BSBI Gentian Handbook

Tim Rich & Andy McVeigh



4. Centaurium aulchellum (Sw.) Druce Lesser Centaury

Annual 2-20(-25) cm tall, erect, light greyish-green, glabrous. Basal resette son memor <-(z)<2) on tat, event, light großle-green, gabroiz. Baai resette investere and the 2-3 pairs a vesial lateral, acute or ranky obtacus, sizuita and schaping stem bar not connate, horizanda in the size the terms. Information and the schapes of the size of th rolla 1.4–1.9(–2.25) × as long as calys. Arthers twisted when dehisced. Filaments whitish aerted at top of corolla tube. Mean pollen size 28.7 ±0.51 μ m (range 26.1–30.6 μ m). Ovar ioid, style 2–3 mm, linear, stigma 2-lobed, cordate, yellowish-green. Capsule cy is 0.3–0.4 mm, brown, ± ovoid, reticulate.

e numbers: 2n= c. 34 (1 count Britain) and 36 (1 co tabase). Other counts suggest it is consistently tetraploid 2n=36 with one diploid 2n=11 unt from Israel (Zeltner 1970, 1985).

chellum is characterised by the annual habit, the few pairs of ovate sten -4, sometimes up to 6) and the small, deep pink corollas 11-14 mm long with a thread 2228. The second 0

constructs been confused with C erythraea, dearf examples of which are not always to distinguish. In C publishment the Rovers are usually stalled (terminal pedicish 1:10) and the boal noot be usually whiteed at Roversing. In C. erythraea, the Rovers Rymone or loss sacilie or inhorthy stalled (terminal pedicish to 1:2) mm but usually more scaled and the baal noots usually till present even in forencing at Rovering. coastal dune slacks, the very small corollas and ovate stem leaves will separate it from ttorole (which has corollas (11–)14-16 mm and linear stem leaves 4-10 × as long as wide).

It differs from C. tenuifforum in having fewer pairs of stem leaves and smaller corollas (st corolla 11.5-1 rdate fresh stigmas (Figs for C. pulchellum and the angles o et al. (2005) note that the disti ble in Europe, but there s For hybrids with C. Attorolis, see

rely in SE Ireland. The small sk rity to the

The main variation is in size and bra-depending on the environmental cond in dry habitat plants may be only 1-2 of with one or two flowers and no branching low domes almost pink with fl me of this variation has

on 1925: Sell & M dies are required show if the taxa

t, and scattered regland and East in Wales, NW

just reaching SW Scotland at th Iway. In Ireland rare near and on the coast. Figure ???, Distribution of C, pakhellam in Britain the south-east from Cork to Dublin, but and Ireland. probably gone from inland sites (Figure ???); It has been recorded in v.c. 1-23, 25, 27-38, 41, 44–46, 48, 49, 51–56, 58–63, 65–69, 72, 73, 22 and the Channel Islands, and in Ireland in H3, H5, H6, H12, H34, H21. Introduced in v.c.

Widespread in Europe from the M Introduced to North and South Am

Habitats



where an ectobabling chalk in old quarks, etc. It may be treed on damp clays or gravel in the justs of rides in woodlands, and atons. On benthlends it can be locally etc. on egen dwy. adds, sandy ground in damp organic solis in seepage zones, will bendle transpleri parts, and on the edges of salt-marshes where turf many provide an important

e (Gray 1972). Or can occur in small seepage and it now mainly occurs in dunes iated paths

It is quite tolerant of brackish but not conditions, and in the northern parts range becomes noticeably more restrict al habitate

Reproductive biology Centaurium palchellum has been little studied, it is relatively unusual in the Brital and ireland in being a summer annual (rath than winter annual which it may be hern Europe), and is thus pro from year to year depending on the sp In hot dry summers, such as 199 t can be very scarce, and then often range of habitats

probably has a long-persi ettes can be found in April

green rosettes can be tourd in April and May, Plant flower from July to Octobe, bot flowers may not open in duil weather. It is Figure ???. Habitets of C. patchellum. A, Dane self-compatible and probably movity self-stack, Adaptere, R. linestone rubble, Portland, C. pollinated. The mean pollen viability was





79.4 ±5.62% (range 6-96%) (West et al. 2014). Conservation statuses

UCN (2001) Conservation status Britain: Least Concern (JNCC 2018). Ireland: Near Threatened (Wyse Jackson et al. 2016).

In Ireland C. pulchellum is a protected species listed on the Flora Protection Order. In Britain, Is much more frequent though loss of heathland has resulted in some decline in the south. The distribution overall in Britain is currently more or less stable (Braithwaite et al. 2006), or





Also just published! See leaflet!

International articles and the second second

Rare Plants of Wales

Lauren Marrinan and Tim Rich GRAFFEG

Why are Gentianaceae difficult taxonomically?

- Some taxa are defined by <u>quantitative differences</u> between sets of <u>partially</u> <u>overlapping morphological characters</u>
 - partly related to their origin by alloploidy or autoploidy (Mansion *et al.* 2005).
- Some taxa are of <u>relatively recent origin</u> and closely related, such as the *Gentianella amarella* subspecies
 - genetically adapted to local environment
- Marked differences in growth form due to local <u>environmental conditions</u>
- Marked population <u>fluctuations</u> of annuals and biennials, making comparison between years difficult on the same site.
- After some work on population dynamics, we are less sure that some populations may have both annuals and biennials (c.f. Pritchard 1959)
- <u>Hybridisation</u> and introgression occur in some taxa
 - Most hybrids fertile, few are sterile
- Very difficult to cultivate plants

• Also long-standing problem of floras being compiled from herbaria, which give different character ranges to those in field due to selection of material and drying

	Fi	eld		Herb	arium	P(t-test)
п	mean±s.e.	(1–)10–90(–100) percentile range	п	mean \pm s.e.	(1–)10–90(–100) percentile range	
321	10.4 ± 0.12	(5-)8-13(-16)	627	9.3 ± 0.08	(4-)7-12(-16)	< 0.001
321	24.2 ± 0.40	(6-)14-32(-43)	578	16.7 ± 0.20	(6-)11-24(-42)	< 0.001
321	12.2 ± 0.20	(3-)7-16.5(-22)	578	7.6 ± 0.10	(3-)5-11(-17)	< 0.001
321	2.02 ± 0.02	(1-)1.6-2.40(-3.8)	578	2.3 ± 0.02	(1.3–)1.7–2.9(–4.3)	< 0.001
321	28.6±0.20	(12–)25–32(–37)	796	26.2 ± 0.10	(15-)22-30(-35)	< 0.001
321	15.8 ± 0.20	(5-)12-20(-24)	796	11.6 ± 0.07	(5-)9-14(-18)	< 0.001
321	1.86 ± 0.02	(1-2-)1.5-2.2(-3.2)	796	2.3 ± 0.01	(1.5–)1.9–2.7(–5.1)	< 0.001
	n 321 321 321 321 321 321 321 321	n mean \pm s.e. 321 10.4 \pm 0.12 321 24.2 \pm 0.40 321 12.2 \pm 0.20 321 2.02 \pm 0.02 321 28.6 \pm 0.20 321 15.8 \pm 0.20 321 1.86 \pm 0.02	Field (1-)10-90(-100) percentile range 321 10.4 ± 0.12 $(5-)8-13(-16)$ 321 24.2 ± 0.40 $(6-)14-32(-43)$ 321 12.2 ± 0.20 $(3-)7-16.5(-22)$ 321 2.02 ± 0.02 $(1-)1.6-2.40(-3.8)$ 321 28.6 ± 0.20 $(12-)25-32(-37)$ 321 15.8 ± 0.20 $(5-)12-20(-24)$ 321 1.86 ± 0.02 $(1-2-)1.5-2.2(-3.2)$	Field (1-)10-90(-100) percentile range n 321 10.4 ± 0.12 $(5-)8-13(-16)$ 627 321 24.2 ± 0.40 $(6-)14-32(-43)$ 578 321 12.2 ± 0.20 $(3-)7-16.5(-22)$ 578 321 2.02 ± 0.02 $(1-)1.6-2.40(-3.8)$ 578 321 2.02 ± 0.02 $(12-)25-32(-37)$ 796 321 15.8 ± 0.20 $(5-)12-20(-24)$ 796 321 1.86 ± 0.02 $(1-2-)1.5-2.2(-3.2)$ 796	Field Herb n mean \pm s.e. percentile range n mean \pm s.e. 321 10.4 ± 0.12 $(5-)8-13(-16)$ 627 9.3 ± 0.08 321 24.2 ± 0.40 $(6-)14-32(-43)$ 578 16.7 ± 0.20 321 12.2 ± 0.20 $(3-)7-16.5(-22)$ 578 7.6 ± 0.10 321 2.02 ± 0.02 $(1-)1.6-2.40(-3.8)$ 578 2.3 ± 0.02 321 $2.8.6 \pm 0.20$ $(12-)25-32(-37)$ 796 26.2 ± 0.10 321 15.8 ± 0.20 $(5-)12-20(-24)$ 796 11.6 ± 0.07 321 1.86 ± 0.02 $(1-2-)1.5-2.2(-3.2)$ 796 2.3 ± 0.01	FieldHerbarium n mean \pm s.e.percentile range n mean \pm s.e. $(1-)10-90(-100)$ percentile range 321 10.4 ± 0.12 $(5-)8-13(-16)$ 627 9.3 ± 0.08 $(4-)7-12(-16)$ 321 24.2 ± 0.40 $(6-)14-32(-43)$ 578 16.7 ± 0.20 $(6-)11-24(-42)$ 321 12.2 ± 0.20 $(3-)7-16.5(-22)$ 578 7.6 ± 0.10 $(3-)5-11(-17)$ 321 2.02 ± 0.02 $(1-)1.6-2.40(-3.8)$ 578 2.3 ± 0.02 $(1.3-)1.7-2.9(-4.3)$ 321 28.6 ± 0.20 $(12-)25-32(-37)$ 796 26.2 ± 0.10 $(15-)22-30(-35)$ 321 15.8 ± 0.20 $(5-)12-20(-24)$ 796 11.6 ± 0.07 $(5-)9-14(-18)$ 321 1.86 ± 0.02 $(1-2-)1.5-2.2(-3.2)$ 796 2.3 ± 0.01 $(1.5-)1.9-2.7(-5.1)$

Table 6. Comparison of measurements collected in the field and herbaria for Gentianella germanica.

Parnell, J., Rich, T., McVeigh, A., Lim, A., Quigley, S., Morris, D. & Wong, Z. (2013). The effect of preservation methods on plant morphology. *Taxon* **62**: 1259–1265.

The case of *Centaurium tenuiflorum*

- first collected by C. C. Babington in 1837 in Guernsey (site now destroyed)
- subsequently found on Isle of Wight in 1879 by F.
 Townsend and Dorset in 1935 by R. Good
- In UK a very rare species





In Europe 3 taxa (G. Mansion work):

- diploid subsp. acutiflorum
- tetraploid subsp. *tenuiflorum*
- + widespread European taxon, informally 'C. ×tenuiflorum' (allotetraploid derivative of C. tenuiflorum × C. erythraea)









N Spain

Brittany

Spain (Stace)

Morphological data Dorset/I of Wight vs European material:

				significance
		Mean		
	Mean Europe	Dorset/IoW	T test Probability	
height cm	17.5	17.3	0.870917	NS
No. internodes	8.1	6.3	0.004188	**
Mid stem leaf length mm	9.8	11.4	0.06141	*
Mid stem leaf width mm	2.1	3.6	8.34E-06	***
Mid stem leaf L W ratio	5.1	3.4	0.000728	**
Terminal pedicel length mm	2.1	3.3	0.074825	NS
calyx length mm	8.0	8.9	0.000503	**
corolla tube mm	9.7	10.6	0.005761	**
corolla lobes mm	3.0	3.6	7.94E-06	***
total corolla mm	12.0	14.2	0.004047	**
corolla calyx ratio	1.5	1.6	0.46553	NS

• Dorset/I of Wight plants are different – generally bigger with broader leaves, and retain these in cultivation.

DNA (based on G. Mansion's work)

• ITS1 Dorset sequence is C. tenuiflorum (differs in 1 base pair)

Cytology

• one Ubsdell count of UK material = diploid (yet pollen sizes suggests tetraploid)

Hence described treated as new English endemic = C. tenuiflorum subsp. anglicum*

- presumed to have arisen through isolation and local adaptation following reflooding of the English Channel
- **Post Handbook**: Now seen very similar material from N and W France

^{*} Rich, T. C. G., McVeigh, A. & Stace, C.A. (2018). New taxa and new combinations in the British flora. Edinburgh Journal of Botany doi: 10.1017/S0960428618000288

The case of *Centaurium erythraea* x *littorale*

- Wheldon (1897) noted two forms of *C. littorale* on the Lancashire coast
 - normal widespread form
 - large form with the relatively long calyx: corolla tube ratio of *C. littorale* but the broader stem leaves and habit of *C. erythraea* (*Erythraea littoralis* var. *intermedia*).
- These regarded as of hybrid origin and *C.* x *intermedium* widely used for sterile tetraploid *C. erythraea* x *littorale*
- Ubsdell (1976a, b, 1979) showed the large form was a hexaploid forming cytologically stable, self-sustaining populations which were reproductively isolated from the parents, and suggested it should be a new allopolyploid species
 - Typification shows Erythraea littoralis var. intermedia belongs to these
- CTW and Stace acknowledge these hexaploids but did not separate them
 - Stace ed 4 "The latter could be treated as a distinct new sp. but the parents themselves are so close this is not feasible."

Courtesy of Phil Smith, we went to see the Lancashire plants in 2018





C. intermedium

- Tall (to 40 cm), fertile, hexaploid
- Lancashire endemic



C. erythraea x littorale = C. x klattii

- Small (to 15 cm), infertile, tetraploid
- UK, Europe



• Admittedly hard to separate in the field without fertility data.

The case of Gentianella anglica

- Since 1993 TR has been saying *G. anglica* is a species, differing from *G. amarella* in:
 - small size 1–15 cm tall
 - few 1–3(–4) internodes
 - terminal internode 40–100% of stem height
 - flowering typically (March-)May-June(-early July)
- Tried several times to cultivate it without any success beyond first year





• a few populations had intermediates which were treated as hybrids (G. x davidiana)



Watsonia **21**: 313-325



Using AFLPs on British plants only, Wingfield et al. (2003) found *G. amarella*, *G. uliginosa* and *G. anglica* to be closely related

- In mixed populations of *G. anglica* and *G. amarella*, individuals of the two species were genetically more similar to each other than they were to individuals of the same species from other populations.
- But there were "morphological and phenological differences between the taxa regardless of the fact that apparently there is no, or very little, genetic difference"



Fig. 2. a) PCO plot showing the relationship between the five species included in the study, and b) UPGMA dendrogram showing the same relationship. The bootstrap values placed above the forks of the dendrogram show the percentage of times the group to the right of that fork occurred – only percentages greater than 75% are shown. A = G. anglica, G. amarella, G. uliginosa and hybrid populations; B = G. campestris; C = G. germanica)

Plant Syst. Evol. 237: 137-151 (2003)

Conclusion

- *G. anglica* has been recently derived from *G. amarella* as early-flowering form
- Relatively few characters separate them
- Completely interfertile
- Relegated to subspecies: G. amarella subsp. anglica*
- Consequently the hybrid *G*. x *davidiana* is no longer recognised
 - Such intermediate plants included in the variable subsp. *amarella*
- This also fits better with subsp. *septentrionalis* (retained as subspecies)







* Rich, T. C. G., McVeigh, A. & Stace, C.A.(2018). New taxa and new combinations in the British flora. Edinburgh Journal of Botany doi: 10.1017/S0960428618000288

The case of Gentianella uliginosa

- Pugsley first noted in 1923 Tenby plants was similar to European *G. uliginosa*
- characterised by:
 - **annual** with 0–2(–3) internodes (mean 1.3)
 - terminal internode on c. 1.7 × the internode length,
 - terminal pedicel forming up to 70% of total height
 - calyx teeth very unequal in width and usually outcurved
- A rare plant of South Wales and North Devon dune slacks (reported in error for v.c. 102)





- Molecular studies by Winfield *et al.* (2003) showed that British plants ascribed to the European *G. uliginosa* were genetically part of *G. amarella* (as above)
- Our studies with Gerard Oostermeijer and Sabrina de Carvalho found that British plants were genetically different from Swedish *G. uliginosa* (unpublished).
- Furthermore, morphologically, European *G. uliginosa* differs from South Wales '*G. uliginosa*' by having more internodes (mean 3.2), terminal internode 0.9 × the average internode length and a short terminal pedicel forming 20% of total plant height.



 Conclude South Wales 'G. uliginosa' has evolved from subsp. amarella as an annual ecotype adapted to dune slacks around the Severn Estuary – a new taxon = Gentianella amarella subsp. occidentalis*

* Rich, T. C. G., McVeigh, A. & Stace, C.A.(2018). New taxa and new combinations in the British flora. Edinburgh Journal of Botany doi: 10.1017/S0960428618000288

G. amarella subsp. *hibernica*

Pritchard (1959) described all Irish plants as subsp. *hibernica*

- relatively longer corollas 19-22 mm
- more internodes 7-11
- a very contracted terminal internode



- Irish field population samples show almost complete overlap with subsp. *amarella*, though clustering suggests some differentiation
 - Hence overall subsp. *hibernica* not maintained



Foines



PCA: black UK, green Ireland

Post Handbook

Blackstonia may be annual <u>and</u> biennial (page 21); detailed studies needed!

Centaurium intermedium also in v.c. 60 West Lancs (page 60)

Gentiana verna from Ingleborough (page 130) has been strongly queried!

Gentiana lutea naturalised on cricket field Horwich, v.c. 59 South Lancs, 1954 (MANCH)



Andy and Tim would like to thank

- Stacey Baldwin
- Julia Carey
- Sabrina de Carvalho
- Sep Greimler
- Andy Jones
- Liz Lavery
- Tommy Lennartsson
- Lola Lledó
- Gerard Oostermeijer
- Colin Pope
- Noel Pritchard
- Ivor and Jane Rees
- Phil Smith
- Clive Stace
- Paul Stanley
- Seren Thomas
- Willow West
- Mike Wilcox
- Phil Wilson

and everyone else who has helped with information etc since 1993

