentioned alfo by Galen De Simplic. medicam. facultatibus, lib. ix. p. 142. As he found no furnace-calamine (ofenbruch) when he refided in Cyprus, he procured from the overfeer of the mines fome raw cadmia, which had been found in the mountains and rivulets, and which certainly muft have been calamine.
    $\dagger$ At firll it was called as cyprium, but in the courfe of time only cyprium ; from which was at length formed cuprum. It cannot however be afcertained at what periods thefe appellations were common. The epithet cupreus occurs in manufcripts of Pliny and Palladius; but one cannot fay whether later tranicri, bers may not have changed cyprius into cupreus, with which they were perhaps better acquainted. The oldeft writer who ufes the word_cuprum is Spartian; who fays, in the Life of Caracalla, caneqlli ex are vel cupro. But may not the laft word have been added

[^27]:    * This however I will not with certainty affirm. As calmey and galmey have probably taken their rife frons carimiza or calimia, and as both thefe words fignified proper calamine, as well as ufenbruch, the latter, perhaps, may at an earlier period have fignified furnace-calamine.

[^28]:    * Proofs refpecting this fubject may be found in Salmaffus de l.omonymis. I flall quote only one paflage from Serapion, p. 277: Ex tutia cot quædam qua invenitur in mineris, et ex ea cft quae fit in fornacibus, in quibus citrinatur $\mathfrak{e s}$, et colligitur et reponitur, ficut clinia. Cementation of copper was called citrinatio
    

[^29]:    * Doppelmayrs Nachricht von Nürnbergifchen Künflern, ค. 77.
    $\dagger$ The other edition was printed at Stockholm and Hamburgh, by Liebezeit, and is the fame as that mentioned by H. Gatterer, is Anlising den Harz zu bereifen, i. p. 313, and ii, p. I3.

[^30]:    G3
    makịng

[^31]:    Bruckmann, Magnalia Dei, ii. p. 459.
    $\dagger$ Honemann, ii. p. 1or. Galvors Hifiorifhe naibricht, p. 161 and 225 .
    $\ddagger$ Bruckmann, ii. p. 446 .
    II In the like manner we find calmey inftead of salmey?

[^32]:    * Salmafurs de hanonymis, p. 203.

[^33]:    * Ricards Handbuch der kaufeute, i. p. 5\%.

[^34]:    :* Matthefius, Préd. v. p. 2 50. "Conterfeil is a metal of little value, formed by additions and colouring fubftanees, fo that it refembles gold or filver, as an image, or any thing counterfeited, does its archetype. Thus copper is colcured by calanine and other mixtures, in fuch a manner that it appears to be pure gold." In the police ordinance iffued at Strafburgin in : 629 , young women are forbidden to wear gold or filver, or any conlerfaite, and cvery thing that might have the appearance of gold or filver.
     p. 285.

    Saumaife,

[^35]:    i* Diogenes Laert. lib. ix. 52. Ciccro De niat. deor. lib. i. cap. 23. Lagantius De ira, ix. 2. Eufebius D: proparutione cerarg. xiv. p. 19. INinucius Feli.x, viii. 13.
    $\dagger$ Livius, lib. xl. e. 29. Plin. xiii. 13. Plutarchus in Fïla Numa. Lactantius De falfa relig. i. 25, 5. Valer. Max. i. cap. I, 12.
    $\ddagger$ Livius, lib. xxv. cap. r.
    § Liv. xxxix, 16. Tacit. Analo, vi, 12 :
    || Sueton. lib. ii. cap. 3 r.

[^36]:    * The whole circumftance is related by Seneca the rhetorician, in the introduction to the fifth, or, as others reckon, the tenth hook of his Controverfice, or that which ftands before Cons troverf. xxx. Every one, perhaps, may not be aware that thefe Controverfice are not to be found in all the editions of the works of that philofopher. In Senece rhetoris Suaforic, controverfic, declamationumque excerpta, Parifiis $16{ }_{13}$, fol. an edition valuable on account of the annotations, the paffage occurs in page 197: - In hunc (Labienum) primum excogitata eft nova pœena; effectum elt enim per inimicos, ut omnes ejus libri incenderentur, Res nova et infueta, fupplicia de ftudiis fumi. Bono hercule publico, ifta in pernas ingeniofa crudelitas poft Ciceronem inventa eff. Quid enim futurum fuit, fi ingenium Ciceronis triumviris lisuiffet profcribere? - . . . Ejus, qui hanc in fcripta Labieni fententiam dixerat, poftea viventis adhuc fcripta combutta funt ; jam non malo exemplo quia fuo .... Calfii Severi, Lominis Labieno junctiffini, belle dicta res ferebatur. Illo tempore quo libri Labieni ex S. C. urebantur : Nunc me, inquit, vizum uri oportet, quii illos edidici.

[^37]:    * Sed non ideo libertas fuccubuit, quia hunilitas femetipfam libere proftravit. Baillet, Fugemens des Sqavans. Paris $17^{22.4 t 0 .}$ vol. i. p. 26.
    $\dagger$ In the year 480 Hermolaus Barbarus wrote to George Merula an follows: Plato, in Inftitutione de legibus, inter prima

[^38]:    * Codex diplomaticus. Francof. et Lipf. 1758. 4to. vol. ir. p. 460 . An account of the eftablifhment of a book-cenfor at Mentz may be found alfo in Georg. Cbrif. Fohannis Rerum Niso guntiacaram vol. i. fol. p. 798.

[^39]:    * The whole bull may be feen in Annales ecclefiafici ab anno quo definit Baronius ufque ad an. 1534, auctore Odorico Raynaldo, tom. xix. Colonix Agrip. 169 , fol, p. 514. ad an. 1501. \& 36.

[^40]:    * Summa conciliorum, a Bartholemeo Caranza collecta, et Erancifci Sylvii additionibus aucta. Duaci 1659,8 vo. p. 67 o.

[^41]:    $\uparrow$ Baillct, Jugemens des fçakens, i. p. 19.

[^42]:    * Der büchernachdruck nach ächten grundfätzen des rechtє gepriift, st Supra.
    + Von denen älteften kayferlichen und landesherrlichen büch-cr-druck- oder verlag-privilegien, 1777, Svo.

[^43]:    *Among the oldeft Imperial privileges nay be reckoned that to the edition of Ptolemy of $\mathbf{1 5 1 3}$. Argentinat cum gratia et prisilegio imperiali per decem annos.

    $$
    \mathrm{I}_{4}
    $$

    In

[^44]:    Several of them were editors, printers, and proprietors of the books which they fold.

[^45]:    * Verzeichnufs faft aller neuwer bucher welche feyther der nechitverfchienen faftenmefs, bifs auff diele gegenwertige herbitmefs, in offentlichem truck feyn auffyangen. Gedruckt $z$ u Frankfurt a M. durch Nicolaum Baffrum.

    VOL.III.
    K
    lifhed,

[^46]:    * Part iii. chap. 3. p. 766.
    + Abtandlung von den reichs meffen in Franchfurt. Frankf. 1765.4to. p. 500.
    † Joh. Adolph. Stock, Frankfurter Chronik, p. 77.
    K 2 mona.

[^47]:    * Prancofurti, ex offic. Joannis Saurii, impenfis Petri Kopffii 1602. 4to, The firft part contains 563 pages, and the fecond 292.
    + An account of both thefe works may be found in Reime manni Bibliotbeca biftorice litteraria, five Catalogus bibliotbecs Reinmannianc. Hildefiæ 1738. 3vo. ii. p. 97-192. Reimmann fays, that Draudius' Bibliotheca was printed three times at Franckfort, viz. in 1611,1621 , and $16+4$, which, however, is not perfectly currect.

[^48]:    * Francofurti ad M. impenfis Balthafaris Oltern. 1625 .
    + I fhall refer thofe who may be defirous of feeing a humorous

[^49]:    * An account of the principal writers who have treated of aurum fulminans may be found in Weigel's Cbemie, i. p. 225. See alfo Leveis, Zufammenbang der kïinfer. Zurich 1764, Svo. i. p. I72.
    $\dagger$ Spiclmann, Inflitut. chem. p. 288. Hanc calcem Baf. Valento inter primos clare defcribit.

    The

[^50]:    See the preface of Bened. Nic Petræus to the Works of Valenting printed at Hamburg 1717, in octavo.

[^51]:    : Fr. Bafilii Valentini, Bencdictiner ordens, Letztes teftament; von Georg Philips Nenter. Med. Doct: Straburg 17!2. Svo, p. 2:3.

[^52]:    * See Bergmann's Treatife on Pulvis fulminans, tranीated from the Latin, in Baldingers Magazin für Aerzte 1777, part vii. p. 600. This treatịe may be found alfo, but revifed and enenlarged, in T. Bergmanni Opufcula phyjica et chemica, 1780, 8vo. vol. ii. p. 133: On the effect of vinegar Bergınann fays, p. 151: Ad ficcum diftillando acetum adfufum, fulminandi vis domata feperitur, quod tamen intelligendum eft de refiduo vel non edulcorato, vel etiam ope caloris reducto.

[^53]:    * CYor properly fignified fifh, but in the courfe of time it was
     fignification as the French words gournandife, friandife. Sce Plutarch. Sympof. iv. 3. p. C67. and Yoffius De idololat. iv. 23. p. $337^{1 .}$

[^54]:    + Lib. vii. p. 309.

[^55]:    * Animadverr. vii. I7. p. 540.
    + Fifche Teutfchands, i. p. 26. Blafii Anatome animalium, p. $2 \sqrt{3}$ and $p .47^{2}$. fig. 4. Onnd lingua vulgo dicitur, proprie non eft lingua; nam in fuperiori palato hæret, ita ut cibus fub ea tranfeat, fed elt glandulofa qua lam fubftantia aiba, mollis, humida, et qure puncta aut alio modo lefa fe miro niodo commoret.

[^56]:    * Algem. Welthiftorie, xxxiii. p. 204. Pontoppidan's Naturgefibichle woon Damemark. Copenhagen 1765 , 4to. p. 190.

[^57]:    * F. S. Bonl, Naturgefchichte von Preuffen. Deflau if3̂t, Sro. iv. p. 64.2:

    > karpen,

[^58]:    $\ddagger$ Danub. vol. iv. p. 59.tab. 20.

[^59]:    * Novo teatro di machine ed edificii per varie et ficure operationi, con le loro figure tagliate in rame, con la dichiaratione e dimoftratione di ciafcuno - . - di Vittorio Zonca, architetto della magnifica communita di Padoua. In Padoua appreffo Franc. Bertelli, 1656 , fol. This fcarce book confitts of 115 pages, and contains

[^60]:    
     filios procreare fignificat.

[^61]:    * Specularios vocant, qui in corporibus lavigatis et terfis, ut funt lucidi eufes, pelves, cyathi, fpeculorumque diverfa genera, divinantes curiofis confultationibus fatisfaciunt. Fob. Sarijberienfis, i. cap. i2.
    $\dot{\dagger}$ Quinetiam pocula ita figurantur, exfculptis intus crebris ceus fpeculis, ut.vel uno intuente populus totidem inaginum fiat. Plin. lib. xxxiii. cap. 9. p. 627 . Seneca, fpeaking of fuch mirrors, ufes alfo the expreffion populus. See his $\mathcal{V}^{2 u g h}$. nat. i. cap. 5.
    $\ddagger$ Vita Probi, cap. iv. p. 926: Patinam argenteam librarum decem fpecillatam. Saumaife choofes rather to read fpecellatam. I am inclined to think that this word ought to be read in Suetonius inftead of fpeculatum, where he fpeaks of an apartment which Horace feems to have been fond of. That hiftorian, in his Life of Horace, fays: Ad res venereas intemperantior traditur. Nam fpeculato cubiculo fcorta dicitur labuife difpofita, ut quocunque refpexiffet, ibi ei imago coitus referretur. Leffing, who in his Mifcellanies (Vermifcbten Schbrifien, Berlin $\mathrm{r}_{7} 84$, 12 mo. iii, p. 205.) endeavours to vindicate the poet from this afperfion, confiders the expreffion fpeculatum cubiculum, if tranflated an apartnent lined avith mirrors, as contrary to thie Latin idiom, and thinks therefore that the whole paflage is a forgery. Baxter alfa

[^62]:    * Provided fannum always fignifies tin, of which I have howvoi., 11 . N

[^63]:    * Of fuch large mirrors Seneca fpeaks in his Quof. nat. lib. i. Of the like kind was the mirror of Demofthenes mentioned by Plutarch, Lucian, and Quintilian. Infitut. orat. xio 3, C8. p. 572 : Grande quoddam intuens feculum, componcre actionem folebat.

[^64]:    * In genere vitri et obfidiana numerantur, ad fimilitudinem lapidis, quem in Æthiopia invenit Obfidius, nigerrimi coloris, aliquando et tranfucidi, craffiore vifu, atque in fpecalis parietum pro imagine umbras reddente. Lib. xxxvi. c. 26. p. 758. The latter part of this paffage is twice repeated by Ifidore in his Origin. 16, 15, and 4. In one of thefe places he fays: Ponitur in fpeculis parietum, propter imaginum umbras reddendas.

[^65]:    * La foftanza è, che fecondo il racconto di Plinio, lo fpecchio ufato da Nerone non era nè concavo nè occhialino, ma fpecclio grande e lontano dall' occhio, e pofto obliquamente ful terrazino, e fineftra - - Dunque lo fmeraldo ufato da Nerone era di corpo, o mole eftefa, grande e piana, e collocavali fupino - fia inclinato, perchè vi fi imprimeffero, e riffetteffero le imagini, come negli altri fpecchi, e perciò non $f_{i}$ ì fondamento alcuno per crederlo occhialino. Almeno Plinio dice il contrario. Academia di Cortona, vii. p. 34 .
    + Cujus corpus fie extenfum fuerit, ficht fpeculum, ita imagines redilit. Quippe Nero Cæfar gladiatorum pugnas in fmaragdo fpectabat. Orijin. xvi. \%.

[^66]:    * Goguet, Urfprung der gefetze und künfte, ii. p. 1 re. Fabricii Biblioth. Graca, vol. i. p. 70.
    $\dagger$ Keyfsler's Reifen, i. p. i 7 . Andrea, Briefe aus der Scbrweix. Zurich ${ }^{1776}$, 4to. p. 47, and alfo p. 65 , where may be feen H . Von Beroldingen's opinion refpecting this emerald.
    $\ddagger$ Keyfler, i. p. $44^{1}$. Mercure de France. Août, 1757. p. 149 .
    § Nafcuntur (carbunculi) et in Thracia coloris ejufdem, ignem minime fentientes. Theophraftus auctor eft, et in Orchomeno Arcadiæ inveniri, et in Chio. Illos nigriores, equibus et fpecula fieri, Lib. xxxvii, cap. 7. p. 779.

[^67]:    
     $\delta: \varepsilon_{\zeta}^{\xi}$ atтดs कo.0: $\sigma$. Quæ nafcuntur in Crrecia, vilifimax; uti carbunculus ex Orchomeno Arcadix: ef autem itte nigrior Chio (marmore) ; fpecula autem ex illo funt. De lasid. § 61.
    

[^68]:    * Anton. de Ulloa, in his Voyage, according to the German tranflation, which makes the ninth volume of Der Algemeinen bijorrie der reifen, p. 343 .
    $\dagger$ Bomare, Mineralogie, ii. p. 15 and 159. Saze, Mineralogic. Leipz. 1775, 8vo, p. 230. Wallerii Syjema mineralog. ii. p. 133. Gmelin, Natur_y.fen des mineralreichs, nach Linné. Nurnberg. $177^{8 .}$ 8vo. ii. p. 48 . Recherches fur les Americains, par Paw, ii. p.I84. Quant à la pierre des Incas, c'êt une efpece de pyrite blanche, arfenicale, luifante comme de l'ètain, ou du fer recuil, dont l'analogue eft inconnu dans notre continent. - The laft affertion, however, is undoubtedly falfe.
    [This fone acquired its name from its being much ufed in ornaments by the Incas or Princes of Peru. Traxs.]

[^69]:    * Atque ut omnia de feeculis peraguntur hoc loco, optima apud majores fuerunt Brundifina, Atanno et ære mixtis. Pıælata funt argentea. Lib. xxxiii. cap. 9, p. 627.

[^70]:    * Fuit preterta iỏem ingenioffflumus: cujus oftendentia acumen pauca libet ponere. Nam cum taurum ingentem in arenam mififfet, exiffetque ad cum feriendum venator, neque perductums decies potuiffet occidere, coronam venatori mifit ; muffantibufque cunctis, quid rei effet, quod homo ineptiffimus coronaretur, ille per curionem dici juflit, Taurum toties nont fcrive difficile of? Trebell. Pollio, Vita Galicn, cap. 12.

[^71]:    
    
    
    
     fousinp про; in.as curyy. Philolaus vitrex nature folem fecit, qui ut coceleftis ignis radios reciperet, ita lamen fimul cum calore ad nos transfunderet ; fic ut duo quodam pacto fint foles, nempe coclettis ignis, et qui inde tanquam in fpeculum transfunditur ; nifi quis etian tertium velit addere, radium a fpeculo ad nos reAcsum. S.ob. Eclog. edit. Antverp. 1;75. fol, p. $5^{6}$.

[^72]:    * Pourquoy reluient les feneftres de verre fif fort? Pourtant que la nature de l'eftain, duquel elles font balties par dedans, fort clere, meflée avec le verre cler auffi de lui mefme reluyft d'avantage ; et le quel eftain outrepaffant fes raions par les petits pores du verre, et augmeutant doublement la face exterieure du dit verre, la rend grandement clere. Les problemes d' Alexaradre -Apbrod.-traduit de Grec en Francois-par M. Herret. A Paris 1555, 8vo. p. 50. n. 131.
    $\dagger$ Origin. lib. xvi. 15. p. 394.

[^73]:    *Page 102, 103, 106. Speculum ferreum.-Sed dicet. aliquis, cauflam hujus rei effe nigredinem fpeculi ferrei, - - verrum quod hoc non fit in cauffa, palam ex eo elt, quod, loco fpeculi ferrei, argenteo pofito, eadem accidit probatio.
    $\dagger$ Page 191, 195, 196, 197. Speculum e ferro mundo.
    $\ddagger$ Bayle, Diction. Hiftor. vol. iv. p. 462.

[^74]:    * A furnace for cafting large glafs plates, before it is fit to be fet at work, cofts, it is faid, $3,500 \mathrm{l}$. It feldom lafts above three years, and even in that time it muft be repaired every fix months. It takes fix month to rebuild it, and three months to repair it. The melting-pots are as big as large hogfheads, and contain above 200 weight of metal. If one of them burft in the furnace, the !ofs of the matter and time amounts to 2501 . Trans.

[^75]:    * Traité des pierres gravées, par Mariette. Paris I750, fol. i. p. $9^{2}, 210$.
    + If I am not miftaken, the two ancient glaffes found at Nifmes, and defcribed in Caylus' Recueil d'antiquités, ii. p. 363 , were both of this fort.

[^76]:    * Schwanhard, by the acutenefs of his genius, proved what was before confidered as impoffible, and found out a corrofive fo powerful that the hardeft cryftal glafs, which had hitherto withftood the force of the ftrongelt fpirits, was obliged to yield to it, as well as metals and ftones. By thefe means he delineated and etclied on glafs, figures of men, fome naked and fome dreffed, and all kinds of animals, flowers and plants, in a manner ptrfectly natural ; and brought them into the higheft eftimation. Sandrart, Teutfche Akademic, i. 2. p.346. The fame account, but nothing more, may be found in Wagenfiliii Commentat. de civitate Norimbergenfi. Altdorfi 1697: 4to. p. 154. Doppelmayr, p. 250, fays: After $16 \%$ he accidentally found out ly the glafs of his fpectacles, upon which fome aqua regia had fallen, becoming quite foft, the art of etching on glafs.
    $\dagger$ I fay all glafs, becaufe many kinds can be corroded by the marine and vitriolic acids. See Baume, Experimental cbemic, iii. p. 302.

[^77]:    * Breflauer Sammlung zur natur-und medicin-gefchichte.

[^78]:    * Mifcellanea Berolin. 1710. vol. i. p. 97 : The fparry fluor earth, or phofphoric earth, as it is called, which, in latter times; has been found in marble quarries, and which fome, at prefent; confider as an earth faturated with phofphoric acid, is mentioned by the Swede Hierne, in Prodromus bift. nat. Suecire. Henkel had never feen it. See his Kleine fobrifien, p. 599.
    + Watfon's Chemical Effays, ii. p. 277.
    $\ddagger$ A defcriptive catalogue of engraved gems, by James Taffie: London 1791. 2 rol. 4 to. i. p. 51.

[^79]:    VOL.III.

[^80]:    * The word $\lambda$ rrpor in Pollux, x. 31, 135. p. 1317, ought net to have been tranflated fapo.
    + Cicer. Epilt. famil. viii. 14. Cypriani Epif. 76. Pollucis Onom. viii. 9, 39. p. 713, 714. x. 135. p. 1317. Athanaf. De virginitate, i. p. 827. ed. Comelin. Orid. De medicam. ffaciei, ver. 73 et
     тарато $\sim 1\} \omega$. Gynelius calls clothes wafhed with nitrum, virgov $\mu \varepsilon \gamma$, nitro perfricata,

[^81]:    * Egyptium in vafis picatis affertur ne liquefcat.
    + Lib.xi, p. Sor.
    $\ddagger$ De mirabil. aufcult.c. 54.p. IIr.
    || Hiftor. mirabiles, c. 162. p. 256.
    \$ Lib. xxxi. §o. p. 56 t.

[^82]:    ＊J．D．Mic̣aelis Commentationes．Bremæ 1ヶ74，4to．p．1ラ゙ェ． I mult mention alfo C．Schoettgenii Antiquitate：fulloiia，added to his Antiquitat．tritura．Traj．ad Rhen．${ }^{1727}$ ， 8 vo．My readers will do me a pleafure if they compare the above work with this article．No one will accufe me of vanity when I pretend to under－ fland the theory of wafling better than the learned Schöttgen；but if I have explained the paffages which he quotes，in a more fatif－ factory manner，and turned them to more advantage，I mult afcribe this fuperiority to my knowledge of that art．I fhall here take occafion to remark，that there is no fubject，however trifing， which may not be rendered ufful，or at leaf agreeable，by being treated in a feientific manner；and to turn fuch into ridicule，in－ \｛tead of difplaying wit，betrays a want of judgment．

[^83]:    ** Sueton. in Vita Vefpaf, viii. 23. Lipfrus De magnitudine Romana. The principal part of the information on this fubject, in the latter, is taken from G. Cedrenus.

[^84]:    $\dagger$ Porners Anleitung zur Farbekunf, p. 3 r.

[^85]:    * Befides the teltimiony of the before-quoted authors; may be mentioned that of Hefychius and Ifidore. Pliny, p. 500, calls wathed wool: lana radicula curata. To be wafhed with it was
    
    + Salmaf. ad Solin. p. 818. a.

[^86]:    * See Taubmann'sÂnnotations to Plauti Aulular. iv. fc. 9. 6: Qui veftitu et creta occultant fefc, atque fedent quafi fint frugiq
    + Diofcorid. v. 174.
    $\ddagger$ Reife, i. p. 217.
    \# Geopon. vii. 6, p. 475. Plin. xiv. cap. 21, p. 727. Columrolla, xii. 50 , 14, p. 818.

[^87]:    * Pollux, vii. 11, 41. 715. Plin. xxxv. 17, p. 719; and xxxv. 15, p. 714 : Tertio generi fulphuris unus tantum eft ufus ad fuffiendas lanas, quoniam candorem tantum mollitiemque confert. .-. Ifidor. Origin. xvi. I.
    $\dagger$ Ergo noftra repente turbata prefentia, fubitario ducta confilio, eundem illum fubjectum contegit viminea cavea, quæ fuftium flexu in rectum aggregata cumulum, lacinias circumdatas fuffufa candido fumo fulfuris, inalbabat. Apul. Metamorph. ix. p. 292.
    $\ddagger$ I hope my readers will not mifunderfland me. I mean for funigating clothes with fulphur, and not for concealing a gallant.
    || Ordo hic eft. Primum abluitur veftis Sarda, dein fulphure fuffitur ; defquamatur Cimolia, quæ eft coloris veri. Fucatus enim deprehenditur, nigrefcitque et funditur fulphure. Veros autem

[^88]:    * See the fmall Elzevir cdition, Lugd. Bat. 1635.12 mo , rol. iii. p. 575.

[^89]:    - Dil Cange in his Gloffarium.

[^90]:    * I acknowledge to be one of thole who cannot form a proper idea of the Roman toga. It is certain that the weavers made each piece of cloth only fo large as to be fit for this article of drefs; or that when a toga was wove, it was cut from the loom, in order that another might be begun. On this account we find fo often the expreflions texcre egfes, tevere togas. It appears, alfo, that the toga, when it came from the hands of the weaver, was quite ready for ufe; and we therefore never read of taylors, but wher torn clothes were to be mended. The toga had no fleeves, and perhaps no feam. If it was Ititched along the edges before, half way up, the affiftance of a taylor would not be neceffary for that purpofe. It was bound round the body with a girdle, and fartened with clafps. Such a mantle could be cafily made and eafily fcoured. One may now readily comprehend why the Romain authors never mention cloth manufactories, or cloth, among the articles of commerce, but fpeak only of clothes; and why wenever read of cloth being meafured.

[^91]:    * That the rubia colours the milk has been denied by many, who are mentioned in Haller's Pbyfiol. viii. p. 328. Young, in his Treatife de lacte, fays only that it has no effect on carnivorous animals. Being once engaged in making experiments on the madder dye, I gave the plant to a cow for feveral days, and I found that the milk became reddifh and freaked with veins which were of a darker colour than the other parts. Stief alfo, whom I fhall quote hereafter, fpeaking of this plant, fays, p. II. Inde vaccarum lacti aliquid rubicundi coloris communicatur, quæ mutatio ex oppofito lacte e mammis vaccæ alio pabulo enutritæ, magis apparet. Incolæ fere omnes Wratillavienfes eodem rubefcente lacte utuntur, et nulla incommoda fentiunt.-That wellknown farmer, Gugenmus, gave the madder-plant, formed into

[^92]:    * Diofcor. iii. 160. p. 238. Fadix craffam et copiofam urinam pellit, ac interdum etiam fanguinem. Bibentes quotidie lavari oportet, et excrementorum quæ redduntur differentiam contemplari. Galen. lib. vi. Simp. One may readily perceive here that urine tinged red was coufidered as blood.
    $\dagger$ De fubtilitate. Bafilix 1664. 4to. lib. xviii. p. 669.
    $\ddagger$ Von kalten, warmen und metallifchen waffern. Strafburg, 1692. fol. iv. 6. p. 78.
    § Magia natural. Francof. 1591. 8vo. viii. 13. p. 355 .
    || Herbarium norum. Venet. 16 \% 7 . fol. p. 400.

[^93]:    * I do not know that any one cver remarked human bones to have been dyed by madder, though the propofal for ufing the roots of it againft the rachitis might have given occafion to make oblervations on that fubject. See G. L. Hanfen, Diff: de racbitide. Gottingæ 1762, p. 36. Profeffor Arnemann, who has a very numerous and valuable collection of fkeletons, and who carefully examined many of the like kind during his travels, affured me that he never faw any bones that had been dyed by madder in the human body. He faid it was probable, however, that the colouring of the bones depends on the attractive power which the calcareous earth has in regard to the colouring. particles; and that therefore the effects on human bones, and thofe of warm-blooded animals, would be the fame.
    + Stirp. hift. pempt. 3. lib. i. cap. 28. p. 353.
    † Colleg. pharmaceut. in Schröder, p. 645. Opp. i. Francof: 1596. fol.
    § Mem. de l'Acad. des fciences, 1701. p. 273.
    || Botanolog. lib. i. cap. 461, p. 66.4. Lond, 1710. fol.

[^94]:    * Bayle, Diction. iii. p. 72. More editions are quoted in Haller's Biblioth. botan. i. p. 335, and Biblioth. pract. ii. p. 136. In my edition, Colonix Agrip. 1581, the following paffage occurs, lib. iv. p. 423 : Erythrodanum feu rubea offa pecudum fandicino rubentique colore imbuit, fi quando herbam virentem depaftæ funt, intacta etiam radice, quæ rutila exiftit; quod etiam in elixis decoctifque ejus pecoris carnibus perfpici poteft, et in ovis, quæ rubicundo colore radicis hujus decocto fucantur. That the green leaves contain allo a red dye, and can really communicate a red colour, I have proved already in my Experimenta smendandi rubie ufum tinClorium, p. 65. It may be found in fommentar. Suciet. Gotting, vol, viii.

[^95]:    * Erythrodanum, vulgo rubia tinctorum dictum, offa pecudum rubenti et fandycino colore imbuit, fi dies aliquot illud depaftr fint oves, ctiam intacta radice, quæ rutila exiftit. Resca fimiliter perfpici poteft in carnibus hujus pecoris clixis et affatis. Nam rubicundæ apparent, ficuti etiam ova in decocto ejus radicis clixata. Centurice novem menorabilium, utilium ae jucundorum. Cent. vii. p. 162. See Halleri Bibliol. botan. i. p. ј27. Bieliotl. pract. ii. P. 92.

[^96]:    * Etudiez les progrés de la focieté, et vous verrez des agricul.teurs dépouillés par dés brigands; ces agriculteurs oppofer à ces brigands une portion d'entr' eux, et voila des foldats. Tandis que les uns récoltent, et que les autres font fentinelles, une poignée d'autres citoyens dit an laboureur et au foldat, Vous faites un métier pénible et laborieux. Si vous voulicz, vous foldats, nous defendre, vous labourcurs, nous nourir, trous vous dérobcrions une partie de votre fatigue par nos danfes et nos chanfons. Voila le trobadour et l'homme de lettres. Avec le tems, "cet homme de lettres s'eft ligué, tantôt avec le chef contre les peuples, et il a chanté la tyrannic ; tantôt avec le peuple contŕe le tyran, et il a chanté la liberté. Dins l'un et l'autre cas, il eft devenu un citoyen important. Hiif. pbilof. des ćtablifen. et du commerree des Euroticus dans les Indes, ii. p. 28.4 .

[^97]:    * C'eft un lefmcinage merveilleux de la foibleffe de noflre jugement, qu'il recommande les chofes par la rareté, ou nouvelleté, ou encore par la difficulté, fi la bonté et utilité n'y font joinctes. Effais, i. 54. vol, i. p. 217 . according to the London edition 739.

[^98]:    * See Luciani Opera, ed. Bipont. r. p. 388 and $40 \%$. Spenceri Annotat. in libr. i. Origenis contra Colfum, p. 3. Fabricii Biblioth. Graca, vol. ii. p. 80 g .
    $\dagger$ Syrus quidam nomine Eunus fanatico furore fimulato, dums Syrix Dix comas jactat, ad libertatem et arma ferwos quali numi-

[^99]:    * Virgil. quidem dixit; freti pietate, fed Varro, ubique expugnator religionis, ait, cum quoddam medicamentum defcriberet: et ut folent Hirpini, qui ambulaturi per ignem medicamento plantas tingunt.

[^100]:    ＊See Grupen＇s learned Differtation in the Hanroverjclucro gelebrten anzeigen， 175 I ，p． 679.
    ＋In his work De mirabilibus mundi，at the end of his book De fecretis mulicrum，Amitelod．1702， 12 mo．p．100．Experi－ mentum mirabile quod facit hominem ire in ignem fine læfione， rel portare ignem vel ferrum ignitum fine lefione in manu．Re－ cipe fuccumbifmalve，et albumen ori，et femen pfylli et cal－ cem，et pulveriza，et confice cum illo albumine ovi fuccum ra－ phani ；commifee；ex hac confectione illineas corpus tuum vel manum，et dimitte ficcari，et poftea iterum illincas，et pof hoe noteris audacter futinere ignem fine nocumento．
    genuine，

[^101]:    * Lib. iii. epif. 20. ed. Bergler. p. 32 1. Unum autem ut vidi, hifon jam et prope fum mutus factus. Quidam enim in medios progreffus, collocata menfa tripode, tres exiguas apponebat patelias; deinde fub iftis occultabat parvos quofdam candidus et rotundos lapillos, quales nos in ripis torrentium reperimus; hos modo fingulatim fub una quavis occultabat patella; modo, nefcio qua ratione, fub una aliqua omnes oftentabat: modo, ut fub patellis difparerent efficiebat, et in ore oftendebat. Deinde cum deglutiiffet (adductis in medium qui prope adftabant) alium ex nare, alium ex aure, alium ex capite depromebat; deinde iterum fublatos $\epsilon$ ex oculis hominum removebat. Maxime clancularius ille homo eft. . . . . Ne mihi exiftat ruri talis beltia, non enim deprehendetur a quoquam, omniaque domi furripiens, evanida que ruri habeo faciet. Sereca, epif. 45 : Sic ifla fine noxa decipiunt, quomodo præltigiatorum acetabula et calculi, in quibus fallacia ipfa delectat. Effice, ut quọmodo fiat intelligam, perdidi ufum. Sextus Empiric. Sicuti preftigiatores fpectantium oculos agilitate manuum fuffurantur ac illudunt, ita et rhetores. . - - Gregor. Nazian. in Athanaf. Idem erat calculis ludere decipientibus occulos celeritate tranffofitio-
     $\tau_{n_{5}} \mu_{s} \tau \alpha 9 \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \omega_{5}$ - Compare Suidas, Pollux and Atbenai Deipzu. 4. It is probable that Quintilian alludes to this art in lis Infitut. x . 7, If. Quo conftant miracula illa in fcenis pilariorum ac ventilatorum, ut ea, quæ emiferint, ultro venire in manus credas, et qุua jubentur decurrere.

[^102]:    * A great many of thefe paffages of the ancients have been collected by Boulenger, in his work De thealro, i. cap. 41 ; but without order and without any explanation. Something more is done by Des Camps in Difertat. fur une medaille de Caracalle, repréfentant des danfeurs de corde, which may be found in Recherches curieufes d'antiquité, par Spon. A Lyon 1683.4to. p. 40\%. An extract from it is inferied in Fourn. des fav. 1677, p. 309. See alfo Hier. Mercurialis De arte gymnafe, and Fabricii Bibliotho ant* siquar. p. 995.
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[^103]:    *laudian. de Mallii conful. 320 . In Cilano's Römifchen alterthiimer, ii. p. 573. fig. 8. there is a reprefentation like what [ have often feen exhibited. But the mofl dangerous and the moft curious is that of which an engraving is given in Splendor urbis Feneliarum, to be found in Thefaurus antizuit. Ifalie, v. 3. p. 374.

[^104]:    * The voyage of Brue may be found in Labat's Afrique occidentale, iv. p. 200. The paffage alluded to occurs in Algemeine biftorie der reifen, ii. p. 365.

[^105]:    * Strada De bello Belgico. Moguntix i6jr, 4to. p. 8. He calls the artift Jannellus Turrianus Cremonenfis.-Siepe a prandio a:matas hominum et equorum icunculas induxit in menfam, alias tympana pulfantes, tubis alias occinentes, ac nonmulas ex eis feroculas infentis fefe haftulis incurantes. Interdum ligneos par. ferculos emifit cubiculo volante's revolantefque; ceenobiarcha, qui tum forte adcrat, prafliegias fuoverente. Fecit ct ferreas molas per fe verfatiles tantie fubtilitatis parvitatifque, ut manica occultatas monachus facile ferret, cum tamen quotidie molicrent tantum tritici, quantum hominibus octo in fingulos dies alendis abunde CITct.

[^106]:    * In the year $173^{8}$, Le mécbanijme du fluteur automate, par Faucanfon, was printed at Paris, in three fheets quarto. It contains only a fhort defcription of the flute-player, which is inferted in the Encyclopédie, i. p. $44^{8}$, under the article Androide. An extract from it may be found in Hamburgifch. magazin, ii. p. I, and in Wiegleb's Magie, i. p. 283. The duck, as far as I know, has been no where defcribed.
    $\dagger$ I am furprifed that Georgi has not mentioned thefe automata in his Bufchreibung der fadt St. Petcrfourg 1790, 8vo. p. 42 Q. Vaucanfon died at Paris in 1782.

[^107]:    * Zodiacus Vitx, xi. 8 $\boldsymbol{f}^{6}$.

[^108]:    *. Vam Diale Dc Oraculis, Amftelod. 1700. 4to. i, 10. p. 222.

[^109]:    * A very imperfect defcription of thefe fhadows may be found in Wieglebs Magie, i. p. 173; and allo in Hallens Magie. Berlin 1783 . p. 267.

[^110]:    * Amfterdam in zyne opkomft, aanwas, gefchiedenifien befchrecven door Jan Wagenaar. Amflerdam 1760. Bro. i. p. 258. * Le ILong, Koophandel van Amierdam, i, p. If.

[^111]:    * Proverbs, chap. xxv. ver. 13: As the cold of fnow in the time of haveft, fo is a faithful meffenger to them that fend him : for he refrefheth the foul of his mafters.
    $\dagger$ Thomæ Bartholini De nivis ufu medico obfervationes va: rix. Hafnix 166 I, 8 yo.
    $\ddagger$ Senecn, Quef. natur. iv. I3: Invenimus quomodo ftiparemuṣ nivem, ut ea æftatem evinceret, et contra anni fervorem defenderetur loci frigore. In another place he fays: Didicerunt Romani? luxuria monftrante, nives ad tempus witatis locis fubterraneis cuftodire. Plin. xix. 4 : Servatur algor aftibus, excogitaturque ut alienis menfibas nix algeat.

[^112]:    * We read in Fob. Boecleri Difert. de potu frigido, Argentorati 1700, a tranflation of which may be found in C.F. Schrwert, ners Kraft und würkung des fobiecblen rvalfers, Leipzig 1740, 8vo. part i. p. 23, that Pliny fpeaks of the ice-pits as follows: Itali, ut gelu perennet in æftatem, fontis aquam hyeme in cavum, locum deducunt, ut glacie concrefcat ; rumpunt fecuribus glaciem, eamque in turrim profundam fubftrata palea ftipant, turrim implent, glaciem palea tegunt. Thefe words however I cannot find in Pliny, nor do I know whence they have been taken. They feem to have been written by fome modern traveller.
    + Neronis principis inyentum eft, decoquere aquam, vitroque demiffam in nives refrigerare, Ita voluptas frigoris contingit fine vitiis nivis. Omnem utique decoctam utiliorem effe convenit; item calefactam magis refrigerari, fubtiliffimo invento. Hift. nat. xxxi. 3, 23. p. 552.
    $\ddagger$ Vita Neronis, cap. 48 : Hæc eft Neronis decocta,
    || De morbis yulgar, lib. vi. 4. p: 274 ,

[^113]:    * Semus Delius fcribit, in Cimolo infula, per wflatem frigidarias fpecus effodi, in quibus aquæ tepentis $æ$ eftu plena dolia rẹponant, illam poft inde haurientes nive ipfa non minus frigidam. Ibid. p. 123.
    
     aqua fervida in puteum demiffa aqua frigidiffima redditur?
    $\ddagger$ The paffages have been collected by thofe authors who are quoted in Pitifci Lex. antiq. Rom. under the word Decoila.
    \& Philofophic. tranfact, vol, lxw, part i. p. 126.

[^114]:    * Traité du mouvement des eaux.

    干 Du Hamel, Hit. de l'Academ. 1. i. fo i. c. 3. p. 99.
    $\ddagger$ Tentamina experimentorum Acad. del. Cim. p. 183.
    11 Differtation fur la glace. Paris $1749,12 \mathrm{mo}$. p. $18 \%$.

[^115]:    * Philofoph. tranfact. vol. Ixv. part i. p. 124.

[^116]:    * Philofoph, tranfact. vol. 1xxi, part ii. p. 5 II.

[^117]:    * Philofoph. tranfact. vol. 1.s.v. part ii. p. 252 : The procefs of making ice in the Eaft Indies; by Robert Barker. A tranfation of this paper is inferted in the Si. Pcterlargifebes Fournal, ${ }^{1776}$. Januar. p. 59.
    +- - a number of fmall, fhallow, earthen pans. Thefe are unglazed, fcarce a quarter of an inch thick, about an inch and a quarter in depth, and made of an carth fo porous, that it was vifible from the exterior part of the pans, the water had penetrated the whole fubflance.

[^118]:    * See the account of Lloyd Williams, in the Univerfal Magrt. zine, June 1793, P. 410; and a tranflation of it, in Lichtenberg und Voigt Magazin für das neuefle aus der phyfick. Gotha 17948 ix. part 2. p. 86. Thin unglazed veffels are employed at prefent in Egypt alfo for cooling water, as we are told in feveral books of travels. The art of glazing is not yet known in that country. See Norden's Reife durch Egypten. Brellau und Leipz. 7779 , 8vo. p. 121 .
    
     ct plumbex laminæ in aquam injectæ frigidiorem eam faciunt.

    $$
    A \div 2
    $$

    of

[^119]:    * Obfervation, iii, 22. p. 184.

[^120]:    * That was the fifth edition. The word glaciere, however, may be found in Didionnaire par Ricluelet, Geneve 1630, 4 to.
    †J. Bruycrini Campegii Libri xxii de re cibaria, xvi. g. p: 660.

[^121]:    - En ćéé on aura toujours de referve, en lieux propres pour cet effet, de grands quartierṣ de glace et des monts de neige, pour mefler parmi le breuvage, quand bien cela devroit engendrer des maladies extraordinaires. P. GI. There were then no glacières, nor was the word known.

[^122]:    * Methodus refrigerandi ex vocato falenitro vinum aquamque, ac potus quodvis aliud genus, cui accedunt varia naturalium rerum problemata, non minus jucunda lectu, quam neceffaria cognitu. Auctore Blafo Villa-franca, Medico Hifpano Romæ. Forty-fix leaves fmall quarto. At the end ftands: Romæ apud

[^123]:    * In his treatife De nive, which Clufus, in his Exotica, has tranflated from the Spanifh : Tertius cum nitro refrigerandi modus a nautis inventus, illis præcipue qui triremibus rehuntur; nam cum aer iftic non refrigeret, prafertim dum malacia eft, et puteis atque nive flut defituti, neceffitas hoc remedium eos do. cuit, licet non bonum propler annexa incommoda. P. 37 .
    + Nofto xvo etiam inventus ef modus refrigerandi aquam falutro, quod aqua diffolutum egregie illam refrigerat, fed necaffe eft, lagenam, qua vinum vel aqua continetur, continuo agio

[^124]:    * Notandum nos experimento didiciffe, fi mittamus falni. trum in aquam, et illam vehementer ac celeri motu concutiamus et agitemus, for aliquem motum, non folum frigefcere illam aquam, fed congelari etiam, propria et rera congelatione ; et fie delicias, quas in gelato potu querunt delicatuli, etiam in medio mari, ubi nives non habeantur, inveniunt. Fit isitur glacies admixto falnitro competenti cum aqua, centum lib. aquæ infundendo triginta quinque lib. falnitri, et poftea celeriter et vehementer agitata aqua ; ut philofophus magis laboret explicardo, quomodo motus fit cauffa caloris, cum hic fit cauffa frigoris et congelationis. Hic autem pronuntio, quæ experientia nobis fubminiftrat. Nicol. Calci Pbilofoplian experimentalis, five In libros metcorolog. Arifct. commentaria, Romæ 1696 . fol. i. p. 226. Thefe Commentaria of Cabeus were printed alfo at Rome in $1644^{\circ}$ : as mentioned in Fabric. Biblioth. Graca, ii. p. 127.

[^125]:    * The edition of 1626 is quoted by Haller in his Methodus furd. med. and in Biblioth, med. prat. i. p. 324. The following edition I have now before me from the library of our univerfity. Sanc. Sandorii Commentaria in primam Fen primi libri Canonis Avicenna, Venetiis 1646.4 to. 2 usf /. 17. p. 177 : Similiter nix triplo magis refrigerat, fi nive permifceatur tertia pars falis communis; fal enim eft vehiculum deferens frigiditatem, quod oftendimus maxima fcholarium frequentia: nivem cum fale vel brevi congelaffe vinum. Qucef. 26. p. 246 : Ex nive et fale pari portione refultat qualitas adeo frigida (licet fal calidum fit), ut duplo magis refrigeret quam nix fola; tamen dum exaritur ifta intenfa frigiditas, non corrumpitur nix vel fal, quod patet, quia hæc poffunt adhuc facile feparari- The above treatife may be found alfo in the Collection of all the works of this great man, pristed, in four volumes, at Venice, in 1660.

[^126]:    * Hifloria vitæ et mortis, § 44 : In congelatione et corglaciatione liquorum, quæ nuper cœpit effe in ufu, per nivem et glaciem ad exteriora vafis appofita, immifcetur illis nitrum, atque procul dubio excitat et roborat congelationem. Verum eft, etiam ufurpari ad hoc falem nigrum communem, qui potius activitatem indit frigori nivaii, quam per fe infrigidat ; fed, ut accepi, in regionibus calidioribus, ubi mix non cadit, fit congelatio a nitro folo ; fed hoc milhi compertum non eft. Baconis Opera, Lipfix 1694. fol. p. 528. De augmentis foient. v. 2. p. 131 . Silva filvarum, cent. i. S3. p. 775 .
    + Hiftory of Cold, title i. 17, title v. 3, title xv. 7. The works of Rob. Boyle. London 1794. ii. p. 260, 266, 300.
    + Meteora, cap. S: Hinc etiam cauflas arcani per æftatem componendx glaciei difere poffumus; quod licet cum fatis sulgatum, ex optimis tamen eft quod ejufmodi arcanorum fudiofi labent. Salem, ærquali copix nivis aut glaciei contufx mixtum, circa aliquod vas aqua dulci repletum difponunt ; et fine alio artificio, ut illa fimul folvuntur, ha:e in glaciem coit. Dcs Cartes, Specimina philofophic. Amfelodami 1650, 4to. p. 216.

[^127]:    * Von Hohberg fays, in his Adliches landleben, Nürnberg 1716. fol. i. p. 88: The following, which ferves more for amufement than ufe, is well known to children. If one put frow and faltpetre into a jug, and place it on a table, over which water has been poured, and flir the fnow and falt well round in the jug with a tick, the jug will be foon frozen to the table." This baron, therefore, who, after he had fold his property in Auftria on account of the perfecution againtt the proteftants, wrote at Regenfburg (Ratifbon), where he died, in 1688, at the age of feventy-fix, was not acquainted with iced delicacies. Had they been known to him, he would have certainly mentioned them where, in his Book of cookery, he gives ample directions for laying out a table of the firlt rank. .

[^128]:    * It may be found in Dc la Mare, Trailé de la police, iii. p. 799.

[^129]:    * Ingeniofa gula eft. Petroz.

[^130]:    * Directions how to conftruct and ufe hydrometers may be found in Karfen's Lebrbegriff der gefanmtenmatbematik, iii. p. $25^{\circ}$; in the Naturlebre of the fame author, p. I"T; Misfobenbroek, Introduct. ad philofoph. natur. ii. §1384. Lempold's Theatr. Matic. pars ii. p. 206. § 12 ; and F. Gcfner, Differt. de bygrofonpiis consRantis mcufura, Tigui 1754. Sce alfo De NLontigny in Mémoires de l'Academ. dies Scicnces, 1 p68, p. 4j5, and Faggot, Verbefferung der bicrproben, in Abbandl. der Schavedifoh. Akaden, xxv. p. 49. Firünizz, Encyclopedie, v, p. 272.

[^131]:    * A fuller account of Hspatia may be found in Ferid. Menagii Itifor. müur. philofophic. Lugduni 1690, Svo. p. $5^{2}$; Bruckeri Hijf. critica plile Soplo. ii. p. $35^{1}$; and F. G. Wolfi Fragementa mulierum Gracaram, Gottinga 1730, 410. p. 369.

[^132]:    * Refpecting Synefius fee Bruckeri Hift. philof. iii. p. 5ır; and Fabricii Billioth. Graca, viii. p. 219, 22 I. He died in the year 43 r.

[^133]:    * Opera varia, p. 205.

[^134]:    * Fermat here remarks, that $\dot{g} 0 \pi r$, which the editor wifhed to change, ought to be retained, but that it fhould not be tranflated by libramientum but momentum. In a mechanical fenfe it fignifies the weight; and on this account the books of Archimedes de qquiponderantibus are called $\leqslant \sigma 0$ ģg $\sigma t \leftarrow \% \%$.
    $\dagger$ This may be found alfo in the Fournal des Şavans.
    $\ddagger$ In Cogitata phyfico-mathematica. Parifis 1644,4 to. and in Pbanomena bydraulica, p. 209.

    H La vie de M. Des Cartes. Reduite en abregé. Paris 1693, 8yo. p. iliz;

[^135]:    * Lib. ii. cap. 18. p. 100.

[^136]:    * Lugduni, I ;66. Svo.

[^137]:    * On Ceorg. I. 103. Thefe words are quoted by Emmeneffus and Egid. Menage in Juris civilis amenitat. Francof. et Lipf. 1680.8 vo. p. 412 : but in the edition of Servius, Venetiis $x_{5} 62$, fol. p. $; 1$. a. which I have in my poffeffion, they are not to be found. The Commentary of Servius may, at prefent, be no farther neceflary for explaining Virgil; but it deferves to be

[^138]:    $\dagger$ De natura cortin ques efthunt ex terra, lib. ii, p. 12 t.

[^139]:    * Philofophia experimentalis, five Commentaria in Ariftotelis Meteorolog. lib. ii. textus 26. quaft. 2. tom. ii. p. 158, b. Inveni hoc inftrumentum pofitum a quodam Jo. Tholden, in libello Germanice fcripto de fale; fed aut auctor ille non intellexit caufam et formam inftrumenti, aut certe occultare voluit, non vulgare, nec publicum facere.
    $\uparrow$ Mundus fubterraneus, vol. i. p. 254 ; and alfo Pbyfologia Wircherian., Amftelod. x680. fol. tom. i. p. 29.

[^140]:    ＊This order may be feen in that large and elegant work， entitled，Hiftoire de la Ville de Paris，compofee par D．Mibbel Felibien，reveue，augmentéc et mi $j_{e}$ à jour par D．Guy－Alexis Lobincau，Paris 1725．Five large volumes in folio，with many plates．See vol．ii．pp．951，977，and vol．iv．Pp．648，676， 764.

    + Paris ェ ククロ．x．p． 265.
    $\ddagger$ Telibien，iv．p． $7^{85}$ ．

[^141]:    * See Code de la Police, par M. D. troificme edit. Paris 1702. Siu. to i. p. 228.

[^142]:    * Dictionnaire des origines, vi. p. 34 .
    + Effai fur les Lanternes, par une focieté de gens de lettres, A Dole 1755.

[^143]:    * Maitland, i. p. 566.

[^144]:    ＊Befchryving van I＇Graven－Hage ；door Jacob de Riemer．$_{\text {＇}}$ In 1＇Graven－Hage 1739，fol．ii．p． 265.
    $\dagger$ Mr．Gebhardi in Algemeine welthiforie，xxxiii．p． 596.
    $\$$ Lettres écrites de Suiffe，d＇Italie，de Sicile et de Malthe； en 1776 － $\boldsymbol{y}^{8}$ ．
    $\|$ Voyage d＇un François par Italie，tom．viii．p． 187.
    § Lettere del fignor abate Domenico Seftini，fcritte dalla Sicilia e dalla Turchia．In Firenze．Four vol． 12 mo vol．i． 216.

    IT Riedefels Reife durch Sicilien und Griechenland．Zurich 1グ下，8vo．

    Ee3
    Madrid，

[^145]:    * We read in Cafliodorus the orders given to a prafectus vigilum on his appointment. It was faid to him : Eris fecuritas foporantium, munimen domorum, tutela clauftrorum, difcuffor obfcurus, arbiter filentiofus, cui fallere infidiantes fas eft, et decipere gloria. Var. vii. 7.
    + The Perfian fentinels fung in this manner when they were furprifed in the city by the Romans. AmmianusMarcell. xxiv.15: Obtruncarunt vigiles omnes, ex ufu moris gentici juftitiam felicitatemque regis fui canoris vocibus extollentes.-We read the fame account in Zofimus, iii. 22. p. 308.

[^146]:    * That the fervants in many houfes were wakened by the ringing of a bell, appears from what Lucian fays in his treatife, De its qui mercede condugi in divitunn faniliis vivunt, cap. xxiv. p. 245, and cap. xxxi. p. 254, edition of Deux-F'onts, vol. iii. ime
     cvcr follow, that there werc then ftriking or alarm-clocks, as fome have thence concluded. See MTagius De timtinuabulis, cap. 6, in Saliengre, Thifaurus antiquit. ii. p. $1 \times 7 \%$.

[^147]:    * Heinfius fays in his annotations to Sil. Ital. vii. 155 : Hanc tubam (gallicinium) intelligit in Fragmentis Lyricorum poeta ille, qui pacis tempore gallum folam tubam effe ait. That paffage lowever I have not been able to find.
    $\ddagger$ Traité de la police, vol. i. in the Index under the word Guet.

[^148]:    * Bivouac, from the German beizuacht, is an additional nightguard during a fiege, or when an army is encamped near the enemy. Lanfquent's were German foldiers added by Charles VIII of France to his infantry, and who were continued in the Frencha army till Francis I introduced his legions. Trans.
    $\dagger$ Nicolai Befchreibung von Berlin, i. p. 38.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid. p. 49 .

[^149]:    * From the name of this infrument, called in fome places of Germany a ratel, arofe the appellation of ratelverche, which was eitablifhed at Hamburgh in 567 . In the Dutch language the words ratel, ratelaar, ratelen, ratelmann, ratelwagter (a nightwatchmian) are quite common.
    $\dagger$ Gelehrte anzeigen. Halle 1743,4 to. i. p. 488 . The author refers to Seneca, epif. 3 : Quem nos obvium, fin nomen non fucsurrit, dominumi vocamus.

[^150]:    * Sticbritz Auszug aus Von Dreyhaupts Befchreibung des SaalCreyfis.

[^151]:    * Kamprads Leifnigker chronica, p. 550, 5 Sa .
    f In turri Beate Virginis funt femper duo cuftodes, qui manc et vefpere tubis concrepant. Ante paucos ammos habebant uxores fecum fuperius ; fed Plebanus modernus ejecit feminas propter ecclefixe cmunitatem fervandam. Feliar Faber in Rerum Suectic. firiptor. Ulmx 172 ヶ, fol. p. 79. п. p. 82. b.
    $\ddagger$ Von Lerfuers Chrouica von Frankfo i. p. 369
    || Ibid. i. p. 20.

[^152]:    * Rariora naturx et artis. Breflau and Leipfic 173 i, fol. p. 421 .

[^153]:    \% Mandirola, Italienifuher baum- blumen- und pomeranzengärtner. Nurnberg 1670.12 mo ; and at the fame place 1679. 12 mo . The firt edition is inferior, as the other contains fome notes by the eclitor.
    $\dot{\dagger}$ Georg. Andr. Boeklers Haus- und feld-fchule, i. 26.
    t Georgica curiofa, i, p. $77^{8}$.

